
LCFF Budget Overview for Parents

Local Educational Agency (LEA) Name: McGill School of Success Charter
CDS Code: 37 68338 6113211
School Year: 2026-27
LEA contact information:
Delia Terry
Interim Principal/CEO
office@mcgillschoolofsuccess.org
619 629-0770

School districts receive funding from different sources: state funds under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF), other state funds, local funds, and federal funds. LCFF funds include a base level of funding for all LEAs and extra funding - called "supplemental and concentration" grants - to LEAs based on the enrollment of high needs students (Foster Youth, English learners, and low-income students).

Budget Overview for the 2026-27 School Year




This chart shows the total general purpose revenue McGill School of Success Charter expects to receive in the coming year from all sources.

The text description for the above chart is as follows: The total revenue projected for McGill School of Success Charter is $2,122,428, of which $1,302,782 is Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF), $751,186 is other state funds, $0 is local funds, and $68,460 is federal funds. Of the $1,302,782 in LCFF Funds, $200,163 is generated based on the enrollment of high needs students (Foster Youth, English learner, and low-income students).

LCFF Budget Overview for Parents

The LCFF gives school districts more flexibility in deciding how to use state funds. In exchange, school districts must work with parents, educators, students, and the community to develop a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) that shows how they will use these funds to serve students.



This chart provides a quick summary of how much McGill School of Success Charter plans to spend for 2026-27. It shows how much of the total is tied to planned actions and services in the LCAP.


The text description of the above chart is as follows: McGill School of Success Charter plans to spend $2,481,143 for the 2026-27 school year. Of that amount, $1,858,731 is tied to actions/services in the LCAP and $622,412 is not included in the LCAP. The budgeted expenditures that are not included in the LCAP will be used for the following:

Increased or Improved Services for High Needs Students in the LCAP for the 2026-27 School Year

In 2026-27, McGill School of Success Charter is projecting it will receive $200,163 based on the enrollment of Foster Youth, English learner, and low-income students. McGill School of Success Charter must describe how it intends to increase or improve services for high needs students in the LCAP. McGill School of Success Charter plans to spend $865,852 towards meeting this requirement, as described in the LCAP.

LCFF Budget Overview for Parents

Update on Increased or Improved Services for High Needs Students in 2025-26



This chart compares what McGill School of Success Charter budgeted last year in the LCAP for actions and services that contribute to increasing or improving services for high needs students with what McGill School of Success Charter estimates it has spent on actions and services that contribute to increasing or improving services for high needs students in the current year.

The text description of the above chart is as follows: In 2025-26, McGill School of Success Charter's LCAP budgeted $1,160,115 for planned actions to increase or improve services for high needs students. McGill School of Success Charter actually spent $1,380,808 for actions to increase or improve services for high needs students in 2025-26.

Local Control and Accountability Plan

The instructions for completing the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) follow the template.

Local Educational Agency (LEA) Name	Contact Name and Title	Email and Phone
McGill School of Success Charter	Delia Terry Interim Principal/CEO	dterry@mcgillschoolofsuccess.org 619 629-0770

Plan Summary [2026-27]

General Information

A description of the LEA, its schools, and its students in grades transitional kindergarten–12, as applicable to the LEA. LEAs may also provide information about their strategic plan, vision, etc.

McGill School of Success is a public charter school authorized by San Diego Unified School District to serve students in grades TK-5th. The school was originally started as the San Diego Innovative Preschool Project, Inc. (SDIPP) for preschoolers, the purpose was to improve and contribute to the achievement of all students, with particular emphasis in inner-city, diverse and low-income children. The establishment of the charter school was the natural progression from the SDIPP program, and in September 1996 in the Golden Hill/South Park Community of San Diego, McGill School of Success opened its doors to the community. It is named in honor of UCSD Chancellor William McGill, one of the founding board members. McGill is a direct-funded charter school, and is one of the oldest in the state of California.

The mission of McGill School of Success is to provide children with an equitable, nurturing, and effective learning environment that promotes the development of 21st century skills: critical thinking, effective communication, creativity and collaboration, with a multicultural perspective and core values essential for academic and lifetime success.

McGill distinguishes itself from other schools by focusing on the development of caring personal relationships with our families, which research proves that it is a vital component that contributes to student success. Every staff knows our students by name which lends its way for families to trust us. McGill School of Success is committed to communicating with and engaging parents as partners in their child's education. Parents are provided with numerous opportunities to become involved including: Board of Directors and governance committees, ELAC, School Site Council, fundraising, assisting in the classroom, school-wide events, feedback surveys, Local Control Accountability Plan questionnaire. In addition to Back to School Night and Open House, McGill provides parent-teacher conferences at every Trimester, with particular emphasis on students who have been identified that are behind academically or need additional Social/Emotional support. Our site

keeps families informed and engaged through our monthly Parent Newsletter in English and Spanish, Coffee with the Principal, Parent Curriculum Nights, PowerSchool messenger, a computer Kiosk, informational A-Frame posters, and teachers communicate via flyers, smartphone apps, texts, or emails. Our website is also a vast information center where staff, parents, and the community can learn more about our school and become aware of upcoming events. We have also ramped up our social media presence on FaceBook and Instagram. McGill truly tries to live up to its motto, “A small school with a BIG heart!”

Reflections: Annual Performance

A reflection on annual performance based on a review of the California School Dashboard (Dashboard) and local data.

For 2024-25, Academically, several grade levels showed growth in the areas of math and reading and others showed stagnation. McGill went through a significant transition period of staffing changes due to budget modifications and we believe this had a significant impact in the limited growth that the students made. McGill had to also minimize the amount of direct support with ELA and Math and thus, the responsibility was directly placed back on to the teacher and student. According to the Star Renaissance SGP data, students showed improvement and growth in most grade levels and showed that they are approaching the 50 percentile rank. It also showed that our 5th grade cohort, who lived through the Covid era continue to struggle.

For 2023-24, Academically, our students showed growth in the areas of math and reading. We believe that it was large in part because we hired a Math Coach and a Reading Intervention Teacher per LEA partner recommendations. Both of these teachers collaborated with assigned grade levels and consistently provided coaching and intervention. The Star Renaissance data shows that a large percentage of the students made significant growth in mathematics in all grade levels and are approaching at or above the 50 percentile rank. Although the ELA SGP growth was not as significant, students did show improvement and growth in several grade levels and showed that they are approaching the 50 percentile rank.

McGill’s other main focus was on parent engagement. McGill continued to improve communications with parents via email, new and improved website content, monthly newsletters, via texts, continued to invite parents for special events, and our after school program provided many parent opportunities to participate in activities with their children. Our parents were given and they joined our school committees, served on the board of directors and attended coffee with the principal on a regular basis. Our ELAC families attended a mini CABE where they participated in breakout sessions that provided them with useful parenting information with regards to academic strategies, health and welfare, and access to resources. Our Parent Liaison was instrumental in keeping our parents informed, setting up informational nights, and equipping them with resources vital to their children’s academic success.
School Site Council and English Learner Advisory Committee: With the ongoing commitment and dedication of our leadership, we have maintained a well formed councils/committees and met approximately 7 times throughout the school year to further engage and address the issues faced by our low-income, homeless and English Learner populations.
The Suspension Rate (2023-24): The 0% suspension rate only rose by .5% and was sustained.
The Expulsion Rate (2023-24): The 0% expulsion rate was maintained.
We are proud to report that a total of 5 ELD students were reclassified to English Fluent Speakers in 2023-24 and that many of our ELD students are approaching level 4.

Reflections: Technical Assistance

As applicable, a summary of the work underway as part of technical assistance.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement

An LEA with a school or schools eligible for comprehensive support and improvement must respond to the following prompts.

Schools Identified

A list of the schools in the LEA that are eligible for comprehensive support and improvement.

McGill School of Success is not eligible for comprehensive support and improvement.

Support for Identified Schools

A description of how the LEA has or will support its eligible schools in developing comprehensive support and improvement plans.

Not applicable.

Monitoring and Evaluating Effectiveness

A description of how the LEA will monitor and evaluate the plan to support student and school improvement.

Not applicable.

Engaging Educational Partners

A summary of the process used to engage educational partners in the development of the LCAP.

School districts and county offices of education must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, local bargaining units, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

Charter schools must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

Educational Partner(s)	Process for Engagement
Parents	McGill provided an orientation meeting at the opening of the school year and went over pertinent items from the student/parent handbook. Coffee with the Principal meetings (June 2024- Present), Parents were active members in our ELAC and SSC committee and participated all year. Parents were provided with LCAP information as it was being developed during the year. Parents were given updated budget information and how it pertained to the functionality of the school. McGill has always maintained an open door policy.
Teachers	Credentialed staff were offered the opportunity of 5 dedicated professional development days, 4 before the start of the school year and one embedded during the school year. The LCAP has always been the central focus point when addressing funding and data driven decisions. Dedicated teacher meetings occur on every first, second, and third Wednesday of every month to distribute pertinent information, have celebrations, provide data reports and professional development consistently.
Students	Assembly and classroom presentations/discussions were provided on school climate, safety, academics, and school climate survey (June 2024 to present)
Support Staff	Meetings are held every Monday morning throughout the school year consistently where LCAP information is also given and explained as to how it is driving school academic, social/emotional, and funding opportunities. We also meet with our paraprofessionals sporadically throughout the school year for professional development and before

Educational Partner(s)	Process for Engagement
	and after school programming to discuss the importance of how the LCAP drives decisions that are made at the school.
SELPA	McGill is huge on ensuring we remain compliant when providing services to students with IEP's. We have ongoing communication with our Special Education teacher, School Psychologist, School Counselor, Occupational Therapist, Speech Therapist, and SELPA representative Jenny Moore. Decisions on staffing also derive from the LCAP.
Board Members	McGill strives to keep the Board members apprised of the creation, implementation and outcomes of the LCAP. Supplement & LCAP Mid-year Progress Review February 15, 2024; LCAP public hearing held May 16, 2024

A description of how the adopted LCAP was influenced by the feedback provided by educational partners.

- Teachers: Inclusion of social science in the English/Language Arts achievement goal
- Board of Directors: Addition of the action for music theory/instrument instruction

Goals and Actions

Goal

Goal #	Description	Type of Goal
1	Ensure the instructional program supports McGill scholars in demonstrating annual growth in language arts and social science as measured by both local and state-mandated assessments.	Focus Goal

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Priority 1: Basic (Conditions of Learning)
Priority 2: State Standards (Conditions of Learning)
Priority 4: Pupil Achievement (Pupil Outcomes)
Priority 7: Course Access (Conditions of Learning)
Priority 8: Other Pupil Outcomes (Pupil Outcomes)

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

This is an ongoing ELA-focused goal for McGill. Considering the impacts of the on-going pandemic and the school closures from Spring 2020 to Fall 2021, the MSS community expressed concerns over student growth in ELA based on learning loss.The inclusion of social science in this goal is to allow students to apply the skills acquired in language arts to the study of history/social sciences. The integration of the two subjects allows for cross-curricular projects, and will improve students' reading and writing skills.

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
1.1	ELA- All students will be proficient to at least 50% in Renaissance literacy	Renaissance STAR Reading proficiency average 36.6% in 2023-2024 \ For gradesTK- K, 1, and 2 out of 75 students who tested 28 students (37%) tested at or above 50 percentile rank	Renaissance STAR Reading proficiency average 34.6% in 2024-2025 For gradesTK- K, 1, and 2 out of 42 students who tested 16 students (38.10%) tested at or above 50 percentile rank	Renaissance STAR proficiency average 26% 2025-2026 For grades TK K, 1, 2 out of 38 who tested 10 for STAR reading (26.32%) tested at or above 50 percentile rank For grades 3,4, and 5 out of 25		

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
		For grades 3,4, and 5 out of 52 students who tested for STAR reading 10 students (19%) tested at or above 50 percentile rank	For grades 3,4, and 5 out of 41 students who tested for STAR reading 16 students (39.01%) tested at or above 50 percentile rank	students who tested for STAR reading 10 students (40%) tested at or above 50 percentile rank		
1.2	ELA- All 3rd-5th graders will reach 35% proficiency in CAASPP	SBAC English Language Arts 2023-24 (Source: CDE CAASPP Website) Group Met/ Exceed School TBD English Learners SWD	SBAC English Language Arts 2024-25 (Source: CDE CAASPP Website) Group School 18.36% Met or Exceed English Learners 4.5% Standard Met SWD 25.72% Standard Met SED 38.23% Standard Met Latino 38.84% Standard Met	SBAC English Language Arts 2025-26 (Source: CDE CAASPP Website) Group Did not Meet School tbd English Learners tbd SWD tbd		
1.3	100% of students have access to ELA support during independent learning and enrichment during the After School program	Students access ELA support during daily independent learning/academic enrichment time during After School program	Students access ELA support during daily independent learning/academic enrichment time during After School program	Students access ELA support during daily independent learning/academic enrichment time during After School program		

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
1.4	Summer Academy provided by ASES and ELOP extended learning opportunities	Students will have the opportunity to accelerate their learning in a month long of intensive ELA and Math curriculum plus fun and physical activities	Students will continue to have the opportunity to accelerate their learning in a month long Summer Academy of intensive ELA and Math curriculum plus fun and physical activities	Students will continue to have the opportunity to accelerate their learning in a month long Summer Academy of intensive ELA and Math curriculum plus fun and physical activities		

Goal Analysis [2025-26]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

Enhancements to English Language Arts (ELA) Instruction and Student Progress
All initiatives discussed below were implemented during the 2025-26 academic year to bolster English Language Arts (ELA) instruction. Our support staff collaborated directly with credentialed teachers to deliver differentiated ELA instruction. This included one-on-one and small-group sessions, as well as leveraging technology to incorporate diverse teaching strategies aimed at achieving student learning goals. Classroom teachers also utilized their ELA/ELD skills to ensure all students were progressing towards their ELA objectives.

Data-Driven Instruction and Curriculum Alignment
Administration and teaching staff worked collaboratively to align the ELA curriculum with Common Core standards. Significant emphasis was placed on understanding how student data, derived from both formative and summative assessments, drives and informs instruction. Further efforts were dedicated to creating and sustaining a common academic language across grade levels, specifically in relation to the BenchMark curriculum used for ELA instruction.

Supplemental Programs and Student Growth
Teachers and support staff continue to utilize supplemental digital programs such as Lexia, Raz-Kids, Mystery Writing and Reading Plus to enhance overall reading skills for all students. While our STAR Renaissance reading scores did not meet higher targets, the data did indicate that students made progress toward achieving a 50% Student Growth Percentile (SGP).

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

The school hired a part time counselor. The school did not have to spend on adding additional chrome books.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

Leveraging small-group instruction, targeted Lexia independent work, and DIBELS assessments successfully accelerated student reading growth and improved overall literacy levels.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

No changes were made to the goals, metric, target outcomes, or actions.

A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.

Actions

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
1.1	Fully Credentialed Teachers	Salaries and benefits for the following certificated teaching positions: - 5 Teachers (TK-Grade 5) (00) - Special Education Teacher (65) - Counseling & Mental Health Support (00,SpecEd Mental Health)	$286,308.80	Yes
1.2	ELA Instructional Aides	Instructional aides are assigned to the classrooms on a rotational basis for ELA instructional support. These aides will focus on providing small group and individual support in developing reading fluency, developing sight vocabulary, and blending/decoding as needed.	$180,998.57	No
1.3	Software Applications to Support ELA	RazzKids (K-2), ReadingPLUS (3-5) Lexia (20 licenses)(4410)	$3,932.00	Yes
1.4	Targeted Reading Intervention	Teachers will work with individual students and small groups to develop phonemic awareness, decoding skills, blending, and reading	$2,414.17	Yes

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
		comprehension skills covering students in grades K-5. Assessments of student progress are administered three times per year.		
1.5	Administrative Staff & Leadership Support	Salaries and benefits for the following position(s): - CEO/Executive Director - English Learner Coordinator Additionally there will be hours for other administrative duties to be completed by the Administrative designee (stipend) (OO General Fund)	$264,548.41	Yes
1.6	Common Core Instructional Materials	Continued implementation of state standards in the following core areas which includes textbooks and consumables: - English/Language Arts - Expressions, consumables for Benchmark Advanced (4100) - Mathematics - consumables for GO Math (4100) - Science - uses Project Lead the Way curriculum and module kits - Social Studies (4100)	$7,000.00	No
1.7	Enrichment Materials	Physical Education - recreation balls, track/field games, cones, jump ropes, soccer goals, basketball nets Music: Purchase of instruments respond here (drums, keyboards, guitars, microphones, sound system, and boom whackers) Art: Materials for projects including paper, markers, paints, painting kits, colored pencils, scissors, glue, etc. (4315)	$5,000.00	No
1.8	Technology - Instructional	Classroom technology for impactful and engaging instruction: - Promethean Board application for MacOS (subscription?) - HDMI cables - Document Cameras - In-classroom printers - Teacher laptops - Administrator laptops (4300,4400)	$40,000.00	No

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
1.9	Technology - Students	Purchasing replacement technology for student use: - Chromebooks (4430)	$4,000.00	Yes
1.10	Professional Development	Partnership with San Diego County Office of Education and/or University partner on the following topics: - CA EL Roadmap & Project GLAD - Write 101 Writing Redesigned for Innovative Teaching and Equity - Universal Design for Learning - Building a data culture to support all learners - Positive classroom climate - Instructional planning for diverse learners - Special Education accommodations in the general education classroom - Collaborative planning (inclusion ELD, Sped) - Positive Behavior Intervention Supports (PBIS) - Restorative Justice Practices (5210)	$29,200.76	Yes
1.11	System of Local Assessments and Data Management System	MSS uses PowerSchool as the SIS and data management system (5300) Local assessment system is STAR Renaissance (4410) ? English/Language Arts (Grades 1-5) ? Mathematics (Grades 1-5)	$6,615.76	Yes
1.12	Special Education Supports & Services	SDCOE SELPA fees, services to Students with Disabilities including, but not limited to Response to Intervention strategies, tutoring for SpEd, services provided by external providers	$215,075.48	No
1.13	Kinder Summer Readiness	3 Days of School-Readiness for incoming Ks in August prior to the opening of school. (2600)	$2,500.00	No
1.14	Summer School	Four-week summer program based on teacher recommendation for at-promise students who would benefit most from the Daily four-hour program focused on reading, writing, and math (6010)	$157,178.00	Yes

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing

Goals and Actions

Goal

Goal #	Description	Type of Goal
2	Ensure the instructional program supports McGill scholars in demonstrating annual growth in mathematics and science as measured by both local and state-mandated assessments.	Focus Goal

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Priority 4: Pupil Achievement (Pupil Outcomes)
Priority 8: Other Pupil Outcomes (Pupil Outcomes)

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Target long-term STEM learning loss stemming from the 2020–2021 school closures by establishing an integrated Math and Science curriculum. By leveraging cross-curricular projects, MSS will provide students with authentic opportunities to apply mathematical reasoning to scientific contexts, driving measurable academic growth in both subject areas.

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
2.1	Math- All students will be proficient to at least 50% in Renaissance math	Renaissance STAR Math proficiency averages Grades 1-5 87 students tested 49 students were 56% at or above 50 percentile rank	Renaissance STAR Math proficiency averages Grades 1-5 81students tested 18 students were 22% at or above 50 percentile rank	Renaissance STAR Math proficiency averages 2025-2026 Grades 1-5 72 students tested 4 students were (6%) at or above 50 percentile rank		
2.2	Math- All 3rd-5th graders will reach 30% proficiency in CAASPP	SBAC Mathematics 2023-24 (Source: CDE CAASPP Website)	SBAC Mathematics 2024-25 (Source: CDE CAASPP Website)	SBAC Mathematics 2025-2026(Source: CDE CAASPP Website)		

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
		Group Met/ Exceed School TBD English Learners Latino SED SWD	Group School 18.36 Met or Exceed English Learners 13.64% Met or Exceed Latino 18.75% Met or Exceed SED 19.51% Met or Exceed SWD 25.71% Met or Exceed	Group Met/ Exceed School TBD English Learners TBD Latino TBD SED TBD SWD TBD		
2.3	CAST Science – Grade 5	2023-24 CA Science Test (CAST) (Source: CDE CAASPP 2019) Group Nearly Met Met/ Exceed School TBD English Learner SED Latino	2024-25 CA Science Test (CAST) (Source: CDE CAASPP 2019) Group Nearly Met Met/ Exceed School TBD English Learner SED Latino	2025-26 CA Science Test (CAST) (Source: CDE CAASPP 2019) Group Nearly Met Met/ Exceed School TBD English Learner SED Latino		
2.4	100% of students have access to Math support during independent learning and enrichment during the After School program	Students have access to Math support during daily independent learning/academic enrichment time during the After School program	Students have access to Math support during daily independent learning/academic enrichment time during the After School program	Students have access to Math support during daily independent learning/academic enrichment time during the After School program		

Goal Analysis [2025-26]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

Enhancements to Mathematics Instruction and Student Growth

Our support staff played a crucial role this year, collaborating directly with credentialed teachers to deliver differentiated math instruction. This included one-on-one and small-group instruction, as well as the strategic use of technology to incorporate diverse teaching strategies and elements, ultimately aiming to achieve student math learning goals.

Classroom teachers have also fully implemented the new Illustrative Math Curriculum with fidelity. Both initial and ongoing professional development proved vital in equipping teachers with the necessary tools and strategies for successful daily lesson implementation. Teachers further leveraged their Common Core Math skills to ensure all students were progressing towards their math objectives.

Data-Driven Instruction and Curriculum Alignment
Administration and teaching staff worked collaboratively to align the Math curriculum with Common Core standards. A strong emphasis was placed on understanding how student data, derived from both formative and summative assessments, effectively drives and informs instruction. To ensure consistency across grade levels, a common academic language was established and implemented, specifically in relation to the BenchMark curriculum used for math instruction.
For additional support in mathematics, we utilize software applications such as Math Shelf and Levered.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

The main difference is due to Goal & Action 2.2 not having as many expenses as expected.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

Implementing small-group instruction during the math block successfully accelerated student comprehension of core Illustrative Math concepts and academic vocabulary.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

None changes were made.

A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.

Actions

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
2.1	Targeted Math Intervention	The grade level teachers will work with small groups of students as well as individual students to assist with development of math skills and comprehension of language-based math problems and to implement the new Math Illustrative Math Framework curriculum. The expectation is that this level of targeted support will address student gaps in math skills, while concurrently providing a bridge to grade-level math standards that are aligned to the common core standards.	$0.00	Yes
2.2	Math Instructional Aides	Instructional aides will be assigned on a rotational basis to the classrooms for Math instructional support. These aides focus on providing small group and individual support to students who need additional support with foundational math skills.	$0.00	
2.3	Software/applications for Support of Math	Math Shelf (TK-K-1), ALEKS/Levered (2-5), (4410)	$5,833.00	No
2.4	Project Lead the Way (PLTW)	Grade level teachers will expose early elementary scholars to science through project-based learning where they apply standards to real-life situations in design and investigation.	$0.00	No
2.5	Facilities	Facility lease and maintenance. (5600-5601)	$201,309.00	No

Goals and Actions

Goal

Goal #	Description	Type of Goal
3	Ensure the instructional program supports McGill's English learner and redesignated scholars in demonstrating annual growth in their acquisition and mastery of English to support their achievement across the curriculum as measured by both local and state-mandated assessments.	Focus Goal

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Priority 4: Pupil Achievement (Pupil Outcomes)
Priority 8: Other Pupil Outcomes (Pupil Outcomes)

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

As McGill shifts to become a data-informed program, we recognize the need to focus on the effects of the pandemic on our English learners in acquiring English skills. Examination of the available state data from SBAC and ELPAC, it has become clear that this student group has experienced learning loss, placing more of them at-risk of becoming Long-Term English Learners. Furthermore, we need to also dedicate time and resources to ensure the ELs we have redesignated continue to master standards and skills in both English and mathematics.

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
3.1	Will continue annual growth targets (for enrolled ELL's) to advance at least 1 Proficiency level on ELPAC per year	Summative ELPAC 2023-24 (Source: CDE ELPAC Results website) Proficient Level 3 Level 2 Level 1 McGill has begun to measure annual growth targets (for enrolled ELL's) to advance at least 1 Proficiency level	Summative ELPAC 2024-25 (Source: CDE ELPAC Results website) Proficient Level 3 35.42% Level 2 45.83% Level 1 12.50% McGill has begun to measure annual growth targets (for enrolled ELL's) to advance at least 1 Proficiency level	Summative ELPAC 2025-26 (Source: CDE ELPAC Results website) Proficient Level 3 Level 2 Level 1 McGill has begun to measure annual growth targets (for enrolled ELL's) to advance at least 1 Proficiency level		

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
		on ELPAC per year (TBD)	on ELPAC per year (TBD)	on ELPAC per year (TBD)		
3.2	CA School Dashboard English Learner Progress Indicator	2023 English Learner Progress Indicator (Source: CA School Dashboard 2023) 36.6% Making progress towards English language proficiency Performance Level: "Low"	2024 English Learner Progress Indicator (Source: CA School Dashboard 2024) 66.7% Making progress towards English language proficiency Performance Level: "very high"	2025 English Learner Progress Indicator (Source: CA School Dashboard 2025) TBD		
3.3	Annual Cohort Decrease of "At-Risk" and Long-Term English Learners in grades 3-5	"At-Risk" &* Long-Term English Learners 2022-23 (Source: CDE DataQuest 2022-23) Grade EL 0-3 Years At-Risk 4-5 Years Gr. 2 87.5% 0% Gr. 3 50% 50% Gr. 4 10% 40% Gr. 5 0% 30%	"At-Risk" &* Long-Term English Learners 2024-25 (Source: CDE DataQuest 2023-24) Grade EL 0-3 Years At-Risk 4-5 Years Gr. 2 87.5% 0% Gr. 3 50% 50% Gr. 4 10% 40% Gr. 5 0% 30%	"At-Risk" &* Long-Term English Learners 2025-26 Grade EL 0-3. At Risk 4-5 years Gr. 2 9.09% Gr. 3. 11.09%. Gr. 4 5.54 % Gr. 5 22.72%		
3.4	English Learner Reclassification Rate	Current English Learner 79.3 points below standard Declined 13.1 Points Number of Students: 21	Current English Learner9.3 points below standard Declined 13.1 Points	Current English Learner. TBD		

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
		Recently Reclassified English Learners Less than 11 students - data not displayed for privacy Number of Students: 2 English Only 58.8 points below standard Declined 45 Points Number of Students: 16	Number of Students: 3 Recently Reclassified English LearnersLess than 2 students - data not displayed for privacy Number of Students: 2 English Only58.8 points below standard Increased 30.1% Points Number of Students: 23			
3.5	English Learner English Language Arts Performance CAASPP & CA School Dashboard					
3.6	English Learner Mathematics Performance CAASPP & CA School Dashboard	Current English Learners 90 points below standard Declined 10.8 Points Number of Students: 20 Recently Reclassified English Learners Less than 11 students - data not displayed for privacy Number of Students: 2	Current English Learners TBD points below standard Increased 30.1% Points Number of Students: 23 Recently Reclassified English Learners 3	Current English Learners TBD points below standard		

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
		English Only 48.6 points below standard Declined 16.6 Points Number of Students: 16	Less than 2 students - data not displayed for privacy Number of Students: 2 English Only 33.3 points below standard Declined 0 Points Number of Students: 23			
3.7	100% of ELL students have access to ELD support during independent learning and enrichment during the Before and After School program	ELL students have access to daily ELD independent practice and twice a week small group or individual ELD instruction with a teacher possessing a current Bilingual, Crosscultural, Language and Academic Development (BCLAD) credential	ELL students have access to daily ELD independent practice and twice a week small group or individual ELD instruction with a teacher possessing a current Bilingual, Crosscultural, Language and Academic Development (BCLAD) credential	ELL students have access to daily ELD independent practice and twice a week small group or individual ELD instruction		

Goal Analysis [2025-26]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

Reclassification and ELD Program Enhancements

Parents of English Language Advisory Committee (ELAC) members expressed significant concerns regarding the lack of reclassified Fluent English Speakers in the previous academic year. They emphasized their understanding of the critical opportunities, such as access to electives in middle and high school, that reclassification provides.

In response, our school has taken proactive steps to address the academic needs of our English Language Development (ELD) students. The ELD coordinator collaborated closely with the administration to secure a dedicated and responsible ELD support staff. Furthermore, comprehensive training was provided to ensure all aspects of working with ELD students were effectively implemented, from accurate record-keeping to consistent support frequency, thereby ensuring compliance.
A key initiative involved the ELD paraprofessional working directly with grade-level teachers to identify and provide small-group academic enrichment for students requiring English Language Arts (ELA) support. Our internal data indicates positive growth for ELD students in both ELA and Math. Additionally, McGill provided professional development at the beginning of the year to all teachers and paraprofessionals working with ELD students, focusing on best practices to accelerate their growth.
Our success for this year was that seven English Learners were reclassified out of a total forty two students (17%). We continue to make progress in our reclassification process.

Future Goals and Strategic Planning
McGill remains committed to advancing the language proficiency of our English Language Learners (ELLs). We will continue to set annual growth targets, aiming for at least one proficiency level advancement on the ELPAC per year for all enrolled ELLs.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

The main difference is due to not having as many epenses as expected for Goasl and Actions 3.4, 3.5 and 3.6.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

Our 2025–2026 parent involvement activities—held both during and after school hours throughout the year—successfully fostered a safe, inclusive, and culturally responsive environment for McGill families.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

No changes were made.

A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year’s actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year’s actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.

Actions

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
3.1	Designated ELD	Designated English Language Development for both language arts and mathematics to assist English learners in their acquisition of English and access to grade-level content standards. All teachers possess BCLAD credentials to provide needed scaffolds for instruction based on student levels. This instruction is paid for from Title I funds based on a portion of the instructional day which is dedicated to ELD instruction, and the funding is captured in Goal 1 Certificated salaries.	$35,732.60	Yes
3.2	English Learner-focused PD	Provide all staff with on-going professional development to address the specific needs of the English learner population as we prepare scholars for redesignation, and monitor progress post-redesignation.	$7,000.00	Yes
3.3	Software/applications for Support of English Learners	Subscribe to software programs suited to student language development level to assist with acquisition of English language (e.g., Lexia, Rosetta Stone) (4410)	$5,833.00	
3.4	Parent Engagement Activities	Parent meetings, parent conferences, cultural events/celebrations, family engagement events (5210)	$900.00	No
3.5	Student Incentives & Recognitions	ATTENDance, Student Leadership Awards (5812) Purchase of prizes, certificates, trophies, and medals. (5812)	$500.00	No
3.6	Support for Regular Attendance	Thorough teacher attendance record keeping. Front office attendance clerk keeping and reporting attendance records. Referral to school counselor. Teacher calls parents and parent conferences. Home visits by counselor and family liaison.	$300.00	No
3.7	Music Theory and Instrument Instruction	Students will receive music instruction 3 times a week where they will learn an instrument, learn music theory, and history of music. This is a unique	$5,000.00	Yes

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
		feature and commitment by McGill provided to introduce students to the arts, and a crucial component of re-engaging our students to maintain regular attendance. It has tremendous benefit to the students as it reinforces skills in writing and mathematics.		
3.8	After School Programming - Extended Learning Opportunities Program & ASES	Using state and federal resources available for after school programming, McGill will provide a range of enrichment opportunities including Chinese/Spanish, music, chess, ballet, dance, physical education activities, and drama/theater, as well as academic supports such as targeted math tutoring and support in other core academic areas. The ASES and Expanded Learning Opportunities Program funding will be blended and coordinated to ensure state/federal program requirements are met.	$239,512.00	No

Goals and Actions

Goal

Goal #	Description	Type of Goal
4		

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline

Goal Analysis [2025-26]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.

Actions

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing

Goals and Actions

Goal

Goal #	Description	Type of Goal
5		

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline

Goal Analysis [2025-26]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.

Actions

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing

Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students [2026-27]

Total Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants	Projected Additional 15 percent LCFF Concentration Grant
$$200,163	$8,309

Required Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the LCAP Year

Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year	LCFF Carryover — Percentage	LCFF Carryover — Dollar	Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year
15.580%	0.000%	$0.00	15.580%

The Budgeted Expenditures for Actions identified as Contributing may be found in the Contributing Actions Table.

Required Descriptions

LEA-wide and Schoolwide Actions

For each action being provided to an entire LEA or school, provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s) and why it is being provided on an LEA or schoolwide basis, and (3) the metric(s) used to measure the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s).

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
1.1	**Action:** Fully Credentialed Teachers **Need:** **Scope:** LEA-wide		
1.3	**Action:**		

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
	Software Applications to Support ELA **Need:** **Scope:** LEA-wide		
1.4	**Action:** Targeted Reading Intervention **Need:** **Scope:** LEA-wide		
1.5	**Action:** Administrative Staff & Leadership Support **Need:** **Scope:** LEA-wide		
1.9	**Action:** Technology - Students **Need:** **Scope:**		

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
	LEA-wide		
2.1	**Action:** Targeted Math Intervention **Need:** **Scope:** LEA-wide		
3.7	**Action:** Music Theory and Instrument Instruction **Need:** **Scope:** LEA-wide		

Limited Actions

For each action being solely provided to one or more unduplicated student group(s), provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s), and (3) how the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s) will be measured.

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) are Designed to Address Need(s)	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
1.10	**Action:** Professional Development **Need:**		

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) are Designed to Address Need(s)	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
	Scope: Limited to Unduplicated Student Group(s)		
1.11	**Action:** System of Local Assessments and Data Management System **Need:** **Scope:** Limited to Unduplicated Student Group(s)		
1.14	**Action:** Summer School **Need:** **Scope:** Limited to Unduplicated Student Group(s)		
3.1	**Action:** Designated ELD **Need:** **Scope:** Limited to Unduplicated Student Group(s)		
3.2	**Action:** English Learner-focused PD		

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) are Designed to Address Need(s)	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
	Need: **Scope:** Limited to Unduplicated Student Group(s)		

For any limited action contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement that is associated with a Planned Percentage of Improved Services in the Contributing Summary Table rather than an expenditure of LCFF funds, describe the methodology that was used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage, as applicable.

Additional Concentration Grant Funding

A description of the plan for how the additional concentration grant add-on funding identified above will be used to increase the number of staff providing direct services to students at schools that have a high concentration (above 55 percent) of foster youth, English learners, and low-income students, as applicable.

The plan for the additional concentration grant add-on funding is as follows; each teacher will be allotted a teacher's assistant to assist with individual, small group, and whole class academic supports for our English learners, low- income students and foster youth as applicable on a rotational basis. Classroom/school materials, supplies, and the necessary technology will also be purchased with the additional concentration grant. It will also give us the opportunity to continue to fund our parent liaison personnel who will provide essential services and resources to these groups. The allotted funds will also give us the opportunity to provide professional development to our staff who provide common core instruction and who need the assistance with differentiated instruction for the identified groups.

Staff-to-student ratios by type of school and concentration of unduplicated students	Schools with a student concentration of 55 percent or less	Schools with a student concentration of greater than 55 percent
Staff-to-student ratio of classified staff providing direct services to students		1:10
Staff-to-student ratio of certificated staff providing direct services to students		1:12

2026-27 Total Planned Expenditures Table

LCAP Year	1. Projected LCFF Base Grant (Input Dollar Amount)	2. Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (Input Dollar Amount)	3. Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (2 divided by 1)	LCFF Carryover — Percentage (Input Percentage from Prior Year)	Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (3 + Carryover %)
Totals	$1,284,774	$200,163	15.580%	0.000%	15.580%

Totals	LCFF Funds	Other State Funds	Local Funds	Federal Funds	Total Funds	Total Personnel	Total Non-personnel
Totals	$933,108.73	$732,487.48	$0.00	$19,673.00	$1,706,691.55	$1,111,068.38	$595,623.17

Goal #	Action #	Action Title	Student Group(s)	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Scope	Unduplicated Student Group(s)	Location	Time Span	Total Personnel	Total Non-personnel	LCFF Funds	Other State Funds	Local Funds	Federal Funds	Total Funds	Planned Percentage of Improved Services
1	1.1	Fully Credentialed Teachers	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Specific Schools: Chancellor William McGill School of Success		$286,308.80	$0.00	$286,308.80				$286,308.80	
1	1.2	ELA Instructional Aides	All	No			Specific Schools: Chancellor William McGill School of Success		$180,998.57	$0.00	$180,998.57				$180,998.57	
1	1.3	Software Applications to Support ELA	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Specific Schools: Chancellor William McGill School of Success		$0.00	$3,932.00	$3,932.00				$3,932.00	
1	1.4	Targeted Reading Intervention	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Specific Schools: Chancellor William McGill School of Success		$0.00	$2,414.17	$2,414.17				$2,414.17	
1	1.5	Administrative Staff & Leadership Support	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Specific Schools: Chancellor William McGill School of Success		$264,548.41	$0.00	$264,548.41				$264,548.41	
1	1.6	Common Core Instructional Materials	All	No					$0.00	$7,000.00	$7,000.00				$7,000.00	
1	1.7	Enrichment Materials	All	No					$0.00	$5,000.00	$5,000.00				$5,000.00	

Goal #	Action #	Action Title	Student Group(s)	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Scope	Unduplicated Student Group(s)	Location	Time Span	Total Personnel	Total Non-personnel	LCFF Funds	Other State Funds	Local Funds	Federal Funds	Total Funds	Planned Percentage of Improved Services
1	1.8	Technology - Instructional	All	No					$0.00	$40,000.00	$40,000.00				$40,000.00	
1	1.9	Technology - Students	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Specific Schools: Chancellor William McGill School of Success		$0.00	$4,000.00	$4,000.00				$4,000.00	
1	1.10	Professional Development		Yes	Limited to Unduplicated Student Group(s)		Specific Schools: Chancellor William McGill School of Success		$0.00	$29,200.76	$24,897.76			$4,303.00	$29,200.76	
1	1.11	System of Local Assessments and Data Management System		Yes	Limited to Unduplicated Student Group(s)		Specific Schools: Chancellor William McGill School of Success		$0.00	$6,615.76	$6,615.76				$6,615.76	
1	1.12	Special Education Supports & Services	All	No					$103,968.00	$111,107.48		$199,705.48		$15,370.00	$215,075.48	
1	1.13	Kinder Summer Readiness	All	No					$0.00	$2,500.00		$2,500.00			$2,500.00	
1	1.14	Summer School	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	Limited to Unduplicated Student Group(s)	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Specific Schools: Chancellor William McGill School of Success		$0.00	$157,178.00		$157,178.00			$157,178.00	
2	2.1	Targeted Math Intervention		Yes	LEA-wide		Specific Schools: Chancellor William McGill School of Success		$0.00	$0.00	$0.00				$0.00	
2	2.2	Math Instructional Aides					Specific Schools: Chancellor William McGill School of Success		$0.00	$0.00	$0.00				$0.00	
2	2.3	Software/applications for Support of Math	All	No					$0.00	$5,833.00	$5,833.00				$5,833.00	
2	2.4	Project Lead the Way (PLTW)	All	No					$0.00	$0.00	$0.00				$0.00	

Goal #	Action #	Action Title	Student Group(s)	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Scope	Unduplicated Student Group(s)	Location	Time Span	Total Personnel	Total Non-personnel	LCFF Funds	Other State Funds	Local Funds	Federal Funds	Total Funds	Planned Percentage of Improved Services
2	**2.5**	Facilities	All	No					$0.00	$201,309.00	$72,717.00	$128,592.00			$201,309.00	
3	**3.1**	Designated ELD	English Learners	Yes	Limited to Unduplicated Student Group(s)	English Learners	Specific Schools: Chancellor William McGill School of Success		$35,732.60	$0.00	$35,732.60				$35,732.60	
3	**3.2**	English Learner-focused PD	English Learners	Yes	Limited to Unduplicated Student Group(s)	English Learners	Specific Schools: Chancellor William McGill School of Success		$0.00	$7,000.00	$7,000.00				$7,000.00	
3	**3.3**	Software/applications for Support of English Learners							$0.00	$5,833.00	$5,833.00				$5,833.00	
3	**3.4**	Parent Engagement Activities	All	No					$0.00	$900.00	$900.00				$900.00	
3	**3.5**	Student Incentives & Recognitions	All	No					$0.00	$500.00	$500.00				$500.00	
3	**3.6**	Support for Regular Attendance	All	No					$0.00	$300.00	$300.00				$300.00	
3	**3.7**	Music Theory and Instrument Instruction		Yes	LEA-wide		Specific Schools: Chancellor William McGill School of Success		$0.00	$5,000.00		$5,000.00			$5,000.00	
3	**3.8**	After School Programming - Extended Learning Opportunities Program & ASES	All	No					$239,512.00	$0.00		$239,512.00			$239,512.00	

2026-27 Contributing Actions Table

1. Projected LCFF Base Grant	2. Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants	3. Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (2 divided by 1)	LCFF Carryover — Percentage (Percentage from Prior Year)	Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (3 + Carryover %)	4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)	5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)	Planned Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (4 divided by 1, plus 5)	Totals by Type	Total LCFF Funds
$1,284,774	$200,163	15.580%	0.000%	15.580%	$635,449.50	0.000%	49.460 %	**Total:**	$635,449.50
								LEA-wide Total:	$561,203.38
								Limited Total:	$74,246.12
								Schoolwide Total:	$0.00

Goal	Action #	Action Title	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Scope	Unduplicated Student Group(s)	Location	Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)
1	**1.1**	Fully Credentialed Teachers	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Specific Schools: Chancellor William McGill School of Success	$286,308.80	
1	**1.2**	ELA Instructional Aides				Specific Schools: Chancellor William McGill School of Success	$180,998.57	
1	**1.3**	Software Applications to Support ELA	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Specific Schools: Chancellor William McGill School of Success	$3,932.00	
1	**1.4**	Targeted Reading Intervention	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Specific Schools: Chancellor William McGill School of Success	$2,414.17	
1	**1.5**	Administrative Staff & Leadership Support	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Specific Schools: Chancellor William McGill School of Success	$264,548.41	

Goal	Action #	Action Title	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Scope	Unduplicated Student Group(s)	Location	Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)
1	1.9	Technology - Students	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Specific Schools: Chancellor William McGill School of Success	$4,000.00	
1	1.10	Professional Development	Yes	Limited to Unduplicated Student Group(s)		Specific Schools: Chancellor William McGill School of Success	$24,897.76	
1	1.11	System of Local Assessments and Data Management System	Yes	Limited to Unduplicated Student Group(s)		Specific Schools: Chancellor William McGill School of Success	$6,615.76	
1	1.14	Summer School	Yes	Limited to Unduplicated Student Group(s)	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Specific Schools: Chancellor William McGill School of Success		
2	2.1	Targeted Math Intervention	Yes	LEA-wide		Specific Schools: Chancellor William McGill School of Success	$0.00	
2	2.2	Math Instructional Aides				Specific Schools: Chancellor William McGill School of Success	$0.00	
3	3.1	Designated ELD	Yes	Limited to Unduplicated Student Group(s)	English Learners	Specific Schools: Chancellor William McGill School of Success	$35,732.60	
3	3.2	English Learner-focused PD	Yes	Limited to Unduplicated Student Group(s)	English Learners	Specific Schools: Chancellor William McGill School of Success	$7,000.00	
3	3.7	Music Theory and Instrument Instruction	Yes	LEA-wide		Specific Schools: Chancellor William McGill School of Success		

2025-26 Annual Update Table

Totals	Last Year's Total Planned Expenditures (Total Funds)	Total Estimated Expenditures (Total Funds)
Totals	$2,038,245.91	$2,038,947.00

Last Year's Goal #	Last Year's Action #	Prior Action/Service Title	Contributed to Increased or Improved Services?	Last Year's Planned Expenditures (Total Funds)	Estimated Actual Expenditures (Input Total Funds)
1	**1.1**	Fully Credentialed Teachers	Yes	$802,086.79	$613,964.78
1	**1.2**	ELA Instructional Aides	Yes	$171,237.49	$220,074.95
1	**1.3**	Software Applications to Support ELA	Yes	$3,932.00	$4,325.20
1	**1.4**	Targeted Reading Intervention	Yes	$2,414.17	$0
1	**1.5**	Administrative Staff & Leadership Support	Yes	$285,833.65	$308,028.69
1	**1.6**	Common Core Instructional Materials	No	$7,000.00	$0
1	**1.7**	Enrichment Materials	No	$5,000.00	$0
1	**1.8**	Technology - Instructional	No	$50,000.00	$19,246.20
1	**1.9**	Technology - Students	Yes	$4,000.00	$0
1	**1.10**	Professional Development	Yes	$29,200.76	$10,894.90
1	**1.11**	System of Local Assessments and Data Management System	Yes	$2,615.76	$5,604.87

Last Year's Goal #	Last Year's Action #	Prior Action/Service Title	Contributed to Increased or Improved Services?	Last Year's Planned Expenditures (Total Funds)	Estimated Actual Expenditures (Input Total Funds)
1	1.12	Special Education Supports & Services	No	$215,968.00	$225,853.80
1	1.13	Kinder Summer Readiness	No	$2,500.00	$0
1	1.14	Summer School	Yes	$0.00	$181,643.00
2	2.1	Targeted Math Intervention	Yes	$0	$0
2	2.2	Math Instructional Aides	Yes	$11,373.29	$0
2	2.3	Software/applications for Support of Math	No	$5,833.00	$3,300
2	2.4	Project Lead the Way (PLTW)	No	$	$43.75
2	2.5	Facilities	No	$192,460.00	$194,797.88
3	3.1	Designated ELD	Yes	$0	$36,342.15
3	3.2	English Learner-focused PD	Yes	$0	$0
3	3.3	Software/applications for Support of English Learners		$5,833.00	$0
3	3.4	Parent Engagement Activities	No	$900.00	$41.37
3	3.5	Student Incentives & Recognitions	No	$7,000.00	$456.34
3	3.6	Support for Regular Attendance	No	$0	$72

Last Year's Goal #	Last Year's Action #	Prior Action/Service Title	Contributed to Increased or Improved Services?	Last Year's Planned Expenditures (Total Funds)	Estimated Actual Expenditures (Input Total Funds)
3	**3.7**	Music Theory and Instrument Instruction	Yes	$19,000.00	$199.12
3	**3.8**	After School Programming - Extended Learning Opportunities Program & ASES	No	$214,058.00	$214,058.00

2025-26 Contributing Actions Annual Update Table

6. Estimated LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (Input Dollar Amount)	4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)	7. Total Estimated Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	Difference Between Planned and Estimated Expenditures for Contributing Actions (Subtract 7 from 4)	5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)	8. Total Estimated Percentage of Improved Services (%)	Difference Between Planned and Estimated Percentage of Improved Services (Subtract 5 from 8)
$205,153	$1,160,114.89	$1,380,807.00	($220,692.11)	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%

Last Year's Goal #	Last Year's Action #	Prior Action/Service Title	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Last Year's Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (Input LCFF Funds)	Planned Percentage of Improved Services	Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (Input Percentage)
1	1.1	Fully Credentialed Teachers	Yes	$701,995.83	$613,695		
1	1.2	ELA Instructional Aides	Yes	$103,372.43	$220,074		
1	1.3	Software Applications to Support ELA	Yes	$3,932.00	$4,326		
1	1.4	Targeted Reading Intervention	Yes	$2,414.17	$0		
1	1.5	Administrative Staff & Leadership Support	Yes	$285,833.65	$308,028		
1	1.9	Technology - Students	Yes	$4,000.00	$0		
1	1.10	Professional Development	Yes	$25,577.76	$10,895		
1	1.11	System of Local Assessments and Data Management System	Yes	$2,615.76	$5,605		
1	1.14	Summer School	Yes	$0	$181,643		
2	2.1	Targeted Math Intervention	Yes	$0	$0		
2	2.2	Math Instructional Aides	Yes	$11,373.29	$0		
3	3.1	Designated ELD	Yes	$0	$36,342		
3	3.2	English Learner-focused PD	Yes	$0	$0		

Last Year's Goal #	Last Year's Action #	Prior Action/Service Title	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Last Year's Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (Input LCFF Funds)	Planned Percentage of Improved Services	Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (Input Percentage)
3	**3.7**	Music Theory and Instrument Instruction	Yes	$19,000	$199		

2025-26 LCFF Carryover Table

9. Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (Input Dollar Amount)	6. Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants	LCFF Carryover — Percentage (Percentage from Prior Year)	10. Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Current School Year (6 divided by 9 + Carryover %)	7. Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	8. Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (%)	11. Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (7 divided by 9, plus 8)	12. LCFF Carryover — Dollar Amount (Subtract 11 from 10 and multiply by 9)	13. LCFF Carryover — Percentage (12 divided by 9)
1,078,411	$205,153	0%	19.024%	$1,380,807.00	0.000%	128.041%	$0.00	0.000%

Local Control and Accountability Plan Instructions

Plan Summary

Engaging Educational Partners

Goals and Actions

Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students

For additional questions or technical assistance related to the completion of the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) template, please contact the local county office of education (COE), or the California Department of Education's (CDE's) Local Agency Systems Support Office, by phone at 916-319-0809 or by email at LCFF@cde.ca.gov.

Introduction and Instructions

The Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) requires local educational agencies (LEAs) to engage their local educational partners in an annual planning process to evaluate their progress within eight state priority areas encompassing all statutory metrics (COEs have 10 state priorities). LEAs document the results of this planning process in the LCAP using the template adopted by the State Board of Education.

The LCAP development process serves three distinct, but related functions:

- **Comprehensive Strategic Planning:** The process of developing and annually updating the LCAP supports comprehensive strategic planning, particularly to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the California School Dashboard (California Education Code [EC] Section 52064[e][1]). Strategic planning that is comprehensive connects budgetary decisions to teaching and learning performance data. LEAs should continually evaluate the hard choices they make about the use of limited resources to meet student and community needs to ensure opportunities and outcomes are improved for all students.

- **Meaningful Engagement of Educational Partners:** The LCAP development process should result in an LCAP that reflects decisions made through meaningful engagement (EC Section 52064[e][1]). Local educational partners possess valuable perspectives and insights about an LEA's programs and services. Effective strategic planning will incorporate these perspectives and insights in order to identify potential goals and actions to be included in the LCAP.

- **Accountability and Compliance:** The LCAP serves an important accountability function because the nature of some LCAP template sections require LEAs to show that they have complied with various requirements specified in the LCFF statutes and regulations, most notably:

    - Demonstrating that LEAs are increasing or improving services for foster youth, English learners, including long-term English learners, and low-income students in proportion to the amount of additional funding those students generate under LCFF (EC Section 52064[b][4-6]).

    - Establishing goals, supported by actions and related expenditures, that address the statutory priority areas and statutory metrics (EC sections 52064[b][1] and [2]).

        - **NOTE:** As specified in EC Section 62064(b)(1), the LCAP must provide a description of the annual goals, for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils identified pursuant to EC Section 52052, to be achieved for each of the state priorities. Beginning in 2023–24, EC

Section 52052 identifies long-term English learners as a separate and distinct pupil subgroup with a numerical significance at 15 students.

- Annually reviewing and updating the LCAP to reflect progress toward the goals (EC Section 52064[b][7]).
- Ensuring that all increases attributable to supplemental and concentration grant calculations, including concentration grant add-on funding and/or LCFF carryover, are reflected in the LCAP (EC sections 52064[b][6], [8], and [11]).

The LCAP template, like each LEA's final adopted LCAP, is a document, not a process. LEAs must use the template to memorialize the outcome of their LCAP development process, which must: (a) reflect comprehensive strategic planning, particularly to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the California School Dashboard (Dashboard), (b) through meaningful engagement with educational partners that (c) meets legal requirements, as reflected in the final adopted LCAP. The sections included within the LCAP template do not and cannot reflect the full development process, just as the LCAP template itself is not intended as a tool for engaging educational partners.

If a county superintendent of schools has jurisdiction over a single school district, the county board of education and the governing board of the school district may adopt and file for review and approval a single LCAP consistent with the requirements in EC sections 52060, 52062, 52066, 52068, and 52070. The LCAP must clearly articulate to which entity's budget (school district or county superintendent of schools) all budgeted and actual expenditures are aligned.

The revised LCAP template for the 2024–25, 2025–26, and 2026–27 school years reflects statutory changes made through Senate Bill 114 (Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review), Chapter 48, Statutes of 2023 and Senate Bill 153, Chapter 38, Statues of 2024.

At its most basic, the adopted LCAP should attempt to distill not just what the LEA is doing for students in transitional kindergarten through grade twelve (TK–12), but also allow educational partners to understand why, and whether those strategies are leading to improved opportunities and outcomes for students. LEAs are strongly encouraged to use language and a level of detail in their adopted LCAPs intended to be meaningful and accessible for the LEA's diverse educational partners and the broader public.

In developing and finalizing the LCAP for adoption, LEAs are encouraged to keep the following overarching frame at the forefront of the strategic planning and educational partner engagement functions:

> Given present performance across the state priorities and on indicators in the Dashboard, how is the LEA using its budgetary resources to respond to TK–12 student and community needs, and address any performance gaps, including by meeting its obligation to increase or improve services for foster youth, English learners, and low-income students?

LEAs are encouraged to focus on a set of metrics and actions which, based on research, experience, and input gathered from educational partners, the LEA believes will have the biggest impact on behalf of its TK–12 students.

These instructions address the requirements for each section of the LCAP but may include information about effective practices when developing the LCAP and completing the LCAP document. Additionally, the beginning of each template section includes information emphasizing the purpose that section serves.

Plan Summary

Purpose

A well-developed Plan Summary section provides a meaningful context for the LCAP. This section provides information about an LEA's community as well as relevant information about student needs and performance. In order to present a meaningful context for the rest of the LCAP, the content of this section should be clearly and meaningfully related to the content included throughout each subsequent section of the LCAP.

Requirements and Instructions

General Information

A description of the LEA, its schools, and its students in grades transitional kindergarten–12, as applicable to the LEA. LEAs may also provide information about their strategic plan, vision, etc.

Briefly describe the LEA, its schools, and its students in grades TK–12, as applicable to the LEA.

- For example, information about an LEA in terms of geography, enrollment, employment, the number and size of specific schools, recent community challenges, and other such information the LEA may wish to include can enable a reader to more fully understand the LEA's LCAP.
- LEAs may also provide information about their strategic plan, vision, etc.
- As part of this response, identify all schools within the LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funding.

Reflections: Annual Performance

A reflection on annual performance based on a review of the California School Dashboard (Dashboard) and local data.

Reflect on the LEA's annual performance on the Dashboard and local data. This may include both successes and challenges identified by the LEA during the development process.

LEAs are encouraged to highlight how they are addressing the identified needs of student groups, and/or schools within the LCAP as part of this response.

As part of this response, the LEA must identify the following, which will remain unchanged during the three-year LCAP cycle:

- Any school within the LEA that received the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the 2023 Dashboard;
- Any student group within the LEA that received the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the 2023 Dashboard; and/or
- Any student group within a school within the LEA that received the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the 2023 Dashboard.

EC Section 52064.4 requires that an LEA that has unexpended Learning Recovery Emergency Block Grant (LREBG) funds must include one or more actions funded with LREBG funds within the 2026-27, 2026-27 and 2027-28 LCAPs, as applicable to the LEA. To implement the requirements of *EC* Section 52064.4, all LEAs must do the following:

- For the 2025–26, 2026–27, and 2027–28 LCAP years, identify whether or not the LEA has unexpended LREBG funds for the applicable LCAP year.
    - If the LEA has unexpended LREBG funds the LEA must provide the following:
        - The goal and action number for each action that will be funded, either in whole or in part, with LREBG funds; and
        - An explanation of the rationale for selecting each action funded with LREBG funds. This explanation must include:
            - An explanation of how the action is aligned with the allowable uses of funds identified in *EC* Section 32526(c)(2); and
            - An explanation of how the action is expected to address the area(s) of need of students and schools identified in the needs assessment required by *EC* Section 32526(d).
                - For information related to the allowable uses of funds and the required needs assessment, please see the Program Information tab on the LREBG Program Information web page.
            - Actions may be grouped together for purposes of these explanations.
            - The LEA may provide these explanations as part of the action description rather than as part of the Reflections: Annual Performance.
    - If the LEA does not have unexpended LREBG funds, the LEA is not required to conduct the needs assessment required by *EC* Section 32627(d), to provide the information identified above or to include actions funded with LREBG funds within the 2026-27, 2026-27 and 2027-28 LCAPs.

Reflections: Technical Assistance

As applicable, a summary of the work underway as part of technical assistance.

Annually identify the reason(s) the LEA is eligible for or has requested technical assistance consistent with EC sections 47607.3, 52071, 52071.5, 52072, or 52072.5, and provide a summary of the work underway as part of receiving technical assistance. The most common form of this technical assistance is frequently referred to as Differentiated Assistance, however this also includes LEAs that have requested technical assistance from their COE.

- If the LEA is not eligible for or receiving technical assistance, the LEA may respond to this prompt as “Not Applicable.”

Comprehensive Support and Improvement
An LEA with a school or schools identified for comprehensive support and improvement (CSI) under the Every Student Succeeds Act must respond to the following prompts:

Schools Identified

A list of the schools in the LEA that are eligible for comprehensive support and improvement.

- Identify the schools within the LEA that have been identified for CSI.

Support for Identified Schools

A description of how the LEA has or will support its eligible schools in developing comprehensive support and improvement plans.

- Describe how the LEA has or will support the identified schools in developing CSI plans that included a school-level needs assessment, evidence-based interventions, and the identification of any resource inequities to be addressed through the implementation of the CSI plan.

Monitoring and Evaluating Effectiveness

A description of how the LEA will monitor and evaluate the plan to support student and school improvement.

- Describe how the LEA will monitor and evaluate the implementation and effectiveness of the CSI plan to support student and school improvement.

Engaging Educational Partners

Purpose

Significant and purposeful engagement of parents, students, educators, and other educational partners, including those representing the student groups identified by LCFF, is critical to the development of the LCAP and the budget process. Consistent with statute, such engagement should support comprehensive strategic planning, particularly to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the Dashboard, accountability, and improvement across the state priorities and locally identified priorities (EC Section 52064[e][1]). Engagement of educational partners is an ongoing, annual process.

This section is designed to reflect how the engagement of educational partners influenced the decisions reflected in the adopted LCAP. The goal is to allow educational partners that participated in the LCAP development process and the broader public to understand how the LEA engaged educational partners and the impact of that engagement. LEAs are encouraged to keep this goal in the forefront when completing this section.

Requirements

Requirements

School districts and COEs: *EC* Section 52060(g) and *EC* Section 52066(g) specify the educational partners that must be consulted when developing the LCAP:

- Teachers,

- Principals,
- Administrators,
- Other school personnel,
- Local bargaining units of the LEA,
- Parents, and
- Students

A school district or COE receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

Before adopting the LCAP, school districts and COEs must share it with the applicable committees, as identified below under Requirements and Instructions. The superintendent is required by statute to respond in writing to the comments received from these committees. School districts and COEs must also consult with the special education local plan area administrator(s) when developing the LCAP.

Charter schools: *EC* Section 47606.5(d) requires that the following educational partners be consulted with when developing the LCAP:

- Teachers,
- Principals,
- Administrators,
- Other school personnel,
- Parents, and
- Students

A charter school receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at the school generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for the school.

The LCAP should also be shared with, and LEAs should request input from, schoolsite-level advisory groups, as applicable (e.g., schoolsite councils, English Learner Advisory Councils, student advisory groups, etc.), to facilitate alignment between schoolsite and district-level goals. Information and resources that support effective engagement, define student consultation, and provide the requirements for advisory group composition, can be found under Resources on the CDE's LCAP webpage.

Before the governing board/body of an LEA considers the adoption of the LCAP, the LEA must meet the following legal requirements:

- For school districts, see *Education Code* Section 52062;
    - **Note:** Charter schools using the LCAP as the School Plan for Student Achievement must meet the requirements of *EC* Section 52062(a).
- For COEs, see *Education Code* Section 52068; and
- For charter schools, see *Education Code* Section 47606.5.

- **NOTE:** As a reminder, the superintendent of a school district or COE must respond, in writing, to comments received by the applicable committees identified in the *Education Code* sections listed above. This includes the parent advisory committee and may include the English learner parent advisory committee and, as of July 1, 2024, the student advisory committee, as applicable.

Instructions

Respond to the prompts as follows:

A summary of the process used to engage educational partners in the development of the LCAP.

School districts and county offices of education must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, local bargaining units, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

Charter schools must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

Complete the table as follows:

Educational Partners

Identify the applicable educational partner(s) or group(s) that were engaged in the development of the LCAP.

Process for Engagement

Describe the engagement process used by the LEA to involve the identified educational partner(s) in the development of the LCAP. At a minimum, the LEA must describe how it met its obligation to consult with all statutorily required educational partners, as applicable to the type of LEA.

- A sufficient response to this prompt must include general information about the timeline of the process and meetings or other engagement strategies with educational partners. A response may also include information about an LEA's philosophical approach to engaging its educational partners.

- An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also include a summary of how it consulted with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

A description of how the adopted LCAP was influenced by the feedback provided by educational partners.

Describe any goals, metrics, actions, or budgeted expenditures in the LCAP that were influenced by or developed in response to the educational partner feedback.

- A sufficient response to this prompt will provide educational partners and the public with clear, specific information about how the engagement process influenced the development of the LCAP. This may include a description of how the LEA prioritized requests of educational partners within the context of the budgetary resources available or otherwise prioritized areas of focus within the LCAP.

- An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must include a description of how the consultation with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds influenced the development of the adopted LCAP.

- For the purposes of this prompt, this may also include, but is not necessarily limited to:
    - Inclusion of a goal or decision to pursue a Focus Goal (as described below)
    - Inclusion of metrics other than the statutorily required metrics
    - Determination of the target outcome on one or more metrics
    - Inclusion of performance by one or more student groups in the Measuring and Reporting Results subsection
    - Inclusion of action(s) or a group of actions
    - Elimination of action(s) or group of actions
    - Changes to the level of proposed expenditures for one or more actions
    - Inclusion of action(s) as contributing to increased or improved services for unduplicated students
    - Analysis of effectiveness of the specific actions to achieve the goal
    - Analysis of material differences in expenditures
    - Analysis of changes made to a goal for the ensuing LCAP year based on the annual update process
    - Analysis of challenges or successes in the implementation of actions

Goals and Actions

Purpose

Well-developed goals will clearly communicate to educational partners what the LEA plans to accomplish, what the LEA plans to do in order to accomplish the goal, and how the LEA will know when it has accomplished the goal. A goal statement, associated metrics and expected outcomes, and the actions included in the goal must be in alignment. The explanation for why the LEA included a goal is an opportunity for LEAs to clearly communicate to educational partners and the public why, among the various strengths and areas for improvement highlighted by performance data and strategies and actions that could be pursued, the LEA decided to pursue this goal, and the related metrics, expected outcomes, actions, and expenditures.

A well-developed goal can be focused on the performance relative to a metric or metrics for all students, a specific student group(s), narrowing performance gaps, or implementing programs or strategies expected to impact outcomes. LEAs should assess the performance of their student groups when developing goals and the related actions to achieve such goals.

Requirements and Instructions

LEAs should prioritize the goals, specific actions, and related expenditures included within the LCAP within one or more state priorities. LEAs must consider performance on the state and local indicators, including their locally collected and reported data for the local indicators that are included in the Dashboard, in determining whether and how to prioritize its goals within the LCAP. As previously stated, strategic planning that

is comprehensive connects budgetary decisions to teaching and learning performance data. LEAs should continually evaluate the hard choices they make about the use of limited resources to meet student and community needs to ensure opportunities and outcomes are improved for all students, and to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the Dashboard.

In order to support prioritization of goals, the LCAP template provides LEAs with the option of developing three different kinds of goals:

- Focus Goal: A Focus Goal is relatively more concentrated in scope and may focus on a fewer number of metrics to measure improvement. A Focus Goal statement will be time bound and make clear how the goal is to be measured.
    - All Equity Multiplier goals must be developed as focus goals. For additional information, see Required Focus Goal(s) for LEAs Receiving Equity Multiplier Funding below.
- Broad Goal: A Broad Goal is relatively less concentrated in its scope and may focus on improving performance across a wide range of metrics.
- Maintenance of Progress Goal: A Maintenance of Progress Goal includes actions that may be ongoing without significant changes and allows an LEA to track performance on any metrics not addressed in the other goals of the LCAP.

Requirement to Address the LCFF State Priorities

At a minimum, the LCAP must address all LCFF priorities and associated metrics articulated in *EC* sections 52060(d) and 52066(d), as applicable to the LEA. The *LCFF State Priorities Summary* provides a summary of *EC* sections 52060(d) and 52066(d) to aid in the development of the LCAP.

Respond to the following prompts, as applicable:

Focus Goal(s)

Description

The description provided for a Focus Goal must be specific, measurable, and time bound.

- An LEA develops a Focus Goal to address areas of need that may require or benefit from a more specific and data intensive approach.
- The Focus Goal can explicitly reference the metric(s) by which achievement of the goal will be measured and the time frame according to which the LEA expects to achieve the goal.

Type of Goal

Identify the type of goal being implemented as a Focus Goal.

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Explain why the LEA has chosen to prioritize this goal.

- An explanation must be based on Dashboard data or other locally collected data.
- LEAs must describe how the LEA identified this goal for focused attention, including relevant consultation with educational partners.
- LEAs are encouraged to promote transparency and understanding around the decision to pursue a focus goal.

Required Focus Goal(s) for LEAs Receiving Equity Multiplier Funding

Description

LEAs receiving Equity Multiplier funding must include one or more focus goals for each school generating Equity Multiplier funding. In addition to addressing the focus goal requirements described above, LEAs must adhere to the following requirements.

Focus goals for Equity Multiplier schoolsites must address the following:

(A) All student groups that have the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the Dashboard, and

(B) Any underlying issues in the credentialing, subject matter preparation, and retention of the school's educators, if applicable.

- Focus Goals for each and every Equity Multiplier schoolsite must identify specific metrics for each identified student group, as applicable.
- An LEA may create a single goal for multiple Equity Multiplier schoolsites if those schoolsites have the same student group(s) performing at the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the Dashboard or, experience similar issues in the credentialing, subject matter preparation, and retention of the school's educators.
    - When creating a single goal for multiple Equity Multiplier schoolsites, the goal must identify the student groups and the performance levels on the Dashboard that the Focus Goal is addressing; or,
    - The common issues the schoolsites are experiencing in credentialing, subject matter preparation, and retention of the school's educators, if applicable.

Type of Goal

Identify the type of goal being implemented as an Equity Multiplier Focus Goal.

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Explain why the LEA has chosen to prioritize this goal.

- An explanation must be based on Dashboard data or other locally collected data.
- LEAs must describe how the LEA identified this goal for focused attention, including relevant consultation with educational partners.
- LEAs are encouraged to promote transparency and understanding around the decision to pursue a focus goal.
- In addition to this information, the LEA must also identify:
    - The school or schools to which the goal applies

LEAs are encouraged to approach an Equity Multiplier goal from a wholistic standpoint, considering how the goal might maximize student outcomes through the use of LCFF and other funding in addition to Equity Multiplier funds.

- Equity Multiplier funds must be used to supplement, not supplant, funding provided to Equity Multiplier schoolsites for purposes of the LCFF, the Expanded Learning Opportunities Program (ELO-P), the Literacy Coaches and Reading Specialists (LCRS) Grant Program, and/or the California Community Schools Partnership Program (CCSPP).
- This means that Equity Multiplier funds must not be used to replace funding that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to implement LEA-wide actions identified in the LCAP or that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to implement provisions of the ELO-P, the LCRS, and/or the CCSPP.

Note: *EC* Section 42238.024(b)(1) requires that Equity Multiplier funds be used for the provision of evidence-based services and supports for students. Evidence-based services and supports are based on objective evidence that has informed the design of the service or support and/or guides the modification of those services and supports. Evidence-based supports and strategies are most commonly based on educational research and/or metrics of LEA, school, and/or student performance.

Broad Goal

Description

Describe what the LEA plans to achieve through the actions included in the goal.

- The description of a broad goal will be clearly aligned with the expected measurable outcomes included for the goal.

- The goal description organizes the actions and expected outcomes in a cohesive and consistent manner.
- A goal description is specific enough to be measurable in either quantitative or qualitative terms. A broad goal is not as specific as a focus goal. While it is specific enough to be measurable, there are many different metrics for measuring progress toward the goal.

Type of Goal

Identify the type of goal being implemented as a Broad Goal.

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Explain why the LEA developed this goal and how the actions and metrics grouped together will help achieve the goal.

Maintenance of Progress Goal

Description

Describe how the LEA intends to maintain the progress made in the LCFF State Priorities not addressed by the other goals in the LCAP.

- Use this type of goal to address the state priorities and applicable metrics not addressed within the other goals in the LCAP.
- The state priorities and metrics to be addressed in this section are those for which the LEA, in consultation with educational partners, has determined to maintain actions and monitor progress while focusing implementation efforts on the actions covered by other goals in the LCAP.

Type of Goal

Identify the type of goal being implemented as a Maintenance of Progress Goal.

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Explain how the actions will sustain the progress exemplified by the related metrics.

Measuring and Reporting Results:

For each LCAP year, identify the metric(s) that the LEA will use to track progress toward the expected outcomes.

- LEAs must identify metrics for specific student groups, as appropriate, including expected outcomes that address and reduce disparities in outcomes between student groups.

- The metrics may be quantitative or qualitative; but at minimum, an LEA's LCAP must include goals that are measured using all of the applicable metrics for the related state priorities, in each LCAP year, as applicable to the type of LEA.

- To the extent a state priority does not specify one or more metrics (e.g., implementation of state academic content and performance standards), the LEA must identify a metric to use within the LCAP. For these state priorities, LEAs are encouraged to use metrics based on or reported through the relevant local indicator self-reflection tools within the Dashboard.

- **Required metrics for LEA-wide actions:** For each action identified as 1) contributing towards the requirement to increase or improve services for foster youth, English learners, including long-term English learners, and low-income students and 2) being provided on an LEA-wide basis, the LEA must identify one or more metrics to monitor the effectiveness of the action and its budgeted expenditures.

    - These required metrics may be identified within the action description or the first prompt in the increased or improved services section, however the description must clearly identify the metric(s) being used to monitor the effectiveness of the action and the action(s) that the metric(s) apply to.

- **Required metrics for Equity Multiplier goals**: For each Equity Multiplier goal, the LEA must identify:

    - The specific metrics for each identified student group at each specific schoolsite, as applicable, to measure the progress toward the goal, and/or

    - The specific metrics used to measure progress in meeting the goal related to credentialing, subject matter preparation, or educator retention at each specific schoolsite.

- **Required metrics for actions supported by LREBG funds**: To implement the requirements of *EC* Section 52064.4, LEAs with unexpended LREBG funds must include at least one metric to monitor the impact of each action funded with LREBG funds included in the goal.

    - The metrics being used to monitor the impact of each action funded with LREBG funds are not required to be new metrics; they may be metrics that are already being used to measure progress towards goals and actions included in the LCAP.

Complete the table as follows:

Metric #

- Enter the metric number.

Metric

- Identify the standard of measure being used to determine progress towards the goal and/or to measure the effectiveness of one or more actions associated with the goal.

Baseline

- Enter the baseline when completing the LCAP for 2024–25.
    - Use the most recent data associated with the metric available at the time of adoption of the LCAP for the first year of the three-year plan. LEAs may use data as reported on the 2023 Dashboard for the baseline of a metric only if that data represents the most recent available data (e.g., high school graduation rate).
    - Using the most recent data available may involve reviewing data the LEA is preparing for submission to the California Longitudinal Pupil Achievement Data System (CALPADS) or data that the LEA has recently submitted to CALPADS.
    - Indicate the school year to which the baseline data applies.
    - The baseline data must remain unchanged throughout the three-year LCAP.
        - This requirement is not intended to prevent LEAs from revising the baseline data if it is necessary to do so. For example, if an LEA identifies that its data collection practices for a particular metric are leading to inaccurate data and revises its practice to obtain accurate data, it would also be appropriate for the LEA to revise the baseline data to align with the more accurate data process and report its results using the accurate data.
        - If an LEA chooses to revise its baseline data, then, at a minimum, it must clearly identify the change as part of its response to the description of changes prompt in the Goal Analysis for the goal. LEAs are also strongly encouraged to involve their educational partners in the decision of whether or not to revise a baseline and to communicate the proposed change to their educational partners.
    - Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one- or two-year LCAP may identify a new baseline each year, as applicable.

Year 1 Outcome

- When completing the LCAP for 2025–26, enter the most recent data available. Indicate the school year to which the data applies.
    - Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one-year LCAP may provide the Year 1 Outcome when completing the LCAP for both 2025–26 and 2026–27 or may provide the Year 1 Outcome for 2025–26 and provide the Year 2 Outcome for 2026–27.

Year 2 Outcome

- When completing the LCAP for 2026–27, enter the most recent data available. Indicate the school year to which the data applies.

- Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one-year LCAP may identify the Year 2 Outcome as not applicable when completing the LCAP for 2026–27 or may provide the Year 2 Outcome for 2026–27.

Target for Year 3 Outcome

- When completing the first year of the LCAP, enter the target outcome for the relevant metric the LEA expects to achieve by the end of the three-year LCAP cycle.
    - Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one- or two-year LCAP may identify a Target for Year 1 or Target for Year 2, as applicable.

Current Difference from Baseline

- When completing the LCAP for 2025–26 and 2026–27, enter the current difference between the baseline and the yearly outcome, as applicable.
    - Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one- or two-year LCAP will identify the current difference between the baseline and the yearly outcome for Year 1 and/or the current difference between the baseline and the yearly outcome for Year 2, as applicable.

Timeline for school districts and COEs for completing the "**Measuring and Reporting Results**" part of the Goal.

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for **2024–25** or when adding a new metric.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for **2024–25** or when adding a new metric.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for **2025–26**. Leave blank until then.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for **2026–27**. Leave blank until then.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for **2024–25** or when adding a new metric.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for **2025–26** and **2026–27**. Leave blank until then.

Goal Analysis:

Enter the LCAP Year.

Using actual annual measurable outcome data, including data from the Dashboard, analyze whether the planned actions were effective towards achieving the goal. "Effective" means the degree to which the planned actions were successful in producing the target result. Respond to the prompts as instructed.

Note: When completing the 2024–25 LCAP, use the 2023–24 Local Control and Accountability Plan Annual Update template to complete the Goal Analysis and identify the Goal Analysis prompts in the 2024–25 LCAP as "Not Applicable."

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

- Describe the overall implementation of the actions to achieve the articulated goal, including relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.
    - Include a discussion of relevant challenges and successes experienced with the implementation process.
    - This discussion must include any instance where the LEA did not implement a planned action or implemented a planned action in a manner that differs substantively from how it was described in the adopted LCAP.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

- Explain material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and between the Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services, as applicable. Minor variances in expenditures or percentages do not need to be addressed, and a dollar-for-dollar accounting is not required.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

- Describe the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal. “Effectiveness” means the degree to which the actions were successful in producing the target result and “ineffectiveness” means that the actions did not produce any significant or targeted result.
    - In some cases, not all actions in a goal will be intended to improve performance on all of the metrics associated with the goal.
    - When responding to this prompt, LEAs may assess the effectiveness of a single action or group of actions within the goal in the context of performance on a single metric or group of specific metrics within the goal that are applicable to the action(s). Grouping actions with metrics will allow for more robust analysis of whether the strategy the LEA is using to impact a specified set of metrics is working and increase transparency for educational partners. LEAs are encouraged to use such an approach when goals include multiple actions and metrics that are not closely associated.
    - Beginning with the development of the 2024–25 LCAP, the LEA must change actions that have not proven effective over a three-year period.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

- Describe any changes made to this goal, expected outcomes, metrics, or actions to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis and analysis of the data provided in the Dashboard or other local data, as applicable.
    - As noted above, beginning with the development of the 2024–25 LCAP, the LEA must change actions that have not proven effective over a three-year period. For actions that have been identified as ineffective, the LEA must identify the ineffective action and must include a description of the following:

- The reasons for the ineffectiveness, and
- How changes to the action will result in a new or strengthened approach.

Actions:

Complete the table as follows. Add additional rows as necessary.

Action #

- Enter the action number.

Title

- Provide a short title for the action. This title will also appear in the action tables.

Description

- Provide a brief description of the action.
    - For actions that contribute to meeting the increased or improved services requirement, the LEA may include an explanation of how each action is principally directed towards and effective in meeting the LEA's goals for unduplicated students, as described in the instructions for the Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students section.
    - As previously noted, for each action identified as 1) contributing towards the requirement to increase or improve services for foster youth, English learners, including long-term English learners, and low-income students and 2) being provided on an LEA-wide basis, the LEA must identify one or more metrics to monitor the effectiveness of the action and its budgeted expenditures.
    - These required metrics may be identified within the action description or the first prompt in the increased or improved services section; however, the description must clearly identify the metric(s) being used to monitor the effectiveness of the action and the action(s) that the metric(s) apply to.

Total Funds

- Enter the total amount of expenditures associated with this action. Budgeted expenditures from specific fund sources will be provided in the action tables.

Contributing

- Indicate whether the action contributes to meeting the increased or improved services requirement as described in the Increased or Improved Services section using a “Y” for Yes or an “N” for No.
    - **Note:** for each such contributing action, the LEA will need to provide additional information in the Increased or Improved Services section to address the requirements in *California Code of Regulations*, Title 5 [5 *CCR*] Section 15496 in the Increased or Improved Services section of the LCAP.

***Actions for Foster Youth*:** School districts, COEs, and charter schools that have a numerically significant foster youth student subgroup are encouraged to include specific actions in the LCAP designed to meet needs specific to foster youth students.

Required Actions

For English Learners and Long-Term English Learners

- LEAs with 30 or more English learners and/or 15 or more long-term English learners must include specific actions in the LCAP related to, at a minimum:
    - Language acquisition programs, as defined in *EC* Section 306, provided to students, and
    - Professional development for teachers.
    - If an LEA has both 30 or more English learners and 15 or more long-term English learners, the LEA must include actions for both English learners and long-term English learners.

For Technical Assistance

- LEAs eligible for technical assistance pursuant to *EC* sections 47607.3, 52071, 52071.5, 52072, or 52072.5, must include specific actions within the LCAP related to its implementation of the work underway as part of technical assistance. The most common form of this technical assistance is frequently referred to as Differentiated Assistance.

For Lowest Performing Dashboard Indicators

- LEAs that have Red Dashboard indicators for (1) a school within the LEA, (2) a student group within the LEA, and/or (3) a student group within any school within the LEA must include one or more specific actions within the LCAP:
    - The specific action(s) must be directed towards the identified student group(s) and/or school(s) and must address the identified state indicator(s) for which the student group or school received the lowest performance level on the 2023 Dashboard. Each student group and/or school that receives the lowest performance level on the 2023 Dashboard must be addressed by one or more actions.
    - These required actions will be effective for the three-year LCAP cycle.

For LEAs With Unexpended LREBG Funds

- To implement the requirements of *EC* Section 52064.4, LEAs with unexpended LREBG funds must include one or more actions supported with LREBG funds within the 2025–26, 2026–27, and 2027–28 LCAPs, as applicable to the LEA. Actions funded with LREBG funds must remain in the LCAP until the LEA has expended the remainder of its LREBG funds, after which time the actions may be removed from the LCAP.
    - Prior to identifying the actions included in the LCAP the LEA is required to conduct a needs assessment pursuant to *EC* Section 32526(d). For information related to the required needs assessment please see the Program Information tab on the LREBG

Program Information web page. Additional information about the needs assessment and evidence-based resources for the LREBG may be found on the California Statewide System of Support LREBG Resources web page. The required LREBG needs assessment may be part of the LEAs regular needs assessment for the LCAP if it meets the requirements of *EC* Section 32627(d).

- School districts receiving technical assistance and COEs providing technical assistance are encouraged to use the technical assistance process to support the school district in conducting the required needs assessment, the selection of actions funded by the LREBG and/or the evaluation of implementation of the actions required as part of the LCAP annual update process.
- As a reminder, LREBG funds must be used to implement one or more of the purposes articulated in *EC* Section 32526(c)(2).
- LEAs with unexpended LREBG funds must include one or more actions supported by LREBG funds within the LCAP. For each action supported by LREBG funding the action description must:
  - Identify the action as an LREBG action;
  - Include an explanation of how research supports the selected action;
  - Identify the metric(s) being used to monitor the impact of the action; and
  - Identify the amount of LREBG funds being used to support the action.

Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students

Purpose

A well-written Increased or Improved Services section provides educational partners with a comprehensive description, within a single dedicated section, of how an LEA plans to increase or improve services for its unduplicated students as defined in *EC* Section 42238.02 in grades TK–12 as compared to all students in grades TK–12, as applicable, and how LEA-wide or schoolwide actions identified for this purpose meet regulatory requirements. Descriptions provided should include sufficient detail yet be sufficiently succinct to promote a broader understanding of educational partners to facilitate their ability to provide input. An LEA's description in this section must align with the actions included in the Goals and Actions section as contributing.

Please Note: For the purpose of meeting the Increased or Improved Services requirement and consistent with *EC* Section 42238.02, long-term English learners are included in the English learner student group.

Statutory Requirements

An LEA is required to demonstrate in its LCAP how it is increasing or improving services for its students who are foster youth, English learners, and/or low-income, collectively referred to as unduplicated students, as compared to the services provided to all students in proportion to the increase in funding it receives based on the number and concentration of unduplicated students in the LEA (*EC* Section 42238.07[a][1], *EC*

Section 52064[b][8][B]; 5 *CCR* Section 15496[a]). This proportionality percentage is also known as the "minimum proportionality percentage" or "MPP." The manner in which an LEA demonstrates it is meeting its MPP is two-fold: (1) through the expenditure of LCFF funds or through the identification of a Planned Percentage of Improved Services as documented in the Contributing Actions Table, and (2) through the explanations provided in the Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students section.

To improve services means to grow services in quality and to increase services means to grow services in quantity. Services are increased or improved by those actions in the LCAP that are identified in the Goals and Actions section as contributing to the increased or improved services requirement, whether they are provided across the entire LEA (LEA-wide action), provided to an entire school (Schoolwide action), or solely provided to one or more unduplicated student group(s) (Limited action).

Therefore, for *any* action contributing to meet the increased or improved services requirement, the LEA must include an explanation of:

- How the action is increasing or improving services for the unduplicated student group(s) (Identified Needs and Action Design), and
- How the action meets the LEA's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas (Measurement of Effectiveness).

LEA-wide and Schoolwide Actions

In addition to the above required explanations, LEAs must provide a justification for why an LEA-wide or Schoolwide action is being provided to all students and how the action is intended to improve outcomes for unduplicated student group(s) as compared to all students.

- Conclusory statements that a service will help achieve an expected outcome for the goal, without an explicit connection or further explanation as to how, are not sufficient.

- Further, simply stating that an LEA has a high enrollment percentage of a specific student group or groups does not meet the increased or improved services standard because enrolling students is not the same as serving students.

For School Districts Only

Actions provided on an **LEA-wide** basis at **school districts with an unduplicated pupil percentage of less than 55 percent** must also include a description of how the actions are the most effective use of the funds to meet the district's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas. The description must provide the basis for this determination, including any alternatives considered, supporting research, experience, or educational theory.

Actions provided on a **Schoolwide** basis for **schools with less than 40 percent enrollment of unduplicated pupils** must also include a description of how these actions are the most effective use of the funds to meet the district's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas. The description must provide the basis for this determination, including any alternatives considered, supporting research, experience, or educational theory.

Requirements and Instructions

Complete the tables as follows:

Total Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants

- Specify the amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grant funds the LEA estimates it will receive in the coming year based on the number and concentration of foster youth, English learner, and low-income students. This amount includes the Additional 15 percent LCFF Concentration Grant.

Projected Additional 15 percent LCFF Concentration Grant

- Specify the amount of additional LCFF concentration grant add-on funding, as described in *EC* Section 42238.02, that the LEA estimates it will receive in the coming year.

Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year

- Specify the estimated percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the LCAP year as calculated pursuant to 5 *CCR* Section 15496(a)(7).

LCFF Carryover — Percentage

- Specify the LCFF Carryover — Percentage identified in the LCFF Carryover Table. If a carryover percentage is not identified in the LCFF Carryover Table, specify a percentage of zero (0.00%).

LCFF Carryover — Dollar

- Specify the LCFF Carryover — Dollar amount identified in the LCFF Carryover Table. If a carryover amount is not identified in the LCFF Carryover Table, specify an amount of zero ($0).

Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year

- Add the Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year and the Proportional LCFF Required Carryover Percentage and specify the percentage. This is the LEA's percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the LCAP year, as calculated pursuant to 5 *CCR* Section 15496(a)(7).

Required Descriptions:

LEA-wide and Schoolwide Actions

For each action being provided to an entire LEA or school, provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s) and why it is being provided on an LEA or schoolwide basis, and (3) the metric(s) used to measure the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s).

If the LEA has provided this required description in the Action Descriptions, state as such within the table.

Complete the table as follows:

Identified Need(s)

Provide an explanation of the unique identified need(s) of the LEA's unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed.

An LEA demonstrates how an action is principally directed towards an unduplicated student group(s) when the LEA explains the need(s), condition(s), or circumstance(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) identified through a needs assessment and how the action addresses them. A meaningful needs assessment includes, at a minimum, analysis of applicable student achievement data and educational partner feedback.

How the Action(s) are Designed to Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis

Provide an explanation of how the action as designed will address the unique identified need(s) of the LEA's unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed and the rationale for why the action is being provided on an LEA-wide or schoolwide basis.

- As stated above, conclusory statements that a service will help achieve an expected outcome for the goal, without an explicit connection or further explanation as to how, are not sufficient.
- Further, simply stating that an LEA has a high enrollment percentage of a specific student group or groups does not meet the increased or improved services standard because enrolling students is not the same as serving students.

Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness

Identify the metric(s) being used to measure the progress and effectiveness of the action(s).

Note for COEs and Charter Schools: In the case of COEs and charter schools, schoolwide and LEA-wide are considered to be synonymous.

Limited Actions

For each action being solely provided to one or more unduplicated student group(s), provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s), and (3) how the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s) will be measured.

If the LEA has provided the required descriptions in the Action Descriptions, state as such.

Complete the table as follows:

Identified Need(s)

Provide an explanation of the unique need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served identified through the LEA's needs assessment. A meaningful needs assessment includes, at a minimum, analysis of applicable student achievement data and educational partner feedback.

How the Action(s) are Designed to Address Need(s)

Provide an explanation of how the action is designed to address the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served.

Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness

Identify the metric(s) being used to measure the progress and effectiveness of the action(s).

For any limited action contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement that is associated with a Planned Percentage of Improved Services in the Contributing Summary Table rather than an expenditure of LCFF funds, describe the methodology that was used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage, as applicable.

- For each action with an identified Planned Percentage of Improved Services, identify the goal and action number and describe the methodology that was used.

- When identifying a Planned Percentage of Improved Services, the LEA must describe the methodology that it used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage. The percentage of improved services for an action corresponds to the amount of LCFF funding that the LEA estimates it would expend to implement the action if it were funded.

- For example, an LEA determines that there is a need to analyze data to ensure that instructional aides and expanded learning providers know what targeted supports to provide to students who are foster youth. The LEA could implement this action by hiring additional staff to collect and analyze data and to coordinate supports for students, which, based on the LEA's current pay scale, the LEA estimates would cost $165,000. Instead, the LEA chooses to utilize a portion of existing staff time to analyze data relating to students who are foster youth. This analysis will then be shared with site principals who will use the data to coordinate services provided by instructional assistants and expanded learning providers to target support to students. In this example, the LEA would divide the estimated cost of $165,000 by the amount of LCFF Funding identified in the Total Planned Expenditures Table and then convert the quotient to a percentage. This percentage is the Planned Percentage of Improved Services for the action.

Additional Concentration Grant Funding

A description of the plan for how the additional concentration grant add-on funding identified above will be used to increase the number of staff providing direct services to students at schools that have a high concentration (above 55 percent) of foster youth, English learners, and low-income students, as applicable.

An LEA that receives the additional concentration grant add-on described in *EC* Section 42238.02 is required to demonstrate how it is using these funds to increase the number of staff who provide direct services to students at schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent as compared to the number of staff who provide direct services to students at schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is equal to or less than 55 percent. The staff who provide direct services to students must be certificated staff and/or classified staff employed by the LEA; classified staff includes custodial staff.

Provide the following descriptions, as applicable to the LEA:

- An LEA that does not receive a concentration grant or the concentration grant add-on must indicate that a response to this prompt is not applicable.

- Identify the goal and action numbers of the actions in the LCAP that the LEA is implementing to meet the requirement to increase the number of staff who provide direct services to students at schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent.

- An LEA that does not have comparison schools from which to describe how it is using the concentration grant add-on funds, such as a single-school LEA or an LEA that only has schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, must describe how it is using the funds to increase the number of credentialed staff, classified staff, or both, including custodial staff, who provide direct services to students at selected schools and the criteria used to determine which schools require additional staffing support.

- In the event that an additional concentration grant add-on is not sufficient to increase staff providing direct services to students at a school with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, the LEA must describe how it is using the funds to retain staff providing direct services to students at a school with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent.

Complete the table as follows:

- Provide the staff-to-student ratio of classified staff providing direct services to students with a concentration of unduplicated students that is 55 percent or less and the staff-to-student ratio of classified staff providing direct services to students at schools with a concentration of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, as applicable to the LEA.

    - The LEA may group its schools by grade span (Elementary, Middle/Junior High, and High Schools), as applicable to the LEA.

    - The staff-to-student ratio must be based on the number of full-time equivalent (FTE) staff and the number of enrolled students as counted on the first Wednesday in October of each year.

- Provide the staff-to-student ratio of certificated staff providing direct services to students at schools with a concentration of unduplicated students that is 55 percent or less and the staff-to-student ratio of certificated staff providing direct services to students at schools with a concentration of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, as applicable to the LEA.

    - The LEA may group its schools by grade span (Elementary, Middle/Junior High, and High Schools), as applicable to the LEA.

    - The staff-to-student ratio must be based on the number of FTE staff and the number of enrolled students as counted on the first Wednesday in October of each year.

Action Tables

Complete the Total Planned Expenditures Table for each action in the LCAP. The information entered into this table will automatically populate the other Action Tables. Information is only entered into the Total Planned Expenditures Table, the Annual Update Table, the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table, and the LCFF Carryover Table. The word “input” has been added to column headers to aid in identifying the column(s) where information will be entered. Information is not entered on the remaining Action tables.

The following tables are required to be included as part of the LCAP adopted by the local governing board or governing body:

- Table 1: Total Planned Expenditures Table (for the coming LCAP Year)
- Table 2: Contributing Actions Table (for the coming LCAP Year)
- Table 3: Annual Update Table (for the current LCAP Year)
- Table 4: Contributing Actions Annual Update Table (for the current LCAP Year)
- Table 5: LCFF Carryover Table (for the current LCAP Year)

Note: The coming LCAP Year is the year that is being planned for, while the current LCAP year is the current year of implementation. For example, when developing the 2024–25 LCAP, 2024–25 will be the coming LCAP Year and 2023–24 will be the current LCAP Year.

Total Planned Expenditures Table

In the Total Planned Expenditures Table, input the following information for each action in the LCAP for that applicable LCAP year:

- **LCAP Year**: Identify the applicable LCAP Year.
- **1. Projected LCFF Base Grant**: Provide the total amount estimated LCFF entitlement for the coming school year, excluding the supplemental and concentration grants and the add-ons for the Targeted Instructional Improvement Block Grant program, the former Home-to-School Transportation program, and the Small School District Transportation program, pursuant to 5 *CCR* Section 15496(a)(8). Note that the LCFF Base Grant for purposes of the LCAP also includes the Necessary Small Schools and Economic Recovery Target allowances for school districts, and County Operations Grant for COEs.

  See *EC* sections 2574 (for COEs) and 42238.02 (for school districts and charter schools), as applicable, for LCFF entitlement calculations.
- **2. Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants:** Provide the total amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grants estimated on the basis of the number and concentration of unduplicated students for the coming school year.
- **3. Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year:** This percentage will not be entered; it is calculated based on the Projected LCFF Base Grant and the Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants, pursuant to 5 *CCR* Section 15496(a)(8). This is the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the coming LCAP year.
- **LCFF Carryover — Percentage:** Specify the LCFF Carryover — Percentage identified in the LCFF Carryover Table from the prior LCAP year. If a carryover percentage is not identified in the LCFF Carryover Table, specify a percentage of zero (0.00%).
- **Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year:** This percentage will not be entered; it is calculated based on the Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year and the LCFF Carryover —

Percentage. ***This is the percentage by which the LEA must increase or improve services for unduplicated pupils as compared to the services provided to all students in the coming LCAP year.***

- **Goal #**: Enter the LCAP Goal number for the action.
- **Action #**: Enter the action's number as indicated in the LCAP Goal.
- **Action Title**: Provide a title of the action.
- **Student Group(s)**: Indicate the student group or groups who will be the primary beneficiary of the action by entering "All," or by entering a specific student group or groups.
- **Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?:** Type "Yes" if the action **is** included as contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement; OR, type "No" if the action is **not** included as contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement.
- If "Yes" is entered into the Contributing column, then complete the following columns:
    - **Scope**: The scope of an action may be LEA-wide (i.e., districtwide, countywide, or charterwide), schoolwide, or limited. An action that is LEA-wide in scope upgrades the entire educational program of the LEA. An action that is schoolwide in scope upgrades the entire educational program of a single school. An action that is limited in its scope is an action that serves only one or more unduplicated student groups.
    - **Unduplicated Student Group(s)**: Regardless of scope, contributing actions serve one or more unduplicated student groups. Indicate one or more unduplicated student groups for whom services are being increased or improved as compared to what all students receive.
    - **Location**: Identify the location where the action will be provided. If the action is provided to all schools within the LEA, the LEA must indicate "All Schools." If the action is provided to specific schools within the LEA or specific grade spans only, the LEA must enter "Specific Schools" or "Specific Grade Spans." Identify the individual school or a subset of schools or grade spans (e.g., all high schools or grades transitional kindergarten through grade five), as appropriate.
- **Time Span**: Enter "ongoing" if the action will be implemented for an indeterminate period of time. Otherwise, indicate the span of time for which the action will be implemented. For example, an LEA might enter "1 Year," or "2 Years," or "6 Months."
- **Total Personnel**: Enter the total amount of personnel expenditures utilized to implement this action.
- **Total Non-Personnel**: This amount will be automatically calculated based on information provided in the Total Personnel column and the Total Funds column.

- **LCFF Funds**: Enter the total amount of LCFF funds utilized to implement this action, if any. LCFF funds include all funds that make up an LEA's total LCFF target (i.e., base grant, grade span adjustment, supplemental grant, concentration grant, Targeted Instructional Improvement Block Grant, and Home-To-School Transportation).
    - **Note:** For an action to contribute towards meeting the increased or improved services requirement, it must include some measure of LCFF funding. The action may also include funding from other sources, however the extent to which an action contributes to meeting the increased or improved services requirement is based on the LCFF funding being used to implement the action.
- **Other State Funds**: Enter the total amount of Other State Funds utilized to implement this action, if any.
    - **Note:** Equity Multiplier funds must be included in the "Other State Funds" category, not in the "LCFF Funds" category. As a reminder, Equity Multiplier funds must be used to supplement, not supplant, funding provided to Equity Multiplier schoolsites for purposes of the LCFF, the ELO-P, the LCRS, and/or the CCSPP. This means that Equity Multiplier funds must not be used to replace funding that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to implement LEA-wide actions identified in the LEA's LCAP or that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to implement provisions of the ELO-P, the LCRS, and/or the CCSPP.
- **Local Funds**: Enter the total amount of Local Funds utilized to implement this action, if any.
- **Federal Funds**: Enter the total amount of Federal Funds utilized to implement this action, if any.
- **Total Funds**: This amount is automatically calculated based on amounts entered in the previous four columns.
- **Planned Percentage of Improved Services**: For any action identified as contributing, being provided on a Limited basis to unduplicated students, and that does not have funding associated with the action, enter the planned quality improvement anticipated for the action as a percentage rounded to the nearest hundredth (0.00%). A limited action is an action that only serves foster youth, English learners, and/or low-income students.
    - As noted in the instructions for the Increased or Improved Services section, when identifying a Planned Percentage of Improved Services, the LEA must describe the methodology that it used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage. The percentage of improved services for an action corresponds to the amount of LCFF funding that the LEA estimates it would expend to implement the action if it were funded.

      For example, an LEA determines that there is a need to analyze data to ensure that instructional aides and expanded learning providers know what targeted supports to provide to students who are foster youth. The LEA could implement this action by hiring additional staff to collect and analyze data and to coordinate supports for students, which, based on the LEA's current pay scale, the LEA estimates would cost $165,000. Instead, the LEA chooses to utilize a portion of existing staff time to analyze data relating to students who are foster youth. This analysis will then be shared with site principals who will use the data to coordinate services provided by instructional assistants and expanded learning providers to target support to students. In this example, the LEA would divide the estimated cost of $165,000 by the amount of LCFF Funding identified in the Data Entry Table and then convert the quotient to a percentage. This percentage is the Planned Percentage of Improved Services for the action.

Contributing Actions Table

As noted above, information will not be entered in the Contributing Actions Table; however, the 'Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?' column will need to be checked to ensure that only actions with a "Yes" are displaying. If actions with a "No" are displayed or if actions that are contributing are not displaying in the column, use the drop-down menu in the column header to filter only the "Yes" responses.

Annual Update Table

In the Annual Update Table, provide the following information for each action in the LCAP for the relevant LCAP year:

- **Estimated Actual Expenditures**: Enter the total estimated actual expenditures to implement this action, if any.

Contributing Actions Annual Update Table

In the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table, check the 'Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?' column to ensure that only actions with a "Yes" are displaying. If actions with a "No" are displayed or if actions that are contributing are not displaying in the column, use the drop-down menu in the column header to filter only the "Yes" responses. Provide the following information for each contributing action in the LCAP for the relevant LCAP year:

- **6. Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants:** Provide the total amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grants estimated based on the number and concentration of unduplicated students in the current school year.
- **Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions**: Enter the total estimated actual expenditure of LCFF funds used to implement this action, if any.
- **Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services:** For any action identified as contributing, being provided on a Limited basis only to unduplicated students, and that does not have funding associated with the action, enter the total estimated actual quality improvement anticipated for the action as a percentage rounded to the nearest hundredth (0.00%).
    - Building on the example provided above for calculating the Planned Percentage of Improved Services, the LEA in the example implements the action. As part of the annual update process, the LEA reviews implementation and student outcome data and determines that the action was implemented with fidelity and that outcomes for foster youth students improved. The LEA reviews the original estimated cost for the action and determines that had it hired additional staff to collect and analyze data and to coordinate supports for students that estimated actual cost would have been $169,500 due to a cost of living adjustment. The LEA would divide the estimated actual cost of $169,500 by the amount of LCFF Funding identified in the Data Entry Table and then convert the quotient to a percentage. This percentage is the Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services for the action.

LCFF Carryover Table

- **9. Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant**: Provide the total amount of estimated LCFF Target Entitlement for the current school year, excluding the supplemental and concentration grants and the add-ons for the Targeted Instructional Improvement Block Grant program,

the former Home-to-School Transportation program, and the Small School District Transportation program, pursuant to 5 *CCR* Section 15496(a)(8). Note that the LCFF Base Grant for purposes of the LCAP also includes the Necessary Small Schools and Economic Recovery Target allowances for school districts, and County Operations Grant for COEs. See *EC* sections 2574 (for COEs) and 42238.02 (for school districts and charter schools), as applicable, for LCFF entitlement calculations.

- **10. Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Current School Year:** This percentage will not be entered. The percentage is calculated based on the amounts of the Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (9) and the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (6), pursuant to 5 *CCR* Section 15496(a)(8), plus the LCFF Carryover – Percentage from the prior year. This is the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the current LCAP year.

Calculations in the Action Tables

To reduce the duplication of effort of LEAs, the Action Tables include functionality such as pre-population of fields and cells based on the information provided in the Data Entry Table, the Annual Update Summary Table, and the Contributing Actions Table. For transparency, the functionality and calculations used are provided below.

Contributing Actions Table

- **4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)**
    - This amount is the total of the Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds) column.
- **5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services**
    - This percentage is the total of the Planned Percentage of Improved Services column.
- **Planned Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the coming school year (4 divided by 1, plus 5)**
    - This percentage is calculated by dividing the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4) by the Projected LCFF Base Grant (1), converting the quotient to a percentage, and adding it to the Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (5).

Contributing Actions Annual Update Table

Pursuant to *EC* Section 42238.07(c)(2), if the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4) is less than the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and Concentration Grants (6), the LEA is required to calculate the difference between the Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (5) and the Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (7). If the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4) is equal to or greater than the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and Concentration Grants (6), the Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services will display “Not Required.”

- **6. Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and Concentration Grants**

- This is the total amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grants the LEA estimates it will actually receive based on the number and concentration of unduplicated students in the current school year.

- **4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)**
  - This amount is the total of the Last Year's Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds).
- **7. Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions**
  - This amount is the total of the Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds).
- **Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (Subtract 7 from 4)**
  - This amount is the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (7) subtracted from the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4).
- **5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)**
  - This amount is the total of the Planned Percentage of Improved Services column.
- **8. Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (%)**
  - This amount is the total of the Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services column.
- **Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (Subtract 5 from 8)**
  - This amount is the Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (5) subtracted from the Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (8).

LCFF Carryover Table

- **10. Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Current School Year (6 divided by 9 plus Carryover %)**
  - This percentage is the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (6) divided by the Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (9) plus the LCFF Carryover – Percentage from the prior year.
- **11. Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (7 divided by 9, plus 8)**
  - This percentage is the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (7) divided by the LCFF Funding (9), then converting the quotient to a percentage and adding the Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (8).
- **12. LCFF Carryover — Dollar Amount LCFF Carryover (Subtract 11 from 10 and multiply by 9)**

- If the Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (11) is less than the Estimated Actual Percentage to Increase or Improve Services (10), the LEA is required to carry over LCFF funds.

  The amount of LCFF funds is calculated by subtracting the Estimated Actual Percentage to Increase or Improve Services (11) from the Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (10) and then multiplying by the Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (9). This amount is the amount of LCFF funds that is required to be carried over to the coming year.

- **13. LCFF Carryover — Percentage (12 divided by 9)**

  - This percentage is the unmet portion of the Percentage to Increase or Improve Services that the LEA must carry over into the coming LCAP year. The percentage is calculated by dividing the LCFF Carryover (12) by the LCFF Funding (9).

California Department of Education
November 2024