
LCFF Budget Overview for Parents

Local Educational Agency (LEA) Name: John Henry High School
CDS Code: 07773540132233
School Year: 2026-27
LEA contact information:
Stacey Drakeford
Site Director

510-235-2439

School districts receive funding from different sources: state funds under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF), other state funds, local funds, and federal funds. LCFF funds include a base level of funding for all LEAs and extra funding - called "supplemental and concentration" grants - to LEAs based on the enrollment of high needs students (foster youth, English learners, and low-income students).

Budget Overview for the 2026-27 School Year




This chart shows the total general purpose revenue John Henry High School expects to receive in the coming year from all sources.

The text description for the above chart is as follows: The total revenue projected for John Henry High School is $6,433,834, of which $4871202 is Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF), $1189587 is other state funds, $101752 is local funds, and $271293 is federal funds. Of the $4871202 in LCFF Funds, $1079469 is generated based on the enrollment of high needs students (foster youth, English learner, and low-income students).

LCFF Budget Overview for Parents

The LCFF gives school districts more flexibility in deciding how to use state funds. In exchange, school districts must work with parents, educators, students, and the community to develop a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) that shows how they will use these funds to serve students.



This chart provides a quick summary of how much John Henry High School plans to spend for 2026-27. It shows how much of the total is tied to planned actions and services in the LCAP.

The text description of the above chart is as follows: John Henry High School plans to spend $6328273 for the 2026-27 school year. Of that amount, $2462905.08 is tied to actions/services in the LCAP and $3,865,367.92 is not included in the LCAP. The budgeted expenditures that are not included in the LCAP will be used for the following:


Expenditures reflected in the budget but not explicitly detailed in the LCAP represent other educational, operational and administrative costs necessary to support the overall functioning of the school.

Increased or Improved Services for High Needs Students in the LCAP for the 2026-27 School Year

In 2026-27, John Henry High School is projecting it will receive $1079469 based on the enrollment of foster youth, English learner, and low-income students. John Henry High School must describe how it intends to increase or improve services for high needs students in the LCAP. John Henry High School plans to spend $1437928.56 towards meeting this requirement, as described in the LCAP.

LCFF Budget Overview for Parents

Update on Increased or Improved Services for High Needs Students in 2025-26



This chart compares what John Henry High School budgeted last year in the LCAP for actions and services that contribute to increasing or improving services for high needs students with what John Henry High School estimates it has spent on actions and services that contribute to increasing or improving services for high needs students in the current year.

The text description of the above chart is as follows: In 2025-26, John Henry High School's LCAP budgeted $1377681.23 for planned actions to increase or improve services for high needs students. John Henry High School actually spent $1505929.5 for actions to increase or improve services for high needs students in 2025-26.

Local Control and Accountability Plan

The instructions for completing the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) follow the template.

Local Educational Agency (LEA) Name	Contact Name and Title	Email and Phone
John Henry High School	Stacey Drakeford Site Director	sdrakeford@amethodschools.org 510-235-2439

Plan Summary [2026-27]

General Information

A description of the LEA, its schools, and its students in grades transitional kindergarten–12, as applicable to the LEA. LEAs may also provide information about their strategic plan, vision, etc.

At John Henry High School (JHHS), we are committed to our students' academic success and developing a strong community of learners. JHHS is one of six schools under the Amethod Public Schools (AMPS) charter management organization. AMPS is a 501 (c)(3) nonprofit organization that was founded in Oakland with the intent to create charter schools that reduce the academic achievement disparity that exists among the different student subgroups. The organization’s flagship school, Oakland Charter Academy, founded in 1993, is the oldest charter school in the City of Oakland, and the 14th school chartered in the state of California. For thirty years, AMPS has been serving thousands of Bay Area families through a small-scale school model focused on equipping students with the skills of diligence and personal responsibility. AMPS demonstrates through strong academic results that any child can be successful if they receive a high-quality, personalized education. Established in 2014, JHHS is located in the beautiful Marina Bay area of Richmond, CA and serves 330 9 - 12 students in the 2023-24 school year.

At JHHS, we believe all students can succeed in a rigorous college-prep environment when provided with effective educators, personalized attention, and a disciplined commitment to academics. We use research-based teaching methodologies that emphasize the fundamentals; enhanced by technology, problem solving, cooperative learning, and critical thinking skills. Our academic program instills rigours and lifeling love of learning that carries our students throughout college career and beyond. JHHS offers students a wide range of extended learning opportunities. We also offer daily after-school activities including music and our homework club.

JHHS supports student excellence through our Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS), which ensures that students are met at their current level and provided with the personalized academic, behavioral, health, and other supports they need. JHHS has a network of paraprofessional educators and tutors who offer individualized academic support and keep in touch with families to provide regular support, encouragement, and feedback. Student progress is measured continually, with grade-level meetings held monthly to share best practices, discuss possible intervention methods, and create action plans for teachers and students. Families are an integral part of our school and throughout the year we invite them to events including school college faires, college appiation, prom, spirit week and robust sports program

Reflections: Annual Performance

A reflection on annual performance based on a review of the California School Dashboard (Dashboard) and local data.

In analyzing John Henry High School's data from the past year, including both California Dashboard indicators and local measures, the school identified several areas of progress as well as persistent challenges that continue to require focused attention. While standardized testing results remained below the school's long-term goals and expectations, the leadership team used these outcomes as an opportunity to strengthen instructional systems and improve student supports. While the school did not see the gain for English learner reclassification, it signaled the need for more targeted language development supports and instructional alignment across content areas as a focus for the coming school years. As a result, the administration team conducted a comprehensive review of instructional practices and curriculum resources and determined that portions of the previously used curriculum were outdated and not fully aligned to current California standards and instructional expectations.
In response, John Henry High School implemented updated standards-aligned curriculum across core content areas and introduced more rigorous, evidence-based instructional materials that include embedded diagnostics, formative assessments, and progress-monitoring tools. These updated curricular resources allow teachers to more effectively assess student understanding, monitor growth, and adjust instruction based on student needs. In addition, the school launched a strengthened instructional coaching model designed to improve classroom practice and teacher development. Through regular coaching cycles, classroom observations, feedback conversations, co-planning, and professional learning opportunities, teachers receive ongoing support in standards-based instruction, lesson implementation, student engagement strategies, and data-driven instructional practices.

The school also prioritized the development of a more robust and structured COST (Coordination of Services Team) system to better support students academically, behaviorally, and socially-emotionally. JHHS established clearer intervention structures and protocols, including consistent meeting agendas, student data review processes, benchmark tracking systems, and action planning aligned to student outcomes. The enhanced COST process has strengthened collaboration among teachers, counselors, intervention staff, and administrators to ensure students receive timely and targeted supports. Additionally, the school increased its focus on benchmark assessments and routine data analysis cycles to monitor progress toward academic goals and identify students needing intervention earlier.

In addition to academic growth efforts, the school maintained several positive outcomes related to school climate and operations. JHHS continued to meet its goals for maintaining a low expulsion rate, ensuring access to standards-aligned instructional materials, and sustaining a safe, clean, and welcoming learning environment for students and staff. Although the school did not fully meet its attendance goal, attendance rates have steadily improved year over year, and the school remains on track to meet its long-term attendance targets during the next LCAP cycle. Similarly, while the school did not meet its ambitious suspension goal of 1% or lower, suspension rates remained relatively

low overall and continued trending downward as restorative practices, relationship-building strategies, and proactive behavioral interventions became more consistent schoolwide.

Through the implementation of updated curriculum, a strengthened instructional coaching model, enhanced data systems, and a more strategic COST intervention process, John Henry High School is building stronger instructional foundations and support structures to accelerate student achievement and improve outcomes for all student groups.

Reflections: Technical Assistance

As applicable, a summary of the work underway as part of technical assistance.

As applicable, a summary of the work underway as part of technical assistance. Not applicable; the LEA is not eligible for technical assistance.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement

An LEA with a school or schools eligible for comprehensive support and improvement must respond to the following prompts.

Schools Identified

A list of the schools in the LEA that are eligible for comprehensive support and improvement.

Not applicable; the LEA is not eligible for CSI.

Support for Identified Schools

A description of how the LEA has or will support its eligible schools in developing comprehensive support and improvement plans.

Not applicable; the LEA is not eligible for CSI.

Monitoring and Evaluating Effectiveness

A description of how the LEA will monitor and evaluate the plan to support student and school improvement.

Not applicable; the LEA is not eligible for CSI.

Engaging Educational Partners

A summary of the process used to engage educational partners in the development of the LCAP.

School districts and county offices of education must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, local bargaining units, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

Charter schools must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

Educational Partner(s)	Process for Engagement
All educational partners including teachers, staff, parents, students, community members, and the Board	Throughout the year during regular meetings of the Board, all stakeholders are invited to participate in meetings discussing the school's academic progress, ongoing programs and activities, and budget updates. All these discussions are used to inform the development and updates within the LCAP. In February 2026, school site leaders presented a mid-year update on all LCAP goals, available metric outcomes data, actions implementation data, and expenditures data for the current school year. Members of the school community, and community at large, were invited to hear the presentation and provide input via public comment.
Teachers, administrators, and other school personnel	In spring 2026, the school consulted with teachers and staff during a Family, Student, and Teacher meeting (which functions as the School Site Council) to collect input Every Friday we host staff meetings that focus on professional development and housekeeping topics. Data progress is covered during PLC (Professional Learning Community) meetings every Tuesday. We use these methods to engage school staff across all levels.

Educational Partner(s)	Process for Engagement
Parents, including parents of English learners, other unduplicated pupils, and students with disabilities	In spring 2026, the school consulted with parents during a Family, Student, and Teacher meeting (which functions as the School Site Council) to collect input. Family, Student, and Teacher (FST) meetings take place Quarterly Parents are invited to attend and share any questions, comments or concerns they may have. We utilize newsletters and monthly parent meetings (Coffee with the Principal and FST). Consistent communication about school plans and programs are sent via ParentSquare and annual surveys are given towards the end of the year. We use these methods to engage parents of all students.
Students	In spring 2026, the school consulted with students during a Family, Student, and Teacher meeting (which functions as the School Site Council) to collect input.
SELPA	In spring 2026, the school share the LCAP with our SELPA to collect feedback For details on our program and supports for students with disabilities.

A description of how the adopted LCAP was influenced by the feedback provided by educational partners.

This LCAP was developed in collaboration with our entire school community and was influenced in numerous ways, from the development of our three goals to the actions and expenditures dedicated to achieving each goal. More specifically, the actions in the LCAP were influenced based upon the below specific feedback from educational partners:
Teachers have expressed the desire to receive professional development for the proper process of instruction when teaching iReady Math lessons. Another request has been made for a Professional Development in the area of Classroom Management. The administration team provided the aforementioned requests and plans to increase consultant services and expenditures to offer more development opportunities next year.
Families provided feedback on issues ranging from academics to behavior. iReady was implemented to aid in filling in any academic gaps students had. We've established a PBIS system as positive reinforcement to good behavior. More teachers were requested and we hired or brought in long-term substitutes for classroom vacancies. All of this feedback from our teachers, staff, and parents was incorporated into Actions 1.4, 3.2, and 3.4.
Sports have been an expressed interest of students. We do have teachers who are willing to help with sports; the plan is to collaborate with the Internal Compliance office to properly implement future sporting programs. Students have also expressed interest in more fun activities at

school. Admin have implemented club day once a month. Field trips have also been brought up and every grade level coordinator has taken the task to plan field trips for their grade band, prior to the end of the year. This feedback was incorporated into Actions 3.1 and 3.2. Finally, the administration team desires to hire a CTE teacher to create an engaging environment for students, thus advancing the school towards becoming a STEM school. The plan is to purchase STEM equipment to include virtual reality, green screen, digital printing, Kinetic Sand Box, (augmented virtual reality), and other items including SEL digital technology with classroom lessons aligned with standards. We plan to have a big end-of-year carnival, as well as simple events to foster parent/student relationships like muffins with moms, donuts with dad, and others activities described in Action 3.2.

Goals and Actions

Goal

Goal #	Description	Type of Goal
1	Provide an academically rigorous, Common Core-aligned college preparatory program with support and interventions for students' academic development.	Broad Goal

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Priority 1: Basic (Conditions of Learning)
Priority 2: State Standards (Conditions of Learning)
Priority 4: Pupil Achievement (Pupil Outcomes)
Priority 7: Course Access (Conditions of Learning)
Priority 8: Other Pupil Outcomes (Pupil Outcomes)

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Our school's mission is to provide a rigorous college preparatory education and character development program that will prepa re students from underserved communities to succeed in college and beyond. We believe all students can learn and achieve at high levels and adopt a data-driven culture to turn the focus from "what was taught" to "what was learned." Student achievement and progress monitoring are both at the core of this principle, which is why our first goal encompasses so many metrics in order to better understand overall student outcomes. Secondly, we know that breakthrough achievement happens in schools where every student is purposefully engaged in standards-aligned content, every day. Therefore, teaching at our school is purposeful, engaging, and differentiated for all learners. Professional development for our instructional team is focused on standards-based instruction as well as classroom management, student engagement, and multi¬tiered systems of targeted support for learners.

Goal 1 of our LCAP is a broad goal directed at promoting student achievement and postsecondary success in alignment with our school's mission and key state priorities. The priorities being addressed under this goal include provision of basic services, implementation of state academic standards, pupil achievement, access to a broad course of study, and outcomes in a broad course of study. It was developed in collaboration with our educational partners to ensure that the school is focused on students' academic preparedness. We will achieve this goal by implementing a rigorous academic program that is bolstered by high-quality professional development for teachers and differentiated supports for students. The metrics and actions outlined below ensure that we are providing a strong academic program with an emphasis on college preparation, in addition to the state priorities.

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
1.1	Teachers are fully (preliminary or clear) credentialed for subject and student placement (properly assigned). (SP 1.1) Source: Internal credential tracking	9.1% From the 2023-24 school year.	52.5%	68.8%	100% appropriately credentialed and assigned, and/or permitted on their way to the appropriate credential	59.7%
1.2	Students have access to standards-aligned instructional materials. (SP 1.2) Source: SARC - Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Other Instructional Materials.	100.0% From the 2023-24 school year.	100%	100%	100% of students have access to standards-aligned instructional materials	Target Achieved
1.3	School facilities are in good repair as measured by overall facilities survey. (SP 1.3) Source: SARC Facilities - "Overall Facilities Rating"	Exemplary	Good	Good	School facilities are in good or exemplar repair	Will be completed in 2025.
1.4	Teachers participate in a 5- Day Annual Summit where one day is spent learning how to utilize curriculum and other academic content aligned to state performance standards. (SP 2.1)	Summit was offered From the 2023-24 school year.	Summit was offered	Summit was offered	The Annual Summit is Offered	Will be completed in 2025.

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
	Source: Internally tracked					
1.5	English learners have access to English Language Development courses that are based on state standards. (SP 2.2) Source: PowerSchool	73.3% From the 2023-24 school year.	100%	100%	100% of EL students are enrolled in ELD courses.	Target Achieved
1.6	Increase percentage of students scoring Proficient or above on SBAC ELA or meet the overall state average for baseline year. (SP 4.1) Source: CAASPP reporting website	All: 26.0% SED: 20.0% Hispanic: 26.1%	All: 41.1% SED: 41.8% Hispanic: 42.9% EL: 9.1%	All: 29.5% SED: 27.6% Hispanic: 31.6% EL: 8.6%	Each student subgroup will grow at least 3 percentage points annually or meet the 2022-2023 overall state average, 46.66%.	All: 3.5% SED: 7.6% Hispanic: 5.5%
1.7	Increase percentage of students scoring Proficient or above on SBAC Math or meet the overall state average for baseline year. (SP 4.1) Source: CAASPP reporting website	All: 9.6% SED: 10.0% Hispanic: 10.1%	All: 14.9% SED: 16.2% Hispanic: 15.5% EL: 12.1%	All: 31.8% SED: 27.6% Hispanic: 31.6% EL: 40.0%	Each student subgroup will grow at least 3 percentage points annually or meet the 2022-2023 overall state average, 34.62%	All: 22.2% SED: 17.6% Hispanic: 21.5%
1.8	Increase percentage of students scoring Proficient and above on CAST assessment or meet the overall state	ALL: 10.8% SED: 11.8% Hispanic: 11.5%	All: 8.0% SED: 9.0% Hispanic: 8.5% EL: 3.8%	All: 17.4% SED: 18.9% Hispanic: 16.9% EL: 11.8%	Each student subgroup will grow at least 3 percentage points annually or meet the 2022-2023	All: 6.6% SED: 7.1% Hispanic: 5.4%

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
	average for baseline year (SP 4.1) Source: CAASPP Reporting Website				overall state average, 30.18%.	
1.9	Increase percent of EL students growing at least one ELPI level/maintaining the highest ELPI level or meet the state average for baseline year. (SP 4.5) Source: CA Dashboard	All: 33.7%	All: 34.0%	All: 33.6%	English learners will grow at least 2 percentage points annually or meet the 2022-2023 state average, 48.7%.	All: -0.1%
1.10	Increase percent of EL students who reclassify as Fully English Proficient (RFEP rate) or meet the state average for baseline year (SP 4.6) Source: CALPADS 2.16 and 8.1 (EOY)	3.5%	0.9%	11.3%	English learners will grow at least 2 percentage points annually or meet the 2019-2020 state average, 13.8%.	7.8%
1.11	Students have access to a broad course of study (SP 7.1) Source: PowerSchool master schedule	Standard Met From the 2023-24 school year.	Standard Met	Standard Met	Students have access to a broad course of study - Local Indicator "Standard Met"	Target Achieved
1.12	Provide at least one paraprofessional to work with English learners and at least one	1 paraprofessional for EL and 1 for SWD	Standard Met	Standard Met	1 paraprofessional for EL and 1 for SWD	Target Achieved

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
	paraprofessional to work with students with disabilities. (SP 7.2, 7.3) Source: Paylocity (HR source)	From the 2023-24 school year.				
1.13	Schoolwide and subgroup medians achieve at least one year's progress in ELA, as defined by internal assessment. (SP 8.1) Source: NWEA or iReady	ALL: 32nd Hispanic: 31st	ALL: 32nd Hispanic: 33rd	ALL: 50th Hispanic: 48th	NWEA Map: 41st+ Median Growth Percentile or iReady: 100%+ Typical Media Progress	ALL: +18 Hispanic: +17
1.14	Schoolwide and subgroup medians achieve at least one year's progress in Math, as defined by internal assessment. (SP 8.1) Source: NWEA or iReady	ALL: 50th Hispanic: 51st	ALL: 41st Hispanic: 41st	ALL: 44th Hispanic: 45th	NWEA Map: 41st+ Median Growth Percentile or iReady: 100%+ Typical Media Progress	ALL: -6 Hispanic: -6
1.15	"Increase the percent of graduates who successfully meet UC/CSU course requirements or meet the overall state average for baseline year. (SP 4.2)	All: 92.7% SED: 92.3% Hispanic: 92.3%	All: 82.4% SED: 81.9% Hispanic: 81.2%	All: 80.5% SED: 82.9% Hispanic: 83.1%	Each student subgroup will grow at least 3 percentage points annually or meet the 2022-2023 overall state average, 52.4%.	All: -12.2% SED: -9.4% Hispanic: -9.2%

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
	Source: DataQuest Four-Year Adjusted Cohort Graduation Rate"					
1.16	"Increase the percent of students considered ""prepared"" on the College/Career Indicator (CCI) or meet the overall state average for baseline year. (SP 4.8) Source: CA Dashboard"	All: 32.9% SED: 34.7% Hispanic: 35.6%	All: 29.7% SED: 27.8% Hispanic: 31.9%	All: 61.9% SED: 63.3% Hispanic: 63.8%		All: 29.0% SED: 28.6% Hispanic: 28.2%
1.17	"Of students participating in advanced placement exams, increase the percentage achieving a score of “3” or higher, or meet 60% (SP 4.7) Source: College Board AP chart"	All: 33.3%	All: 51.4%	All: 18.4%		All: -14.9%

Goal Analysis [2025-26]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

Our planned actions and implementation of this goal were grounded in our mission to prepare every student for college, career, and life. These priorities focused on delivering a rigorous, standards-aligned curriculum, ensuring access to a well-rounded education, providing professional development for instructional staff, and offering differentiated academic support.

Planned: Provide targeted, ongoing professional learning focused on rigor, differentiation, and instructional strategies aligned with academic standards. Implement tiered interventions and expanded supports, including academic tutoring, English learner support, Spec Ed. modifications, and paraprofessional support for targeted student populations. Continue to maintain above-average graduation rates and strengthen support for AP exam participation and success. Ensure all staff have access to standards-aligned instructional materials, and all English learners have access to designated ELD instruction.

Implemented: All classrooms implemented instructional materials aligned with state standards, including the introduction of ELD curriculum to better support English learners. Monthly professional development sessions focused on evidence-based instructional strategies aligned with California academic standards and effective classroom practices. Designated ELD blocks were implemented for all EL students, with ongoing progress monitoring using ELPAC data and formative assessments to guide instruction and support student growth. One full-time Instructional Assistant was assigned to provide push-in support in high-need classrooms and targeted student groups, strengthening small-group instruction and individualized support. Staff also participated in annual Summit professional development and received targeted training on new curriculum implementation. The school maintained an above-average graduation rate, reflecting continued success in supporting students toward postsecondary readiness and completion.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

Internal assessment data showed overall student performance remained steady in math, with neither significant growth nor decline. This stability reflects the school's continued focus on improving instructional coaching systems and strengthening daily Tier 1 instruction across classrooms. While earlier staffing presented challenges, this year's consistent results indicate that efforts to refine instructional practices and increase coherence in teaching have helped maintain student performance levels. The school's emphasis on data-driven instruction, ongoing coaching, and improved Tier 1 delivery contributed to sustaining outcomes during a period of instructional improvement and systems strengthening.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

Build our CTE program with in house courses as well as community college We will work to create a master schedule allowing for dual-enrolled coursework, and based on decreased English learner reclassification, work to identify appropriate intervention curricula for students with identified needs to supplement the core materials.

A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.

Actions

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
1.1	Academic Assessment and Progress Monitoring	Utilize iReady and/or NWEA benchmark assessments to measure student academic growth over time and build a data dashboard to assess a variety of metrics. On a regular, ongoing basis throughout the school year, analyze this data to determine interventions for individual students and	$89,538.84	Yes

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
		groups of students, as appropriate. This will include evaluating the progress of any student subgroups with a red dashboard indicator for English language arts or Math proficiency. In addition to using benchmark assessments to measure growth, the school will implement a regular progress monitoring system to ensure that the differentiated supports are taking place and positively impacting student outcomes.		
1.2	Academic Supports	Provide multi-tiered levels of support to ensure that all students are demonstrating growth. Each academic intervention will be driven by data and support student learning, including after school supports and tutoring to target skill building, and the use of supplemental instruction programs such as IXL, iReady, Actively Learn, Lexia. On an ongoing basis throughout the school year, assess student data and monitor the progress of any student subgroups with a red dashboard indicator for ELA or math. In 2023, the school received a red ELA dashboard indicator for the Hispanic and socioeconomically disadvantaged subgroups. As a result, our leadership will specifically monitor these subgroups' growth rates throughout the year (via internal metrics) in order to provide targeted interventions.	$635,764.03	Yes
1.3	Materials, Curriculum, & Supplies	Provide technology to all students including Chromebooks/tablets, hotspots, and earphones. Provide textbooks, workbooks, and supplemental curricular materials (such as licenses, digital software, and more) that are aligned to state priorities and standards, such as Illustrative Math and SpringBoard.	$115,570.00	No
1.4	Professional Development to Promote Academic Excellence	Offer ongoing professional development opportunities for staff, including: - Bi-weekly coaching for teachers with a focus on supports for English learners and low income students - Quarterly professional development sessions - Professional Growth Plans for Teacher and paraprofessionals, with bi-weekly observations/feedback, lesson plan development, quarterly film	$125,388.09	Yes

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
		sessions with feedback, and target goal monitoring for English learners and low income students - Three or more staff workshops annually on how to analyze Interim Assessment results and use data to inform instruction - Mentor teacher support through Department Meetings, Grade Level Meetings, and Observations - An annual professional development summit session focused on standards based instruction, bell to bell instruction, student engagement and classroom management, and curriculum		
1.5	Safe and Secure Facilities	Ensure safety of grounds and facilities by providing preventative measures such as video cameras, vaping detectors, security gates, etc. Conduct ongoing facilities maintenance and safety inspections and provide strong janitorial services. Ensure the facilities are conducive to a positive learning environment by purchasing equipment, furniture, posters, and other materials that promote an effective learning place. There will be a Director of Facilities responsible for ensuring safety training and drills are executed, in addition to all of the above.	$107,442.80	No
1.6	Supports for English Learners	Provide targeted professional development to staff that promotes the use of effective instructional strategies for English learners. The school's ELD Specialist will work with teachers to co-plan lessons, conduct classroom observations, and provide one-on-one coaching to improve EL supports. Hire and retain a full-time paraprofessional to work with ELs on academic success and reclassification. Use an evidence-based ELD curriculum such as English 3D, and/or Cengage/National Geographic Learning, to meet the needs of multilingual learners at all proficiency levels—from newcomers to long-term English learners—as the core curriculum for all ELD classes. This action serves as a Required Action for English learners.	$165,253.48	Yes
1.7	Additional Supports for Long-term English Learners	Utilize intervention programs, such as Lexia English, to target specific skills and accelerate English proficiency. Use a progress monitoring tool to assess each long-term English learner's progress towards reclassification and meet with families to discuss their student's progress towards	$50,963.37	Yes

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
		reclassification. This action serves as a Required Action for Long-term English learners.		
1.8	Supports for Students with Disabilities	Utilizing student academic and non-academic data, monitor student progress and provide a multi-tiered approach to interventions, including: - Provide a range of Special Education service providers, including counselors and Resource Specialist Programs - When appropriate, provide push-in services to support students in mainstreamed classes and provide support for students who need additional intervention - Maintain a Director of Special Education to support in training educators to provide accommodations and supports to students with disabilities.	$532,746.00	No
1.9	Supports for Homeless and/or Foster Youth	Hire and retain a bilingual Community Outreach Coordinator to provide support, advocacy, and resources for families of students who are homeless, in foster care, and/or unhoused. The Community Outreach Coordinator will work with external partners and organizations to connect students and families to resources. Provide direct access to supplies, materials, and additional student uniforms for students in need. Offer after school programs and activities for youth to provide extended learning and a safe place for students to grow and socialize.	$31,710.75	No Yes
1.10	College & Career Readiness	Provide a range of comprehensive college and career readiness supports, including: • Offer two college advisors to directly support students' college and career readiness • Host adult skills workshops (and other topics driven by student/parent interest) • Hire an assistant director of student services to support school staff in developing systems to increase our student A-G, Career and Technical Education, and high school graduation rates	$55,140.00	No

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
		• Provide career fairs and college field trips to engage students with various fields and post-secondary opportunities		

Goals and Actions

Goal

Goal #	Description	Type of Goal
2	Create an engaged parent community that is fully engaged in and actively connected to the school community.	Maintenance of Progress Goal

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Priority 3: Parental Involvement (Engagement)

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

One of our greatest assets, and true agents of change, are the families and community members who support us. We are their public school system and we rely on them as our educated and nimble allies. We know that our families are prepared and motivated to rise to any occasion, and this goal is aimed at ensuring this foundational value is centered in our work. Parent engagement and communication are both at the core of this goal in the LCAP.

Parent engagement at our school is founded in knowing that parents are our collaborators when it comes to student learning. This means that we find ways to educate, empower, and involve parents in their child's education. Parent engagement and communication efforts are focused on building strong, positive relationships between school staff and families. We work to ensure that every parent knows what is happening with their student, both academically and non-academically. We host frequent community nights and school events that build community and infuse fun and connection into our school culture.

Goal 2 is a maintenance goal directed at upholding our standards for a strong parent community. The priorities being addressed under this goal include parent engagement and school climate. It was developed in collaboration with our educational partners to ensure that we continue our work regarding strong parent engagement. We will achieve this goal by implementing frequent family events and activities that are bolstered by parent involvement in school planning and decision-making. The metrics and actions outlined below ensure that we are building a strong parent community with an emphasis on connection and partnership.

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
2.1	Parents will be provided regular opportunities to participate in school site	Scheduled at least 3 FST meetings and at least 3	Scheduled at least 3 FST meetings	Scheduled at least 3 FST meetings	Schedule at least 3 Family, Student, and Teacher (FST)	Metric Met

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
	decision making. (SP 3.1) Source: Internal Google Drive - Meeting Agendas	Parent Committee for English Learners meetings, inviting all parents to participate. From the 2023-24 school year.			meetings annually and at least 3 English Learner Advisory Council (Parent Committee for English Learners) meetings annually, inviting all parents to participate.	
2.2	Parents of all students, including unduplicated pupils and students with exceptional needs, will be invited to participate in at least 4 parent/family events, including parent-teacher conferences, ongoing parent meetings and workshops, and other school events (SP 3.2, 3.3) Source: ParentSquare messaging/invitatio ns, school calendar	Parents invited to at least 4 events From the 2022-23 school year.	Parents invited to at least 4 events	Parents invited to at least 4 events	Parents invited to at least 4 events	Metric Met

Goal Analysis [2025-26]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

All of our parent engagement and communication efforts focus on building strong, positive relationships between school staff and families. We strive to ensure that every parent is informed about their child's academic progress and overall well-being. To foster a sense of

community, we host frequent family events and school activities that celebrate our school culture while promoting connection and engagement. These efforts are designed to strengthen the school-home relationship and reflect the diversity and values of our school community.

Planned: Host regular community events and school activities that encourage family participation. Hold three Family Staff Team (FST) meetings and administer a parent information survey to gather feedback and strengthen family voice.

Implemented: Held Family Staff Team (FST) using network bylaws and policies to ensure meaningful opportunities for parents to participate in school planning and decision-making, including LCAP, college electives, and culture events. A small group of representatives actively attended meetings and provided valuable feedback on the LCAP, school budget, and schoolwide events and initiatives. Two-way communication between school staff and families was strengthened through consistent use of the ParentSquare platform, along with multi-modal communication including newsletters, text messages, apps, and in-person updates provided in home languages to ensure accessibility. The YouthTruth survey was also administered to gather additional student and family feedback on school experience and engagement.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

Attendance data and parent feedback indicate that these meetings and engagement opportunities were well-attended and that families consistently participated in FST meetings and school events. This reflects strong interest and involvement from families in schoolwide decision-making and community-building efforts.
YouthTruth survey data indicate that there is still work to be done in improving satisfaction with overall school operations. While engagement levels are strong, this feedback suggests a need to further strengthen communication, responsiveness, and clarity around school processes to better align family perceptions with the school's efforts and intentions.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

Provide parent education workshops on student learning, social-emotional development, and school resources. Hold parent workshops for parents who are unable to access technology. Hold affirmative groups of the target populations. Examine and dive into youth truths data do determine root cause of ratings given.

A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.

Actions

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
2.1	Parent Engagement Activities	Hold at least 2 orientations and/or open houses for new incoming families to learn about available school programs, the Parent-Family Handbook, school-wide goals, expectations, rules, and norms. Host 2 monthly Parent Meetings, one in the morning and one in the evening, to communicate to parents about school programs and activities and to receive their feedback and ideas. Provide ongoing parent-teacher conferences and parent education workshops on topics such as: college and career preparation, mental health, parent education, student progress monitoring tools and resources, internet safety, sex education and/or other topics that they express interest in.	$30,857.80	Yes
2.2	Parent Communication Activities	Utilize the parent communication platform ParentSquare to communicate with parents in real time by text, email, and phone. Provide parent access to PowerSchool so that parents can view student academic progress data. Provide translation and interpretation services to families whose primary language is not English, so that all families can engage with school programs, events, and activities.	$45,339.07	Yes

Goals and Actions

Goal

Goal #	Description	Type of Goal
3	Create a safe, inclusive, and welcoming school community where students and staff are fully invested in academic, health, and social-emotional learning.	Broad Goal

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Priority 5: Pupil Engagement (Engagement)
Priority 6: School Climate (Engagement)

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

We believe that a strong school culture is accomplished by having our network of teachers, scholars, and school leaders adhere to our foundational practices with consistency. Effective school leaders and faculty transform vision and values into meticulously built systems that operate in every single classroom and hallway. These core values and daily practices create a strong, supportive school community.

Our school culture is grounded in students feeling a strong sense of belonging at the school, as well as having a cohesive school environment that is safe and supportive. Student engagement and school culture efforts are focused on providing fun and creative opportunities for connection, exploration, and wellness. We partner with community organizations as much as possible to provide these opportunities to our students and families and create a culture of celebration by honoring students and their accomplishments throughout the year. We also center social justice in our work together and provide teachers with continuous learning to build their equity practices and cultural fluency.

Goal 3 is a broad goal focused on creating and maintaining this safe, inclusive, supportive school environment. The priorities being addressed under this goal include pupil engagement and school climate. It was developed in collaboration with our educational partners to ensure that we continue to prioritize student engagement and the culture of our school community. We will achieve this goal by implementing frequent school culture activities and positive behavioral intervention systems and social-emotional learning. The metrics and actions outlined below ensure that we are building a supportive school community fully invested in academic and non-academic outcomes.

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
3.1	90% or greater Average Daily Attendance (“ADA”) (SP 5.1)	ALL: 96.4% Hispanic: 96.5% EL: 95.8%	All: 94.26%	All: 93.02%	Each student subgroup will	-3.38%

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
	Source: CALPADS 14.1	SED: 96.7% SWD: 95.8%			achieve 90% or greater ADA.	
3.2	Decrease student chronic absenteeism rate or meet the overall state average for baseline year (SP 5.2) Source: CA Dashboard and DataQuest Chronic Absenteeism Rate	All: 6.3% SED: 6.0% Hispanic: 5.6% EL: 10.1% SWD: 8.7%	All: 10.1% SED: 10.3% Hispanic: 9.8% EL: 11.5% SWD: 5.6%	All: 15.5% SED: 15.2% Hispanic: 15.9% EL: 22.9% SWD: 15.0%	Each student subgroup will decrease at least 0.5 percentage points annually or meet the overall 2022-2023 state average, 24.9%.	All: 9.2% SED: 9.2% Hispanic: 10.3% EL: 12.8% SWD: 6.3%
3.3	Decrease suspension rate or meet the overall state average for baseline year (SP 6.1) Source: CA Dashboard	All: 4.7% SED: 5.8% Hispanic: 4.3% EL: 5.0% SWD: 8.2%	All: 5.5% SED: 3.7% Hispanic: 4.3% EL: 6.7% SWD: 9.8%	All: 7.0% SED: 6.8% Hispanic: 7.2% EL: 10.3% SWD: 10.0%	Each student subgroup will decrease at least 0.5 percentage points annually or meet the 2022¬2023 overall state average, 3.5%	All: 2.3% SED: 1.0% Hispanic: 2.9% EL: 5.3% SWD: 1.8%
3.4	Maintain a very low expulsion rate (SP 6.2) Source: DataQuest Expulsion Rate	All: 0.0% SED: 0.0% Hispanic: 0.0% EL: 0.0% SWD: 0.0%	All: 0.0% SED: 0.0% Hispanic: 0.0% EL: 0.0% SWD: 0.0%	All: 0.0% SED: 0.0% Hispanic: 0.0% EL: 0.0% SWD: 0.0%	Each student subgroup will have 0.5% or lower expulsion rate	All: 0.0% SED: 0.0% Hispanic: 0.0% EL: 0.0% SWD: 0.0%
3.5	Increase the percent of students responding "agree" or "strongly agree" to "I really feel like a part of my school's community." (SP 6.3) Source: YouthTruth survey	46.0% From the 21-22 school year.	33.0%	30.0%	Increase 3 percentage points annually or meet 85% of students responding "agree" or "strongly agree"	-16.0%

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
3.6	Increase the percent of students responding "agree" or "strongly agree" to "I feel safe at school." (SP 6.3) Source: YouthTruth survey	63.0% From the 21-22 school year.	54.0%	48.0%	Increase 3 percentage points annually or meet 85% of students responding "agree" or "strongly agree"	-15.0%
3.7	"Decrease the 5 year cohort dropout rate or meet the state average for baseline year (SP 5.4) Source: DataQuest Five-Year Cohort Outcomes"	All: 14.1% SED: 15.1% Hispanic: 14.1% EL: 25.0%	All: 22.8% SED: 22.7% Hispanic: 20.5% EL: 37.9%	To be completed 2027	Each student subgroup will decrease at least 1 percentage point annually or maintain a rate below 3.5%	All: 8.7% SED: 7.6% Hispanic: 6.4% EL: 12.9%
3.8	Increase the 5 year cohort graduation rate or meet the overall state average for baseline year (SP 5.5) Source: CA Dashboard	All: 71.8% SED: 71.6% Hispanic: 73.4% EL: 56.3%	All: 83.8% SED: 83.3% Hispanic: 82.7% EL: 71.4%	All: 80.5% SED: 82.9% Hispanic: 83.1% EL: 80.4%	Each student subgroup will grow at least 1 percentage point annually or meet the 2022-2023 overall state average, 86.4%	All: 8.7% SED: 11.3% Hispanic: 9.7% EL: 24.1%

Goal Analysis [2025-26]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

Our goal is to create and maintain a safe, inclusive, and supportive school environment that fosters a strong sense of belonging and engagement for all students. We are committed to supporting students' academic success alongside their social-emotional well-being through intentional school culture-building practices and coordinated systems of support. A positive school climate remains a central driver of student engagement, attendance, and overall achievement, and we continue to refine practices that strengthen both student voice and connection to the school community.

Planned: Expand Positive Behavioral Interventions and Supports (PBIS) schoolwide to promote a consistent, positive behavioral culture and reduce disciplinary incidents. Implement regular schoolwide culture-building activities, including spirit days, student assemblies, recognition programs, and celebrations of student success, in order to strengthen belonging and honor student diversity. In addition, systematically analyze engagement and climate data—such as attendance, discipline, and survey results—on an ongoing basis to identify trends, respond to student needs, and continuously improve schoolwide practices and supports.

Implemented: The school implemented YouthTruth surveys to gather student perspectives on school climate and belonging. Results showed an increase in students reporting a stronger sense of connection to school, which is further supported by improvements reflected in chronic absenteeism data, indicating stronger student engagement and attendance patterns. A Tier 1 PBIS system was fully rolled out, establishing clear, schoolwide behavioral expectations and consistent reinforcement systems. In addition, restorative and progressive discipline procedures were implemented through a structured behavior matrix, supporting more consistent and relationship-centered responses to student behavior. Monthly data reviews, including attendance and discipline data, were embedded into leadership and site team meetings to ensure ongoing monitoring and timely response to emerging trends. School culture was further strengthened through ongoing student recognition programs and cultural celebrations. These included monthly assemblies and announcements that highlighted student achievements as well as culturally responsive events such as Hispanic Heritage Month celebrations, Black History Month showcases, and an International Night, all of which contributed to a more inclusive and connected school community.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

Overall, schoolwide systems and culture-building efforts continue to demonstrate positive impact, particularly in student engagement, attendance patterns, and participation in school community activities. Increased implementation of PBIS systems, restorative practices, and schoolwide celebrations has contributed to a stronger sense of belonging and a more inclusive school environment. These indicators reflect growing student connection to the school and consistent participation in supportive school structures. Student perception data also shows an increase in students reporting that they feel safe at school. This improvement is likely connected to a decrease in high-impact incidents (such as fights or safety-related disruptions), which has contributed to a more stable and secure school environment. With fewer significant incidents disrupting the school day, students are experiencing greater consistency and predictability in their learning environment, which supports a stronger overall sense of safety and well-being. These outcomes suggest that the school’s investments in proactive behavior systems, restorative practices, and coordinated student supports are contributing to both improved school climate and increased student feelings of safety.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

Continue strengthening Tier 1 PBIS implementation with a focus on consistency across all classrooms and settings, ensuring that expectations are explicitly taught, reinforced, and monitored. Deepen staff training in restorative practices to further reduce the likelihood of

escalation and to support consistent, relationship-centered responses to student behavior. In addition, continue analyzing discipline and incident data in real time to identify patterns early and proactively address emerging concerns. The school should also expand proactive supervision structures and student relationship-building practices during high-risk transition times (e.g., passing periods, lunch, arrival/dismissal) to further reduce high-impact incidents and sustain improvements in student safety and school climate.

A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.

Actions

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
3.1	Community Engagement	Implement an annual survey to collect staff, student, and parent feedback on a variety of topics including school plans, culture and engagement, and academic program. Engage with community programs and organizations to provide resources for families and students, especially our most marginalized subgroups. Provide workshops for students on social skills, academic skills, and stress management. Establish partnerships with a variety of programs to explore interests to lead a healthy life, such as: Mindfulness, Yoga, Karate, sports, arts, clubs, and more. Host an annual event in partnership with community organizations, such as a community resource fair, park clean-up day, and/or civic engagement opportunities.	$51,275.83	Yes
3.2	School Culture Activities	Throughout the year, provide a variety of activities to motivate learning, social skills, and a strong school culture, including: - Field trips, graduation ceremonies, demonstrations of values, attendance, and academic progress - Acknowledge accomplishments and progress every quarter with awards and ribbons - Build school spirit and provide themed celebrations and materials such as school uniforms, agendas/school supplies, athletic apparel, yearbooks, etc. - Hold quarterly culture-building events such as outdoor field days, pep rallies, or community clean-up days that promote a strong sense of school culture and community. - Hold at least one student assembly annually on student academic achievement. - Hold at least two assemblies that focus on developmentally appropriate social/emotional concerns such as: bullying, cyber-bullying, peer pressure, sexual education, drug and alcohol abuse, growth mindset, digital	$135,039.23	Yes

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
		citizenship, mind and body health, neighborhood safety, and programs addressing equity and social justice.		
3.3	Supports for Student Attendance and Engagement	On an ongoing basis throughout the school year, assess student attendance data and evaluate the progress of any student subgroups with a red dashboard indicator for chronic absenteeism. Provide training and support resources for the School Attendance Review Team to reduce chronic absenteeism, with a particular emphasis on serving our most vulnerable students and identifying and addressing students' individual needs.	$45,922.00	Yes
3.4	Supports for Student Behavior and Wellbeing	On an ongoing basis throughout the school year, assess student data and evaluate the progress of any student subgroup with a red dashboard indicator for suspension and/or expulsion. Implement Positive Behavior Intervention Systems and restorative justice practices at the school which emphasize school values and reward positive student behaviors, including: - Each month, select a student of the month to celebrate students who distinguished themselves by demonstrating school values - Provide professional development for teachers and staff in the use of restorative practices, with a focus on supporting our most vulnerable students and any subgroups with a recent red dashboard indicator for suspension or expulsion • Train teachers to provide social-emotional learning opportunities through advisory/home room classes -The school psychologist/wellness counselor will meet with students experiencing non-academic problems	$189,953.79	Yes
3.5	Supports for Graduation	On an ongoing basis throughout each school year, assess student data on grades	$55,000.00	No

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
		and course completion to evaluate individual students' progress to graduation. Regularly conduct an analysis to identify any student subgroups with a red dashboard indicator for graduation. In 2023, the school received a red graduation dashboard indicator for its English learner subgroup. As a result our staff will specifically monitor these students' progress to graduation in order to provide targeted interventions and increase the graduation rate for English learners. Based on the data, provide interventions such as: • Offer credit recovery program during the academic year and over the summer to provide multiple avenues for students to meet graduation requirements • Offer workshops for students and families to educate them about graduation requirements early on in the high school career • College advisors and school counselor will meet with families to establish a graduation plan and check in at least 2 times per year regarding that plan		Yes

Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students [2026-27]

Total Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants	Projected Additional 15 percent LCFF Concentration Grant
$1079469	$

Required Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the LCAP Year

Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year	LCFF Carryover — Percentage	LCFF Carryover — Dollar	Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year
28.469%	0.000%	$0.00	28.469%

The Budgeted Expenditures for Actions identified as Contributing may be found in the Contributing Actions Table.

Required Descriptions

LEA-wide and Schoolwide Actions

For each action being provided to an entire LEA or school, provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s) and why it is being provided on an LEA or schoolwide basis, and (3) the metric(s) used to measure the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s).

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
1.1	**Action:** Academic Assessment and Progress Monitoring **Need:** Statewide, socioeconomically disadvantaged (“SED”)/low-income students have significantly lower rates of ELA and Math proficiency (42.6 and 80.8 points below standard, respectively) compared to their non-SED peers (13.6 and	Our multi-tiered levels of support will provide students with additional supports in the areas that they need it most. Each academic intervention is driven by student data to support learning and includes after school learning time and tutoring to target skill building, and the use of supplemental instruction programs such as IXL, iReady, Actively Learn, Lexia. We’re also providing extended professional development for staff so that they can differentiate instruction, utilize data to inform	We will monitor progress in the academic growth of our SED students. Feedback from students and families will shape the implementation of these supports. Metric 1m: Schoolwide and subgroup averages achieve at least one year’s

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
	49.1 points). Our school serves a high rate of SED students (83.5% in the 2023-24 school year). State and national data demonstrates that students from low socioeconomic backgrounds benefit from increased supports in achieving academic proficiency. There is a need for SED students to grow more than 1 year's worth of academic progress in a year's time in order to "catch up" to their more affluent peers and achieve proficiency. Additionally, parents and staff have indicated that academics are a top concern for them. Staff requested additional professional development to support them in building their capacity to utilize our internal assessment tools, assess academic data, and differentiate instruction. **Scope:** LEA-wide Schoolwide	instruction, and learn from veteran teachers via mentoring. These actions are being provided on an LEA- wide basis because a majority of our students are low income, and because all of our students can benefit from the academic interventions to support academic growth.	progress in ELA, as defined by internal assessment. Metric 1n: Schoolwide and subgroup averages achieve at least one year's progress in Math, as defined by internal assessment.
1.2	**Action:** Academic Supports **Need:** Statewide, socioeconomically disadvantaged ("SED")/low-income students have significantly lower rates of ELA and Math proficiency (42.6 and 80.8 points below standard, respectively) compared to their non-SED peers (13.6 and 49.1 points). Our school serves a high rate of SED students (83.5% in the 2023-24 school year). State and national data demonstrates that students from low socioeconomic backgrounds benefit from increased supports	Our multi-tiered levels of support will provide students with additional supports in the areas that they need it most. Each academic intervention is driven by student data to support learning and includes after school learning time and tutoring to target skill building, and the use of supplemental instruction programs such as IXL, iReady, Actively Learn, Lexia. We're also providing extended professional development for staff so that they can differentiate instruction, utilize data to inform instruction, and learn from veteran teachers via mentoring.	We will monitor progress in the academic growth of our SED students. Feedback from students and families will shape the implementation of these supports. Metric 1m: Schoolwide and subgroup averages achieve at least one year's progress in ELA, as defined by internal assessment.

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
	in achieving academic proficiency. There is a need for SED students to grow more than 1 year's worth of academic progress in a year's time in order to "catch up" to their more affluent peers and achieve proficiency. Additionally, parents and staff have indicated that academics are a top concern for them. Staff requested additional professional development to support them in building their capacity to utilize our internal assessment tools, assess academic data, and differentiate instruction. **Scope:** LEA-wide	These actions are being provided on an LEA- wide basis because a majority of our students are low income, and because all of our students can benefit from the academic interventions to support academic growth.	Metric 1n: Schoolwide and subgroup averages achieve at least one year's progress in Math, as defined by internal assessment.
1.4	**Action:** Professional Development to Promote Academic Excellence **Need:** Statewide, socioeconomically disadvantaged ("SED")/low-income students have significantly lower rates of ELA and Math proficiency (42.6 and 80.8 points below standard, respectively) compared to their non-SED peers (13.6 and 49.1 points). Our school serves a high rate of SED students (83.5% in the 2023-24 school year). State and national data demonstrates that students from low socioeconomic backgrounds benefit from increased supports in achieving academic proficiency. There is a need for SED students to grow more than 1 year's worth of academic progress in a year's time in order to "catch up" to their more affluent peers and achieve proficiency.	Our multi-tiered levels of support will provide students with additional supports in the areas that they need it most. Each academic intervention is driven by student data to support learning and includes after school learning time and tutoring to target skill building, and the use of supplemental instruction programs such as IXL, iReady, Actively Learn, Lexia. We're also providing extended professional development for staff so that they can differentiate instruction, utilize data to inform instruction, and learn from veteran teachers via mentoring. These actions are being provided on an LEA- wide basis because a majority of our students are low income, and because all of our students can benefit from the academic interventions to support academic growth.	We will monitor progress in the academic growth of our SED students. Feedback from students and families will shape the implementation of these supports. Metric 1m: Schoolwide and subgroup averages achieve at least one year's progress in ELA, as defined by internal assessment. Metric 1n: Schoolwide and subgroup averages achieve at least one year's progress in Math, as

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
	Additionally, parents and staff have indicated that academics are a top concern for them. Staff requested additional professional development to support them in building their capacity to utilize our internal assessment tools, assess academic data, and differentiate instruction. **Scope:** LEA-wide		defined by internal assessment.
1.7	**Action:** Additional Supports for Long-term English Learners **Need:** Language barriers make academic assessments such as CAASPP extremely challenging for our English learners. As a result, they perform worse on these assessments in both ELA and math (7.1% and 10.6% proficient, respectively) compared to the overall average for students (21.7% in ELA and 21.4% in math). Feedback from parents of English learners, and our English learner students, indicate that English fluency and academic success remain a top priority. Our teachers have expressed a need for additional professional development that prepares them to effectively teach and support English learners in their classrooms. **Scope:**	To target students' language fluency, we will utilize evidence-based ELD courses which meet the needs of multilingual learners at all proficiency levels—from newcomers to long¬term English learners—as the core curriculum for all ELD classes. We will also utilize intervention programs such as Lexia English to target specific skills and accelerate English proficiency. These actions will also address teachers' need for additional, targeted trainings in the use of effective instructional strategies for these students by providing additional professional development time in this area. In addition, the school's ELD Specialist will work with teachers to co-plan lessons, conduct classroom observations, and provide one-on-one coaching to improve EL supports. We will hire and retain a full-time paraprofessional to work with ELs on academic success and reclassification.	We will analyze ELPI results annually and will use internal progress monitoring tools to assess each long-term English learner's progress towards reclassification during the school year. We will meet with families to discuss their student's progress towards reclassification and their input will inform the school's programs and supports for English learners and long-term English learners. Metric 1 i: Increase percent of EL students growing at least one ELPI level/maintaining the highest ELPI level or meet the state average for baseline year.

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
			Metric 1L: Provide at least one paraprofessional to work with English learners.
1.9	**Action:** Supports for Homeless and/or Foster Youth **Need:** Although the number of foster youth and homeless students at our school is too small to be statistically significant on the California Schools Dashboard, data on these students nation-wide, as well as in the Bay Area, demonstrates there is a clear need for tangible supports such as resources, materials, and services that provide direct aid to students and their families. Foster youth and students experiencing homelessness are at a greater risk of "slipping through the cracks" compared to their peers. According to a California-based research study, the odds of homelessness for older youth were 44% lower for those who reported that they had "enough" people to turn to for tangible support. This includes those who can provide direct resources and/or practical assistance. Through outreach with students and families at our school, we have learned that there is need for additional communication and coordination between the school and some of our highest need families, including families of homeless students and foster youth. There is also a need for strong communication from a Spanish-language speaker as many of our families speak Spanish in their homes.	The bilingual role of Community Outreach Coordinator will promote strong connection and communication with all families, in addition to coordinating services for homeless students and foster youth. The coordinator will ensure that students and families have access to tangible resources from local organizations and nonprofits that can support them outside the classroom as well, such as Familias Unidas, Clinica de la Raza, Native American Health Center, Unity Council, Catholic Charities of the East Bay. Lastly, the after-school program provides students with a safe place to continue to learn, grow, and socialize after the school day has completed. These actions are being provided on an LEA- wide basis because even if a student is not currently homeless or in foster care, they may be at risk of either of these and their families may benefit from the efforts of the Community Outreach Coordinator and some of the provided supplies and materials. Therefore, while this action is designed to principally serve the needs of these students, it may benefit other students as well, including SED students and English learners.	We will monitor progress in increasing the average daily attendance rates for homeless and foster youth. Feedback from students and families will shape the work of the Community Outreach Coordinator. Metric 2: Parents will be provided regular opportunities to participate in school site decision-making. Metric 3a: Attain 90% or greater Average Daily Attendance for all subgroups.

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
	Scope: LEA-wide		
2.1	**Action:** Parent Engagement Activities **Need:** We have heard from our parents over the years (via one-on-one conversations and surveys) that they are interested in having parent education workshops on topics such as college and career preparation, mental health, internet safety, and a range of other topics. Many of our families are low-income and face additional challenges in regard to mental and physical health, and in knowing the process for getting their student to college. Many of our students are on track to become first-generation college students and it is our goal to build families' cultural capital in understanding howto navigate A-G coursework, preparing for the college application process, qualifying for financial aid, etc. Additionally, many of our families' home language is not English, and so we provide translation services and resources to ensure that all families can access the content and programming at our school. **Scope:**	As a result of this feedback from parents and students, we utilize the communication platform ParentSquare to relay information to families, invite them to school events, and solicit feedback on what kinds of things are important to them. We provide translation services and parent education workshops on topics of their interest, and host school orientations/open houses to share information about the school and available programs and resources for them and their students. We host bimonthly parent meetings at different times of day to accommodate varying parent schedules. These actions are being provided on an LEA- wide basis because a majority of our families are lowincome, and because all of our parents can benefit from the educational workshops and community-building events, and we want to seek input from all families into school decision-making and planning.	We will monitor effectiveness in this area by ensuring that we host regular opportunities, workshops, and events for families that target their needs and interests, particularly parents of unduplicated pupils and students with exceptional needs. Metric 2a: Parents will be provided with regular opportunities to participate in school site decision-making. Metric 2b: Parents of all students, including unduplicated pupils and students with exceptional needs, will be invited to participate in at least 4 parent/family events, including parent-teacher conferences, ongoing parent meetings and workshops, and other school events.

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
	LEA-wide		
2.2	**Action:** Parent Communication Activities **Need:** We have heard from our parents over the years (via one-on-one conversations and surveys) that they are interested in having parent education workshops on topics such as college and career preparation, mental health, internet safety, and a range of other topics. Many of our families are low-income and face additional challenges in regard to mental and physical health, and in knowing the process for getting their student to college. Many of our students are on track to become first-generation college students and it is our goal to build families' cultural capital in understanding howto navigate A-G coursework, preparing for the college application process, qualifying for financial aid, etc. Additionally, many of our families' home language is not English, and so we provide translation services and resources to ensure that all families can access the content and programming at our school. **Scope:** LEA-wide	As a result of this feedback from parents and students, we utilize the communication platform ParentSquare to relay information to families, invite them to school events, and solicit feedback on what kinds of things are important to them. We provide translation services and parent education workshops on topics of their interest, and host school orientations/open houses to share information about the school and available programs and resources for them and their students. We host bimonthly parent meetings at different times of day to accommodate varying parent schedules. These actions are being provided on an LEA- wide basis because a majority of our families are lowincome, and because all of our parents can benefit from the educational workshops and community-building events, and we want to seek input from all families into school decision-making and planning.	We will monitor effectiveness in this area by ensuring that we host regular opportunities, workshops, and events for families that target their needs and interests, particularly parents of unduplicated pupils and students with exceptional needs. Metric 2a: Parents will be provided with regular opportunities to participate in school site decision-making. Metric 2b: Parents of all students, including unduplicated pupils and students with exceptional needs, will be invited to participate in at least 4 parent/family events, including parent-teacher conferences, ongoing parent meetings and workshops, and other school events.

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
3.1	**Action:** Community Engagement **Need:** Survey data and other local data has indicated a strong need from our parents and students to offer robust non- academic offerings to enrich students' education experience, provide opportunities to learn and growoutside the classroom, and make school a more fun and engaging experience overall. Feedback from our parents and students indicated a need for extracurriculars such as arts, athletics, clubs, field trips, and non-academic content learning (such as stress management, typing, and study skills). Like many schools across the nation since the pandemic, our attendance and chronic absenteeism data (available in the metric data under Goal 3) demonstrate that these are two areas of need. Qualitative data from the 2023- 24 survey provided some of the examples described above, and other survey data indicated that 79.0% of students indicated they "really feel like a part of my school's community." **Scope:** LEA-wide	As a result of our community partners' feedback, we will solicit detailed and ongoing feedback via survey to better identify which specific activities are of interest to the current student body. We will provide workshops for students on social skills, academic skills, and stress management, as well as workshops for students to explore interests that lead a healthy life, such as: Mindfulness, Yoga, Karate, sports, arts, clubs, and more. We will establish partnerships with a variety of community organizations and programs to provide resources for families and students, especially our most marginalized subgroups. We will host an annual event in partnership with community organizations, such as a community resource fair, park clean-up day, and/or civic engagement opportunities. On an ongoing basis throughout the school year, we will assess student attendance data and evaluate the progress of any student subgroups with a red dashboard indicator for chronic absenteeism. We will provide training and support resources for the School Attendance ReviewTeam to reduce chronic absenteeism. These actions are being provided on an LEA- wide basis because all of our students and families contribute to the school culture and benefit from a close community and engaging learning experience. Many of our students struggle with absenteeism and can benefit from the interventions to support engagement and attendance.	Throughout the school year we will assess student attendance data and chronic absenteeism, as well have conversations with our students and families about the progress of this work via FSTmeetings and other parent/student engagement activities. Once per year we will analyze the survey results. Feedback from families and students will shape the implementation of the program, including specific workshop topics and field trip locations. Metric 3a: 90% or greater Average Daily Attendance. Metric 3b: Decrease student chronic absenteeism rate or meet the overall state average for baseline year. Metric 3h: Increase the percent of students responding "agree" or "strongly agree" to "I really feel like a part of my school's community."

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
3.2	**Action:** School Culture Activities **Need:** Survey data and other local data has indicated a strong need from our parents and students to offer robust non- academic offerings to enrich students' education experience, provide opportunities to learn and growoutside the classroom, and make school a more fun and engaging experience overall. Feedback from our parents and students indicated a need for extracurriculars such as arts, athletics, clubs, field trips, and non-academic content learning (such as stress management, typing, and study skills). Like many schools across the nation since the pandemic, our attendance and chronic absenteeism data (available in the metric data under Goal 3) demonstrate that these are two areas of need. Qualitative data from the 2023- 24 survey provided some of the examples described above, and other survey data indicated that 79.0% of students indicated they "really feel like a part of my school's community." **Scope:** LEA-wide	As a result of our community partners' feedback, we will solicit detailed and ongoing feedback via survey to better identify which specific activities are of interest to the current student body. We will provide workshops for students on social skills, academic skills, and stress management, as well as workshops for students to explore interests that lead a healthy life, such as: Mindfulness, Yoga, Karate, sports, arts, clubs, and more. We will establish partnerships with a variety of community organizations and programs to provide resources for families and students, especially our most marginalized subgroups. We will host an annual event in partnership with community organizations, such as a community resource fair, park clean-up day, and/or civic engagement opportunities. On an ongoing basis throughout the school year, we will assess student attendance data and evaluate the progress of any student subgroups with a red dashboard indicator for chronic absenteeism. We will provide training and support resources for the School Attendance ReviewTeam to reduce chronic absenteeism. These actions are being provided on an LEA- wide basis because all of our students and families contribute to the school culture and benefit from a close community and engaging learning experience. Many of our students struggle with absenteeism and can benefit from the interventions to support engagement and attendance.	Throughout the school year we will assess student attendance data and chronic absenteeism, as well have conversations with our students and families about the progress of this work via FSTmeetings and other parent/student engagement activities. Once per year we will analyze the survey results. Feedback from families and students will shape the implementation of the program, including specific workshop topics and field trip locations. Metric 3a: 90% or greater Average Daily Attendance. Metric 3b: Decrease student chronic absenteeism rate or meet the overall state average for baseline year. Metric 3h: Increase the percent of students responding "agree" or "strongly agree" to "I really feel like a part of my school's community."

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
3.3	**Action:** Supports for Student Attendance and Engagement **Need:** Survey data and other local data has indicated a strong need from our parents and students to offer robust non- academic offerings to enrich students' education experience, provide opportunities to learn and growoutside the classroom, and make school a more fun and engaging experience overall. Feedback from our parents and students indicated a need for extracurriculars such as arts, athletics, clubs, field trips, and non-academic content learning (such as stress management, typing, and study skills). Like many schools across the nation since the pandemic, our attendance and chronic absenteeism data (available in the metric data under Goal 3) demonstrate that these are two areas of need. Qualitative data from the 2023- 24 survey provided some of the examples described above, and other survey data indicated that 79.0% of students indicated they "really feel like a part of my school's community." **Scope:** LEA-wide	As a result of our community partners' feedback, we will solicit detailed and ongoing feedback via survey to better identify which specific activities are of interest to the current student body. We will provide workshops for students on social skills, academic skills, and stress management, as well as workshops for students to explore interests that lead a healthy life, such as: Mindfulness, Yoga, Karate, sports, arts, clubs, and more. We will establish partnerships with a variety of community organizations and programs to provide resources for families and students, especially our most marginalized subgroups. We will host an annual event in partnership with community organizations, such as a community resource fair, park clean-up day, and/or civic engagement opportunities. On an ongoing basis throughout the school year, we will assess student attendance data and evaluate the progress of any student subgroups with a red dashboard indicator for chronic absenteeism. We will provide training and support resources for the School Attendance ReviewTeam to reduce chronic absenteeism. These actions are being provided on an LEA- wide basis because all of our students and families contribute to the school culture and benefit from a close community and engaging learning experience. Many of our students struggle with absenteeism and can benefit from the interventions to support engagement and attendance.	Throughout the school year we will assess student attendance data and chronic absenteeism, as well have conversations with our students and families about the progress of this work via FSTmeetings and other parent/student engagement activities. Once per year we will analyze the survey results. Feedback from families and students will shape the implementation of the program, including specific workshop topics and field trip locations. Metric 3a: 90% or greater Average Daily Attendance. Metric 3b: Decrease student chronic absenteeism rate or meet the overall state average for baseline year. Metric 3h: Increase the percent of students responding "agree" or "strongly agree" to "I really feel like a part of my school's community."

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
3.4	**Action:** Supports for Student Behavior and Wellbeing **Need:** Survey data and other local data has indicated a strong need from our parents and students to offer robust non- academic offerings to enrich students' education experience, provide opportunities to learn and growoutside the classroom, and make school a more fun and engaging experience overall. Feedback from our parents and students indicated a need for extracurriculars such as arts, athletics, clubs, field trips, and non-academic content learning (such as stress management, typing, and study skills). Like many schools across the nation since the pandemic, our attendance and chronic absenteeism data (available in the metric data under Goal 3) demonstrate that these are two areas of need. Qualitative data from the 2023- 24 survey provided some of the examples described above, and other survey data indicated that 79.0% of students indicated they "really feel like a part of my school's community." **Scope:** LEA-wide	As a result of our community partners' feedback, we will solicit detailed and ongoing feedback via survey to better identify which specific activities are of interest to the current student body. We will provide workshops for students on social skills, academic skills, and stress management, as well as workshops for students to explore interests that lead a healthy life, such as: Mindfulness, Yoga, Karate, sports, arts, clubs, and more. We will establish partnerships with a variety of community organizations and programs to provide resources for families and students, especially our most marginalized subgroups. We will host an annual event in partnership with community organizations, such as a community resource fair, park clean-up day, and/or civic engagement opportunities. On an ongoing basis throughout the school year, we will assess student attendance data and evaluate the progress of any student subgroups with a red dashboard indicator for chronic absenteeism. We will provide training and support resources for the School Attendance ReviewTeam to reduce chronic absenteeism. These actions are being provided on an LEA- wide basis because all of our students and families contribute to the school culture and benefit from a close community and engaging learning experience. Many of our students struggle with absenteeism and can benefit from the interventions to support engagement and attendance.	Throughout the school year we will assess student attendance data and chronic absenteeism, as well have conversations with our students and families about the progress of this work via FSTmeetings and other parent/student engagement activities. Once per year we will analyze the survey results. Feedback from families and students will shape the implementation of the program, including specific workshop topics and field trip locations. Metric 3a: 90% or greater Average Daily Attendance. Metric 3b: Decrease student chronic absenteeism rate or meet the overall state average for baseline year. Metric 3h: Increase the percent of students responding "agree" or "strongly agree" to "I really feel like a part of my school's community."

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
3.5	**Action:** Supports for Graduation **Need:** Survey data and other local data has indicated a strong need from our parents and students to offer robust non- academic offerings to enrich students' education experience, provide opportunities to learn and growoutside the classroom, and make school a more fun and engaging experience overall. Feedback from our parents and students indicated a need for extracurriculars such as arts, athletics, clubs, field trips, and non-academic content learning (such as stress management, typing, and study skills). Like many schools across the nation since the pandemic, our attendance and chronic absenteeism data (available in the metric data under Goal 3) demonstrate that these are two areas of need. Qualitative data from the 2023- 24 survey provided some of the examples described above, and other survey data indicated that 79.0% of students indicated they "really feel like a part of my school's community." **Scope:** LEA-wide	As a result of our community partners' feedback, we will solicit detailed and ongoing feedback via survey to better identify which specific activities are of interest to the current student body. We will provide workshops for students on social skills, academic skills, and stress management, as well as workshops for students to explore interests that lead a healthy life, such as: Mindfulness, Yoga, Karate, sports, arts, clubs, and more. We will establish partnerships with a variety of community organizations and programs to provide resources for families and students, especially our most marginalized subgroups. We will host an annual event in partnership with community organizations, such as a community resource fair, park clean-up day, and/or civic engagement opportunities. On an ongoing basis throughout the school year, we will assess student attendance data and evaluate the progress of any student subgroups with a red dashboard indicator for chronic absenteeism. We will provide training and support resources for the School Attendance ReviewTeam to reduce chronic absenteeism. These actions are being provided on an LEA- wide basis because all of our students and families contribute to the school culture and benefit from a close community and engaging learning experience. Many of our students struggle with absenteeism and can benefit from the interventions to support engagement and attendance.	Throughout the school year we will assess student attendance data and chronic absenteeism, as well have conversations with our students and families about the progress of this work via FSTmeetings and other parent/student engagement activities. Once per year we will analyze the survey results. Feedback from families and students will shape the implementation of the program, including specific workshop topics and field trip locations. Metric 3a: 90% or greater Average Daily Attendance. Metric 3b: Decrease student chronic absenteeism rate or meet the overall state average for baseline year. Metric 3h: Increase the percent of students responding "agree" or "strongly agree" to "I really feel like a part of my school's community."

Limited Actions

For each action being solely provided to one or more unduplicated student group(s), provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s), and (3) how the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s) will be measured.

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) are Designed to Address Need(s)	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
1.6	**Action:** Supports for English Learners **Need:** Language barriers make academic assessments such as CAASPP extremely challenging for our English learners. As a result, they perform worse on these assessments in both ELA and math (7.1% and 10.6% proficient, respectively) compared to the overall average for students (21.7% in ELA and 21.4% in math). Feedback from parents of English learners, and our English learner students, indicate that English fluency and academic success remain a top priority. Our teachers have expressed a need for additional professional development that prepares them to effectively teach and support English learners in their classrooms. **Scope:** Limited to Unduplicated Student Group(s)	To target students' language fluency, we will utilize evidence-based ELD courses which meet the needs of multilingual learners at all proficiency levels—from newcomers to long-term English learners—as the core curriculum for all ELD classes. We will also utilize intervention programs such as Lexia English to target specific skills and accelerate English proficiency. These actions will also address teachers' need for additional, targeted trainings in the use of effective instructional strategies for these students by providing additional professional development time in this area. In addition, the school's ELD Specialist will work with teachers to co-plan lessons, conduct classroom observations, and provide one-on-one coaching to improve EL supports. We will hire and retain a full-time paraprofessional to work with ELs on academic success and reclassification.	We will analyze ELPI results annually and will use internal progress monitoring tools to assess each long-term English learner's progress towards reclassification during the school year. We will meet with families to discuss their student's progress towards reclassification and their input will inform the school's programs and supports for English learners and long-term English learners. Metric 1 i: Increase percent of EL students growing at least one ELPI level/maintaining the highest ELPI level or meet the state average for baseline year. Metric 1L: Provide at least one paraprofessional to work with English learners.
1.7	**Action:**		

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) are Designed to Address Need(s)	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
	Additional Supports for Long-term English Learners **Need:** **Scope:** Limited to Unduplicated Student Group(s)		

For any limited action contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement that is associated with a Planned Percentage of Improved Services in the Contributing Summary Table rather than an expenditure of LCFF funds, describe the methodology that was used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage, as applicable.

Additional Concentration Grant Funding

A description of the plan for how the additional concentration grant add-on funding identified above will be used to increase the number of staff providing direct services to students at schools that have a high concentration (above 55 percent) of foster youth, English learners, and low-income students, as applicable.

The additional concentration grant add-on funding identified above will be used to directly hire and pay for several key positions within the LEA that support the needs of foster youth, English learners, and low-income students. First, the funds will be used to provide after school tutors to improve student academic outcomes (Goal 1, Action 1.2). Secondly, the funds will be used to hire and retain a full-time paraprofessional who is dedicated to supporting English learners and long-term English learners (Goal 1, Actions 1.6 and 1.7).

Staff-to-student ratios by type of school and concentration of unduplicated students	Schools with a student concentration of 55 percent or less	Schools with a student concentration of greater than 55 percent
Staff-to-student ratio of classified staff providing direct services to students	Not applicable for charter schools.	Not applicable for charter schools.

Staff-to-student ratios by type of school and concentration of unduplicated students	Schools with a student concentration of 55 percent or less	Schools with a student concentration of greater than 55 percent
Staff-to-student ratio of certificated staff providing direct services to students	Not applicable for charter schools.	Not applicable for charter schools.

2026-27 Total Planned Expenditures Table

LCAP Year	1. Projected LCFF Base Grant (Input Dollar Amount)	2. Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (Input Dollar Amount)	3. Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (2 divided by 1)	LCFF Carryover — Percentage (Input Percentage from Prior Year)	Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (3 + Carryover %)
Totals	3791734	1079469	28.469%	0.000%	28.469%

Totals	LCFF Funds	Other State Funds	Local Funds	Federal Funds	Total Funds	Total Personnel	Total Non-personnel
Totals	$1,823,941.36	$538,963.72	$0.00	$100,000.00	$2,462,905.08	$1,984,810.50	$478,094.58

Goal #	Action #	Action Title	Student Group(s)	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Scope	Unduplicated Student Group(s)	Location	Time Span	Total Personnel	Total Non-personnel	LCFF Funds	Other State Funds	Local Funds	Federal Funds	Total Funds	Planned Percentage of Improved Services
1	1.1	Academic Assessment and Progress Monitoring	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	LEA-wide Schoolwide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Specific Schools: The charter school/LEA	Ongoing	$45,865.92	$43,672.92	$50,865.92	$38,672.92			$89,538.84	
1	1.2	Academic Supports	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Specific Schools: The charter school/LEA	Ongoing	$635,764.03	$0.00	$576,359.23	$59,404.80			$635,764.03	
1	1.3	Materials, Curriculum, & Supplies	All	No			All Schools	Ongoing	$0.00	$115,570.00	$115,570.00				$115,570.00	
1	1.4	Professional Development to Promote Academic Excellence	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Specific Schools: The charter school/LEA	Ongoing	$109,888.09	$15,500.00	$85,388.09			$40,000.00	$125,388.09	
1	1.5	Safe and Secure Facilities	All	No			All Schools	Ongoing	$97,442.80	$10,000.00	$42,442.80	$65,000.00			$107,442.80	
1	1.6	Supports for English Learners	English Learners	Yes	Limited to Unduplicated Student Group(s)	English Learners	Specific Schools: The charter school/LEA	Ongoing	$150,253.48	$15,000.00	$105,253.48			$60,000.00	$165,253.48	
1	1.7	Additional Supports for Long-term English Learners	English Learners	Yes	Limited to Unduplicated Student Group(s)	English Learners	Specific Schools: The charter school/LEA	Ongoing	$37,563.37	$13,400.00	$50,963.37				$50,963.37	

Goal #	Action #	Action Title	Student Group(s)	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Scope	Unduplicated Student Group(s)	Location	Time Span	Total Personnel	Total Non-personnel	LCFF Funds	Other State Funds	Local Funds	Federal Funds	Total Funds	Planned Percentage of Improved Services
1	1.8	Supports for Students with Disabilities	Students with Disabilities	No			All Schools	Ongoing	$409,846.00	$122,900.00	$200,000.00	$332,746.00			$532,746.00	
1	1.9	Supports for Homeless and/or Foster Youth	All	No Yes	LEA-wide		Specific Schools: The charter school/LEA	Ongoing	$25,710.75	$6,000.00	$25,710.75	$6,000.00			$31,710.75	
1	1.10	College & Career Readiness	All	No			All Schools		$55,140.00	$0.00	$28,000.00	$27,140.00			$55,140.00	
2	2.1	Parent Engagement Activities	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Specific Schools: The charter school/LEA	Ongoing	$20,549.80	$10,308.00	$30,857.80				$30,857.80	
2	2.2	Parent Communication Activities	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Specific Schools: The charter school/LEA	Ongoing	$30,339.07	$15,000.00	$45,339.07				$45,339.07	
3	3.1	Community Engagement	Low Income	Yes	LEA-wide	Low Income	Specific Schools: The charter school/LEA	Ongoing	$26,275.83	$25,000.00	$51,275.83				$51,275.83	
3	3.2	School Culture Activities	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Specific Schools: The charter school/LEA	Ongoing	$75,039.23	$60,000.00	$135,039.23				$135,039.23	
3	3.3	Supports for Student Attendance and Engagement	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Specific Schools: The charter school/LEA	Ongoing	$43,922.00	$2,000.00	$45,922.00				$45,922.00	
3	3.4	Supports for Student Behavior and Wellbeing	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Specific Schools: The charter school/LEA	Ongoing	$176,210.13	$13,743.66	$189,953.79				$189,953.79	
3	3.5	Supports for Graduation	All	No Yes	LEA-wide		All Schools		$45,000.00	$10,000.00	$45,000.00	$10,000.00			$55,000.00	

2026-27 Contributing Actions Table

1. Projected LCFF Base Grant	2. Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants	3. Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (2 divided by 1)	LCFF Carryover — Percentage (Percentage from Prior Year)	Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (3 + Carryover %)	4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)	5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)	Planned Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (4 divided by 1, plus 5)	Totals by Type	Total LCFF Funds
3791734	1079469	28.469%	0.000%	28.469%	$1,437,928.56	0.000%	37.923 %	**Total:**	$1,437,928.56
								LEA-wide Total:	$1,281,711.71
								Limited Total:	$156,216.85
								Schoolwide Total:	$50,865.92

Goal	Action #	Action Title	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Scope	Unduplicated Student Group(s)	Location	Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)
1	1.1	Academic Assessment and Progress Monitoring	Yes	LEA-wide Schoolwide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Specific Schools: The charter school/LEA	$50,865.92	
1	1.2	Academic Supports	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Specific Schools: The charter school/LEA	$576,359.23	
1	1.4	Professional Development to Promote Academic Excellence	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Specific Schools: The charter school/LEA	$85,388.09	
1	1.6	Supports for English Learners	Yes	Limited to Unduplicated Student Group(s)	English Learners	Specific Schools: The charter school/LEA	$105,253.48	
1	1.7	Additional Supports for Long-term English Learners	Yes	Limited to Unduplicated Student Group(s)	English Learners	Specific Schools: The charter school/LEA	$50,963.37	
1	1.9	Supports for Homeless and/or Foster Youth	Yes	LEA-wide		Specific Schools: The charter school/LEA	$25,710.75	
2	2.1	Parent Engagement Activities	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth	Specific Schools: The charter	$30,857.80	

Goal	Action #	Action Title	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Scope	Unduplicated Student Group(s)	Location	Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)
					Low Income	school/LEA		
2	**2.2**	Parent Communication Activities	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Specific Schools: The charter school/LEA	$45,339.07	
3	**3.1**	Community Engagement	Yes	LEA-wide	Low Income	Specific Schools: The charter school/LEA	$51,275.83	
3	**3.2**	School Culture Activities	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Specific Schools: The charter school/LEA	$135,039.23	
3	**3.3**	Supports for Student Attendance and Engagement	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Specific Schools: The charter school/LEA	$45,922.00	
3	**3.4**	Supports for Student Behavior and Wellbeing	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Specific Schools: The charter school/LEA	$189,953.79	
3	**3.5**	Supports for Graduation	Yes	LEA-wide			$45,000.00	

2025-26 Annual Update Table

Totals	Last Year's Total Planned Expenditures (Total Funds)	Total Estimated Expenditures (Total Funds)
Totals	$2,381,162.85	$2,410,613.91

Last Year's Goal #	Last Year's Action #	Prior Action/Service Title	Contributed to Increased or Improved Services?	Last Year's Planned Expenditures (Total Funds)	Estimated Actual Expenditures (Input Total Funds)
1	**1.1**	Academic Assessment and Progress Monitoring	Yes	$86,610.83	86965.1
1	**1.2**	Academic Supports	Yes	$633,762.30	636360.73
1	**1.3**	Materials, Curriculum, & Supplies	No	$90,000.00	104535
1	**1.4**	Professional Development to Promote Academic Excellence	Yes	$109,882.90	103333.42
1	**1.5**	Safe and Secure Facilities	No	$101,119.75	101534.34
1	**1.6**	Supports for English Learners	Yes	$137,036.00	141597.84
1	**1.7**	Additional Supports for Long-term English Learners	Yes	$32,668.66	33801.94
1	**1.8**	Supports for Students with Disabilities	No	$592,765.67	597196.01
1	**1.9**	Supports for Homeless and/or Foster Youth	No Yes	$19,650.20	20730.57
1	**1.10**	College & Career Readiness	No	$51,419.07	51419.07

Last Year's Goal #	Last Year's Action #	Prior Action/Service Title	Contributed to Increased or Improved Services?	Last Year's Planned Expenditures (Total Funds)	Estimated Actual Expenditures (Input Total Funds)
2	**2.1**	Parent Engagement Activities	Yes	$32,156.34	32288.18
2	**2.2**	Parent Communication Activities	Yes	$42,339.07	47169.53
3	**3.1**	Community Engagement	Yes	$50,490.72	50597.73
3	**3.2**	School Culture Activities	Yes	$135,737.24	135923.76
3	**3.3**	Supports for Student Attendance and Engagement	Yes	$46,110.52	46799.57
3	**3.4**	Supports for Student Behavior and Wellbeing	Yes	$172,195.35	172701.89
3	**3.5**	Supports for Graduation	No Yes	$47,218.23	47659.23

2025-26 Contributing Actions Annual Update Table

6. Estimated LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (Input Dollar Amount)	4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)	7. Total Estimated Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	Difference Between Planned and Estimated Expenditures for Contributing Actions (Subtract 7 from 4)	5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)	8. Total Estimated Percentage of Improved Services (%)	Difference Between Planned and Estimated Percentage of Improved Services (Subtract 5 from 8)
1156952	$1,377,681.23	$1,505,929.50	($128,248.27)	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%

Last Year's Goal #	Last Year's Action #	Prior Action/Service Title	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Last Year's Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (Input LCFF Funds)	Planned Percentage of Improved Services	Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (Input Percentage)
1	1.1	Academic Assessment and Progress Monitoring	Yes	$49,242.50	86965.1		
1	1.2	Academic Supports	Yes	$574,357.50	636360.73		
1	1.4	Professional Development to Promote Academic Excellence	Yes	$109,882.90	113333.42		
1	1.6	Supports for English Learners	Yes	$77,632.00	81597.85		
1	1.7	Additional Supports for Long-term English Learners	Yes	$32,668.66	33801.94		
1	1.9	Supports for Homeless and/or Foster Youth	Yes	$13,650.20	20730.57		
2	2.1	Parent Engagement Activities	Yes	$32,156.34	32288.18		
2	2.2	Parent Communication Activities	Yes	$42,339.07	47169.53		
3	3.1	Community Engagement	Yes	$50,490.72	50597.73		
3	3.2	School Culture Activities	Yes	$135,737.24	135923.76		
3	3.3	Supports for Student Attendance and Engagement	Yes	$46,110.52	46799.57		
3	3.4	Supports for Student Behavior and Wellbeing	Yes	$172,195.35	172701.89		
3	3.5	Supports for Graduation	Yes	$41,218.23	47659.23		

2025-26 LCFF Carryover Table

9. Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (Input Dollar Amount)	6. Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants	LCFF Carryover — Percentage (Percentage from Prior Year)	10. Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Current School Year (6 divided by 9 + Carryover %)	7. Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	8. Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (%)	11. Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (7 divided by 9, plus 8)	12. LCFF Carryover — Dollar Amount (Subtract 11 from 10 and multiply by 9)	13. LCFF Carryover — Percentage (12 divided by 9)
3927890	1156952	0.0	29.455%	$1,505,929.50	0.000%	38.339%	$0.00	0.000%

Local Control and Accountability Plan Instructions

Plan Summary

Engaging Educational Partners

Goals and Actions

Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students

For additional questions or technical assistance related to the completion of the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) template, please contact the local county office of education (COE), or the California Department of Education's (CDE's) Local Agency Systems Support Office, by phone at 916-319-0809 or by email at LCFF@cde.ca.gov.

Introduction and Instructions

The Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) requires local educational agencies (LEAs) to engage their local educational partners in an annual planning process to evaluate their progress within eight state priority areas encompassing all statutory metrics (COEs have 10 state priorities). LEAs document the results of this planning process in the LCAP using the template adopted by the State Board of Education.

The LCAP development process serves three distinct, but related functions:

- **Comprehensive Strategic Planning:** The process of developing and annually updating the LCAP supports comprehensive strategic planning, particularly to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the California School Dashboard (California Education Code [EC] Section 52064[e][1]). Strategic planning that is comprehensive connects budgetary decisions to teaching and learning performance data. LEAs should continually evaluate the hard choices they make about the use of limited resources to meet student and community needs to ensure opportunities and outcomes are improved for all students.

- **Meaningful Engagement of Educational Partners:** The LCAP development process should result in an LCAP that reflects decisions made through meaningful engagement (EC Section 52064[e][1]). Local educational partners possess valuable perspectives and insights about an LEA's programs and services. Effective strategic planning will incorporate these perspectives and insights in order to identify potential goals and actions to be included in the LCAP.

- **Accountability and Compliance:** The LCAP serves an important accountability function because the nature of some LCAP template sections require LEAs to show that they have complied with various requirements specified in the LCFF statutes and regulations, most notably:

    - Demonstrating that LEAs are increasing or improving services for foster youth, English learners, including long-term English learners, and low-income students in proportion to the amount of additional funding those students generate under LCFF (EC Section 52064[b][4-6]).

    - Establishing goals, supported by actions and related expenditures, that address the statutory priority areas and statutory metrics (EC sections 52064[b][1] and [2]).

        - **NOTE:** As specified in EC Section 62064(b)(1), the LCAP must provide a description of the annual goals, for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils identified pursuant to EC Section 52052, to be achieved for each of the state priorities. Beginning in 2023–24, EC

Section 52052 identifies long-term English learners as a separate and distinct pupil subgroup with a numerical significance at 15 students.

- Annually reviewing and updating the LCAP to reflect progress toward the goals (EC Section 52064[b][7]).
- Ensuring that all increases attributable to supplemental and concentration grant calculations, including concentration grant add-on funding and/or LCFF carryover, are reflected in the LCAP (EC sections 52064[b][6], [8], and [11]).

The LCAP template, like each LEA's final adopted LCAP, is a document, not a process. LEAs must use the template to memorialize the outcome of their LCAP development process, which must: (a) reflect comprehensive strategic planning, particularly to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the California School Dashboard (Dashboard), (b) through meaningful engagement with educational partners that (c) meets legal requirements, as reflected in the final adopted LCAP. The sections included within the LCAP template do not and cannot reflect the full development process, just as the LCAP template itself is not intended as a tool for engaging educational partners.

If a county superintendent of schools has jurisdiction over a single school district, the county board of education and the governing board of the school district may adopt and file for review and approval a single LCAP consistent with the requirements in EC sections 52060, 52062, 52066, 52068, and 52070. The LCAP must clearly articulate to which entity's budget (school district or county superintendent of schools) all budgeted and actual expenditures are aligned.

The revised LCAP template for the 2024–25, 2025–26, and 2026–27 school years reflects statutory changes made through Senate Bill 114 (Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review), Chapter 48, Statutes of 2023 and Senate Bill 153, Chapter 38, Statues of 2024.

At its most basic, the adopted LCAP should attempt to distill not just what the LEA is doing for students in transitional kindergarten through grade twelve (TK–12), but also allow educational partners to understand why, and whether those strategies are leading to improved opportunities and outcomes for students. LEAs are strongly encouraged to use language and a level of detail in their adopted LCAPs intended to be meaningful and accessible for the LEA's diverse educational partners and the broader public.

In developing and finalizing the LCAP for adoption, LEAs are encouraged to keep the following overarching frame at the forefront of the strategic planning and educational partner engagement functions:

> Given present performance across the state priorities and on indicators in the Dashboard, how is the LEA using its budgetary resources to respond to TK–12 student and community needs, and address any performance gaps, including by meeting its obligation to increase or improve services for foster youth, English learners, and low-income students?

LEAs are encouraged to focus on a set of metrics and actions which, based on research, experience, and input gathered from educational partners, the LEA believes will have the biggest impact on behalf of its TK–12 students.

These instructions address the requirements for each section of the LCAP but may include information about effective practices when developing the LCAP and completing the LCAP document. Additionally, the beginning of each template section includes information emphasizing the purpose that section serves.

Plan Summary

Purpose

A well-developed Plan Summary section provides a meaningful context for the LCAP. This section provides information about an LEA's community as well as relevant information about student needs and performance. In order to present a meaningful context for the rest of the LCAP, the content of this section should be clearly and meaningfully related to the content included throughout each subsequent section of the LCAP.

Requirements and Instructions

General Information

A description of the LEA, its schools, and its students in grades transitional kindergarten–12, as applicable to the LEA. LEAs may also provide information about their strategic plan, vision, etc.

Briefly describe the LEA, its schools, and its students in grades TK–12, as applicable to the LEA.

- For example, information about an LEA in terms of geography, enrollment, employment, the number and size of specific schools, recent community challenges, and other such information the LEA may wish to include can enable a reader to more fully understand the LEA's LCAP.
- LEAs may also provide information about their strategic plan, vision, etc.
- As part of this response, identify all schools within the LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funding.

Reflections: Annual Performance

A reflection on annual performance based on a review of the California School Dashboard (Dashboard) and local data.

Reflect on the LEA's annual performance on the Dashboard and local data. This may include both successes and challenges identified by the LEA during the development process.

LEAs are encouraged to highlight how they are addressing the identified needs of student groups, and/or schools within the LCAP as part of this response.

As part of this response, the LEA must identify the following, which will remain unchanged during the three-year LCAP cycle:

- Any school within the LEA that received the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the 2023 Dashboard;
- Any student group within the LEA that received the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the 2023 Dashboard; and/or
- Any student group within a school within the LEA that received the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the 2023 Dashboard.

EC Section 52064.4 requires that an LEA that has unexpended Learning Recovery Emergency Block Grant (LREBG) funds must include one or more actions funded with LREBG funds within the 2026-27, 2026-27 and 2027-28 LCAPs, as applicable to the LEA. To implement the requirements of *EC* Section 52064.4, all LEAs must do the following:

- For the 2025–26, 2026–27, and 2027–28 LCAP years, identify whether or not the LEA has unexpended LREBG funds for the applicable LCAP year.
    - If the LEA has unexpended LREBG funds the LEA must provide the following:
        - The goal and action number for each action that will be funded, either in whole or in part, with LREBG funds; and
        - An explanation of the rationale for selecting each action funded with LREBG funds. This explanation must include:
            - An explanation of how the action is aligned with the allowable uses of funds identified in *EC* Section 32526(c)(2); and
            - An explanation of how the action is expected to address the area(s) of need of students and schools identified in the needs assessment required by *EC* Section 32526(d).
                - For information related to the allowable uses of funds and the required needs assessment, please see the Program Information tab on the LREBG Program Information web page.
            - Actions may be grouped together for purposes of these explanations.
            - The LEA may provide these explanations as part of the action description rather than as part of the Reflections: Annual Performance.
    - If the LEA does not have unexpended LREBG funds, the LEA is not required to conduct the needs assessment required by *EC* Section 32627(d), to provide the information identified above or to include actions funded with LREBG funds within the 2026-27, 2026-27 and 2027-28 LCAPs.

Reflections: Technical Assistance

As applicable, a summary of the work underway as part of technical assistance.

Annually identify the reason(s) the LEA is eligible for or has requested technical assistance consistent with EC sections 47607.3, 52071, 52071.5, 52072, or 52072.5, and provide a summary of the work underway as part of receiving technical assistance. The most common form of this technical assistance is frequently referred to as Differentiated Assistance, however this also includes LEAs that have requested technical assistance from their COE.

- If the LEA is not eligible for or receiving technical assistance, the LEA may respond to this prompt as “Not Applicable.”

Comprehensive Support and Improvement
An LEA with a school or schools identified for comprehensive support and improvement (CSI) under the Every Student Succeeds Act must respond to the following prompts:

Schools Identified

A list of the schools in the LEA that are eligible for comprehensive support and improvement.

- Identify the schools within the LEA that have been identified for CSI.

Support for Identified Schools

A description of how the LEA has or will support its eligible schools in developing comprehensive support and improvement plans.

- Describe how the LEA has or will support the identified schools in developing CSI plans that included a school-level needs assessment, evidence-based interventions, and the identification of any resource inequities to be addressed through the implementation of the CSI plan.

Monitoring and Evaluating Effectiveness

A description of how the LEA will monitor and evaluate the plan to support student and school improvement.

- Describe how the LEA will monitor and evaluate the implementation and effectiveness of the CSI plan to support student and school improvement.

Engaging Educational Partners

Purpose

Significant and purposeful engagement of parents, students, educators, and other educational partners, including those representing the student groups identified by LCFF, is critical to the development of the LCAP and the budget process. Consistent with statute, such engagement should support comprehensive strategic planning, particularly to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the Dashboard, accountability, and improvement across the state priorities and locally identified priorities (EC Section 52064[e][1]). Engagement of educational partners is an ongoing, annual process.

This section is designed to reflect how the engagement of educational partners influenced the decisions reflected in the adopted LCAP. The goal is to allow educational partners that participated in the LCAP development process and the broader public to understand how the LEA engaged educational partners and the impact of that engagement. LEAs are encouraged to keep this goal in the forefront when completing this section.

Requirements

Requirements

School districts and COEs: *EC* Section 52060(g) and *EC* Section 52066(g) specify the educational partners that must be consulted when developing the LCAP:

- Teachers,

- Principals,
- Administrators,
- Other school personnel,
- Local bargaining units of the LEA,
- Parents, and
- Students

A school district or COE receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

Before adopting the LCAP, school districts and COEs must share it with the applicable committees, as identified below under Requirements and Instructions. The superintendent is required by statute to respond in writing to the comments received from these committees. School districts and COEs must also consult with the special education local plan area administrator(s) when developing the LCAP.

Charter schools: *EC* Section 47606.5(d) requires that the following educational partners be consulted with when developing the LCAP:

- Teachers,
- Principals,
- Administrators,
- Other school personnel,
- Parents, and
- Students

A charter school receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at the school generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for the school.

The LCAP should also be shared with, and LEAs should request input from, schoolsite-level advisory groups, as applicable (e.g., schoolsite councils, English Learner Advisory Councils, student advisory groups, etc.), to facilitate alignment between schoolsite and district-level goals. Information and resources that support effective engagement, define student consultation, and provide the requirements for advisory group composition, can be found under Resources on the CDE's LCAP webpage.

Before the governing board/body of an LEA considers the adoption of the LCAP, the LEA must meet the following legal requirements:

- For school districts, see *Education Code* Section 52062;
    - **Note:** Charter schools using the LCAP as the School Plan for Student Achievement must meet the requirements of *EC* Section 52062(a).
- For COEs, see *Education Code* Section 52068; and
- For charter schools, see *Education Code* Section 47606.5.

- **NOTE:** As a reminder, the superintendent of a school district or COE must respond, in writing, to comments received by the applicable committees identified in the *Education Code* sections listed above. This includes the parent advisory committee and may include the English learner parent advisory committee and, as of July 1, 2024, the student advisory committee, as applicable.

Instructions

Respond to the prompts as follows:

A summary of the process used to engage educational partners in the development of the LCAP.

School districts and county offices of education must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, local bargaining units, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

Charter schools must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

Complete the table as follows:

Educational Partners

Identify the applicable educational partner(s) or group(s) that were engaged in the development of the LCAP.

Process for Engagement

Describe the engagement process used by the LEA to involve the identified educational partner(s) in the development of the LCAP. At a minimum, the LEA must describe how it met its obligation to consult with all statutorily required educational partners, as applicable to the type of LEA.

- A sufficient response to this prompt must include general information about the timeline of the process and meetings or other engagement strategies with educational partners. A response may also include information about an LEA's philosophical approach to engaging its educational partners.

- An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also include a summary of how it consulted with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

A description of how the adopted LCAP was influenced by the feedback provided by educational partners.

Describe any goals, metrics, actions, or budgeted expenditures in the LCAP that were influenced by or developed in response to the educational partner feedback.

- A sufficient response to this prompt will provide educational partners and the public with clear, specific information about how the engagement process influenced the development of the LCAP. This may include a description of how the LEA prioritized requests of educational partners within the context of the budgetary resources available or otherwise prioritized areas of focus within the LCAP.

- An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must include a description of how the consultation with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds influenced the development of the adopted LCAP.

- For the purposes of this prompt, this may also include, but is not necessarily limited to:
    - Inclusion of a goal or decision to pursue a Focus Goal (as described below)
    - Inclusion of metrics other than the statutorily required metrics
    - Determination of the target outcome on one or more metrics
    - Inclusion of performance by one or more student groups in the Measuring and Reporting Results subsection
    - Inclusion of action(s) or a group of actions
    - Elimination of action(s) or group of actions
    - Changes to the level of proposed expenditures for one or more actions
    - Inclusion of action(s) as contributing to increased or improved services for unduplicated students
    - Analysis of effectiveness of the specific actions to achieve the goal
    - Analysis of material differences in expenditures
    - Analysis of changes made to a goal for the ensuing LCAP year based on the annual update process
    - Analysis of challenges or successes in the implementation of actions

Goals and Actions

Purpose

Well-developed goals will clearly communicate to educational partners what the LEA plans to accomplish, what the LEA plans to do in order to accomplish the goal, and how the LEA will know when it has accomplished the goal. A goal statement, associated metrics and expected outcomes, and the actions included in the goal must be in alignment. The explanation for why the LEA included a goal is an opportunity for LEAs to clearly communicate to educational partners and the public why, among the various strengths and areas for improvement highlighted by performance data and strategies and actions that could be pursued, the LEA decided to pursue this goal, and the related metrics, expected outcomes, actions, and expenditures.

A well-developed goal can be focused on the performance relative to a metric or metrics for all students, a specific student group(s), narrowing performance gaps, or implementing programs or strategies expected to impact outcomes. LEAs should assess the performance of their student groups when developing goals and the related actions to achieve such goals.

Requirements and Instructions

LEAs should prioritize the goals, specific actions, and related expenditures included within the LCAP within one or more state priorities. LEAs must consider performance on the state and local indicators, including their locally collected and reported data for the local indicators that are included in the Dashboard, in determining whether and how to prioritize its goals within the LCAP. As previously stated, strategic planning that

is comprehensive connects budgetary decisions to teaching and learning performance data. LEAs should continually evaluate the hard choices they make about the use of limited resources to meet student and community needs to ensure opportunities and outcomes are improved for all students, and to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the Dashboard.

In order to support prioritization of goals, the LCAP template provides LEAs with the option of developing three different kinds of goals:

- Focus Goal: A Focus Goal is relatively more concentrated in scope and may focus on a fewer number of metrics to measure improvement. A Focus Goal statement will be time bound and make clear how the goal is to be measured.
    - All Equity Multiplier goals must be developed as focus goals. For additional information, see Required Focus Goal(s) for LEAs Receiving Equity Multiplier Funding below.
- Broad Goal: A Broad Goal is relatively less concentrated in its scope and may focus on improving performance across a wide range of metrics.
- Maintenance of Progress Goal: A Maintenance of Progress Goal includes actions that may be ongoing without significant changes and allows an LEA to track performance on any metrics not addressed in the other goals of the LCAP.

Requirement to Address the LCFF State Priorities

At a minimum, the LCAP must address all LCFF priorities and associated metrics articulated in *EC* sections 52060(d) and 52066(d), as applicable to the LEA. The *LCFF State Priorities Summary* provides a summary of *EC* sections 52060(d) and 52066(d) to aid in the development of the LCAP.

Respond to the following prompts, as applicable:

Focus Goal(s)

Description

The description provided for a Focus Goal must be specific, measurable, and time bound.

- An LEA develops a Focus Goal to address areas of need that may require or benefit from a more specific and data intensive approach.
- The Focus Goal can explicitly reference the metric(s) by which achievement of the goal will be measured and the time frame according to which the LEA expects to achieve the goal.

Type of Goal

Identify the type of goal being implemented as a Focus Goal.

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Explain why the LEA has chosen to prioritize this goal.

- An explanation must be based on Dashboard data or other locally collected data.
- LEAs must describe how the LEA identified this goal for focused attention, including relevant consultation with educational partners.
- LEAs are encouraged to promote transparency and understanding around the decision to pursue a focus goal.

Required Focus Goal(s) for LEAs Receiving Equity Multiplier Funding

Description

LEAs receiving Equity Multiplier funding must include one or more focus goals for each school generating Equity Multiplier funding. In addition to addressing the focus goal requirements described above, LEAs must adhere to the following requirements.

Focus goals for Equity Multiplier schoolsites must address the following:

(A) All student groups that have the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the Dashboard, and

(B) Any underlying issues in the credentialing, subject matter preparation, and retention of the school's educators, if applicable.

- Focus Goals for each and every Equity Multiplier schoolsite must identify specific metrics for each identified student group, as applicable.
- An LEA may create a single goal for multiple Equity Multiplier schoolsites if those schoolsites have the same student group(s) performing at the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the Dashboard or, experience similar issues in the credentialing, subject matter preparation, and retention of the school's educators.
    - When creating a single goal for multiple Equity Multiplier schoolsites, the goal must identify the student groups and the performance levels on the Dashboard that the Focus Goal is addressing; or,
    - The common issues the schoolsites are experiencing in credentialing, subject matter preparation, and retention of the school's educators, if applicable.

Type of Goal

Identify the type of goal being implemented as an Equity Multiplier Focus Goal.

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Explain why the LEA has chosen to prioritize this goal.

- An explanation must be based on Dashboard data or other locally collected data.
- LEAs must describe how the LEA identified this goal for focused attention, including relevant consultation with educational partners.
- LEAs are encouraged to promote transparency and understanding around the decision to pursue a focus goal.
- In addition to this information, the LEA must also identify:
    - The school or schools to which the goal applies

LEAs are encouraged to approach an Equity Multiplier goal from a wholistic standpoint, considering how the goal might maximize student outcomes through the use of LCFF and other funding in addition to Equity Multiplier funds.

- Equity Multiplier funds must be used to supplement, not supplant, funding provided to Equity Multiplier schoolsites for purposes of the LCFF, the Expanded Learning Opportunities Program (ELO-P), the Literacy Coaches and Reading Specialists (LCRS) Grant Program, and/or the California Community Schools Partnership Program (CCSPP).
- This means that Equity Multiplier funds must not be used to replace funding that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to implement LEA-wide actions identified in the LCAP or that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to implement provisions of the ELO-P, the LCRS, and/or the CCSPP.

Note: *EC* Section 42238.024(b)(1) requires that Equity Multiplier funds be used for the provision of evidence-based services and supports for students. Evidence-based services and supports are based on objective evidence that has informed the design of the service or support and/or guides the modification of those services and supports. Evidence-based supports and strategies are most commonly based on educational research and/or metrics of LEA, school, and/or student performance.

Broad Goal

Description

Describe what the LEA plans to achieve through the actions included in the goal.

- The description of a broad goal will be clearly aligned with the expected measurable outcomes included for the goal.

- The goal description organizes the actions and expected outcomes in a cohesive and consistent manner.
- A goal description is specific enough to be measurable in either quantitative or qualitative terms. A broad goal is not as specific as a focus goal. While it is specific enough to be measurable, there are many different metrics for measuring progress toward the goal.

Type of Goal

Identify the type of goal being implemented as a Broad Goal.

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Explain why the LEA developed this goal and how the actions and metrics grouped together will help achieve the goal.

Maintenance of Progress Goal

Description

Describe how the LEA intends to maintain the progress made in the LCFF State Priorities not addressed by the other goals in the LCAP.

- Use this type of goal to address the state priorities and applicable metrics not addressed within the other goals in the LCAP.
- The state priorities and metrics to be addressed in this section are those for which the LEA, in consultation with educational partners, has determined to maintain actions and monitor progress while focusing implementation efforts on the actions covered by other goals in the LCAP.

Type of Goal

Identify the type of goal being implemented as a Maintenance of Progress Goal.

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Explain how the actions will sustain the progress exemplified by the related metrics.

Measuring and Reporting Results:

For each LCAP year, identify the metric(s) that the LEA will use to track progress toward the expected outcomes.

- LEAs must identify metrics for specific student groups, as appropriate, including expected outcomes that address and reduce disparities in outcomes between student groups.

- The metrics may be quantitative or qualitative; but at minimum, an LEA's LCAP must include goals that are measured using all of the applicable metrics for the related state priorities, in each LCAP year, as applicable to the type of LEA.

- To the extent a state priority does not specify one or more metrics (e.g., implementation of state academic content and performance standards), the LEA must identify a metric to use within the LCAP. For these state priorities, LEAs are encouraged to use metrics based on or reported through the relevant local indicator self-reflection tools within the Dashboard.

- **Required metrics for LEA-wide actions:** For each action identified as 1) contributing towards the requirement to increase or improve services for foster youth, English learners, including long-term English learners, and low-income students and 2) being provided on an LEA-wide basis, the LEA must identify one or more metrics to monitor the effectiveness of the action and its budgeted expenditures.

    - These required metrics may be identified within the action description or the first prompt in the increased or improved services section, however the description must clearly identify the metric(s) being used to monitor the effectiveness of the action and the action(s) that the metric(s) apply to.

- **Required metrics for Equity Multiplier goals**: For each Equity Multiplier goal, the LEA must identify:

    - The specific metrics for each identified student group at each specific schoolsite, as applicable, to measure the progress toward the goal, and/or

    - The specific metrics used to measure progress in meeting the goal related to credentialing, subject matter preparation, or educator retention at each specific schoolsite.

- **Required metrics for actions supported by LREBG funds**: To implement the requirements of *EC* Section 52064.4, LEAs with unexpended LREBG funds must include at least one metric to monitor the impact of each action funded with LREBG funds included in the goal.

    - The metrics being used to monitor the impact of each action funded with LREBG funds are not required to be new metrics; they may be metrics that are already being used to measure progress towards goals and actions included in the LCAP.

Complete the table as follows:

Metric #

- Enter the metric number.

Metric

- Identify the standard of measure being used to determine progress towards the goal and/or to measure the effectiveness of one or more actions associated with the goal.

Baseline

- Enter the baseline when completing the LCAP for 2024–25.
    - Use the most recent data associated with the metric available at the time of adoption of the LCAP for the first year of the three-year plan. LEAs may use data as reported on the 2023 Dashboard for the baseline of a metric only if that data represents the most recent available data (e.g., high school graduation rate).
    - Using the most recent data available may involve reviewing data the LEA is preparing for submission to the California Longitudinal Pupil Achievement Data System (CALPADS) or data that the LEA has recently submitted to CALPADS.
    - Indicate the school year to which the baseline data applies.
    - The baseline data must remain unchanged throughout the three-year LCAP.
        - This requirement is not intended to prevent LEAs from revising the baseline data if it is necessary to do so. For example, if an LEA identifies that its data collection practices for a particular metric are leading to inaccurate data and revises its practice to obtain accurate data, it would also be appropriate for the LEA to revise the baseline data to align with the more accurate data process and report its results using the accurate data.
        - If an LEA chooses to revise its baseline data, then, at a minimum, it must clearly identify the change as part of its response to the description of changes prompt in the Goal Analysis for the goal. LEAs are also strongly encouraged to involve their educational partners in the decision of whether or not to revise a baseline and to communicate the proposed change to their educational partners.
    - Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one- or two-year LCAP may identify a new baseline each year, as applicable.

Year 1 Outcome

- When completing the LCAP for 2025–26, enter the most recent data available. Indicate the school year to which the data applies.
    - Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one-year LCAP may provide the Year 1 Outcome when completing the LCAP for both 2025–26 and 2026–27 or may provide the Year 1 Outcome for 2025–26 and provide the Year 2 Outcome for 2026–27.

Year 2 Outcome

- When completing the LCAP for 2026–27, enter the most recent data available. Indicate the school year to which the data applies.

- Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one-year LCAP may identify the Year 2 Outcome as not applicable when completing the LCAP for 2026–27 or may provide the Year 2 Outcome for 2026–27.

Target for Year 3 Outcome

- When completing the first year of the LCAP, enter the target outcome for the relevant metric the LEA expects to achieve by the end of the three-year LCAP cycle.
    - Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one- or two-year LCAP may identify a Target for Year 1 or Target for Year 2, as applicable.

Current Difference from Baseline

- When completing the LCAP for 2025–26 and 2026–27, enter the current difference between the baseline and the yearly outcome, as applicable.
    - Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one- or two-year LCAP will identify the current difference between the baseline and the yearly outcome for Year 1 and/or the current difference between the baseline and the yearly outcome for Year 2, as applicable.

Timeline for school districts and COEs for completing the "**Measuring and Reporting Results**" part of the Goal.

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for **2024–25** or when adding a new metric.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for **2024–25** or when adding a new metric.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for **2025–26**. Leave blank until then.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for **2026–27**. Leave blank until then.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for **2024–25** or when adding a new metric.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for **2025–26** and **2026–27**. Leave blank until then.

Goal Analysis:

Enter the LCAP Year.

Using actual annual measurable outcome data, including data from the Dashboard, analyze whether the planned actions were effective towards achieving the goal. "Effective" means the degree to which the planned actions were successful in producing the target result. Respond to the prompts as instructed.

Note: When completing the 2024–25 LCAP, use the 2023–24 Local Control and Accountability Plan Annual Update template to complete the Goal Analysis and identify the Goal Analysis prompts in the 2024–25 LCAP as "Not Applicable."

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

- Describe the overall implementation of the actions to achieve the articulated goal, including relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.
    - Include a discussion of relevant challenges and successes experienced with the implementation process.
    - This discussion must include any instance where the LEA did not implement a planned action or implemented a planned action in a manner that differs substantively from how it was described in the adopted LCAP.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

- Explain material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and between the Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services, as applicable. Minor variances in expenditures or percentages do not need to be addressed, and a dollar-for-dollar accounting is not required.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

- Describe the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal. “Effectiveness” means the degree to which the actions were successful in producing the target result and “ineffectiveness” means that the actions did not produce any significant or targeted result.
    - In some cases, not all actions in a goal will be intended to improve performance on all of the metrics associated with the goal.
    - When responding to this prompt, LEAs may assess the effectiveness of a single action or group of actions within the goal in the context of performance on a single metric or group of specific metrics within the goal that are applicable to the action(s). Grouping actions with metrics will allow for more robust analysis of whether the strategy the LEA is using to impact a specified set of metrics is working and increase transparency for educational partners. LEAs are encouraged to use such an approach when goals include multiple actions and metrics that are not closely associated.
    - Beginning with the development of the 2024–25 LCAP, the LEA must change actions that have not proven effective over a three-year period.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

- Describe any changes made to this goal, expected outcomes, metrics, or actions to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis and analysis of the data provided in the Dashboard or other local data, as applicable.
    - As noted above, beginning with the development of the 2024–25 LCAP, the LEA must change actions that have not proven effective over a three-year period. For actions that have been identified as ineffective, the LEA must identify the ineffective action and must include a description of the following:

- The reasons for the ineffectiveness, and
- How changes to the action will result in a new or strengthened approach.

Actions:

Complete the table as follows. Add additional rows as necessary.

Action #

- Enter the action number.

Title

- Provide a short title for the action. This title will also appear in the action tables.

Description

- Provide a brief description of the action.
    - For actions that contribute to meeting the increased or improved services requirement, the LEA may include an explanation of how each action is principally directed towards and effective in meeting the LEA's goals for unduplicated students, as described in the instructions for the Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students section.
    - As previously noted, for each action identified as 1) contributing towards the requirement to increase or improve services for foster youth, English learners, including long-term English learners, and low-income students and 2) being provided on an LEA-wide basis, the LEA must identify one or more metrics to monitor the effectiveness of the action and its budgeted expenditures.
    - These required metrics may be identified within the action description or the first prompt in the increased or improved services section; however, the description must clearly identify the metric(s) being used to monitor the effectiveness of the action and the action(s) that the metric(s) apply to.

Total Funds

- Enter the total amount of expenditures associated with this action. Budgeted expenditures from specific fund sources will be provided in the action tables.

Contributing

- Indicate whether the action contributes to meeting the increased or improved services requirement as described in the Increased or Improved Services section using a “Y” for Yes or an “N” for No.
    - **Note:** for each such contributing action, the LEA will need to provide additional information in the Increased or Improved Services section to address the requirements in *California Code of Regulations*, Title 5 [5 *CCR*] Section 15496 in the Increased or Improved Services section of the LCAP.

***Actions for Foster Youth*:** School districts, COEs, and charter schools that have a numerically significant foster youth student subgroup are encouraged to include specific actions in the LCAP designed to meet needs specific to foster youth students.

Required Actions

For English Learners and Long-Term English Learners

- LEAs with 30 or more English learners and/or 15 or more long-term English learners must include specific actions in the LCAP related to, at a minimum:
    - Language acquisition programs, as defined in *EC* Section 306, provided to students, and
    - Professional development for teachers.
    - If an LEA has both 30 or more English learners and 15 or more long-term English learners, the LEA must include actions for both English learners and long-term English learners.

For Technical Assistance

- LEAs eligible for technical assistance pursuant to *EC* sections 47607.3, 52071, 52071.5, 52072, or 52072.5, must include specific actions within the LCAP related to its implementation of the work underway as part of technical assistance. The most common form of this technical assistance is frequently referred to as Differentiated Assistance.

For Lowest Performing Dashboard Indicators

- LEAs that have Red Dashboard indicators for (1) a school within the LEA, (2) a student group within the LEA, and/or (3) a student group within any school within the LEA must include one or more specific actions within the LCAP:
    - The specific action(s) must be directed towards the identified student group(s) and/or school(s) and must address the identified state indicator(s) for which the student group or school received the lowest performance level on the 2023 Dashboard. Each student group and/or school that receives the lowest performance level on the 2023 Dashboard must be addressed by one or more actions.
    - These required actions will be effective for the three-year LCAP cycle.

For LEAs With Unexpended LREBG Funds

- To implement the requirements of *EC* Section 52064.4, LEAs with unexpended LREBG funds must include one or more actions supported with LREBG funds within the 2025–26, 2026–27, and 2027–28 LCAPs, as applicable to the LEA. Actions funded with LREBG funds must remain in the LCAP until the LEA has expended the remainder of its LREBG funds, after which time the actions may be removed from the LCAP.
    - Prior to identifying the actions included in the LCAP the LEA is required to conduct a needs assessment pursuant to *EC* Section 32526(d). For information related to the required needs assessment please see the Program Information tab on the LREBG

Program Information web page. Additional information about the needs assessment and evidence-based resources for the LREBG may be found on the California Statewide System of Support LREBG Resources web page. The required LREBG needs assessment may be part of the LEAs regular needs assessment for the LCAP if it meets the requirements of *EC* Section 32627(d).

- School districts receiving technical assistance and COEs providing technical assistance are encouraged to use the technical assistance process to support the school district in conducting the required needs assessment, the selection of actions funded by the LREBG and/or the evaluation of implementation of the actions required as part of the LCAP annual update process.
- As a reminder, LREBG funds must be used to implement one or more of the purposes articulated in *EC* Section 32526(c)(2).
- LEAs with unexpended LREBG funds must include one or more actions supported by LREBG funds within the LCAP. For each action supported by LREBG funding the action description must:
  - Identify the action as an LREBG action;
  - Include an explanation of how research supports the selected action;
  - Identify the metric(s) being used to monitor the impact of the action; and
  - Identify the amount of LREBG funds being used to support the action.

Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students

Purpose

A well-written Increased or Improved Services section provides educational partners with a comprehensive description, within a single dedicated section, of how an LEA plans to increase or improve services for its unduplicated students as defined in *EC* Section 42238.02 in grades TK–12 as compared to all students in grades TK–12, as applicable, and how LEA-wide or schoolwide actions identified for this purpose meet regulatory requirements. Descriptions provided should include sufficient detail yet be sufficiently succinct to promote a broader understanding of educational partners to facilitate their ability to provide input. An LEA's description in this section must align with the actions included in the Goals and Actions section as contributing.

Please Note: For the purpose of meeting the Increased or Improved Services requirement and consistent with *EC* Section 42238.02, long-term English learners are included in the English learner student group.

Statutory Requirements

An LEA is required to demonstrate in its LCAP how it is increasing or improving services for its students who are foster youth, English learners, and/or low-income, collectively referred to as unduplicated students, as compared to the services provided to all students in proportion to the increase in funding it receives based on the number and concentration of unduplicated students in the LEA (*EC* Section 42238.07[a][1], *EC*

Section 52064[b][8][B]; 5 *CCR* Section 15496[a]). This proportionality percentage is also known as the "minimum proportionality percentage" or "MPP." The manner in which an LEA demonstrates it is meeting its MPP is two-fold: (1) through the expenditure of LCFF funds or through the identification of a Planned Percentage of Improved Services as documented in the Contributing Actions Table, and (2) through the explanations provided in the Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students section.

To improve services means to grow services in quality and to increase services means to grow services in quantity. Services are increased or improved by those actions in the LCAP that are identified in the Goals and Actions section as contributing to the increased or improved services requirement, whether they are provided across the entire LEA (LEA-wide action), provided to an entire school (Schoolwide action), or solely provided to one or more unduplicated student group(s) (Limited action).

Therefore, for *any* action contributing to meet the increased or improved services requirement, the LEA must include an explanation of:

- How the action is increasing or improving services for the unduplicated student group(s) (Identified Needs and Action Design), and
- How the action meets the LEA's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas (Measurement of Effectiveness).

LEA-wide and Schoolwide Actions

In addition to the above required explanations, LEAs must provide a justification for why an LEA-wide or Schoolwide action is being provided to all students and how the action is intended to improve outcomes for unduplicated student group(s) as compared to all students.

- Conclusory statements that a service will help achieve an expected outcome for the goal, without an explicit connection or further explanation as to how, are not sufficient.

- Further, simply stating that an LEA has a high enrollment percentage of a specific student group or groups does not meet the increased or improved services standard because enrolling students is not the same as serving students.

For School Districts Only

Actions provided on an **LEA-wide** basis at **school districts with an unduplicated pupil percentage of less than 55 percent** must also include a description of how the actions are the most effective use of the funds to meet the district's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas. The description must provide the basis for this determination, including any alternatives considered, supporting research, experience, or educational theory.

Actions provided on a **Schoolwide** basis for **schools with less than 40 percent enrollment of unduplicated pupils** must also include a description of how these actions are the most effective use of the funds to meet the district's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas. The description must provide the basis for this determination, including any alternatives considered, supporting research, experience, or educational theory.

Requirements and Instructions

Complete the tables as follows:

Total Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants

- Specify the amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grant funds the LEA estimates it will receive in the coming year based on the number and concentration of foster youth, English learner, and low-income students. This amount includes the Additional 15 percent LCFF Concentration Grant.

Projected Additional 15 percent LCFF Concentration Grant

- Specify the amount of additional LCFF concentration grant add-on funding, as described in *EC* Section 42238.02, that the LEA estimates it will receive in the coming year.

Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year

- Specify the estimated percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the LCAP year as calculated pursuant to 5 *CCR* Section 15496(a)(7).

LCFF Carryover — Percentage

- Specify the LCFF Carryover — Percentage identified in the LCFF Carryover Table. If a carryover percentage is not identified in the LCFF Carryover Table, specify a percentage of zero (0.00%).

LCFF Carryover — Dollar

- Specify the LCFF Carryover — Dollar amount identified in the LCFF Carryover Table. If a carryover amount is not identified in the LCFF Carryover Table, specify an amount of zero ($0).

Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year

- Add the Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year and the Proportional LCFF Required Carryover Percentage and specify the percentage. This is the LEA's percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the LCAP year, as calculated pursuant to 5 *CCR* Section 15496(a)(7).

Required Descriptions:

LEA-wide and Schoolwide Actions

For each action being provided to an entire LEA or school, provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s) and why it is being provided on an LEA or schoolwide basis, and (3) the metric(s) used to measure the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s).

If the LEA has provided this required description in the Action Descriptions, state as such within the table.

Complete the table as follows:

Identified Need(s)

Provide an explanation of the unique identified need(s) of the LEA's unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed.

An LEA demonstrates how an action is principally directed towards an unduplicated student group(s) when the LEA explains the need(s), condition(s), or circumstance(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) identified through a needs assessment and how the action addresses them. A meaningful needs assessment includes, at a minimum, analysis of applicable student achievement data and educational partner feedback.

How the Action(s) are Designed to Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis

Provide an explanation of how the action as designed will address the unique identified need(s) of the LEA's unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed and the rationale for why the action is being provided on an LEA-wide or schoolwide basis.

- As stated above, conclusory statements that a service will help achieve an expected outcome for the goal, without an explicit connection or further explanation as to how, are not sufficient.
- Further, simply stating that an LEA has a high enrollment percentage of a specific student group or groups does not meet the increased or improved services standard because enrolling students is not the same as serving students.

Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness

Identify the metric(s) being used to measure the progress and effectiveness of the action(s).

Note for COEs and Charter Schools: In the case of COEs and charter schools, schoolwide and LEA-wide are considered to be synonymous.

Limited Actions

For each action being solely provided to one or more unduplicated student group(s), provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s), and (3) how the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s) will be measured.

If the LEA has provided the required descriptions in the Action Descriptions, state as such.

Complete the table as follows:

Identified Need(s)

Provide an explanation of the unique need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served identified through the LEA's needs assessment. A meaningful needs assessment includes, at a minimum, analysis of applicable student achievement data and educational partner feedback.

How the Action(s) are Designed to Address Need(s)

Provide an explanation of how the action is designed to address the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served.

Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness

Identify the metric(s) being used to measure the progress and effectiveness of the action(s).

For any limited action contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement that is associated with a Planned Percentage of Improved Services in the Contributing Summary Table rather than an expenditure of LCFF funds, describe the methodology that was used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage, as applicable.

- For each action with an identified Planned Percentage of Improved Services, identify the goal and action number and describe the methodology that was used.

- When identifying a Planned Percentage of Improved Services, the LEA must describe the methodology that it used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage. The percentage of improved services for an action corresponds to the amount of LCFF funding that the LEA estimates it would expend to implement the action if it were funded.

- For example, an LEA determines that there is a need to analyze data to ensure that instructional aides and expanded learning providers know what targeted supports to provide to students who are foster youth. The LEA could implement this action by hiring additional staff to collect and analyze data and to coordinate supports for students, which, based on the LEA's current pay scale, the LEA estimates would cost $165,000. Instead, the LEA chooses to utilize a portion of existing staff time to analyze data relating to students who are foster youth. This analysis will then be shared with site principals who will use the data to coordinate services provided by instructional assistants and expanded learning providers to target support to students. In this example, the LEA would divide the estimated cost of $165,000 by the amount of LCFF Funding identified in the Total Planned Expenditures Table and then convert the quotient to a percentage. This percentage is the Planned Percentage of Improved Services for the action.

Additional Concentration Grant Funding

A description of the plan for how the additional concentration grant add-on funding identified above will be used to increase the number of staff providing direct services to students at schools that have a high concentration (above 55 percent) of foster youth, English learners, and low-income students, as applicable.

An LEA that receives the additional concentration grant add-on described in *EC* Section 42238.02 is required to demonstrate how it is using these funds to increase the number of staff who provide direct services to students at schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent as compared to the number of staff who provide direct services to students at schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is equal to or less than 55 percent. The staff who provide direct services to students must be certificated staff and/or classified staff employed by the LEA; classified staff includes custodial staff.

Provide the following descriptions, as applicable to the LEA:

- An LEA that does not receive a concentration grant or the concentration grant add-on must indicate that a response to this prompt is not applicable.

- Identify the goal and action numbers of the actions in the LCAP that the LEA is implementing to meet the requirement to increase the number of staff who provide direct services to students at schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent.

- An LEA that does not have comparison schools from which to describe how it is using the concentration grant add-on funds, such as a single-school LEA or an LEA that only has schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, must describe how it is using the funds to increase the number of credentialed staff, classified staff, or both, including custodial staff, who provide direct services to students at selected schools and the criteria used to determine which schools require additional staffing support.

- In the event that an additional concentration grant add-on is not sufficient to increase staff providing direct services to students at a school with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, the LEA must describe how it is using the funds to retain staff providing direct services to students at a school with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent.

Complete the table as follows:

- Provide the staff-to-student ratio of classified staff providing direct services to students with a concentration of unduplicated students that is 55 percent or less and the staff-to-student ratio of classified staff providing direct services to students at schools with a concentration of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, as applicable to the LEA.
    - The LEA may group its schools by grade span (Elementary, Middle/Junior High, and High Schools), as applicable to the LEA.
    - The staff-to-student ratio must be based on the number of full-time equivalent (FTE) staff and the number of enrolled students as counted on the first Wednesday in October of each year.
- Provide the staff-to-student ratio of certificated staff providing direct services to students at schools with a concentration of unduplicated students that is 55 percent or less and the staff-to-student ratio of certificated staff providing direct services to students at schools with a concentration of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, as applicable to the LEA.
    - The LEA may group its schools by grade span (Elementary, Middle/Junior High, and High Schools), as applicable to the LEA.
    - The staff-to-student ratio must be based on the number of FTE staff and the number of enrolled students as counted on the first Wednesday in October of each year.

Action Tables

Complete the Total Planned Expenditures Table for each action in the LCAP. The information entered into this table will automatically populate the other Action Tables. Information is only entered into the Total Planned Expenditures Table, the Annual Update Table, the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table, and the LCFF Carryover Table. The word “input” has been added to column headers to aid in identifying the column(s) where information will be entered. Information is not entered on the remaining Action tables.

The following tables are required to be included as part of the LCAP adopted by the local governing board or governing body:

- Table 1: Total Planned Expenditures Table (for the coming LCAP Year)
- Table 2: Contributing Actions Table (for the coming LCAP Year)
- Table 3: Annual Update Table (for the current LCAP Year)
- Table 4: Contributing Actions Annual Update Table (for the current LCAP Year)
- Table 5: LCFF Carryover Table (for the current LCAP Year)

Note: The coming LCAP Year is the year that is being planned for, while the current LCAP year is the current year of implementation. For example, when developing the 2024–25 LCAP, 2024–25 will be the coming LCAP Year and 2023–24 will be the current LCAP Year.

Total Planned Expenditures Table

In the Total Planned Expenditures Table, input the following information for each action in the LCAP for that applicable LCAP year:

- **LCAP Year**: Identify the applicable LCAP Year.
- **1. Projected LCFF Base Grant**: Provide the total amount estimated LCFF entitlement for the coming school year, excluding the supplemental and concentration grants and the add-ons for the Targeted Instructional Improvement Block Grant program, the former Home-to-School Transportation program, and the Small School District Transportation program, pursuant to 5 *CCR* Section 15496(a)(8). Note that the LCFF Base Grant for purposes of the LCAP also includes the Necessary Small Schools and Economic Recovery Target allowances for school districts, and County Operations Grant for COEs.

  See *EC* sections 2574 (for COEs) and 42238.02 (for school districts and charter schools), as applicable, for LCFF entitlement calculations.
- **2. Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants:** Provide the total amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grants estimated on the basis of the number and concentration of unduplicated students for the coming school year.
- **3. Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year:** This percentage will not be entered; it is calculated based on the Projected LCFF Base Grant and the Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants, pursuant to 5 *CCR* Section 15496(a)(8). This is the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the coming LCAP year.
- **LCFF Carryover — Percentage:** Specify the LCFF Carryover — Percentage identified in the LCFF Carryover Table from the prior LCAP year. If a carryover percentage is not identified in the LCFF Carryover Table, specify a percentage of zero (0.00%).
- **Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year:** This percentage will not be entered; it is calculated based on the Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year and the LCFF Carryover —

Percentage. ***This is the percentage by which the LEA must increase or improve services for unduplicated pupils as compared to the services provided to all students in the coming LCAP year.***

- **Goal #**: Enter the LCAP Goal number for the action.
- **Action #**: Enter the action's number as indicated in the LCAP Goal.
- **Action Title**: Provide a title of the action.
- **Student Group(s)**: Indicate the student group or groups who will be the primary beneficiary of the action by entering "All," or by entering a specific student group or groups.
- **Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?:** Type "Yes" if the action **is** included as contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement; OR, type "No" if the action is **not** included as contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement.
- If "Yes" is entered into the Contributing column, then complete the following columns:
    - **Scope**: The scope of an action may be LEA-wide (i.e., districtwide, countywide, or charterwide), schoolwide, or limited. An action that is LEA-wide in scope upgrades the entire educational program of the LEA. An action that is schoolwide in scope upgrades the entire educational program of a single school. An action that is limited in its scope is an action that serves only one or more unduplicated student groups.
    - **Unduplicated Student Group(s)**: Regardless of scope, contributing actions serve one or more unduplicated student groups. Indicate one or more unduplicated student groups for whom services are being increased or improved as compared to what all students receive.
    - **Location**: Identify the location where the action will be provided. If the action is provided to all schools within the LEA, the LEA must indicate "All Schools." If the action is provided to specific schools within the LEA or specific grade spans only, the LEA must enter "Specific Schools" or "Specific Grade Spans." Identify the individual school or a subset of schools or grade spans (e.g., all high schools or grades transitional kindergarten through grade five), as appropriate.
- **Time Span**: Enter "ongoing" if the action will be implemented for an indeterminate period of time. Otherwise, indicate the span of time for which the action will be implemented. For example, an LEA might enter "1 Year," or "2 Years," or "6 Months."
- **Total Personnel**: Enter the total amount of personnel expenditures utilized to implement this action.
- **Total Non-Personnel**: This amount will be automatically calculated based on information provided in the Total Personnel column and the Total Funds column.

- **LCFF Funds**: Enter the total amount of LCFF funds utilized to implement this action, if any. LCFF funds include all funds that make up an LEA's total LCFF target (i.e., base grant, grade span adjustment, supplemental grant, concentration grant, Targeted Instructional Improvement Block Grant, and Home-To-School Transportation).
    - **Note:** For an action to contribute towards meeting the increased or improved services requirement, it must include some measure of LCFF funding. The action may also include funding from other sources, however the extent to which an action contributes to meeting the increased or improved services requirement is based on the LCFF funding being used to implement the action.
- **Other State Funds**: Enter the total amount of Other State Funds utilized to implement this action, if any.
    - **Note:** Equity Multiplier funds must be included in the "Other State Funds" category, not in the "LCFF Funds" category. As a reminder, Equity Multiplier funds must be used to supplement, not supplant, funding provided to Equity Multiplier schoolsites for purposes of the LCFF, the ELO-P, the LCRS, and/or the CCSPP. This means that Equity Multiplier funds must not be used to replace funding that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to implement LEA-wide actions identified in the LEA's LCAP or that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to implement provisions of the ELO-P, the LCRS, and/or the CCSPP.
- **Local Funds**: Enter the total amount of Local Funds utilized to implement this action, if any.
- **Federal Funds**: Enter the total amount of Federal Funds utilized to implement this action, if any.
- **Total Funds**: This amount is automatically calculated based on amounts entered in the previous four columns.
- **Planned Percentage of Improved Services**: For any action identified as contributing, being provided on a Limited basis to unduplicated students, and that does not have funding associated with the action, enter the planned quality improvement anticipated for the action as a percentage rounded to the nearest hundredth (0.00%). A limited action is an action that only serves foster youth, English learners, and/or low-income students.
    - As noted in the instructions for the Increased or Improved Services section, when identifying a Planned Percentage of Improved Services, the LEA must describe the methodology that it used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage. The percentage of improved services for an action corresponds to the amount of LCFF funding that the LEA estimates it would expend to implement the action if it were funded.

      For example, an LEA determines that there is a need to analyze data to ensure that instructional aides and expanded learning providers know what targeted supports to provide to students who are foster youth. The LEA could implement this action by hiring additional staff to collect and analyze data and to coordinate supports for students, which, based on the LEA's current pay scale, the LEA estimates would cost $165,000. Instead, the LEA chooses to utilize a portion of existing staff time to analyze data relating to students who are foster youth. This analysis will then be shared with site principals who will use the data to coordinate services provided by instructional assistants and expanded learning providers to target support to students. In this example, the LEA would divide the estimated cost of $165,000 by the amount of LCFF Funding identified in the Data Entry Table and then convert the quotient to a percentage. This percentage is the Planned Percentage of Improved Services for the action.

Contributing Actions Table

As noted above, information will not be entered in the Contributing Actions Table; however, the 'Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?' column will need to be checked to ensure that only actions with a "Yes" are displaying. If actions with a "No" are displayed or if actions that are contributing are not displaying in the column, use the drop-down menu in the column header to filter only the "Yes" responses.

Annual Update Table

In the Annual Update Table, provide the following information for each action in the LCAP for the relevant LCAP year:

- **Estimated Actual Expenditures**: Enter the total estimated actual expenditures to implement this action, if any.

Contributing Actions Annual Update Table

In the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table, check the 'Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?' column to ensure that only actions with a "Yes" are displaying. If actions with a "No" are displayed or if actions that are contributing are not displaying in the column, use the drop-down menu in the column header to filter only the "Yes" responses. Provide the following information for each contributing action in the LCAP for the relevant LCAP year:

- **6. Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants:** Provide the total amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grants estimated based on the number and concentration of unduplicated students in the current school year.
- **Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions**: Enter the total estimated actual expenditure of LCFF funds used to implement this action, if any.
- **Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services:** For any action identified as contributing, being provided on a Limited basis only to unduplicated students, and that does not have funding associated with the action, enter the total estimated actual quality improvement anticipated for the action as a percentage rounded to the nearest hundredth (0.00%).
    - Building on the example provided above for calculating the Planned Percentage of Improved Services, the LEA in the example implements the action. As part of the annual update process, the LEA reviews implementation and student outcome data and determines that the action was implemented with fidelity and that outcomes for foster youth students improved. The LEA reviews the original estimated cost for the action and determines that had it hired additional staff to collect and analyze data and to coordinate supports for students that estimated actual cost would have been $169,500 due to a cost of living adjustment. The LEA would divide the estimated actual cost of $169,500 by the amount of LCFF Funding identified in the Data Entry Table and then convert the quotient to a percentage. This percentage is the Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services for the action.

LCFF Carryover Table

- **9. Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant**: Provide the total amount of estimated LCFF Target Entitlement for the current school year, excluding the supplemental and concentration grants and the add-ons for the Targeted Instructional Improvement Block Grant program,

the former Home-to-School Transportation program, and the Small School District Transportation program, pursuant to 5 *CCR* Section 15496(a)(8). Note that the LCFF Base Grant for purposes of the LCAP also includes the Necessary Small Schools and Economic Recovery Target allowances for school districts, and County Operations Grant for COEs. See *EC* sections 2574 (for COEs) and 42238.02 (for school districts and charter schools), as applicable, for LCFF entitlement calculations.

- **10. Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Current School Year:** This percentage will not be entered. The percentage is calculated based on the amounts of the Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (9) and the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (6), pursuant to 5 *CCR* Section 15496(a)(8), plus the LCFF Carryover – Percentage from the prior year. This is the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the current LCAP year.

Calculations in the Action Tables

To reduce the duplication of effort of LEAs, the Action Tables include functionality such as pre-population of fields and cells based on the information provided in the Data Entry Table, the Annual Update Summary Table, and the Contributing Actions Table. For transparency, the functionality and calculations used are provided below.

Contributing Actions Table

- **4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)**
    - This amount is the total of the Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds) column.
- **5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services**
    - This percentage is the total of the Planned Percentage of Improved Services column.
- **Planned Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the coming school year (4 divided by 1, plus 5)**
    - This percentage is calculated by dividing the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4) by the Projected LCFF Base Grant (1), converting the quotient to a percentage, and adding it to the Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (5).

Contributing Actions Annual Update Table

Pursuant to *EC* Section 42238.07(c)(2), if the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4) is less than the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and Concentration Grants (6), the LEA is required to calculate the difference between the Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (5) and the Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (7). If the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4) is equal to or greater than the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and Concentration Grants (6), the Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services will display “Not Required.”

- **6. Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and Concentration Grants**

- This is the total amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grants the LEA estimates it will actually receive based on the number and concentration of unduplicated students in the current school year.

- **4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)**
  - This amount is the total of the Last Year's Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds).
- **7. Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions**
  - This amount is the total of the Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds).
- **Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (Subtract 7 from 4)**
  - This amount is the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (7) subtracted from the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4).
- **5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)**
  - This amount is the total of the Planned Percentage of Improved Services column.
- **8. Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (%)**
  - This amount is the total of the Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services column.
- **Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (Subtract 5 from 8)**
  - This amount is the Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (5) subtracted from the Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (8).

LCFF Carryover Table

- **10. Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Current School Year (6 divided by 9 plus Carryover %)**
  - This percentage is the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (6) divided by the Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (9) plus the LCFF Carryover – Percentage from the prior year.
- **11. Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (7 divided by 9, plus 8)**
  - This percentage is the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (7) divided by the LCFF Funding (9), then converting the quotient to a percentage and adding the Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (8).
- **12. LCFF Carryover — Dollar Amount LCFF Carryover (Subtract 11 from 10 and multiply by 9)**

- If the Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (11) is less than the Estimated Actual Percentage to Increase or Improve Services (10), the LEA is required to carry over LCFF funds.

  The amount of LCFF funds is calculated by subtracting the Estimated Actual Percentage to Increase or Improve Services (11) from the Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (10) and then multiplying by the Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (9). This amount is the amount of LCFF funds that is required to be carried over to the coming year.

- **13. LCFF Carryover — Percentage (12 divided by 9)**

  - This percentage is the unmet portion of the Percentage to Increase or Improve Services that the LEA must carry over into the coming LCAP year. The percentage is calculated by dividing the LCFF Carryover (12) by the LCFF Funding (9).

California Department of Education
November 2024