
LCFF Budget Overview for Parents

Local Educational Agency (LEA) Name: Ánimo Pat Brown Charter High School
CDS Code: 19-64733-0106849
School Year: 2026-27
LEA contact information:
Annette Gonzalez
Chief Education Officer
angonzalez@greendot.org
323-565-1600

School districts receive funding from different sources: state funds under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF), other state funds, local funds, and federal funds. LCFF funds include a base level of funding for all LEAs and extra funding - called "supplemental and concentration" grants - to LEAs based on the enrollment of high needs students (foster youth, English learners, and low-income students).

Budget Overview for the 2026-27 School Year




This chart shows the total general purpose revenue Ánimo Pat Brown Charter High School expects to receive in the coming year from all sources.

The text description for the above chart is as follows: The total revenue projected for Ánimo Pat Brown Charter High School is $13,781,264, of which $10,183,862 is Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF), $2,445,526 is other state funds, $75,000 is local funds, and $1,076,876 is federal funds. Of the $10,183,862 in LCFF Funds, $2,838,582 is generated based on the enrollment of high needs students (foster youth, English learner, and low-income students).

LCFF Budget Overview for Parents

The LCFF gives school districts more flexibility in deciding how to use state funds. In exchange, school districts must work with parents, educators, students, and the community to develop a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) that shows how they will use these funds to serve students.



This chart provides a quick summary of how much Ánimo Pat Brown Charter High School plans to spend for 2026-27. It shows how much of the total is tied to planned actions and services in the LCAP.


The text description of the above chart is as follows: Ánimo Pat Brown Charter High School plans to spend $13,781,264 for the 2026-27 school year. Of that amount, $9,263,185.51 is tied to actions/services in the LCAP and $4,518,078.49 is not included in the LCAP. The budgeted expenditures that are not included in the LCAP will be used for the following:

Increased or Improved Services for High Needs Students in the LCAP for the 2026-27 School Year

In 2026-27, Ánimo Pat Brown Charter High School is projecting it will receive $2,838,582 based on the enrollment of foster youth, English learner, and low-income students. Ánimo Pat Brown Charter High School must describe how it intends to increase or improve services for high needs students in the LCAP. Ánimo Pat Brown Charter High School plans to spend $3,502,276.18 towards meeting this requirement, as described in the LCAP.

LCFF Budget Overview for Parents

Update on Increased or Improved Services for High Needs Students in 2025-26



This chart compares what Ánimo Pat Brown Charter High School budgeted last year in the LCAP for actions and services that contribute to increasing or improving services for high needs students with what Ánimo Pat Brown Charter High School estimates it has spent on actions and services that contribute to increasing or improving services for high needs students in the current year.

The text description of the above chart is as follows: In 2025-26, Ánimo Pat Brown Charter High School's LCAP budgeted $1,647,514.01 for planned actions to increase or improve services for high needs students. Ánimo Pat Brown Charter High School actually spent $1,991,972.09 for actions to increase or improve services for high needs students in 2025-26.

Local Control and Accountability Plan

The instructions for completing the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) follow the template.

Local Educational Agency (LEA) Name	Contact Name and Title	Email and Phone
Ánimo Pat Brown Charter High School	Annette Gonzalez Chief Education Officer	angonzalez@greendot.org 323-565-1600

Plan Summary [2026-27]

General Information

A description of the LEA, its schools, and its students in grades transitional kindergarten–12, as applicable to the LEA. LEAs may also provide information about their strategic plan, vision, etc.

Ánimo Pat Brown Charter High School ("APB") is a public charter high school that is part of the Green Dot Public Schools California charter management organization. Ánimo Pat Brown, which is named for the former California governor who is credited with the creation of modern California through infrastructure initiatives, is committed to changing the landscape of public education so that every child can graduate from high school prepared for college, leadership, and life. We proudly serve the Florence-Firestone neighborhood and surrounding communities of South Los Angeles, providing free, high quality, college-prep education. The school opened in 2006 and currently serves approximately 617 students in grades 9 – 12. Demographically 98.1% of students are socioeconomically disadvantaged, 13.9% are students with disabilities, 6.2% are English Learners, 98.7% of students identify as Latinx.

To date, we have had 13 graduation ceremonies of approximately 150 students each year. In the 18 years APB has served the students of Florence Firestone and South Los Angeles, we have always been guided by one basic principle: What will best prepare our students? In order to best prepare them for the rigors of college, leadership, and life, all stakeholders are involved in our mission through a cycle of self-study, data analysis, and professional development. We expect that our students will be prepared for life after high school as self-directed individuals, critical thinkers, academic strivers, life-long learners, effective communicators, and civic actors.

Reflections: Annual Performance

A reflection on annual performance based on a review of the California School Dashboard (Dashboard) and local data.

Ánimo Pat Brown's 2025 California School Dashboard reflects sustained, broad improvement compared to the 2024 Dashboard. Of the six color-rated state indicators, the school improved or maintained on every measure, including improvements in English Language Arts (from Green to Blue), Graduation Rate (maintained Blue, with the underlying rate increasing from 97.9% to 99.3%), and English Learner Progress (improving from Red to No Performance Color, with the percentage of students making progress rising from 41.2% to 44%). Mathematics maintained its Yellow status but improved dramatically by 29.4 points in Distance from Standard, and the Suspension Rate maintained its Blue status with the school continuing to suspend less than 1% of students. The College/Career Indicator maintained Green while the percentage of students prepared rose from 54.2% to 67.4%. On the Science indicator, which was reported for informational purposes only in 2024, the school earned a Green status level in 2025 with a 4.2-point increase from the previous year.

English Language Arts (Green to Blue; 13.6 to 30.9 points above standard, an increase of 17.3 points). The school's continued investment in academic discourse drove this gain. Schoolwide professional development narrowed its focus to four specific habits of discourse—projecting, arguing from evidence, going deeper, and critiquing—with teachers planning and then reflecting on planned opportunities for student discourse. Admin walkthrough data indicates consistent growth amongst staff in promoting these habits. Standards Based Grading, now implemented across all classes, has recentered student and teacher conversations around proficiency on standards rather than completion of assignments, and the Green Dot Intellectual Preparation Framework continues to anchor backwards planning across departments.

Mathematics (Yellow to Yellow; 100.1 to 70.7 points below standard, an increase of 29.4 points). Math performance continued the dramatic upward trajectory established in 2024. The school sustained and deepened the suite of supports that drove the prior year's two-level jump: increased coaching and collaboration with the Green Dot math Curriculum Specialist, quarterly assessments using Mastery Connect to provide SBAC-aligned assessment exposure and standard-by-standard data analysis, vertical planning aligned to common standards across grade levels, Illustrative Math curriculum and DESMOS for instant teacher feedback on student work, iReady goal setting differentiated for universal, bubble, and intensive support students, and dedicated 9th grade math support and Math Foundations intervention courses. Targeted SBAC awareness and preparation work in 11th grade College Readiness classes—including supplementary Edcite practice and SBAC awareness days with student recognition incentives—built student stamina and familiarity with the assessment platform.

English Learner Progress (Red to No Performance Color; 41.2% to 44% making progress, an increase of 2.8%). The school strengthened its co-teaching model in the designated ELD program, with a focus on differentiated small-group instruction and rigorous standards-based curriculum specifically designed for English Learners. Teachers continue to use the ELLevation platform to differentiate instruction based on student EL data and to strategically pair and group students. The English Learner Lead and the co-teacher supporting English Learners with IEPs have provided schoolwide training on embedding meaningful academic discourse and on appropriate accommodations. Collaboration between the English Learner Lead, the Special Education Department, and the administrator over ELD programming has strengthened the LTEL IEP Reclassification Process, supporting both reclassification and ELPI growth.

Graduation Rate (Blue to Blue; 97.9% to 99.3% graduated, an increase of 1.4%). The school's mastery-based grading policies give students consistent, multiple opportunities to demonstrate mastery of grade-level standards, supporting first-time class passage and on-time A-G progress. Academic counselors use current and historical credit data to identify and intervene early with students who are lagging behind in A-G credits, working alongside students and families as soon as a need emerges.

College/Career Indicator (Green to Green; 54.2% to 67.4% prepared, an increase of 2.6%). The substantial improvement in AP passing rates—from 48.5% to 63.0%—reflects targeted work to more effectively implement Advanced Placement curriculum and provide test preparation opportunities for enrolled students, an area we identified as a growth area the prior year. The school continued to expand college-preparatory electives, adding AP Precalculus in 2025-26 to complement AP Computer Science, Project Lead the Way: Computer Science Essentials, Digital Art, and dual enrollment college classes taught during the school day in partnership with East Los Angeles College. This year we also added a second dual enrollment partner: Los Angeles Trade Tech College.

Suspension Rate (Blue to Blue; 0% to 0.2% suspended at least one day, maintained). The school continues to maintain an exceptionally low suspension rate while focusing on culture and engagement. The adoption of a phone-free policy beginning in 2024-25, requiring students to store devices in Yondr pouches throughout the day, has reduced classroom distractions and protected student mental health.

The Gryphon Cup—a schoolwide, student-led, inter-class competition culminating in the Gryphon Games—has cultivated joy and student connection to the school. Combined with the supports of an additional counselor, psychologist, mental health therapist, and Student Support Specialist, the school continues to address student needs through systems of support rather than exclusionary discipline.

2025 California Dashboard Analysis

English Language Arts:
Based on our results of the 2025 California Dashboard, Animo Pat Brown improved 17.3 Points in ELA to 30.9 Above Standard from the previous year of 13.6 Points Above Standard. The Color Indicator in 2025 improved to “Blue” from the previous year “Green.” In addition, Animo Pat Brown exceeds the state average of 8.1 Points Below Standard. Analysis by student groups:
Hispanic: 31.5 Points Above Standard, Increased 18.4 Points from the previous year, exceeding the state at 33.7 Points Below Standard, increased 5.7 points from the previous year.
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged: 30.5 Above Standard, Increased 18.4 Points from the previous year, exceeding the state at 35.3 Points Below Standard, increased 5.5 points from the previous year.

Mathematics:
Based on our results of the 2025 California Dashboard, Animo Pat Brown improved 29.4 Points in Mathematics to 70.7 Points Below Standard from the previous year of 100.1 Points Below Standard. The Color Indicator in 2025 remained “Yellow” from the previous year. In addition, Animo Pat Brown is behind the state average of 42.4 Points Below Standard. Analysis by student groups:
Hispanic: 69.3 Points Below Standard, Increased 32.4 points from the previous year, exceeding the state at 73.6 Points Below Standard, increased 5.6 Points from the previous year.
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged: 71 Points Below Standard, Increased 29.6 points from the previous year, exceeding the state at 72.9 Points Below Standard, increased 5.3 Points from the previous year.

English Learner Progress (ELPI):
Based on our results of the 2025 California Dashboard, Animo Pat Brown increased 2.8 Percentage Points in ELPI to 44% making progress from the previous year of 41.2% making progress. Animo Pat Brown is behind the state average of 46.4% making progress. Analysis by student group:

Long-Term English Learners (LTEL): 33.3% making progress, Declined 10 Percentage Points from the previous year, behind the state at 49.1% making progress, increased 3.3 Percentage Points from the previous year.
This indicator is not numerically significant and does not have a color rating as there are less than 30 students.

College/ Career (CCI):
Based on our results of the 2025 California Dashboard, Animo Pat Brown improved 2.6 Percentage Points in CCI to 67.4% prepared from the previous year of 64.8% making progress. The Color Indicator in 2025 remained “Green” from the previous year. In addition, Animo Pat Brown exceeded the state average of 51.7% prepared. Analysis by student group:
Hispanic: 67.4% prepared, Maintained 1.9 Percentage Points from the previous year, exceeding the state at 45.1%, increased 4.2 Percentage Points from the previous year.
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged: 67.4% prepared, Increased 2.6 Percentage Points from the previous year, exceeding the state at 44.8% prepared, increased 4.1 Percentage Points from the previous year.

Graduation Rate:
Based on our results of the 2025 California Dashboard, Animo Pat Brown increased 1.4 Percentage Points in Graduation Rate of 99.3% from the previous year of 97.9% graduated. The Color Indicator in 2025 remained “Blue” from the previous year. In addition, Animo Pat Brown exceeded the state average of 87.8% prepared. Analysis by student group:
Hispanic: 98.6% graduated, maintained 0 Percentage Points from the previous year, exceeding the state at 86.9% graduated, increased 1.6 Percentage Points from the previous year.
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged: 99.3% graduated, increased 1.4 Percentage Points from the previous year, exceeding the state at 86.2% graduated, increased 1.8 Percentage Points from the previous year.

Suspension Rate:
Based on our results of the 2025 California Dashboard, Animo Pat Brown maintained 0.2 Percentage Points in Suspension Rate of 0.2% suspended at least one day from the previous year of 0%. The Color Indicator in 2025 remained “Blue” from the previous year. In addition, Animo Pat Brown had a lower suspension rate than the state average of 2.9% suspended at least one day.

Science:
Based on our results of the 2025 California Dashboard, Animo Pat Brown increased 4.1 Science Points to 58.1 Science Points from the previous year of 54 Science Points. The Color Indicator in 2025 achieved a rating of “Green.” In addition, Animo Pat Brown exceeds the state average of 52.6 Science Points. Analysis by student group:
Hispanic: 58.4 Science Points, Increased 4.5 Points from the previous year, exceeding the state at 47.1 Science Points, maintained 1.7 points from the previous year.
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged: 58.1 Science Points, Increased 4.1 Points from the previous year, exceeding the state at 47.1 Science Points, maintained 1.5 points from the previous year.

Animo Pat Brown is proud to have achieved the best possible status rating of “Blue” on the 2025 California School Dashboard for school performance on Graduation Rate (99.3%) and for Suspension Rate (0.2%). Additionally, the school improved in ELA achievement by 17.3 Points, to 30.9 Points Above Standard from the previous year of 13.6 Points Above Standard. The ELA rating improved to “Blue” for 2025 from the previous year rating of “Green.” The 2025 College/ Career Indicator improved by 2.6 percentage points to 67.4% from the previous

year of 64.8%. Furthermore, the 2025 Mathematics achievement improved by 29.4 Points to 70.7 Points Below Standard from the previous year of 101 Points Below Standard.

On the Graduate Rate Indicator on the 2024 California School Dashboard report, Animo Pat Brown earned a status level of Very High for All Students which is higher to the state's status level. The report shows that 99.3% of Animo Pat Brown students graduated which is higher than the State at 87.8 percent. The status level of both numerically significant student groups Hispanic students and Socioeconomically Disadvantaged students is higher than the State's level for those student groups.

And on the Suspension Rate Indicator, Animo Pat Brown earned a status level of Very Low for All Students which is below the state's (2.4% Suspension Rate), status level of low. The report shows that 0.2% of Animo Pat Brown students were suspended for at least one day. Additionally, all five numerically significant student groups have Suspension Percentage rates lower than the state.

On the English Learner Progress Indicator, 44% of students* made progress did out perform the state average of 46.4% students making progress. Note: This student group is not numerically significant.

Ánimo Pat Brown's 2025 California School Dashboard report on the school's performance in ELA indicates that the school has earned a Color Indicator of "Blue" exceeding the state's "Yellow." The report reflects an average Distance from Standard increase of 17.3 points and stands at 30.9 points above standard for the All Students group, exceeding the state's 8.1 points below standard.

Ánimo Pat Brown's 2025 California School Dashboard report on the school's performance in Math indicates that the school has earned a Color Indicator of "Yellow" and is on par with the state. Based on our results of the 2025 California Dashboard, Animo Pat Brown improved 29.4 Points in Mathematics to 70.7 Points Below Standard from the previous year of 100.1 Points Below Standard. In addition, Animo Pat Brown is behind the state average of 42.4 Points Below Standard.

Ánimo Pat Brown's 2025 California School Dashboard report on the College and Career indicates Animo Pat Brown improved 2.6 Percentage Points in CCI to 67.4% prepared from the previous year of 64.8% making progress. The Color Indicator in 2025 remained "Green" from the previous year. In addition, Animo Pat Brown exceeded the state average of 51.7% prepared.

The school prioritized several programs and adjustments to help lead to this outcome:

Increased focus on SBAC awareness and prep
This year we have continued an important adjustment to SBAC preparation in 11th grade College Readiness classes. An 11th grade Algebra 2 teacher now provides targeted supplementary instruction and practice assessments using a variety of resources including Illustrative Math Curriculum, AI-powered tutor drills, and Edcite with all students on a rotating basis. Students have increased exposure to sample SBAC assessment questions on an online platform. Math teachers have also dedicated time between their lessons to spiral in review of important concepts to ensure students maintain familiarity with prior learning. Teachers have created SBAC awareness days in which students will feel proud of taking the SBAC and will know the importance of doing their best. Teachers and the school have developed a reward system and incentives for students to feel recognized for their work and effort given on the test.

Implementation of Pear Assessment:

All ELA, math, science, history, and Spanish teachers now administer quarterly assessments using the Pear assessment platform. Students receive valuable exposure to an online assessment platform experience to that of the SBAC. This platform also enhances the math department's ability to analyze and respond to student data. After students have completed an assessment on Pear Assessment, teachers are able to quickly see student performance on individual standards and questions. Teachers are able to then respond by reteaching discrete standards and skills and provide students additional opportunities to demonstrate mastery.

Increased support and collaboration math Curriculum Specialist:
For the second year in a row, Animo Pat Brown has opted into increased support and collaboration with a Green Dot Curriculum Specialist to provide increased coaching for all members of the math department. Between the administrator and the CS, rigorous walkthroughs were scheduled in order to identify areas of needs, followed by various collaboration sessions that were scheduled to coach and support, not only the new teachers, but the entire department by providing meaningful feedback and strategies to all of them. For newer teachers, there were consistent check-ins directed towards planning, grading and making sure that standards were being met. Teachers also received more personalized coaching sessions that would focus on a long term goal in which they would be coached and supported. Teachers are supported in their curriculum, instruction, and assessment through regular coaching sessions with school administration as well as the district curriculum specialists.

Standards Based Grading:
Animo Pat Brown has implemented a Standards Based gradebook in all classes. All grades are assigned on a 1-4 scale. All assignments are tagged to one or more standards in the gradebook. The three most recent scores for individual standards are averaged, and then the average performance on standards is averaged to determine an overall proficiency level to determine a student's grade. This adjustment has recentered standards for all stakeholders on campus. Rather than ask a teacher how to get a better grade, they are now asking how they can demonstrate proficiency on standards. Rather than redo assignments, students are asking for new opportunities to demonstrate mastery on standards. The Standards Based Gradebook helps ensure standards are the first thing teachers consider when planning and the last thing they consider when entering grades and providing students feedback. Additionally, by only including the three most recent scores on an individual standard, students are not penalized for having low level mastery of a standard early in the semester; students are graded only on their more recent performances to ensure grades incentivize improvement and do not penalize prior gaps in understanding.

Focus on vertical plan: assessment analysis, student work analysis:
Teachers have been working in a vertical plan in which all core courses align with the standards for each quarter. Each department constantly ensures that this curriculum is evolving and developing over the year. Content departments have continued to refine the curriculum with the goal of promoting the growth of student achievement by including more opportunities for the students to have productive struggles. Math classes use Illustrative Math and common instructional strategies (e.g. Notice and Wonder, Which One Doesn't Belong). The department has also adopted the DESMOS program for instant teacher feedback on student's work. All teachers utilize the Green Dot Intellectual Preparation Framework for backwards planning and internalizing unit plans. Additionally, the Math department engages in student work analysis sessions twice a semester with members of their academic department to identify adjustments to be made to the curricular plan for the upcoming unit as well as reteaching plans.

Professional Development
Department: Teachers had multiple opportunities throughout the year to collaborate with their department on setting department goals and working towards them. During this year, the department set their goal on using their collaboration time to continue to ensure frequent

opportunities for students to process their thinking through speaking and writing. We have systematically sought to improve student voice and sharing strategies for “scaffolding up” so that students would be better able to use academic language authentically and engage in complex tasks.

Organization-Wide Intellectual Preparation Protocols:
Teachers were able to collaborate with other teachers from other schools by sharing strategies that would improve the student voice inside the classroom, while aligning them to the content standards. All staff development on Student Work Analysis (Data Analysis): All teachers utilize the Green Dot Intellectual Preparation Framework for backwards planning and internalizing unit plans. Additionally, the Math department engages in student work analysis sessions twice a semester with members of their academic department to identify adjustments to be made to the curricular plan for the upcoming unit as well as reteaching plans. During this data analysis meeting, student’s work from a subgroup is evaluated in order to determine what are the best supports for subgroups that can improve the student achievement.

NWEA MAP and iReady Goal Setting
The department, in conjunction with the CS and Admin team, have established Map and iReady reading and math goals after each window data has been analyzed. These goals have been created as Universal Goals for core Math and ELA courses students, Universal Goals for Math and ELA foundation courses, additional Goals for bubble students, Intensive Support Goals for intervention students. Goals have been put in place for every grade.

Math Interventions
Incoming students showing deficits in math receive a math support course in the 9th grade that teaches fundamental arithmetic and numeracy skills while supporting the students in their grade-level algebra class. This program includes student consumables, manipulatives, and technology. After the 9th grade, students who continue to demonstrate deficits in math are supported through a course called Math Foundations. This course focuses on the essential algebra and numeracy skills to enable students to be successful in their common-core aligned grade-level course. In addition to the great work being done to address challenges, we also want to highlight successes and accomplishments:

Growth on CAASPP Assessments
In the 2024-25 school year, students demonstrated growth compared to the prior year on all three CAASPP Assessments. The percentage of students meeting or exceeding standard in Science increased from 31.73% to 36.96%, in English Language Arts (ELA) from 58.62% to 65.47%, and in Math from 18.62% to 27.34%. Based on data from the 2025 assessments, the school has exceeded pre-pandemic performance in all three subjects.

Continued Focus on Student Processing Opportunities and Academic Discourse
Since the return to in-person instruction over the last four school years, Animo Pat Brown has maintained a consistent professional development focus on academic discourse. Immediately after the return to in person learning, the goal was to simply get students engaging in discourse again. The staff continues to push the depth and rigor of academic discourse by establishing a narrowed focus on 4 specific habits of discourse: projecting, arguing from evidence, going deeper, and critiquing. After a quarter of the school year, the staff has made great progress on the first two of those habits following a series of professional development sessions in which teachers have planned and then reflected on planned opportunities for student discourse. Admin’s internal walkthrough data indicates consistent growth amongst the staff in promoting these habits.

Phone Free School
Animo Pat Brown adopted a phone free policy beginning in the 2024-25 school year that requires all students to turn off their personal electronic devices and store them in a Yondr pouch for the duration of the school day. This policy was adopted to reduce distractions in the classroom, promote in-person interactions between students and teachers, and protect student mental health. Implementation has been very effective and well-received from all school community groups. Teachers, parents, and students have all reported improvements to school culture and student engagement both at school and at home.
School Culture

This school year. there has been a renewed focus on building school culture, specifically cultivating joy and bringing joyful experiences to each and every school day. To do this, we have created The Gryphon Cup, which is a schoolwide, inter-class competition involving numerous school spirit activities such as door decorating, designing of “class crests”, class shirts, and scavenger hunts. The competition culminates with the Gryphon Games, composed of different games that entire classes play schoolwide, winning points for their Advisory cohort. This program is student led and student centered; thus, resulting in increased engagement with the school community, increased attendance, and overall student connection with the school.

Learning Recovery Emergency Block Grant (LREBG):
At the end of the 2025-26 school year, we had $286,007 remaining of our Learning Recovery Emergency Block Grant. Aligning with LREBG allowable uses and to support our student needs to continue their growth in ELA and Mathematics, funds are supporting LCAP actions Goal 1 Action 1. This action supports the employment of ELA and Mathematics credentialed subject area teachers who have the skills and experience to support student academic outcomes, an identified student need as we work towards a Green and Blue indicator on the CA School Dashboard.

Reflections: Technical Assistance

As applicable, a summary of the work underway as part of technical assistance.

Not Applicable.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement

An LEA with a school or schools eligible for comprehensive support and improvement must respond to the following prompts.

Schools Identified

A list of the schools in the LEA that are eligible for comprehensive support and improvement.

Not applicable

Support for Identified Schools

A description of how the LEA has or will support its eligible schools in developing comprehensive support and improvement plans.

Not applicable

Monitoring and Evaluating Effectiveness

A description of how the LEA will monitor and evaluate the plan to support student and school improvement.

Not applicable

Engaging Educational Partners

A summary of the process used to engage educational partners in the development of the LCAP.

School districts and county offices of education must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, local bargaining units, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

Charter schools must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

Educational Partner(s)	Process for Engagement
Teachers	There are several ways that parents, teachers, and students influenced the LCAP. The LCAP was included in all School Advisory Council and DELAC meetings so stakeholders have time to understand, reflect, prioritize, and determine school-wide strategic planning foci for the upcoming year. The School Advisory Council (SAC) has reviewed the LCAP goals, outcomes data, and expenditures data at multiple points throughout the year. The SAC is composed of administrators, teachers, counselors, classified staff members, parents and students. Those groups also monitor progress on current year strategic plans. Teachers also give input by department and through grade level teams on instructional priorities. Since the first SAC meeting, the Principal referred to the LCAP and its goals during subsequent SAC meetings to ensure the LCAP was kept top of mind. The SAC is comprised of administrators, teachers, counselors, classified staff members, parents and students. The SAC also includes representation from the Asociación de Maestros Unidos, the union that represents Green Dot's certificated staff. All parents were also invited to participate in a Google Form LCAP survey sent to families by text message and Parent Square.
Principals	The school used several outreach strategies to engage with stakeholders on the LCAP. The School Advisory Council (SAC) is led by our Principals and they review the LCAP goals, outcomes data, and expenditures data at multiple points throughout the year. The SAC is composed of Principals, administrators, teachers, counselors,

Educational Partner(s)	Process for Engagement
	classified staff members, parents and students. The SAC had the opportunity to provide specific feedback on the various elements of the LCAP and identify areas of success and challenge to focus on in the coming year.
Administrators	The School's efforts began in the fall when the School Principal and Operations and Finance Manager reviewed the 2025-26 LCAP with the School Advisory Council (SAC). Our School Culture team provides oversight for the "Focus on School Culture" goals. The Instructional Leadership Team reviews the "Proficiency for All" and the "Preparation for College, Leadership, & Life" goals. All SAC members and participants were also invited to participate in a Google Form LCAP survey sent to families by text message and Parent Square.
Other School Personnel	The Green Dot Public Schools Home Office ("Green Dot Home Office"), including members of the Education, Knowledge Management, Policy & Public Affairs, Finance & Accounting and Operations teams, provided the School with updated data for each goal to help monitor and track progress. The Principal reviewed the updated data with the SAC and reflected on changes from the previous year. In conjunction with the different stakeholder groups, the Green Dot Home Office worked with School Leadership to update the LCAP Annual Update, taking into account the progress identified on the Annual Updates, revisions to actions/services, and updates to future expenditures.
Parents	There are several ways that parents, teachers, and students influenced the LCAP. The LCAP was included in all School Advisory Council and DELAC meetings so stakeholders have time to understand, reflect, prioritize, and determine school-wide strategic planning foci for the upcoming year. The School Advisory Council (SAC) has reviewed the LCAP goals, outcomes data, and expenditures data at multiple points throughout the year. The SAC is composed of administrators, teachers, counselors, classified staff members, parents and students. Those groups also monitor progress on current year strategic plans. Teachers also give input by department and through grade level teams on instructional priorities.

Educational Partner(s)	Process for Engagement
	Since the first SAC meeting, the Principal referred to the LCAP and its goals during subsequent SAC meetings to ensure the LCAP was kept top of mind. The SAC is comprised of administrators, teachers, counselors, classified staff members, parents and students. The SAC also includes representation from the Asociación de Maestros Unidos, the union that represents Green Dot's certificated staff. All parents were also invited to participate in a Google Form LCAP survey sent to families by text message and Parent Square. In addition, the LCAP is included in our agendas for both Coffee With the Principal so that parents are able to understand and provide our admin team with input, feedback, and suggestions for improvement that meets the needs of their children. Lastly, to ensure that we're soliciting all important educational partners for our LCAP feedback, we post on ParentSquare. ParentSquare is like a social media platform that empowers the school to reach all of our parents. We distributed our LCAP survey to all of our parents/guardians via ParentSquare and we were able to gather feedback from parents of Students with Disabilities, English Learners, and all parents/guardians of other numerically and non-numerically significant student groups. This is done to ensure we receive a holistic stream of feedback and engagement of all families.
Students	Students are important members of our SAC Meetings as they provide key feedback and input on how to improve their peers' and own outcomes at the school. Additionally, I contribute to discussions by offering insights and weighing in on suggestions for school improvement, reinforcing our collective commitment to growth and excellence. They are part of the entire process throughout the school year of reviewing LCAP data, providing input and feedback, and voting to approve the LCAP. Green Dot Home Office works with school leaders to update the LCAP, analyzing data and monitoring progress toward goals. The LCAP is then presented to the School Advisory Council and the DELAC in May. These stakeholder groups provide feedback before the final SAC approval. The LCAP is then presented to the Green Dot

Educational Partner(s)	Process for Engagement
	Governing Board in June for final approval. Green Dot Public Schools, school leadership, and the SAC will all continue to monitor the school's progress toward these goals.
SELPA	Our SELPA is provided our LCAPs early in our process for review and feedback to ensure that we are providing the proper support, documentation, and explanation of the supports we provide our Students with Disabilities.

A description of how the adopted LCAP was influenced by the feedback provided by educational partners.

There are several ways that parents, teachers, and students influenced the LCAP. The LCAP was included in all School Advisory Council and DELAC meetings so stakeholders have time to understand, reflect, prioritize, and determine school-wide strategic planning foci for the upcoming year. Those groups also monitor progress on current year strategic plans. In addition, the LCAP is included in our agendas for both Coffee With the Principal so that parents are able to understand and provide our admin team with input, feedback, and suggestions for improvement that meets the needs of their children. Teachers also give input by department and through grade level teams on instructional priorities. Our School Culture team provides oversight for the "Focus on School Culture" goals. The Instructional Leadership Team reviews the "Proficiency for All" and the "Preparation for College, Leadership, & Life" goals.

The School's efforts began in the fall when the School Principal and Operations and Finance Manager reviewed the 2025-26 LCAP with the School Advisory Council (SAC). Since the first SAC meeting, the Principal referred to the LCAP and its goals during subsequent SAC meetings to ensure the LCAP was kept top of mind. The SAC is composed of administrators, teachers, counselors, classified staff members, parents and students. The SAC also includes representation from the Asociación de Maestros Unidos, the union that represents Green Dot's certificated staff. All parents were also invited to participate in a Google Form LCAP survey sent to families by text message and via ParentSquare, a social media-like platform for the school and community to engage with each other. This engagement included parents with limited English proficiencies, parents of English Learners, and parents of students with disabilities.

The Green Dot Public Schools Home Office ("Green Dot Home Office"), including members of the Education, Knowledge Management, Policy & Public Affairs, Finance & Accounting and Operations teams, provided the School with updated data for each goal to help monitor and track progress for this year's LCAP. The Principal reviewed the updated data with the SAC and DELAC and reflected on changes from the previous year.

In conjunction with the different stakeholder groups, the Green Dot Home Office worked with School Leadership to update the LCAP, taking into account the progress identified on the Annual Updates, revisions to actions/services, and updates to future expenditures. We also have Learning Recovery Emergency Block Grant ("LREBG") funds remaining for our school. During our budget development process, we host SAC and other budget specific meetings highlighting the current status of revenue, grant allocations, and the proposed budget for the next school year. During these meetings we highlighted how we are using funds to support English Language Arts and Mathematics outcomes through programs, services, and staff members to target students' needs.

Goals and Actions

Goal

Goal #	Description	Type of Goal
1	Provide Basic Services by: • Maintaining the appropriate assignment of teachers, appropriately credentialed in the subject areas and for the pupils they are teaching • Providing students will have access to and be enrolled in a broad course of study (i.e., science, social science, VAPA, PE for high school students), and have access to standards-aligned instructional materials and the educational program as outlined in the school's charter petition • Maintaining school facilities are maintained in good repair	Broad Goal

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Priority 1: Basic (Conditions of Learning)
Priority 7: Course Access (Conditions of Learning)
Priority 8: Other Pupil Outcomes (Pupil Outcomes)

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

To provide and maintain Basic Services for students and schools.
To ensure students have access to a broad course of study and pupil outcomes are measured in applicable subject areas.

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
1.1	1a Credentialed Teachers: 100% of teachers will be appropriately credentialed in the subject areas and for the pupils they are teaching. Data Source: Internal Data	All: 100% Source: Internal Data (2023-24)	100% Source: Internal Data Year: 2024-25	100% Source: Internal Data Year: 2025-26	100%	0%

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
1.2	1b Broad Course of Study: 100% of students will have access to a broad course of study, standards-aligned materials and additional instructional materials and to the educational program as outlined in the school's charter petition. Data Source: Internal Data	All: 100% Source: Internal Data (2023-24)	100% Source: Internal Data Year: 2024-25	100% Source: Internal Data Year: 2025-26	100%	0%
1.3	1c Facilities: School facilities are in good or exemplar repair as measured by the Facility Inspection Tool (FIT). Data Source: Facility Inspection Tool (FIT)	All: 100% Source: Facility Inspection Tool (2022-23)	98% Source: Facility Inspection Tool Year: 2024-25	100% Source: Facility Inspection Tool Year: 2025-26	90%	0%

Goal Analysis [2025-26]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

Animo Pat Brown was successful in its implementation of the Goal 1 actions. There were no substantive differences between planned and actual implementation of Goal 1 actions related to teacher credentialing, curriculum design, master scheduling and staffing, and facility inspections.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

There were no material differences between planned expenditures and estimated actual expenditures.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

As seen by our metric outcomes, all of our actions were effective in supporting our desired result. These actions will remain unchanged for this LCAP year, and will reassess next school year when working with educational partners. The school marked 100% performance on all metrics in this goal: 100% of teachers will be appropriately credentialed in subject areas for the pupils they are teaching, 100% of students will have access to a broad course of study, standards-aligned materials, and additional instructional materials and to the education program, and school facilities are in good or exemplar repair.

Green Dot Human Resources and Human Capital reviewed teacher, counselor and administrator credentials, background checks and TB clearances at hire and at least once throughout the year to ensure all credentials are properly maintained. In addition, Green Dot Human Resources and Human Capital work with employees on appropriate renewals for credentials and other requirements.

School operations conducts regular walkthroughs of the school facilities to quickly identify repair and maintenance needs. Stakeholders participate in collaborative discussions in a variety of venues to identify additional improvements that would benefit the school facilities in service of our mission.

Animo Pat Brown Charter High School maintained compliance with teachers being appropriately credentialed in their subject area and for the pupils they are teaching. We also maintained a broad course of study with standards-aligned materials and additional instructional materials and to the educational program as outlined in the school's charter petition. In the 2023-24 school year, Animo Pat Brown added an additional elective science course: Advanced Placement Computer Science. In the 2025-26 school year, the school also added AP Precalculus to its course offerings. In the 2026-27 school year, the school will be adding AP Spanish Literature and AP Seminar to its course offerings.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

Following continuous review of our LCAP actions as it relates to educational partner engagement and the LCAP instructions, we have made the following changes to the following LCAP actions:

- 1.2 Academic - Curriculum Design: Updated the action to a non-contributing action following closer review of the description and LCAP instructions
- 1.3 Academic - Master Scheduling & Staffing: Added language regarding services and support block time for English Learners and Students with Disabilities

A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.

Actions

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
1.1	Teacher Credentialing:	Human Resources:	$134,978.67	No

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
		• The principal reviews teacher, counselor and administrator credentials during the master scheduling process and throughout the year to ensure all credentials are properly maintained. The principal collaborates with the Green Dot Human Resources Team to for mid- year hires and/or course changes for teachers throughout the year. $678 of LCFF funds are Learning Recovery funds to support ELA and Math outcomes. Metrics to be tracked are in Goal 1: 1a • Green Dot implemented a system to maintain the employee lifecycle process (HRIS)		
1.2	Academic - Curriculum Design:	• Grade level - standards aligned curriculum has been adopted district-wide for grades 9-12 in English and Math. • All students have access to published standards-aligned curricular materials. Additional curricular resources may be available online and includes sample unit plans, lesson plans and summative assessments • Professional Development is provided to all teachers and administrators on the effective use of aligned curricular materials. • Textbooks and classroom materials are tracked using an online system to ensure access for all students • Students have access to technology and electronic materials which support student-aligned curriculum $23,500 of LCFF funds are Learning Recovery funds to support ELA and Math outcomes. Metrics to be tracked are in Goal 1: 1a	$815,466.10	No
1.3	Academic - Master Scheduling & Staffing:	• Administrators utilize data to assess student course progress and place students in appropriate classes for the upcoming year • The master schedule reflects an emphasis on high school ready and A- G courses that are supported with Common Core and Next Generation Science Standard curriculum and provides appropriate interventions for ELA and Math	$576,947.76	Yes

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
		• Advisory provides a venue for students to receive one-on-one academic consulting, social - emotional learning, additional support for core classes, and designated support time for English Learners and Students with Disabilities • The school will be appropriately staffed to implement the school master schedule, including elective courses. $23,500 of LCFF funds are Learning Recovery funds to support ELA and Math outcomes. Metrics to be tracked are in Goal 1: 1a		
1.4	Facility Inspections:	• Regular facility inspections and audits will be conducted by Green Dot Operations and Facilities staff • School maintenance and repairs will be provided by Green Dot Operations staff and external vendors • Actions/services include expenses such as rent, building maintenance and supplies as the charter school must lease or purchase facilities • School buildings will be supplied with sufficient furniture, utilities, and developments in order to support a safe and effective learning environment, including upgrades to infrastructure which support student use of educational technology	$1,643,493.07	No

Goals and Actions

Goal

Goal #	Description	Type of Goal
2	Proficiency for All: • Increase the percent of students scoring Met Standard and above on SBAC ELA, SBAC Math and CAST assessments annually or outperform the district average. • Increase percent of EL students who reclassify as Fully English Proficient. • Increase percent of EL students scoring “Level 3” and “Level 4” on the English Language Proficiency. Assessments for California (“ELPAC”) annual assessment. • Increase or maintain growth on CORE ELA and Math "<30" Explanation: Federal and state regulations safeguard student privacy by stipulating that data cannot be reported for any student group comprising fewer than 30 students. Educational Partners are encouraged to communicate with their student’s teacher or school administrators for insights into student group performance.	Broad Goal

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Priority 2: State Standards (Conditions of Learning)
Priority 4: Pupil Achievement (Pupil Outcomes)

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

To increase the percent of students who score Met Standard and above on the SBAC and CAST assessments.
To increase the percent of English Learners who achieve full English language proficiency.
To increase the percent of English Learners who make progress towards English proficiency.
To increase student growth percentile on the CORE assessment.

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
2.1	2a SBAC ELA: Increase percentage of students scoring Proficient and above on SBAC ELA assessment by 3	All Students: 55.56% Low Income: 55.97% African American: No Data Latinx: 56%	All Students: 58.6% SED: 58.5%	All Students: 65.5% SED: 65.7%	All Students: 64.56% Low Income: 64.97% Latinx: 64.97%	All Students: +9.94% SED: +9.73% African American: No Data

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
	percentage points per year or to be at/above 2022-23 local district rate for student group. Data Source: CAASPP	English Learners: <30 Long Term EL: <30 Students with Disabilities: <30 Homeless: <30 Foster Youth: No Data Source: CAASPP (2022-23)	African American: Less than 30 students LatinX: 58.5% English Learner: Less than 30 students LTEL: Less than 30 students SWD: Less than 30 students Homeless: Less than 30 students Foster Youth: No Data Available Source: CAASPP Year: 2023-24	African American: Less than 30 students LatinX: 65.7% English Learner: Less than 30 students LTEL: Less than 30 students SWD: Less than 30 students Homeless: Less than 30 students Foster Youth: No Data Available Source: CAASPP Year: 2024-25		LatinX: +9.7% English Learners: No Data LTEL: No Data SWD: No Data Homeless: No Data Foster: No Data
2.2	2b SBAC Math: Increase percentage of students scoring Proficient and above on SBAC Math assessment by 3 percentage points per year or to be at/above 2022-23 local district rate for student group. Data Source: CAASPP	All Students: 14.07% Low Income: 14.18% African American: No Data Latinx: 14% English Learners: <30 Long Term EL: <30 Students with Disabilities: <30 Homeless: <30 Foster Youth: No Data Source: CAASPP (2022-23)	All Students: 18.6% SED: 18.3% African American: Less than 30 students LatinX: 18.3% English Learner: Less than 30 students LTEL: Less than 30 students SWD: Less than 30 students Homeless: Less than 30 students Foster Youth: No Data Available	All Students: 27.3% SED: 27.6% African American: Less than 30 students LatinX: 27.7% English Learner: Less than 30 students LTEL: Less than 30 students SWD: Less than 30 students Homeless: Less than 30 students Foster Youth: No Data Available	All Students: 23.07% Low Income: 23.18% Latinx: 23.18%	All Students: +13.23% SED: +13.42% African American: No Data LatinX: +13.7% English Learners: No Data LTEL: No Data SWD: No Data Homeless: No Data Foster: No Data

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
			Source: CAASPP Year: 2023-24	Source: CAASPP Year: 2024-25		
2.3	2c CAST (Science): Increase percentage of students scoring Proficient and above on CAST (Science) assessment by 3 percentage points per year or to be at/above 2022-23 local district rate for student group. Data Source: CAASPP	All Students: 25.9% Low Income: 26.09% African American: No Data Latinx: 26% English Learners: <30 Long Term EL: <30 Students with Disabilities: <30 Homeless: <30 Foster Youth: No Data Source: CAASPP (2022-23)	All Students: 31.7% SED: 31% African American: No Data Available LatinX: 31% English Learner: Less than 30 students LTEL: No Data Available SWD: Less than 30 students Homeless: No Data Available Foster Youth: No Data Available Source: CAASPP Year: 2023-24	All Students: 37.0% SED: 36.8% African American: No Data Available LatinX: 37.5% English Learner: Less than 30 students LTEL: No Data Available SWD: Less than 30 students Homeless: No Data Available Foster Youth: No Data Available Source: CAASPP Year: 2024-25	All Students: 34.90% Low Income: 35.09% Latinx: 35.09%	All Students: +11.1% SED: +9.09% African American: No Data LatinX: +11.5% English Learners: No Data LTEL: No Data SWD: No Data Homeless: No Data Foster: No Data
2.4	2d EL Reclassification: Increase percent of EL students who reclassify as Fully English Proficient (RFEP rate) by 3 percentage points per year or to be at/above 2020-21 local district rate. Data Source: Internal Data	All Students: 37% Source: Internal Data (2022-23)	English Learners: 33% Source: Internal Data Year: 2023-24	English Learners: 41% Source: Internal Data Year: 2024-25	All Students: 46.00%	English Learners: 4%
2.5	2e ELPI Levels: Increase the percent of students growing at least one	All Students: 55%	English Learners: 41.2%	English Learners: Less than 30 students	All Students: 64.00%	English Learners: No Data LTEL: No Data

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
	ELPI level or maintaining the highest ELPI level based on ELPAC testing by 3 percentage points per year or be at/above 2022-23 local district ELPI rate. Data Source: California School Dashboard	Source: CA School Dashboard (2022-23)	LTEL: Less than 30 students Source: CA School Dashboard Year: 2023-24	LTEL: Less than 30 students Source: CA School Dashboard Year: 2024-25		
2.6	2f CORE SGP ELA: Increase the CORE student growth percentile (SGP) in ELA to be at or above 50% Data Source: CORE	All Students: 80% Low Income: 80% African American: No Data Latinx: 80% English Learners: No Data Long Term EL: No Data Students with Disabilities: No Data Homeless: No Data Foster Youth: No Data Source: CORE (2022-23)	All Students: 84% SED: 85% African American: No Data Available LatinX: 85% English Learner: No Data Available LTEL: No Data Available SWD: No Data Available Homeless: No Data Available Foster Youth: No Data Available Source: CORE Year: 2023-24	All Students: 84% SED: 83% African American: No Data Available LatinX: 82% English Learner: No Data Available LTEL: No Data Available SWD: No Data Available Homeless: No Data Available Foster Youth: No Data Available Source: CORE Year: 2024-25	All Students: 50% Low Income: 50% African American: 50% Latinx: 50% Students with Disabilities: 50% Foster Youth: N/A	All Students: +4% SED: +3% African American: No Data LatinX: +2% English Learners: No Data LTEL: No Data SWD: No Data Homeless: No Data Foster: No Data
2.7	2g CORE SGP Math: Increase the CORE student growth percentile (SGP) in Math to be at or above 50% Data Source: CORE	All Students: 64% Low Income: 64% African American: No Data Latinx: 64% English Learners: No Data Long Term EL: No Data	All Students: 66% SED: 66% African American: No Data Available LatinX: 66% English Learner: No Data Available LTEL: No Data Available	All Students: 64% SED: 64% African American: No Data Available LatinX: 71% English Learner: No Data Available LTEL: No Data Available	All Students: 50% Low Income: 50% African American: 50% Latinx: 50% English Learner: 50% Students with Disabilities: 50%	All Students: 0% SED: 0% African American: No Data LatinX: +7% English Learners: No Data LTEL: No Data SWD: No Data

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
		Students with Disabilities: No Data Homeless: No Data Foster Youth: No Data Source: CORE (2022-23)	SWD: No Data Available Homeless: No Data Available Foster Youth: No Data Available Source: CORE Year: 2023-24	SWD: No Data Available Homeless: No Data Available Foster Youth: No Data Available Source: CORE Year: 2024-25	Foster Youth: N/A	Homeless: No Data Foster: No Data

Goal Analysis [2025-26]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

All actions were implemented as planned and there were no substantive differences. Animo Pat Brown was successful in its implementation of the Goal 2 actions. There were no substantive differences between planned and actual implementation of Goal 2 actions related to curriculum, resources and materials to support student subgroups, support for EL students, special education, high achieving students, professional development, interim assessments, test preparation, and credit recovery.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

There were no material differences between planned expenditures and estimated actual expenditures.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

All actions were effective in supporting the results we were seeking. The opportunity is to continue the focus on further refining and perfecting action supports for outcome growth. In relation to our “Proficiency for All” data, the school met nearly all of its LCAP goals.

The percentage of students meeting or exceeding standard on the SBAC ELA assessments increased 6.85% to 65.47%. The significant subgroups, SED, increased 7.22% to 65.67% Met or Exceeded Standard and Hispanic or Latino/a increased 7.25% to 65.7% Met or Exceeded Standard.

SBAC Math assessment achievement increased 8.72% to 27.34 Met or Exceeded Standard, exceeding the LCAP goal. All significant subgroups met their goals as well. The school also met it’s proficiency goal on the CAST, increasing from 31.73% in 2024 to 36.96% in 2025. All significant subgroups met their goal in this area.

Animo Pat Brown percentage of students growing at least one ELPI level was 44%, which is slightly lower than the state's rate of 46.4%. This indicator is not numerically significant and does not have a color rating as there are less than 30 students.

The school also achieved an 83% CORE student growth percentile in ELA and 64% in math in 2025, which is a slight decrease from the previous year of 84% in ELA 66% in math respectively.

The core activities that support Goal 2: Proficiency for all include our rigorous, Common Core-aligned curriculum, which includes reading, writing, and discussion in all content areas. We also maintained our 9-12th grade advisory program, which provides students with college and career knowledge, academic counseling, standardized test preparation, and frequent opportunities for guided reflection of students' individual academic progress. Professional Development at the school effectively prioritizes department and grade level collaboration around an intellectual preparation protocol and student work analysis, with a particular focus on ensuring all students are using the language of the discipline on a daily basis. Teachers participate in professional development opportunities rooted in resources available on ELLevation, an educational platform that is specifically designed to provide teachers with strategies on how to provide high quality instruction, with particular attention to the strengths
and the needs of students who are English Learners. While these resources are intended as a targeted support for students who are English learners, they are valuable resources to support the learning of all students. Through these professional developments, our staff has been able to broaden its knowledge and skill in explicit language instruction so that students are able to verbalize their learning, thereby deepening their understanding of new knowledge and skills.

The ELLevation platform also effectively empowers teachers to differentiate their instruction by using the students' English Learner data to determine the appropriate scaffolds individual students will need to support their learning. Through the platform, teachers have the ability to categorize leveled supports for students and instructional moves are strategically targeting a student's Zone of Proximal Development. Teachers also leverage the data in ELLevation and the diversity of learners in each classroom to create collaborative groups to ensure that there is precision pairing or grouping to support learning for all. Aside from ELLevation, the English Learner Lead and co-teacher supporting students who are English Learners with an IEP have been providing all staff with training on how to embed opportunities for students to engage in meaningful academic discourse and listening as well as how to appropriately accommodate for English Learners.

Additionally, to support students who are English Learners reclassifying as Fully English Proficient and to increase the percentage of of students growing at least one ELPI level, the school effectively implements a strong co-teaching model in our designated program that focuses on differentiated small group instruction, rigorous standards-based curriculum with resources specifically designed for English Learners, stronger collaboration between English Learner Lead, Special Education Department, and General Education teachers. The school has also Improved the reclassification progress, particularly through the IEP progress and increasing collaboration with the Special Education Department. Throughout the school year, the administrator over ELD programming has been consulting with the Special Education case carrier/team and the SEPA to determine whether these students should be reclassified at their next IEP meeting via the LTEL IEP Reclassification Process.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

To better align with the intent behind our reported outcomes, we have updated the way we describe our expected outcomes. Specifically, we have replaced the "%" symbol with "percentage points" in all of our metric descriptions. This change provides a clearer representation of our schoolwide and student group progress and impact. By using "percentage points," we emphasize absolute changes rather than proportional ones, better reflecting the spirit of the metric outcomes and progress we seek to achieve.

Following continuous review of our LCAP actions as it relates to educational partner engagement and the LCAP instructions, we have made the following changes to the following LCAP actions:

- 2.1 Curriculum, Resources and Materials to Support Student Subgroups: Added language regarding professional development focused on English Learners and Socioeconomically Disadvantaged students
- 2.2 Support for EL Students: Added language regarding curriculum and technology assessments used to support English Learners
- 2.5 Professional Development: Added language regarding professional development focused on supporting English Learners and Students with Disabilities
- 2.8 Credit Recovery: Updated the action to a non-contributing action following closer review of the description and LCAP instructions

A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.

Actions

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
2.1	Curriculum, Resources and Materials to Support Student Subgroups:	Curriculum, Resources and Materials to Support Student Subgroups: • ELA and Math intervention courses are offered at the 9th – 12th grade levels to ensure students below grade level have tiered support • Math teachers use Illustrative Mathematics for the core curriculum and Ready Common Core or i-Ready Classroom for their intervention curriculum. • Green Dot ELA teachers use MyPerspectives for the core curriculum and Read180, System44, and English 3D for their intervention curriculum • Professional development to provide specialized support for most at need students such as our English Learners and Socioeconomically Disadvantaged students. $30,828.00 of LCFF funds are Learning Recovery funds to support ELA and Math outcomes. Metrics are tracked in Goal 2: 2a, 2b, 2f, and 2g	$760,720.94	Yes

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
2.2	Support for EL Students:	• Appropriate Designated ELD courses are offered based on student needs • Green Dot ELD Handbook with instructional strategies and supports for ELs is available online and hard copy for all teachers and administrators • Each school site has an Administrator over ELs, who coordinates ELPAC testing, co-facilitates ELD professional development, supports with EL and reclassification progress monitoring, co-facilitates DELAC and other parent engagement meetings and meets with teachers and teacher leaders to determine EL SBAC and CAST accommodations as well as review EL student data when ELs are eligible for reclassification to ensure accurate reclassification. • EL Leads on each campus support their school site with ELD professional development, EL and reclassification progress monitoring and DELAC/parent engagement. All teachers are trained in how to develop a language objective and support the language needs of students in an integrated classroom setting. • Student performance in ELA classes, D/F Data, attendance, Lexile growth and ELPAC data are monitored to ensure EL progress and appropriate programming. The school analyzes data on a regular basis to support the Emerging Bilinguals in growth in language development. Data analysis supports the school matrix providing students with designated supports as needed while all courses focus on integrated instruction. Teachers are provided with professional development throughout the year including language objectives for daily lessons. The schools leverages the Ellevation platform to progress monitor Emerging Bilingual students and provide teachers with strategies for instruction. Practice tests are available for students to prepare for the English Language Language Proficiency Assessment (ELPAC). An Emerging Bilingual Lead (EB Lead) is a teacher leader on campus who collaborates with the Emerging Bilingual Coordinator on how to best support students based on data.	$275,408.85	Yes

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
		In addition, to targeted language support a may also be enrolled in a Literacy Intervention course to support their reading needs where support in language acquisition may also be provided. The English Language Arts courses support the Emerging Bilingual students on campus as the ELA department collaborates frequently to support the success of this student group. The school has adopted My Perspectives, a standards aligned curriculum, for Grades 6 through 12 English Language Arts courses. Professional Development is provided annually before the start of the school year to review curriculum, assessments, and recommended pacing plans in all grade levels. In addition to this professional development, the school is assigned a Literacy Curriculum Specialist, who provides targeted coaching and support to English Language Arts teachers on campus. The Curriculum Specialist meets monthly with the designated administrator over ELA to ensure alignment in supports for teachers and may also attend weekly school site professional development or ELA department meetings as needed. Common assessments from the curriculum are used to progress monitor student growth on standards and a common data analysis protocol is used to identify targeted standards for re-teaching. A universal screener assessment is given three times per year to provide additional data on student growth. The NWEA MAP assessment is a nationally normed diagnostic that is used in the Fall, Winter and Spring for all grade levels. Student data is analyzed after each assessment to assess the progress of this student subgroup and identify opportunities for additional support. $9,813.00 of LCFF funds are Learning Recovery funds to support ELA and Math outcomes. Metrics are tracked in Goal 2: 2a, 2b, 2f, and 2g		
2.3	Special Education:	Students with Disabilities: • Students that have an Individualized Education Plan will receive educational services in the Least Restrictive environment.	$1,291,972.35	No Yes

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
		• In collaboration with the SELPA and the special education team, the LEA has created a special education service delivery model that embraces the inclusion of all students in the least restrictive environment, regardless of their disability, by implementing special ed. services through a blended model. • The leadership team works with Administrators , teachers, office staff, school psychologist, counselors, special ed. Aides, nurses, speech pathologist, adaptive PE providers, transition support staff, program specialist etc. to ensure that our program integrates supports for the needs of students with disabilities. • The master schedule is carefully reviewed and reflects the needs of the special education population and class offerings, and program models (i.e., co-teaching, collaborative practices, specialized instruction, pull-out, and direct one-to-one instruction • The LEA has a Special Education Program Administrator and an Administrator over Special Education who work together on program development, compliance, coaching of teachers, and inclusion of all students. The support model includes bi-weekly meetings with the administrator over special education, progress monitoring tools for compliance and the review of growth data on a quarterly basis. The growth data analysis targets ELA achievement and Math achievement for students receiving special education services. • Additionally, the team analyzes discipline data to ensure that students with disabilities are receiving appropriate support in the area of social emotional supports. • Special education teachers are part of the school grade-level teams so that they can increase their collaboration with their general ed. colleagues. $93,670 of LCFF funds are Learning Recovery funds to support ELA and Math outcomes. Metrics are tracked in Goal 2: 2a, 2b, 2f, and 2g		
2.4	High Achieving Students:	• Eligible students are automatically enrolled in AP courses based on data and the Master Schedule is built to include a variety of AP course offerings. The school offers Dual Enrollment opportunities	$48,740.10	No

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
		in collaboration with local Community Colleges to provide college - level courses to students. $1,130 of LCFF funds are Learning Recovery funds to support ELA and Math outcomes. Metrics are tracked in Goal 2: 2a, 2b, 2f, and 2g		
2.5	Professional Development	• Teachers participate in one professional development session and one collaboration session per week • Professional development focuses on the process of Intellectual Preparation so that teachers are planning effectively with aligned curriculum and the Common Core or Next Generation Science standards. • Green Dot utilizes the train-the-trainer model providing instructional leadership team members in each content area ongoing support in curriculum development and instructional strategies to lead their department in planning and student work analysis protocols • Professional development is provided to teachers around identifying English Learner students utilizing the CA ELD Standards in Integrated ELD, their Special Education students, and using accommodations and modifications to ensure that students receive appropriate support. • Professional development emphasizes the need to provide tiered interventions to support student success and is data driven utilizing universal math and literacy screeners. $7,521 of LCFF funds are Learning Recovery funds to support ELA and Math outcomes. Metrics are tracked in Goal 2: 2a, 2b, 2f, and 2g	$224,235.38	Yes
2.6	Interim Assessments:	• Green Dot interim assessments are unit- embedded assessments in the adopted core curriculum for ELA Math and Science. • Green Dot interim assessments are aligned to the Common Core content standards or Next Generation Science Standards to expose students to the structure and format of the CAASPP.	$180,522.77	No

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
		• Schools are provided with additional assessment resources that can be used to prepare students including data analysis protocols and best practices for testing environments. • Student assessment results are analyzed to determine which interventions to implement using the MTSS framework and to support re-teaching opportunities in the classroom.		
2.7	Test Preparation	• Schools utilize the online test preparation resources available through the California Department of Education including the Interim Assessment Block (IAB) and Interim Comprehensive Assessment (ICA) along with other curriculum supplements from adopted curriculum to support students for assessment. Ed team curated resources are available by grade level and content area to support pacing and preparation for Assessments. $8,910 of LCFF funds are Learning Recovery funds to support ELA and Math outcomes. Metrics are tracked in Goal 2: 2a, 2b, 2f, and 2g	$221,191.46	No
2.8	Credit Recovery	• ELA and Math intervention courses (Literacy Intervention, Math Support, Academic English Essentials, Composition 9) are offered at the 9th and 10th grade levels to ensure students below grade level have targeted supports to meet their needs. • Master schedule is developed to create multiple pathways for students to meet the A-G requirements, which align to the minimum requirements for a Green Dot HS diploma. • School has two counselors who provide academic and college counseling. • All students take an Advisory course (9-12) which provides individualized academic consultancy and a review of graduation progress. • Students in the 11th and 12th grades have individual meetings with their counselors to track graduation progress and develop plans for credit recovery as needed • Credit recovery and/or summer school is offered to support students in need of recouping credit	$250,529.72	No

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
		• Counselors receive professional development and coaching from Counselor Support Specialist as needed $8,554 of LCFF funds are Learning Recovery funds to support ELA and Math outcomes. Metrics are tracked in Goal 2: 2a, 2b, 2f, and 2g		
2.9	Support for Long Term English Learners	The school analyzes data on a regular basis to support the LTELs growth in language development and academic success. Data analysis supports the school matrix providing students with designated supports. LTELs are currently provided with Designated ELD courses that incorporate LTEL specific strategies for active engagement, writing scaffolds, academic vocabulary building, and ample practice for oral communication. In addition to Designated ELD, LTELs receive explicit language & literacy Instruction through Integrated courses across all content areas. All integrated classes embed vocabulary development and grammatical structures required to access subject matter content. Lessons are designed around ELD standards-based language objectives. Subject matter content is taught alongside reading and writing skills so LTELs are using language to meaningfully engage with the academic content. Professional Development is provided to all staff with three dedicated sessions to Integrated ELD PD. These are designed to ensure that all teachers know the proficiency levels their Emergent Bilinguals, what students can do at each level and what scaffolds/accommodations can support them. The professional development also provides time and support for teachers to access their EB data on the Ellevation platform and learn strategies that can be differentiated to support EBs at every level and understand the different types of English learners including Newcomer, SLIFE, LTEL, RFEP, as well as characteristics of each. While LTELs are enrolled in designated ELD classes, they are also placed into heterogenous grade-level content classes with English-proficient peers to facilitate interaction with native English speakers. In-class clustering also facilities differentiated instruction for LTELs as needed. Schools cultivate an affirming and inclusive environment for LTELs through classroom	$21,028.61	Yes

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
		structures and resources aimed at enhancing the school climate. These include, but are not limited to: • flexible grouping based on language and content proficiency, • incorporating opportunities for oral language practice • development utilizing culturally relevant curricular materials -providing space during the school day for reading for pleasure with access to a variety of age-appropriate books • utilizing technology as a tool for background and content knowledge to enhance access to the curriculum • creating a text-rich environment that emphasizes academic language At least 2x year, the progress of LTELs is monitored and all students with Ds and Fs are provided with Additional or Intensive supports based on a Multi- Tiered System of Supports. Instructional Coaches and ELD Coordinators provide ongoing coaching and professional development cycles to admin and teachers focused on research-based strategies and interventions that address the unique learning needs of LTELs. $678 of LCFF funds are Learning Recovery funds to support ELA and Math outcomes. Metrics are tracked in Goal 2: 2a, 2b, 2f, and 2g		
2.10	Improving Mathematics Outcomes	This Action addresses whole-school red indicator on the CA School Dashboard. The Hispanic student group received a red indicator on the California School Dashboard. Additionallly, as The school demographics reflect that 98.5% of the students are Hispanic. This action also addresses the red indicator for the Socioeconomically Disadvantaged student group and this demographic represents 93.5% of our school. Given this percentage, the supports outlined below are provided to all students. The school has adopted Illustrauve Math, a standards aligned curriculum, for Algebra I, Geomety and Algebra 2 coureses. Professional Development is provided annually before the start of the school year to review curriculum, assesmsents, and recommended pacing plans in all grade levels. In addition to this professional development, the school is assigned a Math Curriculum Specialist, who provides targeted coaching and support	$34,882.85	Yes

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
		to Math teachers on campus. The Curriculum Specialist meets monthly with the designated administrator over Math to ensure alignment in supports for teachers and may also attend weekly school site professional development or Math department meetings as needed. Common math assesmsent frome the curriculum are used to progress monitor student growth on standards and a common data analysis protocol is used to identify targeted standards for re-teaching. A universal screener assessment is given three times per year to provide additional data on student growth. The i-ready assessment is a nationally normed diagnostic that is used in the Fall, Winter and Spring for all grade levels. Student data is analyzed after each assessment to provide additional supports for students which may include a Math Intervention course in the 9th grade providing a full- block period of Math support in addition to core classes or targeted support for students close to grade level which may include Saturday Academies or a Learning Acceleration Academy over Spring Break for students in the 11th grade preparing for the SBAC assessment.		

Goals and Actions

Goal

Goal #	Description	Type of Goal
3	Prepared for college, leadership and life • Increase the percent of graduates that successfully complete A-G course requirements to greater than previous year or greater than 70% • Increase the percent of students earning passing scores (3 or above) on Advanced Placement ("AP") exams by 3% per year • Increase progress on California Dashboard College and Career Indicator • Maintain low cohort dropout rates at lower than previous year or less than 8% • Increase graduation rates to 85% or higher "<30" Explanation: Federal and state regulations safeguard student privacy by stipulating that data cannot be reported for any student group comprising fewer than 30 students. Educational Partners are encouraged to communicate with their student's teacher or school administrators for insights into student group performance.	Focus Goal

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Priority 4: Pupil Achievement (Pupil Outcomes)
Priority 5: Pupil Engagement (Engagement)

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

To increase the percent of graduates that successfully complete A-G course requirements
To increase the percent of students scoring passing scores on AP exams
To increase progress on the CA College and Career Indicator
To maintain a low cohort dropout rate.
To increase graduation rates

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
3.1	3a Cohort Dropout: Decrease the cohort dropout rate percent by	All Students: 1% Low Income: 1% African American: <30	All Students: 2.1% SED: 2.1%	All Students: 0.7% SED: 0.7%	All Students: 10.00%	All Students: -0.3% SED: -0.3%

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
	3 percentage points per year, or to be below 10% Data Source: Adjusted Cohort Graduation Rate (ACGR) and Outcome data	Latinx: 1% English Learners: 0% Long Term EL: No Data Students with Disabilities: <30 Homeless: <30 Foster Youth: <30 Source: Adjusted Cohort Graduation Rate (ACGR) and Outcome data (2022-23)	African American: No Data Available LatinX: 1.4% English Learner: Less than 30 students LTEL: No Data Available SWD: Less than 30 students Homeless: 5.4% Foster: No Data Available Source: Adjusted Cohort Graduation Rate (ACGR) and Outcome data Year: 2023-24	African American: No Data Available LatinX: 0.7% English Learner: Less than 30 students LTEL: No Data Available SWD: Less than 30 students Homeless: No Data Available Foster: No Data Available Source: Adjusted Cohort Graduation Rate (ACGR) and Outcome data Year: 2024-25	Low Income: 10.00% Latinx: 10.00%	African American: No Data LatinX: -0.3% English Learner: No Data LTEL: No Data SWD: No Data Homeless: No Data Foster Youth: No Data
3.2	3b Cohort Grad Rate: Increase cohort graduation rate by 3 percentage points per year, or to be at/above 85%. Data Source: Adjusted Cohort Graduation Rate (ACGR) and Outcome data	All Students: 99% Low Income: 99% African American: <30 Latinx: 99% English Learners: 100% Long Term EL: No Data Students with Disabilities: <30 Homeless <30 Foster Youth: <30 Source: Adjusted Cohort Graduation Rate (ACGR) and Outcome data (2022-23)	All Students: 97.9% SED: 97.9% African American: No Data Available LatinX: 98.6% English Learner: Less than 30 students LTEL: Less than 30 students SWD: Less than 30 students Homeless: 94.6% Foster: No Data Available	All Students: 99.3% SED: 99.3% African American: No Data Available LatinX: 99.3% English Learner: Less than 30 students LTEL: Less than 30 students SWD: Less than 30 students Homeless: 94.6% Foster: No Data Available	All Students: 85.00% Low Income: 85.00% Latinx: 85.00%	All Students: +0.3% SED: +0.3% African American: No Data LatinX: +0.3% English Learner: No Data LTEL: No Data SWD: No Data Homeless: NA Foster Youth: No Data

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
			Source: Adjusted Cohort Graduation Rate (ACGR) and Outcome data Year: 2023-24	Source: Adjusted Cohort Graduation Rate (ACGR) and Outcome data Year: 2024-25		
3.3	3c A-G: Increase the percent of graduates who successfully meet UC/CSU course requirements by 3 percentage points per year or to be at/above 70%. Data Source: Adjusted Cohort Graduation Rate (ACGR) and Outcome data	All Students: 96% Low Income: 96% African American: <30 Latinx: 96% English Learners: 82% Long Term EL: No Data Student with Disabilities: <30 Homeless: <30 Foster Youth: <30 Source: Adjusted Cohort Graduation Rate (ACGR) and Outcome data (2022-23)	All Students: 93.5% SED: 93.5% African American: No Data Available LatinX: 93.4% English Learner: Less than 30 students LTEL: No Data Available SWD: Less than 30 students Homeless: 94.3% Foster: No Data Available Source: Adjusted Cohort Graduation Rate (ACGR) and Outcome data Year: 2023-24	All Students: 51.0% SED: 47.0% African American: No Data Available LatinX: 47.9% English Learner: Less than 30 students LTEL: No Data Available SWD: 30.3% students Homeless: No Data Available Foster: No Data Available Source: Adjusted Cohort Graduation Rate (ACGR) and Outcome data Year: 2024-25	All Students: 70.00% Low Income: 70.00% African American: 70.00% EL 70.00% SWD 70.00% Homeless 70.00%	All Students: -46% SED: -49% African American: No Data LatinX: -48.1% English Learner: No Data LTEL: No Data SWD: No Data Homeless: N/A Foster Youth: No Data
3.4	3d AP: Increase the percent of Advanced Placement (“AP”) passing scores (3 or above) earned by students by 3 percentage points per year or to be at/above 70%.	All Students: 37% Low Income: 37% Latinx: 37% English Learners: <30 Long Term EL: No Data Students with Disabilities: <30 Homeless: <30 Foster Youth: <30	All Students: 48.5% SED: 48.4% African American: Less than 30 students LatinX: 47.6%	All Students: 63.0% SED: 62.1% African American: Less than 30 students LatinX: 63.0%	All Students: 46.00% Low Income: 46.00% Latinx: 46.00%	All Students: +26.0% SED: +9.0% African American: No Data LatinX: +26.0% English Learner: No data LTEL: No Data

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
	Data Source: College Board	Source: CollegeBoard (2022-23)	English Learner: Less than 30 students LTEL: No Data Available SWD: Less than 30 students Homeless: Less than 30 students Foster: No Data Available Data Source: College Board Year: 2023-24	English Learner: Less than 30 students LTEL: No Data Available SWD: Less than 30 students Homeless: Less than 30 students Foster: No Data Available Data Source: College Board Year: 2024-25		SWD: No Data Homeless: No Data Foster Youth: No Data
3.5	3e Increase the percent of students considered ""prepared"" on the College/Career Indicator by 3 percentage points per year.Data Source: California School Dashboard	All Students: 44% Low Income: 45% African American: <30 Latinx: 44% English Learners: 12% Long Term EL: No Data Students with Disabilities: <30 Homeless: <30 Foster Youth: <30 Source: CA School Dashboard (2022-23)	All Students: 54.2% SED: 54.2% African American: Less than 30 students LatinX: 54.7% English Learner: Less than 30 students LTEL: No Data Available SWD: Less than 30 students Homeless: 62.2% Foster: No Data Available Data Source: California School Dashboard Year: 2023-24	All Students: 67.4% SED: 67.4% African American: Less than 30 students LatinX: 67.4% English Learner: Less than 30 students LTEL: No Data Available SWD: Less than 30 students Homeless: 62.2% Foster: No Data Available Data Source: California School Dashboard Year: 2024-25	All Students: 53.00% Low Income: 54.00% Latinx: 53.00% EL 21.00%	All Students: +23.4% SED: +22.4% African American: No Data LatinX: +23.4% English Learner: No Data LTEL: No Data SWD: No Data Homeless: No Data Foster Youth: No Data

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
3.6	3f CTE: The percentage of pupils who have successfully completed CTE courses from approved pathways by increasing by 3 percentage points from the 2022-23 school year (if the school offers the CTE program). Data Source: Met UC/CSU Requirements and CTE Pathway Completion Report	All Students: No Data Low Income: No Data African American: No Data Latinx: No Data English Learners: No Data Long Term EL: No Data Homeless: No Data Foster Youth: No Data Source: Met UC/CSU Requirements and CTE Pathway Completion Report (2022-23)	All Students: No Data Available SED: No Data Available African American: No Data Available LatinX: No Data Available English Learner: No Data Available LTEL: No Data Available SWD: No Data Available Homeless: No Data Available Foster: No Data Available Data Source: Met UC/CSU Requirements and CTE Pathway Completion Report Year: 2023-24	All Students: No Data Available SED: No Data Available African American: No Data Available LatinX: No Data Available English Learner: No Data Available LTEL: No Data Available SWD: No Data Available Homeless: No Data Available Foster: No Data Available Data Source: Met UC/CSU Requirements and CTE Pathway Completion Report Year: 2024-25	All Students: Data not currently available Low Income: Data not currently available African American: Data not currently available Latinx: Data not currently available English Learners: Data not currently available Long Term EL: Data not currently available Students with Disabilities: Data not currently available Homeless: Data not currently available	All Students: No Data SED: No Data African American: No Data LatinX: No Data English Learner: No Data LTEL: No Data SWD: No Data Homeless: No Data Foster Youth: No Data
3.7	3g CTE & A-G: The percentage of pupils who have successfully completed both a-g and CTE courses by increasing by 3 percentage points from the 2022-23 school year (if the school offers the CTE program).Data Source: Met UC/CSU Requirements and CTE	All Students: 0% Low Income: 0% African American: <30 Latinx: 0% English Learners: 0% Long Term EL: No Data Students with Disabilities: <30 Homeless: <30 Foster Youth: < 30	All Students: 0% SED: 0% African American: Less than 30 students LatinX: 0% English Learner: Less than 30 students LTEL: Less than 30 students	All Students: 0% SED: 0% African American: Less than 30 students LatinX: 0% English Learner: Less than 30 students LTEL: Less than 30 students	All Students: 9.00% Low Income: 9.00% Latinx: 9.00% English Learners: 9.00%	All Students: 0% SED: 0% African American: No Data LatinX: 0% English Learner: No Data LTEL: No Data SWD: No Data Homeless: No Data

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
	Pathway Completion Report	Source: Met UC/CSU Requirements and CTE Pathway Completion Report (2022-23)	SWD: Less than 30 students Homeless: Less than 30 students Foster: No Data Available Data Source: Met UC/CSU Requirements and CTE Pathway Completion Report Year: 2023-24	SWD: Less than 30 students Homeless: Less than 30 students Foster: No Data Available Data Source: Met UC/CSU Requirements and CTE Pathway Completion Report Year: 2024-25		Foster Youth: No Data
3.8	3h EAP: Pupils prepared for college by the Early Assessment Program (EAP) by increasing 3 percentage points from the 22-23 school year. Data Source: CAASPP	All Students: 49% Low Income: 49% African American: <30 Latinx: 48% English Learners: 1% Long Term EL: No Data Students with Disabilities: <30 Homeless: <30 Foster Youth: <30 Source: CAASPP (2022-23)	All Students: 59% SED: 59% African American: Less than 30 students LatinX: 59% English Learner: Less than 30 students LTEL: No Data Available SWD: Less than 30 students Homeless: 68% Foster: Less than 30 students Data Source: CAASPP Year: 2023-24	All Students: 65% SED: 65% African American: Less than 30 students LatinX: 64% English Learner: Less than 30 students LTEL: No Data Available SWD: Less than 30 students Homeless: Less than 30 students Foster: Less than 30 students Data Source: CAASPP Year: 2024-25	All Students: 58.26% Low Income: 57.53% Latinx: 56.79% English Learners: 9.74%	All Students: +16% SED: +16% African American: No Data LatinX: +16% English Learner: No Data LTEL: No Data SWD: No Data Homeless: No Data Foster Youth: No Data

Goal Analysis [2025-26]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

All actions were implemented as planned and there were no substantive differences between planning and implementation. Animo Pat Brown was successful in its implementation of the Goal 3 actions. There were no substantive differences between planned and actual implementation of Goal 3 actions related to counseling, parent involvement, curriculum, and test preparation.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

There were no material differences between planned expenditures and estimated actual expenditures.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

All actions were effective in supporting our metric outcomes. Animo Pat Brown Charter High School has met all metrics in Goal 3: maintain low cohort dropout rate, maintain high graduation rate, maintain high AG-graduation rate, and increase the percent of Advancement Placement passing scores. In addition to meeting all of those goals as a school, all statistically significant subgroups met them as well.

The staff of Animo Pat Brown has an abiding belief in the ability of all students to graduate A-G. Through our effective focus on Intellectual Preparation and Student Work Analysis the instructional staff of the school remains focused on backwards planning with an emphasis on rigorous grade-level instruction. Through our mastery-based grading policies, students consistently have multiple opportunities to demonstrate mastery of grade level standards. Teachers effectively routinely use the results of formative and summative assessments to adjust teaching and learning to ensure all students have the ability to pass their classes the first time and make progress toward graduation and A-G eligibility. Additionally, our academic counselors consistently use current and historical data to provide additional support to students who may be lagging behind in A-G credits. Counselors effectively work alongside them and their families as soon as a need emerges. The school has also added additional course offerings for students to expand the range of college-preparatory electives they are able to take in high school. Those courses include Project Lead the Way: Computer Science Essentials, Digital Art, and dual enrollment college classes taught during the school day in partnership with East Los Angeles College.

An area of need in relation to Goal 3 is to more effectively implement Advanced Placement curriculum and provide test preparation opportunities for students enrolled in those courses.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

To better align with the intent behind our reported outcomes, we have updated the way we describe our expected outcomes. Specifically, we have replaced the "%" symbol with "percentage points" in all of our metric descriptions. This change provides a clearer representation of our schoolwide and student group progress and impact. By using "percentage points," we emphasize absolute changes rather than proportional ones, better reflecting the spirit of the metric outcomes and progress we seek to achieve.

Following continuous review of our LCAP actions as it relates to educational partner engagement and the LCAP instructions, we have made the following changes to the following LCAP actions:

- 3.1 Counseling: Updated the action to a non-contributing action following closer review of the description and LCAP instructions

A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.

Actions

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
3.1	Counseling:	• Counselor Support Specialist provides coaching and professional development to counselors who provide academic and college readiness advising. • School support is based on enrollment which drives the number of counselors on each site with a minimum of one at a MS and 2 at a HS • All students take an Advisory course (9-12) which provides individualized academic consultancy and a review of graduation progress • Students in the 11th and 12th grades have individual meetings with their counselors to track graduation progress and develop plans for credit recovery as needed • Students have individual meetings with their counselors to track promotion progress and develop plans towards meeting high school graduation and college application requirements • Schools have a Coordination of Services Team (COST) which includes an Administrator, school psychologist, and a clinical or mental health supervisor that coordinates counseling and social-emotional services for students. Students needing Tier 2 and Tier 3 interventions are connected with clinical and wellness services by the COST team which may include internal services or referrals to external agencies $29,815 of LCFF funds are Learning Recovery funds to support ELA and Math outcomes. Metrics are tracked in Goal 2: 2a, 2b, 2f, and 2g	$548,270.83	No

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
3.2	Parent Involvement:	• The school prioritized parent engagement and believes that parents are partners in the success of their students. Progress reports are sent home quarterly to inform students and parents of academic progress in each course. Parent Square has been adopted to increase communication about school events and opportunities for parents to engage with the school community. • Every school has a full -time Parent Coordinator who leads parent engagement including hosting parent workshops to educate parents on how to best support their students. • School hosts annual events including orientation, back-to- school night and other activities to engage parents in the school community • School administers an annual family and staff survey to garner feedback from parents on all aspects of the school including teacher performance, school safety and stakeholder satisfaction • School analyzes surveys each year to improve school connectedness and inform the work of the Parent Coordinator.	$94,875.48	No
3.3	Curriculum:	Curriculum: • Developed master schedule to create multiple pathways for students to meet the A-G requirements, which align to the minimum requirements for a Green Dot HS diploma • revised Placement process is data driven to ensure that all students who demonstrated academic readiness have access to AP courses. In addition to the data- driven placement, AP courses are open to all students. • Advisory course provided to all students (grades 9-12) which provided individualized academic consultancy and a review of graduation progress $3,389 of LCFF funds are Learning Recovery funds to support ELA and Math outcomes. Metrics are tracked in Goal 2: 2a, 2b, 2f, and 2g	$232,938.97	No Yes

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
3.4	Test Preparation:	• A data driven placement process was adopted to increase access to AP courses. In addition to the data- driven placement, AP courses are open to all students. • AP classes offered include an AP-approved syllabus and teachers are highly encouraged to attend training by the College Board • Summer retreats and mock exams are provided as additional scaffolds to prepare students for the AP exams • All actions to prepare students for CAASPP in ELA and Math will contribute to student college readiness scores used for the College and Career Indicator on the California School Dashboard. $13,364 of LCFF funds are Learning Recovery funds to support ELA and Math outcomes. Metrics are tracked in Goal 2: 2a, 2b, 2f, and 2g	$419,426.17	No Yes

Goals and Actions

Goal

Goal #	Description	Type of Goal
4	Focus on school culture: • School will maintain a high Average Daily Attendance (“ADA”) rate greater than 90% • School will decrease student chronic absenteeism rate by 3% per year or to a rate at or below 10% • School will decrease suspension rate by 3% per year or to a rate at or below 5% • School will maintain an expulsion rate of 0.5% or less "<30" Explanation: Federal and state regulations safeguard student privacy by stipulating that data cannot be reported for any student group comprising fewer than 30 students. Educational Partners are encouraged to communicate with their student’s teacher or school administrators for insights into student group performance.	Focus Goal

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Priority 5: Pupil Engagement (Engagement)
Priority 6: School Climate (Engagement)

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

To maintain a high attendance rate.
To maintain a low chronic absenteeism rate.
To decrease the number of pupil suspensions.
To decrease the number of pupil expulsions.

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
4.1	4a ADA: Maintain a high Average Daily Attendance (“ADA”) at a rate greater than 90%.	All Students: 91% Low Income: 91% African American: <30 Latinx: 91% English Learners: 88% LTEL: No Data	All Students: 92.5% SED: 92.4% African American: 87.8% LatinX: 92.5%	All Students: 93.1% SED: 93.1% African American: 87.3% LatinX: 93.2%	All Students: 90% Low Income: 90% African American: 90% Latinx: 90%	All Students: +2.1% SED: +2.1% African American: N/A LatinX: +2.1%

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
	Data Source: Student Information System (SIS)	Students with Disabilities: 90% Homeless: 93% Foster Youth: <30 Source: Student Information System (SIS) (2022-23)	English Learner: 88.8% LTEL: No Data Available SWD: 88.8% Homeless: 92.8% Foster: No Data Available Source: Student Information System (SIS) Year: 2023-24	English Learner: 89.6% LTEL: No Data Available SWD: 89.7% Homeless: 89.7% Foster: 97.2% Source: Student Information System (SIS) Year: 2024-25	English Learners: 90% Long Term EL: 90% Students with Disabilities: 90% Homeless: 90% Foster Youth: 90%	English Learner: +1.6% LTEL: N/A Students with Disabilities: -0.3% Homeless: -3% Foster Youth: N/A
4.2	4b Chronic Absenteeism: Decrease student chronic absenteeism rate by 3 percentage points per year or to a rate at or below 10%. Data Source: DataQuest: Chronic Absenteeism Rate	All Students: 28% Low Income: 29% African American: <30 Latinx: 28% English Learners: 39% LTEL: No Data Students with Disabilities: 30% Homeless: 19% Foster Youth: No Data Source: Dataquest:Chronic Absenteeism Rate (2022-23)	All Students: 24.2% SED: 24.4% African American: Less than 30 students LatinX: 24% English Learner: 38.9% LTEL: No Data Available SWD: 36.3% Homeless: 27.9% Foster: No Data Available Source: DataQuest: Chronic Absenteeism Rate Year: 2023-24	All Students: 20.1% SED: 20.3% African American: Less than 30 students LatinX: 19.9% English Learner: Less than 30 students LTEL: No Data Available SWD: 36.1% Homeless: 14.2% Foster: No Data Available Source: DataQuest: Chronic Absenteeism Rate Year: 2024-25	All Students: 19.41% Low Income: 19.64% African American: 10% Latinx: 19.03% English Learners: 29.60% Long Term EL: 10.00% Students with Disabilities: 21.29% Homeless: 10.05% Foster Youth: 10.00%	All Students: -7.9% SED: -8.7% African American: N/A LatinX: -8.1% English Learner: N/A LTEL: N/A Students with Disabilities: +6.1% Homeless: -4.8% Foster Youth: N/A
4.3	4c Suspension Rate: Decrease suspension	All Students: 0% Low Income: 0%	All Students: 0% SED: 0%	All Students: 0.2% SED: 0.2%	All Students: <5.00%	All Students: +0.2%

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
	rate by 3 percentage points per year or to a rate at or below 5%. Data Source: California School Dashboard: Suspension Rate Indicator	African American: <30 Latinx: 0% English Learners: 0% LTEL: No Data Students with Disabilities: 0% Homeless: <30 Foster Youth: No Data Source: CA School Dashboard: Suspension Rate Indicator (2022-23)	African American: Less than 30 students LatinX: 0% English Learner: 0% LTEL: No Data Available SWD: 0% Homeless: Less than 30 students Foster: Less than 30 students Source: CA School Dashboard: Suspension Rate Indicator Year: 2023-24	African American: Less than 30 students LatinX: 0.2% English Learner: Less than 30 students LTEL: No Data Available SWD: 0% Homeless: Less than 30 students Foster: No Data Available Source: CA School Dashboard: Suspension Rate Indicator Year: 2024-25	Low Income: <5.00% African American: <5.00% Latinx: <5.00% English Learner: <5.00% Long Term EL: <5.00% Students with Disabilities: <5.00% Homeless: <5.00% Foster Youth: <5.00%	SED: +0.2% African American: N/A LatinX: +0.2% English Learner: N/A LTEL: 0% Students with Disabilities: 0% Homeless: N/A Foster Youth: N/A
4.4	4d Expulsion Rate: Decrease expulsion rate by 2 percentage points per year or to a rate at or below 2%. Data Source: DataQuest - Suspension and Expulsion Data > Expulsion Rate	All Students: 0% Low Income: 0% African American: <30 Latinx: 0% English Learners: 0% LTEL: No Data Students with Disabilities: 0% Homeless: <30 Foster Youth: No Data Source: DataQuest - Suspension and Expulsion Data > Expulsion Rate (2022-23)	All Students: 0% SED: 0% African American: Less than 30 students LatinX: 0% English Learner: 0% LTEL: No Data Available SWD: 0% Homeless: Less than 30 students Foster: Less than 30 students	All Students: 0.2% SED: 0.2% African American: Less than 30 students LatinX: 0.2% English Learner: Less than 30 students LTEL: No Data Available SWD: 0% Homeless: Less than 30 students Foster: No Data Available	All Students: <2% Low Income: <2% African American: <2% Latinx: <2% English Learners: <2% Long Term EL: <2% Students with Disabilities: <2% Homeless: <2% Foster Youth: <2%	All Students: +0.2% SED: +0.2% African American: N/A LatinX: +0.2% English Learner: N/A LTEL: 0% Students with Disabilities: 0% Homeless: N/A Foster Youth: N/A

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
			Source: DataQuest: Suspension and Expulsion Data > Expulsion Rate Year: 2023-24	Source: CA School Dashboard: Suspension Rate Indicator Year: 2024-25		

Goal Analysis [2025-26]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

Animo Pat Brown was successful in its implementation of the Goal 4 actions. There were no substantive differences between planned and actual implementation of Goal 4 actions related to attendance and services for systems of support.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

There were no material differences between planned expenditures and estimated actual expenditures.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

All actions were effective as seen by our metric outcomes. Focus is on perfecting actions to increase effectiveness. With respect to Goal 4: Focus on School Culture, we have maintained a zero percent suspension rate and zero percent expulsion rate. The school also maintained a high average daily attendance above 90%. Chronic absenteeism decreased by 4.1%, above the 3% annual reduction goal.

Animo Pat Brown Charter High School effectively reduced chronic absenteeism by working with our School Leadership Team, counselors, and student support staff to determine the cause of student absences and identify interventions and supports to help students get to school everyday. Strategies employed range from having regular meetings with students who are chronically absent and implementing incentives when they achieve certain benchmarks. Parent communication and involvement is also a key factor and lever we are continuing to use. The Student Services and Operations Associate effectively conferenced individually and in small groups with students who are chronically absent to set short and long term goals to improve their attendance. Other new staff who support students in resolving challenges that are impacting their ability to be at school everyday include an additional counselor, psychologist, mental health therapist, and Student Support Specialist.

Improving student attendance and reducing chronic absenteeism are one of the chief priorities for the school in the new year. To improve parent awareness of attendance trends and overall communication between the school and home, the school transitioned to a new parent communication hub, ParentSquare.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

To better align with the intent behind our reported outcomes, we have updated the way we describe our expected outcomes. Specifically, we have replaced the "%" symbol with "percentage points" in all of our metric descriptions. This change provides a clearer representation of our schoolwide and student group progress and impact. By using "percentage points," we emphasize absolute changes rather than proportional ones, better reflecting the spirit of the metric outcomes and progress we seek to achieve.

Following continuous review of our LCAP actions as it relates to educational partner engagement and the LCAP instructions, we have made the following changes to the following LCAP actions:

- 4.2 Services for Systems of Support: Updated the action to a non-contributing action following closer review of the description and LCAP instructions

A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.

Actions

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
4.1	Attendance:	• Attendance is taken daily and tracked via PowerSchool (Green Dot's Student Information System) • Office staff communicates daily with parents to inform them of student absences and/or tardies • School utilizes the SART (Student Attendance Review Team) process to identify students with chronic absences and provide interventions as needed, such as letters home and Parent Square communication. • Students at Phase 2-4 of the SART process receive individualized interventions including parent meetings, student agreements and frequent check-ins with a counselor or administrator. • The school utilizes a data dashboard to monitor chronic absenteeism and implement appropriate interventions Interventions include Parent Square communication, letters and targeted phone calls on high absence days, prior to the return from an extended weekend and or school break. $26,541 of LCFF funds are Learning Recovery funds to support ELA and Math outcomes. Metrics to be tracked in Goal 2: 2a, 2b, 2f, and 2g	$159,602.40	No Yes

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
4.2	Services for Systems of Support:	• Green Dot implements a multi-tiered system of support to support students with their academic, behavioral, and social emotional needs using positive behavior interventions and supports. • School has a School Culture and Advisory Team (designated group of teachers, counselors, classified staff and admin) who analyze data, create professional development and support school-wide policies to maintain a positive and safe environment for staff and students • The School Culture Team meets bi-monthly and attends centralized training provided by Green Dot's Equity Team. Topics include MTSS, including creating effective Tier 1 practices on campus, restorative practices, and bullying prevention. • Several data dashboards provide schools with timely school culture and climate data that is used to inform professional development on campus • Green Dot Education Team supports in implementing restorative practices, and has a comprehensive 3-tiered discipline matrix that prohibits suspension for willful defiance and actively supports schools in establishing alternatives to suspension, response to intervention practices, and mental health services to reduce the suspension rate • Green Dot has established a Discipline Review Board at the campus level and a Discipline Review Panel district-wide to ensure all students receive due process pending expulsion $12,331.00 of LCFF funds are Learning Recovery funds to support ELA and Math outcomes. Metrics to be tracked in Goal 2: 2a, 2b, 2f, and 2g	$659,366.86	No

Goals and Actions

Goal

Goal #	Description	Type of Goal
5	Parent, Student and Community Engagement: • School will have at least 4 School Advisory Council (“SAC”) meetings. • The school will host at least 4 District English Learner School Advisory Council (“DELAC”) meetings. • Students, families and the school community will feel a sense of connectedness.	Focus Goal

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Priority 3: Parental Involvement (Engagement)
Priority 6: School Climate (Engagement)

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

To provide opportunities for parental input in school site decisions.
To provide opportunities for parental participation in school events and programs.
To increase the sense of safety and school connectedness.

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
5.1	5a SAC Meetings: The school will host at least 4 SACs each school year. Data Source: Count of Meetings ELAC/DELAC/SSC/LCAP Parent Advisory	4 Meetings Count of meetings ELAC/DELAC/SSC/LCAP Parent advisory (2022-23)	8 Meetings Source: Internal Data Year: 2023-24	8 Meetings Source: Internal Data Year: 2024-25	4 Meetings	+4 Meetings
5.2	5b DELAC Meetings: The school will host at least 4 DELACs each school year.	4 Meetings Count of meetings ELAC/DELAC/SSC/LCAP Parent advisory (2022-23)	4 Meetings Source: Internal Data Year: 2023-24	4 Meetings Source: Internal Data Year: 2024-25	4 Meetings	No Difference from Baseline

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
	Data Source: Count of Meetings ELAC/DELAC/SSC/LCAP Parent Advisory					
5.3	5c Family Surveys: At least 80% positive rating on "Would you Recommend this school to a friend?" for Internal Family Survey. Data Source: Internal Family Survey	All 100% Family Survey (2022-23)	100% Source: Family Survey Year: 2023-24	99% Source: Family Survey Year: 2024-25	80%	+19%
5.4	5d Parent Participation: At least 30% of parents/guardians participate in two or more events a year or increase by 3 percentage points from prior year. Data Source: Internal Counts from Parent Events	All 9% Counts from parent events (2022-23)	5% Source: Counts from Parent Events Year: 2023-24	31.4% Source: Counts from Parent Events Year: 2024-25	All Students: 18%	+22.4%
5.5	5e Student Survey: Increase by 3 percentage points annually or be at/above at least 80% positive rating "I feel safe at this school" on the Internal Student Survey	All Students: 80% Data Source: Internal Student Survey Year: 2023-24	N/A	N/A	At least 80%	N/A

Goal Analysis [2025-26]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

Animo Pat Brown was successful in its implementation of the Goal 5 actions. There were no substantive differences between planned and actual implementation of Goal 5 actions related to parent engagement and participation, communications, community partnerships and staff engagement.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

There were no material differences between planned expenditures and estimated actual expenditures.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

All actions were effective in supporting our metric outcomes. Focus is on ensuring action effectiveness in future school years. With respect to Goal 5: Parent, Student and Community Engagement, we met the metrics of required School Advisory Council meetings, DELAC meetings, and the positive rating on the internal family survey. In the most recent school year, 99% of parents provided a positive rating on an annual survey question “Would you recommend this school to a friend?”, which is above the goal of 80%. Additionally 31.4% of parents participated in two or more events at the school.

The calendar for Coffee with the Principal, SAC, and DELAC meetings are scheduled and publicized at the start of the year to ensure interested families are able to participate. School staff maintain consistent communication with the stakeholders who are involved in these teams to remind them of meeting dates. The school provides regular updates and reminders to parents about important school events through an automated text message system as well as through social media. The main office pursues a customer-service orientation when addressing parent concerns and questions. Additionally, teachers provide regular updates to families about student progress in their classes through the same text message system. School administration also regularly engages teachers and staff in small group and individual feedback sessions to ensure staff are able to share suggestions and ask questions so that they can continue to feel successful and empowered in their roles as educators. School administration also collects weekly feedback from teachers and counselors at the end of professional development sessions to ensure that collaboration opportunities are aligned to the school’s mission and staff professional development needs.

The school could be more effective in documenting the frequency with which parents participate in school events. The school also plans to provide parents a more robust range of opportunities to participate in school events in the year to come.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

To better align with the intent behind our reported outcomes, we have updated the way we describe our expected outcomes. Specifically, we have replaced the "%" symbol with "percentage points" in all of our metric descriptions. This change provides a clearer representation of our

schoolwide and student group progress and impact. By using "percentage points," we emphasize absolute changes rather than proportional ones, better reflecting the spirit of the metric outcomes and progress we seek to achieve.

Following continuous review of our LCAP actions as it relates to educational partner engagement and the LCAP instructions, we have made the following changes to the following LCAP actions:

- 5.1 Parent Engagement and Participation: Added language regarding the parents/guardians of unduplicated student groups that are engaged during the school year.
- 5.3 Community Partnerships: Updated the action to a non-contributing action following closer review of the description and LCAP instructions. Updated language related to an educational partner that no longer is partnering with the LEA.

We have added an additional metric "5.5 Student Survey" to better align with and track progress towards our LCAP goal of better supporting school safety and connectedness.

A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.

Actions

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
5.1	Parent Engagement and Participation:	• The School Advisory Council (SAC) is comprised of the principal, teacher, staff members, parents and community members • Green Dot District English Learner Advisory Council bylaws ensure English Learner's parents/guardians are elected to the serve on the District English Learner Advisory Council (DELAC). • Parent participation on the SAC and DELAC allows for all parents and guardians as well as parents/guardians of Socioecnomically Disadvantaged students, English Learners, and Foster Youth students to have input into school policies and budget, and regularly reviews school data. • School has a Parent Coordinator whose responsibilities include planning activities, managing communications with parents/guardians, coordinating monthly parent workshops on relevant topics, supports in the hosting of meetings with our DELAC and SAC. ParentSquare is frequently used to communicate with parents, staff, and teachers on upcoming school events, celebrations, activities, and SAC/DELAC meetings and topics. $978 of LCFF funds are Learning Recovery funds to support ELA and	$163,258.53	Yes

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
		Math outcomes. Metrics to be tracked are in Goal 2: 2a, 2b, 2f, and 2g		
5.2	Communications:	• School communicates with parents regularly via monthly letters, calendars, and the use of Parent Square • School hosts annual events including orientation, back-to- school night and other activities to engage parents in the school community • School administers an annual family and staff survey to garner feedback from parents on all aspects of the school including teacher performance, school safety and stakeholder satisfaction • School analyzes surveys each year to improve school connectedness and inform the work of the Parent Coordinator. $2,259 of LCFF funds are Learning Recovery funds to support ELA and Math outcomes. Metrics to be tracked are in Goal 2: 2a, 2b, 2f, and 2g	$95,890.86	No
5.3	Community Partnerships:	• School looks to engage the community through partnerships that can provide services to families both on and off campus • Schools have partnerships with local community colleges to provide students with Dual Enrollment opportunities and exposure to post- secondary courses.	$257,790.72	No
5.4	Staff Engagement:	• The School Advisory Council (SAC) is comprised of the school's principal, teachers, staff members, parents and community members • Teacher engagement on the SAC allows for teachers to have input into school policies and budget, and regularly review school data • Green Dot values its certificated and classified staff members, and has weekly staff meetings to maintain a positive work environment • Green Dot surveys staff twice a year to seek feedback from all stakeholders Data is analyzed and reviewed with stakeholders as needed to inform next steps and continual improvement.	$151,646.06	No

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
		$5,133 of LCFF funds are Learning Recovery funds to support ELA and Math outcomes. Metrics to be tracked are in Goal 2: 2a, 2b, 2f, and 2g		

Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students [2026-27]

Total Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants	Projected Additional 15 percent LCFF Concentration Grant
$2,838,582	$218,279.85

Required Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the LCAP Year

Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year	LCFF Carryover — Percentage	LCFF Carryover — Dollar	Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year
28.332%	11.321%	$818,627.35	39.653%

The Budgeted Expenditures for Actions identified as Contributing may be found in the Contributing Actions Table.

Required Descriptions

LEA-wide and Schoolwide Actions

For each action being provided to an entire LEA or school, provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s) and why it is being provided on an LEA or schoolwide basis, and (3) the metric(s) used to measure the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s).

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
1.3	**Action:** Academic - Master Scheduling & Staffing: **Need:** To ensure the master schedule is data driven using universal assessment data to meet the needs of students. **Scope:**	We will assess student data on state assessments and universal screeners (NWEA MAP and i-Ready) to develop a master schedule that is data driven and offers core and support classes.. The actions will provide students with opportunities for learning acceleration in support classes alongside access to a course of study for college readiness.	1a - Credentialed Teachers 1b - Broad Course of Study

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
	LEA-wide		
2.1	**Action:** Curriculum, Resources and Materials to Support Student Subgroups: **Need:** To ensure that student subgroups have access to curriculum and support resources to increase mastery of grade level standards. **Scope:** LEA-wide	We will provide training and development for the ELLevation platform to support students' language development. We will provide professional development for co-teaching pairs including Universal Design for Learning support Special Education students The actions will provide student subgroups with the materials to support and increase academic outcomes.	2a - SBAC ELA 2b - SBAC Math 2c - CAST (Science) 2f - CORE SGP ELA 2g - CORE SGP Math
2.2	**Action:** Support for EL Students: **Need:** To ensure that EL students have increased academic supports to foster language development and overall academic outcomes. **Scope:** LEA-wide	We will provide professional development to teachers to ensure integrated classrooms include a language objective and opportunities for discourse to foster language development for EL students. The actions will provide students with language opportunities in all courses to foster language development and increased language proficiency.	2d - EL Reclassification 2e - ELPI Levels
2.3	**Action:** Special Education: **Need:** **Scope:** LEA-wide		

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
2.5	**Action:** Professional Development **Need:** To ensure that teachers are provided the support needed to effectively meet the needs of students with rigorous classroom instruction. **Scope:** LEA-wide	We will provide 10 day of professional development for teachers during the school year including content focused days with student work analysis to progress monitor success, The actions will provide teachers with the opportunity to learn from content leads, collaborate with colleagues across Green Dot's network of schools and progress monitor student outcomes for real- time intervention for students with re-teaching opportunities for key standards.	2a - SBAC ELA 2b - SBAC Math 2c - CAST (Science) 2f - CORE SGP ELA 2g - CORE SGP Math
2.9	**Action:** Support for Long Term English Learners **Need:** To ensure that Long Term EL students have increased academic support to foster language development and overall academic outcomes. **Scope:** LEA-wide	We will provide professional development and coaching on strategies in the Ellevation platform to ensure that language objectives are coupled with strategies for Long Term EL students. The actions will support language development for students, mastery of content and progress toward reclassification to being fully English proficient.	2d - EL Reclassification 2e - ELPI Levels
2.10	**Action:** Improving Mathematics Outcomes **Need:** To ensure students have access to rigorous Math instruction and targeted intervention to accelerate math outcomes. **Scope:**	We will implement the Illustrative Math curriculum and provide teachers with professional development to support implementation with appropriate scaffolds to close learning gaps for students. We will implement the i- Ready math curriculum to provide students a Math Support course in the 9th grade to accelerate learning for students two or more grade levels behind in math. The actions will provide students with differentiated supports that are data -driven and	2b - SBAC Math 2g - CORE SGP Math

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
	LEA-wide	progress monitored through universal assessments of the i-ready placement diagnostic.	
3.3	**Action:** Curriculum: **Need:** **Scope:** LEA-wide		
3.4	**Action:** Test Preparation: **Need:** **Scope:** LEA-wide		
4.1	**Action:** Attendance: **Need:** **Scope:** LEA-wide		
5.1	**Action:** Parent Engagement and Participation: **Need:**	We will establish a cadence of communication with parents to ensure timely feedback and opportunities to engage with the school. We will establish a calendar of events to engage parents in school activities and celebrations.	5a - SAC Meetings 5b - DELAC Meetings 5c - Family Surveys

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
	To ensure that parents are meaningfully engaged in the student learning experience through frequent communication and opportunities to interact with the school. **Scope:** LEA-wide		

Limited Actions

For each action being solely provided to one or more unduplicated student group(s), provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s), and (3) how the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s) will be measured.

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) are Designed to Address Need(s)	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness

For any limited action contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement that is associated with a Planned Percentage of Improved Services in the Contributing Summary Table rather than an expenditure of LCFF funds, describe the methodology that was used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage, as applicable.

Not applicable

Additional Concentration Grant Funding

A description of the plan for how the additional concentration grant add-on funding identified above will be used to increase the number of staff providing direct services to students at schools that have a high concentration (above 55 percent) of foster youth, English learners, and low-income students, as applicable.

Our LEA has strategically invested Additional Concentration Grant funding to expand our team of highly qualified staff who deliver meaningful, student-centered services to our thriving unduplicated student populations. Below, we share how these investments are enhancing educational equity and opportunity for students eligible for Free and Reduced-Price Lunch, English Learners, and students in foster care. This funding has allowed us to increase the number of credentialed educators who provide personalized academic support, empowering students to meet and exceed grade-level expectations. We have also hired instructional staff who are expertly trained in

implementing the i-Ready diagnostic and instructional program. These educators design and facilitate individualized learning pathways that build on each student's strengths and ensure progress toward academic success. Schools demonstrating a strong opportunity for growth through diagnostic tools and tailored instruction received additional staff to maximize the benefits of the i-Ready program. Furthermore, grant funds support the hiring of credentialed elective teachers who engage students in enriching, project-based learning across STEM and the arts, helping students explore their interests and develop real-world skills. As part of our commitment to student well-being and engagement, we are prioritizing efforts to support consistent attendance. By investing in additional staff dedicated to attendance initiatives, and partnering with programs such as Everyday Labs and Attendance Works, we are creating stronger connections between school and home that support students' daily engagement and sense of belonging. To further elevate the success of our English Learners, we have dedicated grant funding to staff who implement the Ellevation platform, enabling educators to access targeted instructional strategies that affirm linguistic assets and accelerate language development. We recognize and honor the resilience and brilliance of our students in foster care and those experiencing homelessness. Our LEA uses grant funds to support additional credentialed staff who implement specialized advisory programs that address both the social-emotional and academic needs of these students. Summer Bridge programs, staffed with caring educators, offer transitional support that helps students build confidence and community as they move between grade levels or school sites. In addition, we have invested in hiring case managers and partnering with community-based mental health organizations to provide holistic, trauma-informed support, ensuring students have access to the resources they need to thrive both in and out of the classroom.

Staff-to-student ratios by type of school and concentration of unduplicated students	Schools with a student concentration of 55 percent or less	Schools with a student concentration of greater than 55 percent
Staff-to-student ratio of classified staff providing direct services to students	Not Applicable	11:617
Staff-to-student ratio of certificated staff providing direct services to students	Not Applicable	27:617

2026-27 Total Planned Expenditures Table

LCAP Year	1. Projected LCFF Base Grant (Input Dollar Amount)	2. Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (Input Dollar Amount)	3. Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (2 divided by 1)	LCFF Carryover — Percentage (Input Percentage from Prior Year)	Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (3 + Carryover %)
Totals	10,019,049	2,838,582	28.332%	11.321%	39.653%

Totals	LCFF Funds	Other State Funds	Local Funds	Federal Funds	Total Funds	Total Personnel	Total Non-personnel
Totals	$7,920,455.18	$730,685.36	$0.00	$612,044.97	$9,263,185.51	$6,508,897.95	$2,754,287.56

Goal #	Action #	Action Title	Student Group(s)	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Scope	Unduplicated Student Group(s)	Location	Time Span	Total Personnel	Total Non-personnel	LCFF Funds	Other State Funds	Local Funds	Federal Funds	Total Funds	Planned Percentage of Improved Services
1	1.1	Teacher Credentialing:	All	No			All Schools		$106,236.67	$28,742.00	$134,978.67				$134,978.67	
1	1.2	Academic - Curriculum Design:	All	No			All Schools		$623,157.43	$192,308.67	$623,157.43	$144,231.50		$48,077.17	$815,466.10	
1	1.3	Academic - Master Scheduling & Staffing:	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools		$576,947.76	$0.00	$292,988.85	$65,526.36		$218,432.55	$576,947.76	
1	1.4	Facility Inspections:	All	No			All Schools		$2,576.29	$1,640,916.78	$1,151,218.04	$492,275.03			$1,643,493.07	
2	2.1	Curriculum, Resources and Materials to Support Student Subgroups:		Yes	LEA-wide		All Schools		$757,138.74	$3,582.20	$760,362.72	$358.22			$760,720.94	
2	2.2	Support for EL Students:	English Learners	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners	All Schools		$275,408.85	$0.00	$204,377.83	$2,803.81		$68,227.21	$275,408.85	
2	2.3	Special Education:	Students with Disabilities	No Yes	LEA-wide		All Schools		$1,024,236.84	$267,735.51	$1,122,020.90	$25,490.44		$144,461.01	$1,291,972.35	
2	2.4	High Achieving Students:	All	No			All Schools		$48,740.10	$0.00	$48,740.10				$48,740.10	
2	2.5	Professional Development		Yes	LEA-wide		All Schools		$207,177.38	$17,058.00	$91,388.35			$132,847.03	$224,235.38	
2	2.6	Interim Assessments:	All	No			All Schools		$180,522.77	$0.00	$180,522.77				$180,522.77	
2	2.7	Test Preparation	All	No			All Schools		$221,191.46	$0.00	$221,191.46				$221,191.46	

Goal #	Action #	Action Title	Student Group(s)	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Scope	Unduplicated Student Group(s)	Location	Time Span	Total Personnel	Total Non-personnel	LCFF Funds	Other State Funds	Local Funds	Federal Funds	Total Funds	Planned Percentage of Improved Services
2	**2.8**	Credit Recovery	All	No			All Schools		$250,529.72	$0.00	$250,529.72				$250,529.72	
2	**2.9**	Support for Long Term English Learners	English Learners	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners	All Schools		$21,028.61	$0.00	$21,028.61				$21,028.61	
2	**2.10**	Improving Mathematics Outcomes	Low Income	Yes	LEA-wide	Low Income	All Schools		$34,882.85	$0.00	$34,882.85				$34,882.85	
3	**3.1**	Counseling:	All	No			All Schools		$514,311.80	$33,959.03	$548,270.83				$548,270.83	
3	**3.2**	Parent Involvement:	All	No			All Schools		$44,607.58	$50,267.90	$94,875.48				$94,875.48	
3	**3.3**	Curriculum:	All	No Yes	LEA-wide		All Schools		$232,938.97	$0.00	$232,938.97				$232,938.97	
3	**3.4**	Test Preparation:	All	No Yes	LEA-wide		All Schools		$392,886.17	$26,540.00	$419,426.17				$419,426.17	
4	**4.1**	Attendance:	All	No Yes	LEA-wide		All Schools		$144,654.64	$14,947.76	$159,602.40				$159,602.40	
4	**4.2**	Services for Systems of Support:	All	No			All Schools		$428,066.86	$231,300.00	$659,366.86				$659,366.86	
5	**5.1**	Parent Engagement and Participation:		Yes	LEA-wide		All Schools		$157,858.53	$5,400.00	$163,258.53				$163,258.53	
5	**5.2**	Communications:	All	No			All Schools		$95,890.86	$0.00	$95,890.86				$95,890.86	
5	**5.3**	Community Partnerships:	All	No			All Schools		$16,261.01	$241,529.71	$257,790.72				$257,790.72	
5	**5.4**	Staff Engagement:	All	No			All Schools		$151,646.06	$0.00	$151,646.06				$151,646.06	

2026-27 Contributing Actions Table

1. Projected LCFF Base Grant	2. Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants	3. Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (2 divided by 1)	LCFF Carryover — Percentage (Percentage from Prior Year)	Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (3 + Carryover %)	4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)	5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)	Planned Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (4 divided by 1, plus 5)	Totals by Type	Total LCFF Funds
10,019,049	2,838,582	28.332%	11.321%	39.653%	$3,502,276.18	0.000%	34.956 %	**Total:**	$3,502,276.18
								LEA-wide Total:	$3,502,276.18
								Limited Total:	$0.00
								Schoolwide Total:	$0.00

Goal	Action #	Action Title	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Scope	Unduplicated Student Group(s)	Location	Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)
1	**1.3**	Academic - Master Scheduling & Staffing:	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	$292,988.85	
2	**2.1**	Curriculum, Resources and Materials to Support Student Subgroups:	Yes	LEA-wide		All Schools	$760,362.72	
2	**2.2**	Support for EL Students:	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners	All Schools	$204,377.83	
2	**2.3**	Special Education:	Yes	LEA-wide			$1,122,020.90	
2	**2.5**	Professional Development	Yes	LEA-wide		All Schools	$91,388.35	
2	**2.9**	Support for Long Term English Learners	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners	All Schools	$21,028.61	
2	**2.10**	Improving Mathematics Outcomes	Yes	LEA-wide	Low Income	All Schools	$34,882.85	
3	**3.3**	Curriculum:	Yes	LEA-wide			$232,938.97	
3	**3.4**	Test Preparation:	Yes	LEA-wide			$419,426.17	

Goal	Action #	Action Title	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Scope	Unduplicated Student Group(s)	Location	Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)
4	**4.1**	Attendance:	Yes	LEA-wide			$159,602.40	
5	**5.1**	Parent Engagement and Participation:	Yes	LEA-wide		All Schools	$163,258.53	

2025-26 Annual Update Table

Totals	Last Year's Total Planned Expenditures (Total Funds)	Total Estimated Expenditures (Total Funds)
Totals	$9,467,488.06	$8,060,528.32

Last Year's Goal #	Last Year's Action #	Prior Action/Service Title	Contributed to Increased or Improved Services?	Last Year's Planned Expenditures (Total Funds)	Estimated Actual Expenditures (Input Total Funds)
1	**1.1**	Teacher Credentialing:	No	$144,211.86	$84,667.99
1	**1.2**	Academic - Curriculum Design:	No	$929,205.18	$891,364.01
1	**1.3**	Academic - Master Scheduling & Staffing:	Yes	$577,547.54	$541,328.99
1	**1.4**	Facility Inspections:	No	$1,723,403.95	$657,816.70
2	**2.1**	Curriculum, Resources and Materials to Support Student Subgroups:	Yes	$747,169.96	$719,634.48
2	**2.2**	Support for EL Students:	Yes	$270,110.89	$260,180.18
2	**2.3**	Special Education:	No	$1,279,997.14	$1,230,470.92
2	**2.4**	High Achieving Students:	No	$49,618.68	$47,963.05
2	**2.5**	Professional Development	Yes	$302,679.89	$285,562.60
2	**2.6**	Interim Assessments:	No	$244,832.78	$196,278.60
2	**2.7**	Test Preparation	No	$250,497.12	$221,854.95

Last Year's Goal #	Last Year's Action #	Prior Action/Service Title	Contributed to Increased or Improved Services?	Last Year's Planned Expenditures (Total Funds)	Estimated Actual Expenditures (Input Total Funds)
2	**2.8**	Credit Recovery:	No	$285,531.78	$369,649.77
2	**2.9**	Support for Long Term English Learners	Yes	$22,479.47	$21,011.13
2	**2.10**	Improving Mathematics Outcomes	Yes	$35,789.04	$27,982.56
3	**3.1**	Counseling:	No	$518,671.34	$519,866.33
3	**3.2**	Parent Involvement:	No	$113,832.90	$115,401.49
3	**3.3**	Curriculum:	No	$225,824.38	$225,870.97
3	**3.4**	Test Preparation:	No	$403,385.88	$395,698.14
4	**4.1**	Attendance:	No	$186,353.45	$162,399.67
4	**4.2**	Services for Systems of Support:	No	$416,557.50	$413,030.24
5	**5.1**	Parent Engagement and Participation:	Yes	$191,828.08	$164,254.71
5	**5.2**	Communications:	No	$100,797.23	$89,298.72
5	**5.3**	Community Partnerships:	No	$297,338.68	$273,012.64
5	**5.4**	Staff Engagement:	No	$149,823.34	$145,929.48

2025-26 Contributing Actions Annual Update Table

6. Estimated LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (Input Dollar Amount)	4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)	7. Total Estimated Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	Difference Between Planned and Estimated Expenditures for Contributing Actions (Subtract 7 from 4)	5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)	8. Total Estimated Percentage of Improved Services (%)	Difference Between Planned and Estimated Percentage of Improved Services (Subtract 5 from 8)
2,838,582	$1,647,514.01	$2,019,954.65	($372,440.64)	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%

Last Year's Goal #	Last Year's Action #	Prior Action/Service Title	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Last Year's Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (Input LCFF Funds)	Planned Percentage of Improved Services	Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (Input Percentage)
1	1.3	Academic - Master Scheduling & Staffing:	Yes	$295,376.45	$541,328.99		
2	2.1	Curriculum, Resources and Materials to Support Student Subgroups:	Yes	$746,856.99	$719,634.48		
2	2.2	Support for EL Students:	Yes	$201,575.91	$260,180.18		
2	2.5	Professional Development	Yes	$170,467.67	$285,562.60		
2	2.9	Support for Long Term English Learners	Yes	$22,479.47	$21,011.13		
2	2.10	Improving Mathematics Outcomes	Yes	$35,789.04	$27,982.56		
5	5.1	Parent Engagement and Participation:	Yes	$174,968.48	$164,254.71		

2025-26 LCFF Carryover Table

9. Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (Input Dollar Amount)	6. Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants	LCFF Carryover — Percentage (Percentage from Prior Year)	10. Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Current School Year (6 divided by 9 + Carryover %)	7. Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	8. Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (%)	11. Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (7 divided by 9, plus 8)	12. LCFF Carryover — Dollar Amount (Subtract 11 from 10 and multiply by 9)	13. LCFF Carryover — Percentage (12 divided by 9)
7,231,161	2,838,582		39.255%	$2,019,954.65	0.000%	27.934%	$818,627.35	11.321%

Local Control and Accountability Plan Instructions

Plan Summary

Engaging Educational Partners

Goals and Actions

Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students

For additional questions or technical assistance related to the completion of the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) template, please contact the local county office of education (COE), or the California Department of Education's (CDE's) Local Agency Systems Support Office, by phone at 916-319-0809 or by email at LCFF@cde.ca.gov.

Introduction and Instructions

The Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) requires local educational agencies (LEAs) to engage their local educational partners in an annual planning process to evaluate their progress within eight state priority areas encompassing all statutory metrics (COEs have 10 state priorities). LEAs document the results of this planning process in the LCAP using the template adopted by the State Board of Education.

The LCAP development process serves three distinct, but related functions:

- **Comprehensive Strategic Planning:** The process of developing and annually updating the LCAP supports comprehensive strategic planning, particularly to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the California School Dashboard (California Education Code [EC] Section 52064[e][1]). Strategic planning that is comprehensive connects budgetary decisions to teaching and learning performance data. LEAs should continually evaluate the hard choices they make about the use of limited resources to meet student and community needs to ensure opportunities and outcomes are improved for all students.

- **Meaningful Engagement of Educational Partners:** The LCAP development process should result in an LCAP that reflects decisions made through meaningful engagement (EC Section 52064[e][1]). Local educational partners possess valuable perspectives and insights about an LEA's programs and services. Effective strategic planning will incorporate these perspectives and insights in order to identify potential goals and actions to be included in the LCAP.

- **Accountability and Compliance:** The LCAP serves an important accountability function because the nature of some LCAP template sections require LEAs to show that they have complied with various requirements specified in the LCFF statutes and regulations, most notably:

    - Demonstrating that LEAs are increasing or improving services for foster youth, English learners, including long-term English learners, and low-income students in proportion to the amount of additional funding those students generate under LCFF (EC Section 52064[b][4-6]).

    - Establishing goals, supported by actions and related expenditures, that address the statutory priority areas and statutory metrics (EC sections 52064[b][1] and [2]).

        - **NOTE:** As specified in EC Section 62064(b)(1), the LCAP must provide a description of the annual goals, for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils identified pursuant to EC Section 52052, to be achieved for each of the state priorities. Beginning in 2023–24, EC

Section 52052 identifies long-term English learners as a separate and distinct pupil subgroup with a numerical significance at 15 students.

- Annually reviewing and updating the LCAP to reflect progress toward the goals (EC Section 52064[b][7]).
- Ensuring that all increases attributable to supplemental and concentration grant calculations, including concentration grant add-on funding and/or LCFF carryover, are reflected in the LCAP (EC sections 52064[b][6], [8], and [11]).

The LCAP template, like each LEA's final adopted LCAP, is a document, not a process. LEAs must use the template to memorialize the outcome of their LCAP development process, which must: (a) reflect comprehensive strategic planning, particularly to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the California School Dashboard (Dashboard), (b) through meaningful engagement with educational partners that (c) meets legal requirements, as reflected in the final adopted LCAP. The sections included within the LCAP template do not and cannot reflect the full development process, just as the LCAP template itself is not intended as a tool for engaging educational partners.

If a county superintendent of schools has jurisdiction over a single school district, the county board of education and the governing board of the school district may adopt and file for review and approval a single LCAP consistent with the requirements in EC sections 52060, 52062, 52066, 52068, and 52070. The LCAP must clearly articulate to which entity's budget (school district or county superintendent of schools) all budgeted and actual expenditures are aligned.

The revised LCAP template for the 2024–25, 2025–26, and 2026–27 school years reflects statutory changes made through Senate Bill 114 (Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review), Chapter 48, Statutes of 2023 and Senate Bill 153, Chapter 38, Statues of 2024.

At its most basic, the adopted LCAP should attempt to distill not just what the LEA is doing for students in transitional kindergarten through grade twelve (TK–12), but also allow educational partners to understand why, and whether those strategies are leading to improved opportunities and outcomes for students. LEAs are strongly encouraged to use language and a level of detail in their adopted LCAPs intended to be meaningful and accessible for the LEA's diverse educational partners and the broader public.

In developing and finalizing the LCAP for adoption, LEAs are encouraged to keep the following overarching frame at the forefront of the strategic planning and educational partner engagement functions:

> Given present performance across the state priorities and on indicators in the Dashboard, how is the LEA using its budgetary resources to respond to TK–12 student and community needs, and address any performance gaps, including by meeting its obligation to increase or improve services for foster youth, English learners, and low-income students?

LEAs are encouraged to focus on a set of metrics and actions which, based on research, experience, and input gathered from educational partners, the LEA believes will have the biggest impact on behalf of its TK–12 students.

These instructions address the requirements for each section of the LCAP but may include information about effective practices when developing the LCAP and completing the LCAP document. Additionally, the beginning of each template section includes information emphasizing the purpose that section serves.

Plan Summary

Purpose

A well-developed Plan Summary section provides a meaningful context for the LCAP. This section provides information about an LEA's community as well as relevant information about student needs and performance. In order to present a meaningful context for the rest of the LCAP, the content of this section should be clearly and meaningfully related to the content included throughout each subsequent section of the LCAP.

Requirements and Instructions

General Information

A description of the LEA, its schools, and its students in grades transitional kindergarten–12, as applicable to the LEA. LEAs may also provide information about their strategic plan, vision, etc.

Briefly describe the LEA, its schools, and its students in grades TK–12, as applicable to the LEA.

- For example, information about an LEA in terms of geography, enrollment, employment, the number and size of specific schools, recent community challenges, and other such information the LEA may wish to include can enable a reader to more fully understand the LEA's LCAP.
- LEAs may also provide information about their strategic plan, vision, etc.
- As part of this response, identify all schools within the LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funding.

Reflections: Annual Performance

A reflection on annual performance based on a review of the California School Dashboard (Dashboard) and local data.

Reflect on the LEA's annual performance on the Dashboard and local data. This may include both successes and challenges identified by the LEA during the development process.

LEAs are encouraged to highlight how they are addressing the identified needs of student groups, and/or schools within the LCAP as part of this response.

As part of this response, the LEA must identify the following, which will remain unchanged during the three-year LCAP cycle:

- Any school within the LEA that received the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the 2023 Dashboard;
- Any student group within the LEA that received the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the 2023 Dashboard; and/or
- Any student group within a school within the LEA that received the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the 2023 Dashboard.

EC Section 52064.4 requires that an LEA that has unexpended Learning Recovery Emergency Block Grant (LREBG) funds must include one or more actions funded with LREBG funds within the 2026-27, 2026-27 and 2027-28 LCAPs, as applicable to the LEA. To implement the requirements of *EC* Section 52064.4, all LEAs must do the following:

- For the 2025–26, 2026–27, and 2027–28 LCAP years, identify whether or not the LEA has unexpended LREBG funds for the applicable LCAP year.
    - If the LEA has unexpended LREBG funds the LEA must provide the following:
        - The goal and action number for each action that will be funded, either in whole or in part, with LREBG funds; and
        - An explanation of the rationale for selecting each action funded with LREBG funds. This explanation must include:
            - An explanation of how the action is aligned with the allowable uses of funds identified in *EC* Section 32627(c)(2); and
            - An explanation of how the action is expected to address the area(s) of need of students and schools identified in the needs assessment required by *EC* Section 32627(d).
                - For information related to the allowable uses of funds and the required needs assessment, please see the Program Information tab on the LREBG Program Information web page.
            - Actions may be grouped together for purposes of these explanations.
            - The LEA may provide these explanations as part of the action description rather than as part of the Reflections: Annual Performance.
    - If the LEA does not have unexpended LREBG funds, the LEA is not required to conduct the needs assessment required by *EC* Section 32627(d), to provide the information identified above or to include actions funded with LREBG funds within the 2026-27, 2026-27 and 2027-28 LCAPs.

Reflections: Technical Assistance

As applicable, a summary of the work underway as part of technical assistance.

Annually identify the reason(s) the LEA is eligible for or has requested technical assistance consistent with EC sections 47607.3, 52071, 52071.5, 52072, or 52072.5, and provide a summary of the work underway as part of receiving technical assistance. The most common form of this technical assistance is frequently referred to as Differentiated Assistance, however this also includes LEAs that have requested technical assistance from their COE.

- If the LEA is not eligible for or receiving technical assistance, the LEA may respond to this prompt as “Not Applicable.”

Comprehensive Support and Improvement
An LEA with a school or schools identified for comprehensive support and improvement (CSI) under the Every Student Succeeds Act must respond to the following prompts:

Schools Identified

A list of the schools in the LEA that are eligible for comprehensive support and improvement.

- Identify the schools within the LEA that have been identified for CSI.

Support for Identified Schools

A description of how the LEA has or will support its eligible schools in developing comprehensive support and improvement plans.

- Describe how the LEA has or will support the identified schools in developing CSI plans that included a school-level needs assessment, evidence-based interventions, and the identification of any resource inequities to be addressed through the implementation of the CSI plan.

Monitoring and Evaluating Effectiveness

A description of how the LEA will monitor and evaluate the plan to support student and school improvement.

- Describe how the LEA will monitor and evaluate the implementation and effectiveness of the CSI plan to support student and school improvement.

Engaging Educational Partners

Purpose

Significant and purposeful engagement of parents, students, educators, and other educational partners, including those representing the student groups identified by LCFF, is critical to the development of the LCAP and the budget process. Consistent with statute, such engagement should support comprehensive strategic planning, particularly to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the Dashboard, accountability, and improvement across the state priorities and locally identified priorities (EC Section 52064[e][1]). Engagement of educational partners is an ongoing, annual process.

This section is designed to reflect how the engagement of educational partners influenced the decisions reflected in the adopted LCAP. The goal is to allow educational partners that participated in the LCAP development process and the broader public to understand how the LEA engaged educational partners and the impact of that engagement. LEAs are encouraged to keep this goal in the forefront when completing this section.

Requirements

Requirements

School districts and COEs: *EC* Section 52060(g) and *EC* Section 52066(g) specify the educational partners that must be consulted when developing the LCAP:

- Teachers,

- Principals,
- Administrators,
- Other school personnel,
- Local bargaining units of the LEA,
- Parents, and
- Students

A school district or COE receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

Before adopting the LCAP, school districts and COEs must share it with the applicable committees, as identified below under Requirements and Instructions. The superintendent is required by statute to respond in writing to the comments received from these committees. School districts and COEs must also consult with the special education local plan area administrator(s) when developing the LCAP.

Charter schools: *EC* Section 47606.5(d) requires that the following educational partners be consulted with when developing the LCAP:

- Teachers,
- Principals,
- Administrators,
- Other school personnel,
- Parents, and
- Students

A charter school receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at the school generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for the school.

The LCAP should also be shared with, and LEAs should request input from, schoolsite-level advisory groups, as applicable (e.g., schoolsite councils, English Learner Advisory Councils, student advisory groups, etc.), to facilitate alignment between schoolsite and district-level goals. Information and resources that support effective engagement, define student consultation, and provide the requirements for advisory group composition, can be found under Resources on the CDE's LCAP webpage.

Before the governing board/body of an LEA considers the adoption of the LCAP, the LEA must meet the following legal requirements:

- For school districts, see *Education Code* Section 52062;
    - **Note:** Charter schools using the LCAP as the School Plan for Student Achievement must meet the requirements of *EC* Section 52062(a).
- For COEs, see *Education Code* Section 52068; and
- For charter schools, see *Education Code* Section 47606.5.

- **NOTE:** As a reminder, the superintendent of a school district or COE must respond, in writing, to comments received by the applicable committees identified in the *Education Code* sections listed above. This includes the parent advisory committee and may include the English learner parent advisory committee and, as of July 1, 2024, the student advisory committee, as applicable.

Instructions

Respond to the prompts as follows:

A summary of the process used to engage educational partners in the development of the LCAP.

School districts and county offices of education must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, local bargaining units, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

Charter schools must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

Complete the table as follows:

Educational Partners

Identify the applicable educational partner(s) or group(s) that were engaged in the development of the LCAP.

Process for Engagement

Describe the engagement process used by the LEA to involve the identified educational partner(s) in the development of the LCAP. At a minimum, the LEA must describe how it met its obligation to consult with all statutorily required educational partners, as applicable to the type of LEA.

- A sufficient response to this prompt must include general information about the timeline of the process and meetings or other engagement strategies with educational partners. A response may also include information about an LEA's philosophical approach to engaging its educational partners.

- An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also include a summary of how it consulted with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

A description of how the adopted LCAP was influenced by the feedback provided by educational partners.

Describe any goals, metrics, actions, or budgeted expenditures in the LCAP that were influenced by or developed in response to the educational partner feedback.

- A sufficient response to this prompt will provide educational partners and the public with clear, specific information about how the engagement process influenced the development of the LCAP. This may include a description of how the LEA prioritized requests of educational partners within the context of the budgetary resources available or otherwise prioritized areas of focus within the LCAP.

- An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must include a description of how the consultation with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds influenced the development of the adopted LCAP.

- For the purposes of this prompt, this may also include, but is not necessarily limited to:
    - Inclusion of a goal or decision to pursue a Focus Goal (as described below)
    - Inclusion of metrics other than the statutorily required metrics
    - Determination of the target outcome on one or more metrics
    - Inclusion of performance by one or more student groups in the Measuring and Reporting Results subsection
    - Inclusion of action(s) or a group of actions
    - Elimination of action(s) or group of actions
    - Changes to the level of proposed expenditures for one or more actions
    - Inclusion of action(s) as contributing to increased or improved services for unduplicated students
    - Analysis of effectiveness of the specific actions to achieve the goal
    - Analysis of material differences in expenditures
    - Analysis of changes made to a goal for the ensuing LCAP year based on the annual update process
    - Analysis of challenges or successes in the implementation of actions

Goals and Actions

Purpose

Well-developed goals will clearly communicate to educational partners what the LEA plans to accomplish, what the LEA plans to do in order to accomplish the goal, and how the LEA will know when it has accomplished the goal. A goal statement, associated metrics and expected outcomes, and the actions included in the goal must be in alignment. The explanation for why the LEA included a goal is an opportunity for LEAs to clearly communicate to educational partners and the public why, among the various strengths and areas for improvement highlighted by performance data and strategies and actions that could be pursued, the LEA decided to pursue this goal, and the related metrics, expected outcomes, actions, and expenditures.

A well-developed goal can be focused on the performance relative to a metric or metrics for all students, a specific student group(s), narrowing performance gaps, or implementing programs or strategies expected to impact outcomes. LEAs should assess the performance of their student groups when developing goals and the related actions to achieve such goals.

Requirements and Instructions

LEAs should prioritize the goals, specific actions, and related expenditures included within the LCAP within one or more state priorities. LEAs must consider performance on the state and local indicators, including their locally collected and reported data for the local indicators that are included in the Dashboard, in determining whether and how to prioritize its goals within the LCAP. As previously stated, strategic planning that

is comprehensive connects budgetary decisions to teaching and learning performance data. LEAs should continually evaluate the hard choices they make about the use of limited resources to meet student and community needs to ensure opportunities and outcomes are improved for all students, and to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the Dashboard.

In order to support prioritization of goals, the LCAP template provides LEAs with the option of developing three different kinds of goals:

- Focus Goal: A Focus Goal is relatively more concentrated in scope and may focus on a fewer number of metrics to measure improvement. A Focus Goal statement will be time bound and make clear how the goal is to be measured.
    - All Equity Multiplier goals must be developed as focus goals. For additional information, see Required Focus Goal(s) for LEAs Receiving Equity Multiplier Funding below.
- Broad Goal: A Broad Goal is relatively less concentrated in its scope and may focus on improving performance across a wide range of metrics.
- Maintenance of Progress Goal: A Maintenance of Progress Goal includes actions that may be ongoing without significant changes and allows an LEA to track performance on any metrics not addressed in the other goals of the LCAP.

Requirement to Address the LCFF State Priorities

At a minimum, the LCAP must address all LCFF priorities and associated metrics articulated in *EC* sections 52060(d) and 52066(d), as applicable to the LEA. The *LCFF State Priorities Summary* provides a summary of *EC* sections 52060(d) and 52066(d) to aid in the development of the LCAP.

Respond to the following prompts, as applicable:

Focus Goal(s)

Description

The description provided for a Focus Goal must be specific, measurable, and time bound.

- An LEA develops a Focus Goal to address areas of need that may require or benefit from a more specific and data intensive approach.
- The Focus Goal can explicitly reference the metric(s) by which achievement of the goal will be measured and the time frame according to which the LEA expects to achieve the goal.

Type of Goal

Identify the type of goal being implemented as a Focus Goal.

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Explain why the LEA has chosen to prioritize this goal.

- An explanation must be based on Dashboard data or other locally collected data.
- LEAs must describe how the LEA identified this goal for focused attention, including relevant consultation with educational partners.
- LEAs are encouraged to promote transparency and understanding around the decision to pursue a focus goal.

Required Focus Goal(s) for LEAs Receiving Equity Multiplier Funding

Description

LEAs receiving Equity Multiplier funding must include one or more focus goals for each school generating Equity Multiplier funding. In addition to addressing the focus goal requirements described above, LEAs must adhere to the following requirements.

Focus goals for Equity Multiplier schoolsites must address the following:

(A) All student groups that have the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the Dashboard, and

(B) Any underlying issues in the credentialing, subject matter preparation, and retention of the school's educators, if applicable.

- Focus Goals for each and every Equity Multiplier schoolsite must identify specific metrics for each identified student group, as applicable.
- An LEA may create a single goal for multiple Equity Multiplier schoolsites if those schoolsites have the same student group(s) performing at the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the Dashboard or, experience similar issues in the credentialing, subject matter preparation, and retention of the school's educators.
    - When creating a single goal for multiple Equity Multiplier schoolsites, the goal must identify the student groups and the performance levels on the Dashboard that the Focus Goal is addressing; or,
    - The common issues the schoolsites are experiencing in credentialing, subject matter preparation, and retention of the school's educators, if applicable.

Type of Goal

Identify the type of goal being implemented as an Equity Multiplier Focus Goal.

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Explain why the LEA has chosen to prioritize this goal.

- An explanation must be based on Dashboard data or other locally collected data.
- LEAs must describe how the LEA identified this goal for focused attention, including relevant consultation with educational partners.
- LEAs are encouraged to promote transparency and understanding around the decision to pursue a focus goal.
- In addition to this information, the LEA must also identify:
    - The school or schools to which the goal applies

LEAs are encouraged to approach an Equity Multiplier goal from a wholistic standpoint, considering how the goal might maximize student outcomes through the use of LCFF and other funding in addition to Equity Multiplier funds.

- Equity Multiplier funds must be used to supplement, not supplant, funding provided to Equity Multiplier schoolsites for purposes of the LCFF, the Expanded Learning Opportunities Program (ELO-P), the Literacy Coaches and Reading Specialists (LCRS) Grant Program, and/or the California Community Schools Partnership Program (CCSPP).
- This means that Equity Multiplier funds must not be used to replace funding that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to implement LEA-wide actions identified in the LCAP or that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to implement provisions of the ELO-P, the LCRS, and/or the CCSPP.

Note: *EC* Section 42238.024(b)(1) requires that Equity Multiplier funds be used for the provision of evidence-based services and supports for students. Evidence-based services and supports are based on objective evidence that has informed the design of the service or support and/or guides the modification of those services and supports. Evidence-based supports and strategies are most commonly based on educational research and/or metrics of LEA, school, and/or student performance.

Broad Goal

Description

Describe what the LEA plans to achieve through the actions included in the goal.

- The description of a broad goal will be clearly aligned with the expected measurable outcomes included for the goal.

- The goal description organizes the actions and expected outcomes in a cohesive and consistent manner.
- A goal description is specific enough to be measurable in either quantitative or qualitative terms. A broad goal is not as specific as a focus goal. While it is specific enough to be measurable, there are many different metrics for measuring progress toward the goal.

Type of Goal

Identify the type of goal being implemented as a Broad Goal.

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Explain why the LEA developed this goal and how the actions and metrics grouped together will help achieve the goal.

Maintenance of Progress Goal

Description

Describe how the LEA intends to maintain the progress made in the LCFF State Priorities not addressed by the other goals in the LCAP.

- Use this type of goal to address the state priorities and applicable metrics not addressed within the other goals in the LCAP.
- The state priorities and metrics to be addressed in this section are those for which the LEA, in consultation with educational partners, has determined to maintain actions and monitor progress while focusing implementation efforts on the actions covered by other goals in the LCAP.

Type of Goal

Identify the type of goal being implemented as a Maintenance of Progress Goal.

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Explain how the actions will sustain the progress exemplified by the related metrics.

Measuring and Reporting Results:

For each LCAP year, identify the metric(s) that the LEA will use to track progress toward the expected outcomes.

- LEAs must identify metrics for specific student groups, as appropriate, including expected outcomes that address and reduce disparities in outcomes between student groups.

- The metrics may be quantitative or qualitative; but at minimum, an LEA's LCAP must include goals that are measured using all of the applicable metrics for the related state priorities, in each LCAP year, as applicable to the type of LEA.

- To the extent a state priority does not specify one or more metrics (e.g., implementation of state academic content and performance standards), the LEA must identify a metric to use within the LCAP. For these state priorities, LEAs are encouraged to use metrics based on or reported through the relevant local indicator self-reflection tools within the Dashboard.

- **Required metrics for LEA-wide actions:** For each action identified as 1) contributing towards the requirement to increase or improve services for foster youth, English learners, including long-term English learners, and low-income students and 2) being provided on an LEA-wide basis, the LEA must identify one or more metrics to monitor the effectiveness of the action and its budgeted expenditures.

    - These required metrics may be identified within the action description or the first prompt in the increased or improved services section, however the description must clearly identify the metric(s) being used to monitor the effectiveness of the action and the action(s) that the metric(s) apply to.

- **Required metrics for Equity Multiplier goals**: For each Equity Multiplier goal, the LEA must identify:

    - The specific metrics for each identified student group at each specific schoolsite, as applicable, to measure the progress toward the goal, and/or

    - The specific metrics used to measure progress in meeting the goal related to credentialing, subject matter preparation, or educator retention at each specific schoolsite.

- **Required metrics for actions supported by LREBG funds**: To implement the requirements of *EC* Section 52064.4, LEAs with unexpended LREBG funds must include at least one metric to monitor the impact of each action funded with LREBG funds included in the goal.

    - The metrics being used to monitor the impact of each action funded with LREBG funds are not required to be new metrics; they may be metrics that are already being used to measure progress towards goals and actions included in the LCAP.

Complete the table as follows:

Metric #

- Enter the metric number.

Metric

- Identify the standard of measure being used to determine progress towards the goal and/or to measure the effectiveness of one or more actions associated with the goal.

Baseline

- Enter the baseline when completing the LCAP for 2024–25.
    - Use the most recent data associated with the metric available at the time of adoption of the LCAP for the first year of the three-year plan. LEAs may use data as reported on the 2023 Dashboard for the baseline of a metric only if that data represents the most recent available data (e.g., high school graduation rate).
    - Using the most recent data available may involve reviewing data the LEA is preparing for submission to the California Longitudinal Pupil Achievement Data System (CALPADS) or data that the LEA has recently submitted to CALPADS.
    - Indicate the school year to which the baseline data applies.
    - The baseline data must remain unchanged throughout the three-year LCAP.
        - This requirement is not intended to prevent LEAs from revising the baseline data if it is necessary to do so. For example, if an LEA identifies that its data collection practices for a particular metric are leading to inaccurate data and revises its practice to obtain accurate data, it would also be appropriate for the LEA to revise the baseline data to align with the more accurate data process and report its results using the accurate data.
        - If an LEA chooses to revise its baseline data, then, at a minimum, it must clearly identify the change as part of its response to the description of changes prompt in the Goal Analysis for the goal. LEAs are also strongly encouraged to involve their educational partners in the decision of whether or not to revise a baseline and to communicate the proposed change to their educational partners.
    - Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one- or two-year LCAP may identify a new baseline each year, as applicable.

Year 1 Outcome

- When completing the LCAP for 2025–26, enter the most recent data available. Indicate the school year to which the data applies.
    - Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one-year LCAP may provide the Year 1 Outcome when completing the LCAP for both 2025–26 and 2026–27 or may provide the Year 1 Outcome for 2025–26 and provide the Year 2 Outcome for 2026–27.

Year 2 Outcome

- When completing the LCAP for 2026–27, enter the most recent data available. Indicate the school year to which the data applies.

- Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one-year LCAP may identify the Year 2 Outcome as not applicable when completing the LCAP for 2026–27 or may provide the Year 2 Outcome for 2026–27.

Target for Year 3 Outcome

- When completing the first year of the LCAP, enter the target outcome for the relevant metric the LEA expects to achieve by the end of the three-year LCAP cycle.
    - Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one- or two-year LCAP may identify a Target for Year 1 or Target for Year 2, as applicable.

Current Difference from Baseline

- When completing the LCAP for 2025–26 and 2026–27, enter the current difference between the baseline and the yearly outcome, as applicable.
    - Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one- or two-year LCAP will identify the current difference between the baseline and the yearly outcome for Year 1 and/or the current difference between the baseline and the yearly outcome for Year 2, as applicable.

Timeline for school districts and COEs for completing the "**Measuring and Reporting Results**" part of the Goal.

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for **2024–25** or when adding a new metric.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for **2024–25** or when adding a new metric.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for **2025–26**. Leave blank until then.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for **2026–27**. Leave blank until then.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for **2024–25** or when adding a new metric.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for **2025–26** and **2026–27**. Leave blank until then.

Goal Analysis:

Enter the LCAP Year.

Using actual annual measurable outcome data, including data from the Dashboard, analyze whether the planned actions were effective towards achieving the goal. "Effective" means the degree to which the planned actions were successful in producing the target result. Respond to the prompts as instructed.

Note: When completing the 2024–25 LCAP, use the 2023–24 Local Control and Accountability Plan Annual Update template to complete the Goal Analysis and identify the Goal Analysis prompts in the 2024–25 LCAP as "Not Applicable."

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

- Describe the overall implementation of the actions to achieve the articulated goal, including relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.
    - Include a discussion of relevant challenges and successes experienced with the implementation process.
    - This discussion must include any instance where the LEA did not implement a planned action or implemented a planned action in a manner that differs substantively from how it was described in the adopted LCAP.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

- Explain material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and between the Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services, as applicable. Minor variances in expenditures or percentages do not need to be addressed, and a dollar-for-dollar accounting is not required.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

- Describe the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal. “Effectiveness” means the degree to which the actions were successful in producing the target result and “ineffectiveness” means that the actions did not produce any significant or targeted result.
    - In some cases, not all actions in a goal will be intended to improve performance on all of the metrics associated with the goal.
    - When responding to this prompt, LEAs may assess the effectiveness of a single action or group of actions within the goal in the context of performance on a single metric or group of specific metrics within the goal that are applicable to the action(s). Grouping actions with metrics will allow for more robust analysis of whether the strategy the LEA is using to impact a specified set of metrics is working and increase transparency for educational partners. LEAs are encouraged to use such an approach when goals include multiple actions and metrics that are not closely associated.
    - Beginning with the development of the 2024–25 LCAP, the LEA must change actions that have not proven effective over a three-year period.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

- Describe any changes made to this goal, expected outcomes, metrics, or actions to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis and analysis of the data provided in the Dashboard or other local data, as applicable.
    - As noted above, beginning with the development of the 2024–25 LCAP, the LEA must change actions that have not proven effective over a three-year period. For actions that have been identified as ineffective, the LEA must identify the ineffective action and must include a description of the following:

- The reasons for the ineffectiveness, and
- How changes to the action will result in a new or strengthened approach.

Actions:

Complete the table as follows. Add additional rows as necessary.

Action #

- Enter the action number.

Title

- Provide a short title for the action. This title will also appear in the action tables.

Description

- Provide a brief description of the action.
    - For actions that contribute to meeting the increased or improved services requirement, the LEA may include an explanation of how each action is principally directed towards and effective in meeting the LEA's goals for unduplicated students, as described in the instructions for the Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students section.
    - As previously noted, for each action identified as 1) contributing towards the requirement to increase or improve services for foster youth, English learners, including long-term English learners, and low-income students and 2) being provided on an LEA-wide basis, the LEA must identify one or more metrics to monitor the effectiveness of the action and its budgeted expenditures.
    - These required metrics may be identified within the action description or the first prompt in the increased or improved services section; however, the description must clearly identify the metric(s) being used to monitor the effectiveness of the action and the action(s) that the metric(s) apply to.

Total Funds

- Enter the total amount of expenditures associated with this action. Budgeted expenditures from specific fund sources will be provided in the action tables.

Contributing

- Indicate whether the action contributes to meeting the increased or improved services requirement as described in the Increased or Improved Services section using a “Y” for Yes or an “N” for No.
    - **Note:** for each such contributing action, the LEA will need to provide additional information in the Increased or Improved Services section to address the requirements in *California Code of Regulations*, Title 5 [5 *CCR*] Section 15496 in the Increased or Improved Services section of the LCAP.

***Actions for Foster Youth*:** School districts, COEs, and charter schools that have a numerically significant foster youth student subgroup are encouraged to include specific actions in the LCAP designed to meet needs specific to foster youth students.

Required Actions

For English Learners and Long-Term English Learners

- LEAs with 30 or more English learners and/or 15 or more long-term English learners must include specific actions in the LCAP related to, at a minimum:
    - Language acquisition programs, as defined in *EC* Section 306, provided to students, and
    - Professional development for teachers.
    - If an LEA has both 30 or more English learners and 15 or more long-term English learners, the LEA must include actions for both English learners and long-term English learners.

For Technical Assistance

- LEAs eligible for technical assistance pursuant to *EC* sections 47607.3, 52071, 52071.5, 52072, or 52072.5, must include specific actions within the LCAP related to its implementation of the work underway as part of technical assistance. The most common form of this technical assistance is frequently referred to as Differentiated Assistance.

For Lowest Performing Dashboard Indicators

- LEAs that have Red Dashboard indicators for (1) a school within the LEA, (2) a student group within the LEA, and/or (3) a student group within any school within the LEA must include one or more specific actions within the LCAP:
    - The specific action(s) must be directed towards the identified student group(s) and/or school(s) and must address the identified state indicator(s) for which the student group or school received the lowest performance level on the 2023 Dashboard. Each student group and/or school that receives the lowest performance level on the 2023 Dashboard must be addressed by one or more actions.
    - These required actions will be effective for the three-year LCAP cycle.

For LEAs With Unexpended LREBG Funds

- To implement the requirements of *EC* Section 52064.4, LEAs with unexpended LREBG funds must include one or more actions supported with LREBG funds within the 2025–26, 2026–27, and 2027–28 LCAPs, as applicable to the LEA. Actions funded with LREBG funds must remain in the LCAP until the LEA has expended the remainder of its LREBG funds, after which time the actions may be removed from the LCAP.
    - Prior to identifying the actions included in the LCAP the LEA is required to conduct a needs assessment pursuant to *EC* Section 32627(d). For information related to the required needs assessment please see the Program Information tab on the LREBG

Program Information web page. Additional information about the needs assessment and evidence-based resources for the LREBG may be found on the California Statewide System of Support LREBG Resources web page. The required LREBG needs assessment may be part of the LEAs regular needs assessment for the LCAP if it meets the requirements of *EC* Section 32627(d).

- School districts receiving technical assistance and COEs providing technical assistance are encouraged to use the technical assistance process to support the school district in conducting the required needs assessment, the selection of actions funded by the LREBG and/or the evaluation of implementation of the actions required as part of the LCAP annual update process.
- As a reminder, LREBG funds must be used to implement one or more of the purposes articulated in *EC* Section 32627(c)(2).
- LEAs with unexpended LREBG funds must include one or more actions supported by LREBG funds within the LCAP. For each action supported by LREBG funding the action description must:
  - Identify the action as an LREBG action;
  - Include an explanation of how research supports the selected action;
  - Identify the metric(s) being used to monitor the impact of the action; and
  - Identify the amount of LREBG funds being used to support the action.

Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students

Purpose

A well-written Increased or Improved Services section provides educational partners with a comprehensive description, within a single dedicated section, of how an LEA plans to increase or improve services for its unduplicated students as defined in *EC* Section 42238.02 in grades TK–12 as compared to all students in grades TK–12, as applicable, and how LEA-wide or schoolwide actions identified for this purpose meet regulatory requirements. Descriptions provided should include sufficient detail yet be sufficiently succinct to promote a broader understanding of educational partners to facilitate their ability to provide input. An LEA's description in this section must align with the actions included in the Goals and Actions section as contributing.

Please Note: For the purpose of meeting the Increased or Improved Services requirement and consistent with *EC* Section 42238.02, long-term English learners are included in the English learner student group.

Statutory Requirements

An LEA is required to demonstrate in its LCAP how it is increasing or improving services for its students who are foster youth, English learners, and/or low-income, collectively referred to as unduplicated students, as compared to the services provided to all students in proportion to the increase in funding it receives based on the number and concentration of unduplicated students in the LEA (*EC* Section 42238.07[a][1], *EC*

Section 52064[b][8][B]; 5 *CCR* Section 15496[a]). This proportionality percentage is also known as the "minimum proportionality percentage" or "MPP." The manner in which an LEA demonstrates it is meeting its MPP is two-fold: (1) through the expenditure of LCFF funds or through the identification of a Planned Percentage of Improved Services as documented in the Contributing Actions Table, and (2) through the explanations provided in the Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students section.

To improve services means to grow services in quality and to increase services means to grow services in quantity. Services are increased or improved by those actions in the LCAP that are identified in the Goals and Actions section as contributing to the increased or improved services requirement, whether they are provided across the entire LEA (LEA-wide action), provided to an entire school (Schoolwide action), or solely provided to one or more unduplicated student group(s) (Limited action).

Therefore, for *any* action contributing to meet the increased or improved services requirement, the LEA must include an explanation of:

- How the action is increasing or improving services for the unduplicated student group(s) (Identified Needs and Action Design), and
- How the action meets the LEA's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas (Measurement of Effectiveness).

LEA-wide and Schoolwide Actions

In addition to the above required explanations, LEAs must provide a justification for why an LEA-wide or Schoolwide action is being provided to all students and how the action is intended to improve outcomes for unduplicated student group(s) as compared to all students.

- Conclusory statements that a service will help achieve an expected outcome for the goal, without an explicit connection or further explanation as to how, are not sufficient.

- Further, simply stating that an LEA has a high enrollment percentage of a specific student group or groups does not meet the increased or improved services standard because enrolling students is not the same as serving students.

For School Districts Only

Actions provided on an **LEA-wide** basis at **school districts with an unduplicated pupil percentage of less than 55 percent** must also include a description of how the actions are the most effective use of the funds to meet the district's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas. The description must provide the basis for this determination, including any alternatives considered, supporting research, experience, or educational theory.

Actions provided on a **Schoolwide** basis for **schools with less than 40 percent enrollment of unduplicated pupils** must also include a description of how these actions are the most effective use of the funds to meet the district's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas. The description must provide the basis for this determination, including any alternatives considered, supporting research, experience, or educational theory.

Requirements and Instructions

Complete the tables as follows:

Total Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants

- Specify the amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grant funds the LEA estimates it will receive in the coming year based on the number and concentration of foster youth, English learner, and low-income students. This amount includes the Additional 15 percent LCFF Concentration Grant.

Projected Additional 15 percent LCFF Concentration Grant

- Specify the amount of additional LCFF concentration grant add-on funding, as described in *EC* Section 42238.02, that the LEA estimates it will receive in the coming year.

Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year

- Specify the estimated percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the LCAP year as calculated pursuant to 5 *CCR* Section 15496(a)(7).

LCFF Carryover — Percentage

- Specify the LCFF Carryover — Percentage identified in the LCFF Carryover Table. If a carryover percentage is not identified in the LCFF Carryover Table, specify a percentage of zero (0.00%).

LCFF Carryover — Dollar

- Specify the LCFF Carryover — Dollar amount identified in the LCFF Carryover Table. If a carryover amount is not identified in the LCFF Carryover Table, specify an amount of zero ($0).

Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year

- Add the Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year and the Proportional LCFF Required Carryover Percentage and specify the percentage. This is the LEA's percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the LCAP year, as calculated pursuant to 5 *CCR* Section 15496(a)(7).

Required Descriptions:

LEA-wide and Schoolwide Actions

For each action being provided to an entire LEA or school, provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s) and why it is being provided on an LEA or schoolwide basis, and (3) the metric(s) used to measure the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s).

If the LEA has provided this required description in the Action Descriptions, state as such within the table.

Complete the table as follows:

Identified Need(s)

Provide an explanation of the unique identified need(s) of the LEA's unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed.

An LEA demonstrates how an action is principally directed towards an unduplicated student group(s) when the LEA explains the need(s), condition(s), or circumstance(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) identified through a needs assessment and how the action addresses them. A meaningful needs assessment includes, at a minimum, analysis of applicable student achievement data and educational partner feedback.

How the Action(s) are Designed to Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis

Provide an explanation of how the action as designed will address the unique identified need(s) of the LEA's unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed and the rationale for why the action is being provided on an LEA-wide or schoolwide basis.

- As stated above, conclusory statements that a service will help achieve an expected outcome for the goal, without an explicit connection or further explanation as to how, are not sufficient.
- Further, simply stating that an LEA has a high enrollment percentage of a specific student group or groups does not meet the increased or improved services standard because enrolling students is not the same as serving students.

Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness

Identify the metric(s) being used to measure the progress and effectiveness of the action(s).

Note for COEs and Charter Schools: In the case of COEs and charter schools, schoolwide and LEA-wide are considered to be synonymous.

Limited Actions

For each action being solely provided to one or more unduplicated student group(s), provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s), and (3) how the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s) will be measured.

If the LEA has provided the required descriptions in the Action Descriptions, state as such.

Complete the table as follows:

Identified Need(s)

Provide an explanation of the unique need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served identified through the LEA's needs assessment. A meaningful needs assessment includes, at a minimum, analysis of applicable student achievement data and educational partner feedback.

How the Action(s) are Designed to Address Need(s)

Provide an explanation of how the action is designed to address the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served.

Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness

Identify the metric(s) being used to measure the progress and effectiveness of the action(s).

For any limited action contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement that is associated with a Planned Percentage of Improved Services in the Contributing Summary Table rather than an expenditure of LCFF funds, describe the methodology that was used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage, as applicable.

- For each action with an identified Planned Percentage of Improved Services, identify the goal and action number and describe the methodology that was used.

- When identifying a Planned Percentage of Improved Services, the LEA must describe the methodology that it used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage. The percentage of improved services for an action corresponds to the amount of LCFF funding that the LEA estimates it would expend to implement the action if it were funded.

- For example, an LEA determines that there is a need to analyze data to ensure that instructional aides and expanded learning providers know what targeted supports to provide to students who are foster youth. The LEA could implement this action by hiring additional staff to collect and analyze data and to coordinate supports for students, which, based on the LEA's current pay scale, the LEA estimates would cost $165,000. Instead, the LEA chooses to utilize a portion of existing staff time to analyze data relating to students who are foster youth. This analysis will then be shared with site principals who will use the data to coordinate services provided by instructional assistants and expanded learning providers to target support to students. In this example, the LEA would divide the estimated cost of $165,000 by the amount of LCFF Funding identified in the Total Planned Expenditures Table and then convert the quotient to a percentage. This percentage is the Planned Percentage of Improved Services for the action.

Additional Concentration Grant Funding

A description of the plan for how the additional concentration grant add-on funding identified above will be used to increase the number of staff providing direct services to students at schools that have a high concentration (above 55 percent) of foster youth, English learners, and low-income students, as applicable.

An LEA that receives the additional concentration grant add-on described in *EC* Section 42238.02 is required to demonstrate how it is using these funds to increase the number of staff who provide direct services to students at schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent as compared to the number of staff who provide direct services to students at schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is equal to or less than 55 percent. The staff who provide direct services to students must be certificated staff and/or classified staff employed by the LEA; classified staff includes custodial staff.

Provide the following descriptions, as applicable to the LEA:

- An LEA that does not receive a concentration grant or the concentration grant add-on must indicate that a response to this prompt is not applicable.

- Identify the goal and action numbers of the actions in the LCAP that the LEA is implementing to meet the requirement to increase the number of staff who provide direct services to students at schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent.

- An LEA that does not have comparison schools from which to describe how it is using the concentration grant add-on funds, such as a single-school LEA or an LEA that only has schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, must describe how it is using the funds to increase the number of credentialed staff, classified staff, or both, including custodial staff, who provide direct services to students at selected schools and the criteria used to determine which schools require additional staffing support.

- In the event that an additional concentration grant add-on is not sufficient to increase staff providing direct services to students at a school with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, the LEA must describe how it is using the funds to retain staff providing direct services to students at a school with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent.

Complete the table as follows:

- Provide the staff-to-student ratio of classified staff providing direct services to students with a concentration of unduplicated students that is 55 percent or less and the staff-to-student ratio of classified staff providing direct services to students at schools with a concentration of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, as applicable to the LEA.
    - The LEA may group its schools by grade span (Elementary, Middle/Junior High, and High Schools), as applicable to the LEA.
    - The staff-to-student ratio must be based on the number of full-time equivalent (FTE) staff and the number of enrolled students as counted on the first Wednesday in October of each year.
- Provide the staff-to-student ratio of certificated staff providing direct services to students at schools with a concentration of unduplicated students that is 55 percent or less and the staff-to-student ratio of certificated staff providing direct services to students at schools with a concentration of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, as applicable to the LEA.
    - The LEA may group its schools by grade span (Elementary, Middle/Junior High, and High Schools), as applicable to the LEA.
    - The staff-to-student ratio must be based on the number of FTE staff and the number of enrolled students as counted on the first Wednesday in October of each year.

Action Tables

Complete the Total Planned Expenditures Table for each action in the LCAP. The information entered into this table will automatically populate the other Action Tables. Information is only entered into the Total Planned Expenditures Table, the Annual Update Table, the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table, and the LCFF Carryover Table. The word “input” has been added to column headers to aid in identifying the column(s) where information will be entered. Information is not entered on the remaining Action tables.

The following tables are required to be included as part of the LCAP adopted by the local governing board or governing body:

- Table 1: Total Planned Expenditures Table (for the coming LCAP Year)
- Table 2: Contributing Actions Table (for the coming LCAP Year)
- Table 3: Annual Update Table (for the current LCAP Year)
- Table 4: Contributing Actions Annual Update Table (for the current LCAP Year)
- Table 5: LCFF Carryover Table (for the current LCAP Year)

Note: The coming LCAP Year is the year that is being planned for, while the current LCAP year is the current year of implementation. For example, when developing the 2024–25 LCAP, 2024–25 will be the coming LCAP Year and 2023–24 will be the current LCAP Year.

Total Planned Expenditures Table

In the Total Planned Expenditures Table, input the following information for each action in the LCAP for that applicable LCAP year:

- **LCAP Year**: Identify the applicable LCAP Year.
- **1. Projected LCFF Base Grant**: Provide the total amount estimated LCFF entitlement for the coming school year, excluding the supplemental and concentration grants and the add-ons for the Targeted Instructional Improvement Block Grant program, the former Home-to-School Transportation program, and the Small School District Transportation program, pursuant to 5 *CCR* Section 15496(a)(8). Note that the LCFF Base Grant for purposes of the LCAP also includes the Necessary Small Schools and Economic Recovery Target allowances for school districts, and County Operations Grant for COEs.

  See *EC* sections 2574 (for COEs) and 42238.02 (for school districts and charter schools), as applicable, for LCFF entitlement calculations.
- **2. Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants:** Provide the total amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grants estimated on the basis of the number and concentration of unduplicated students for the coming school year.
- **3. Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year:** This percentage will not be entered; it is calculated based on the Projected LCFF Base Grant and the Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants, pursuant to 5 *CCR* Section 15496(a)(8). This is the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the coming LCAP year.
- **LCFF Carryover — Percentage:** Specify the LCFF Carryover — Percentage identified in the LCFF Carryover Table from the prior LCAP year. If a carryover percentage is not identified in the LCFF Carryover Table, specify a percentage of zero (0.00%).
- **Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year:** This percentage will not be entered; it is calculated based on the Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year and the LCFF Carryover —

Percentage. ***This is the percentage by which the LEA must increase or improve services for unduplicated pupils as compared to the services provided to all students in the coming LCAP year.***

- **Goal #**: Enter the LCAP Goal number for the action.
- **Action #**: Enter the action's number as indicated in the LCAP Goal.
- **Action Title**: Provide a title of the action.
- **Student Group(s)**: Indicate the student group or groups who will be the primary beneficiary of the action by entering "All," or by entering a specific student group or groups.
- **Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?:** Type "Yes" if the action **is** included as contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement; OR, type "No" if the action is **not** included as contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement.
- If "Yes" is entered into the Contributing column, then complete the following columns:
    - **Scope**: The scope of an action may be LEA-wide (i.e., districtwide, countywide, or charterwide), schoolwide, or limited. An action that is LEA-wide in scope upgrades the entire educational program of the LEA. An action that is schoolwide in scope upgrades the entire educational program of a single school. An action that is limited in its scope is an action that serves only one or more unduplicated student groups.
    - **Unduplicated Student Group(s)**: Regardless of scope, contributing actions serve one or more unduplicated student groups. Indicate one or more unduplicated student groups for whom services are being increased or improved as compared to what all students receive.
    - **Location**: Identify the location where the action will be provided. If the action is provided to all schools within the LEA, the LEA must indicate "All Schools." If the action is provided to specific schools within the LEA or specific grade spans only, the LEA must enter "Specific Schools" or "Specific Grade Spans." Identify the individual school or a subset of schools or grade spans (e.g., all high schools or grades transitional kindergarten through grade five), as appropriate.
- **Time Span**: Enter "ongoing" if the action will be implemented for an indeterminate period of time. Otherwise, indicate the span of time for which the action will be implemented. For example, an LEA might enter "1 Year," or "2 Years," or "6 Months."
- **Total Personnel**: Enter the total amount of personnel expenditures utilized to implement this action.
- **Total Non-Personnel**: This amount will be automatically calculated based on information provided in the Total Personnel column and the Total Funds column.

- **LCFF Funds**: Enter the total amount of LCFF funds utilized to implement this action, if any. LCFF funds include all funds that make up an LEA's total LCFF target (i.e., base grant, grade span adjustment, supplemental grant, concentration grant, Targeted Instructional Improvement Block Grant, and Home-To-School Transportation).
    - **Note:** For an action to contribute towards meeting the increased or improved services requirement, it must include some measure of LCFF funding. The action may also include funding from other sources, however the extent to which an action contributes to meeting the increased or improved services requirement is based on the LCFF funding being used to implement the action.
- **Other State Funds**: Enter the total amount of Other State Funds utilized to implement this action, if any.
    - **Note:** Equity Multiplier funds must be included in the "Other State Funds" category, not in the "LCFF Funds" category. As a reminder, Equity Multiplier funds must be used to supplement, not supplant, funding provided to Equity Multiplier schoolsites for purposes of the LCFF, the ELO-P, the LCRS, and/or the CCSPP. This means that Equity Multiplier funds must not be used to replace funding that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to implement LEA-wide actions identified in the LEA's LCAP or that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to implement provisions of the ELO-P, the LCRS, and/or the CCSPP.
- **Local Funds**: Enter the total amount of Local Funds utilized to implement this action, if any.
- **Federal Funds**: Enter the total amount of Federal Funds utilized to implement this action, if any.
- **Total Funds**: This amount is automatically calculated based on amounts entered in the previous four columns.
- **Planned Percentage of Improved Services**: For any action identified as contributing, being provided on a Limited basis to unduplicated students, and that does not have funding associated with the action, enter the planned quality improvement anticipated for the action as a percentage rounded to the nearest hundredth (0.00%). A limited action is an action that only serves foster youth, English learners, and/or low-income students.
    - As noted in the instructions for the Increased or Improved Services section, when identifying a Planned Percentage of Improved Services, the LEA must describe the methodology that it used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage. The percentage of improved services for an action corresponds to the amount of LCFF funding that the LEA estimates it would expend to implement the action if it were funded.

      For example, an LEA determines that there is a need to analyze data to ensure that instructional aides and expanded learning providers know what targeted supports to provide to students who are foster youth. The LEA could implement this action by hiring additional staff to collect and analyze data and to coordinate supports for students, which, based on the LEA's current pay scale, the LEA estimates would cost $165,000. Instead, the LEA chooses to utilize a portion of existing staff time to analyze data relating to students who are foster youth. This analysis will then be shared with site principals who will use the data to coordinate services provided by instructional assistants and expanded learning providers to target support to students. In this example, the LEA would divide the estimated cost of $165,000 by the amount of LCFF Funding identified in the Data Entry Table and then convert the quotient to a percentage. This percentage is the Planned Percentage of Improved Services for the action.

Contributing Actions Table

As noted above, information will not be entered in the Contributing Actions Table; however, the 'Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?' column will need to be checked to ensure that only actions with a "Yes" are displaying. If actions with a "No" are displayed or if actions that are contributing are not displaying in the column, use the drop-down menu in the column header to filter only the "Yes" responses.

Annual Update Table

In the Annual Update Table, provide the following information for each action in the LCAP for the relevant LCAP year:

- **Estimated Actual Expenditures**: Enter the total estimated actual expenditures to implement this action, if any.

Contributing Actions Annual Update Table

In the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table, check the 'Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?' column to ensure that only actions with a "Yes" are displaying. If actions with a "No" are displayed or if actions that are contributing are not displaying in the column, use the drop-down menu in the column header to filter only the "Yes" responses. Provide the following information for each contributing action in the LCAP for the relevant LCAP year:

- **6. Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants:** Provide the total amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grants estimated based on the number and concentration of unduplicated students in the current school year.
- **Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions**: Enter the total estimated actual expenditure of LCFF funds used to implement this action, if any.
- **Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services:** For any action identified as contributing, being provided on a Limited basis only to unduplicated students, and that does not have funding associated with the action, enter the total estimated actual quality improvement anticipated for the action as a percentage rounded to the nearest hundredth (0.00%).
    - Building on the example provided above for calculating the Planned Percentage of Improved Services, the LEA in the example implements the action. As part of the annual update process, the LEA reviews implementation and student outcome data and determines that the action was implemented with fidelity and that outcomes for foster youth students improved. The LEA reviews the original estimated cost for the action and determines that had it hired additional staff to collect and analyze data and to coordinate supports for students that estimated actual cost would have been $169,500 due to a cost of living adjustment. The LEA would divide the estimated actual cost of $169,500 by the amount of LCFF Funding identified in the Data Entry Table and then convert the quotient to a percentage. This percentage is the Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services for the action.

LCFF Carryover Table

- **9. Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant**: Provide the total amount of estimated LCFF Target Entitlement for the current school year, excluding the supplemental and concentration grants and the add-ons for the Targeted Instructional Improvement Block Grant program,

the former Home-to-School Transportation program, and the Small School District Transportation program, pursuant to 5 *CCR* Section 15496(a)(8). Note that the LCFF Base Grant for purposes of the LCAP also includes the Necessary Small Schools and Economic Recovery Target allowances for school districts, and County Operations Grant for COEs. See *EC* sections 2574 (for COEs) and 42238.02 (for school districts and charter schools), as applicable, for LCFF entitlement calculations.

- **10. Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Current School Year:** This percentage will not be entered. The percentage is calculated based on the amounts of the Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (9) and the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (6), pursuant to 5 *CCR* Section 15496(a)(8), plus the LCFF Carryover – Percentage from the prior year. This is the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the current LCAP year.

Calculations in the Action Tables

To reduce the duplication of effort of LEAs, the Action Tables include functionality such as pre-population of fields and cells based on the information provided in the Data Entry Table, the Annual Update Summary Table, and the Contributing Actions Table. For transparency, the functionality and calculations used are provided below.

Contributing Actions Table

- **4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)**
    - This amount is the total of the Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds) column.
- **5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services**
    - This percentage is the total of the Planned Percentage of Improved Services column.
- **Planned Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the coming school year (4 divided by 1, plus 5)**
    - This percentage is calculated by dividing the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4) by the Projected LCFF Base Grant (1), converting the quotient to a percentage, and adding it to the Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (5).

Contributing Actions Annual Update Table

Pursuant to *EC* Section 42238.07(c)(2), if the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4) is less than the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and Concentration Grants (6), the LEA is required to calculate the difference between the Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (5) and the Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (7). If the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4) is equal to or greater than the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and Concentration Grants (6), the Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services will display “Not Required.”

- **6. Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and Concentration Grants**

- This is the total amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grants the LEA estimates it will actually receive based on the number and concentration of unduplicated students in the current school year.

- **4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)**
  - This amount is the total of the Last Year's Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds).
- **7. Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions**
  - This amount is the total of the Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds).
- **Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (Subtract 7 from 4)**
  - This amount is the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (7) subtracted from the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4).
- **5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)**
  - This amount is the total of the Planned Percentage of Improved Services column.
- **8. Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (%)**
  - This amount is the total of the Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services column.
- **Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (Subtract 5 from 8)**
  - This amount is the Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (5) subtracted from the Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (8).

LCFF Carryover Table

- **10. Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Current School Year (6 divided by 9 plus Carryover %)**
  - This percentage is the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (6) divided by the Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (9) plus the LCFF Carryover – Percentage from the prior year.
- **11. Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (7 divided by 9, plus 8)**
  - This percentage is the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (7) divided by the LCFF Funding (9), then converting the quotient to a percentage and adding the Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (8).
- **12. LCFF Carryover — Dollar Amount LCFF Carryover (Subtract 11 from 10 and multiply by 9)**

- If the Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (11) is less than the Estimated Actual Percentage to Increase or Improve Services (10), the LEA is required to carry over LCFF funds.

  The amount of LCFF funds is calculated by subtracting the Estimated Actual Percentage to Increase or Improve Services (11) from the Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (10) and then multiplying by the Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (9). This amount is the amount of LCFF funds that is required to be carried over to the coming year.

- **13. LCFF Carryover — Percentage (12 divided by 9)**

  - This percentage is the unmet portion of the Percentage to Increase or Improve Services that the LEA must carry over into the coming LCAP year. The percentage is calculated by dividing the LCFF Carryover (12) by the LCFF Funding (9).

California Department of Education
November 2024