
LCFF Budget Overview for Parents

Local Educational Agency (LEA) Name: Igo-Ono-Platina Union Elementary School District
CDS Code: 45-70029-0000000
School Year: 2026-27
LEA contact information:
Cindy Bishop
Superintendent
cbishop@rsdnmp.org
(530) 225-0011

School districts receive funding from different sources: state funds under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF), other state funds, local funds, and federal funds. LCFF funds include a base level of funding for all LEAs and extra funding - called "supplemental and concentration" grants - to LEAs based on the enrollment of high needs students (foster youth, English learners, and low-income students).

Budget Overview for the 2026-27 School Year



This chart shows the total general purpose revenue Igo-Ono-Platina Union Elementary School District expects to receive in the coming year from all sources.

The text description for the above chart is as follows: The total revenue projected for Igo-Ono-Platina Union Elementary School District is $1,331,301, of which $997,266 is Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF), $188,223 is other state funds, $121,021 is local funds, and $24,791 is federal funds. Of the $997,266 in LCFF Funds,


$132,844 is generated based on the enrollment of high needs students (foster youth, English learner, and low-income students).

LCFF Budget Overview for Parents

The charts in the Budget Overview for Parents are automatically generated based on your updates in the input form of the standalone template in DTS. There is no need to insert images.

Please contact DTS if you would like support with overlapping labels. Thank you!

The LCFF gives school districts more flexibility in deciding how to use state funds. In exchange, school districts must work with parents, educators, students, and the community to develop a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) that shows how they will use these funds to serve students.



This chart provides a quick summary of how much Igo-Ono-Platina Union Elementary School District plans to spend for 2026-27. It shows how much of the total is tied to planned actions and services in the LCAP.

The text description of the above chart is as follows: Igo-Ono-Platina Union Elementary School District plans to spend $1,127,090 for the 2026-27 school year. Of that amount, $230,981 is tied to actions/services in the LCAP and $896,109 is not included in the LCAP. The budgeted expenditures that are not included in the LCAP will be used for the following:


The District's budget other than specific actions noted in the LCAP consists of general operating expenditures, such as general education classroom Teachers, Special Education services, Instructional Assistants, Instructional Materials, Transportation services, Athletics, Utilities, Custodial services, Maintenance services, Technology Support, and general supplies.

Increased or Improved Services for High Needs Students in the LCAP for the 2026-27 School Year

In 2026-27, Igo-Ono-Platina Union Elementary School District is projecting it will receive $132,844 based on the enrollment of foster youth, English learner, and low-income students. Igo-Ono-Platina Union Elementary School District must describe how it intends to increase or improve services for high needs students in the LCAP. Igo-Ono-Platina Union Elementary School District plans to spend $151,721 towards meeting this requirement, as described in the LCAP.

LCFF Budget Overview for Parents

Update on Increased or Improved Services for High Needs Students in 2025-26



This chart compares what Igo-Ono-Platina Union Elementary School District budgeted last year in the LCAP for actions and services that contribute to increasing or improving services for high needs students with what Igo-Ono-Platina Union Elementary School District estimates it has spent on actions and services that contribute to increasing or improving services for high needs students in the current year.

The text description of the above chart is as follows: In 2025-26, Igo-Ono-Platina Union Elementary School District's LCAP budgeted $148,836 for planned actions to increase or improve services for high needs students. Igo-Ono-Platina Union Elementary School District actually spent $101,418 for actions to increase or improve services for high needs students in 2025-26.

The difference between the budgeted and actual expenditures of $47,418 had the following impact on Igo-Ono-Platina Union Elementary School District’s ability to increase or improve services for high needs students:

The budgeted and actual expenditures are adjusted to meet the needs of actual student’s enrollment and attendance. The district was able to carry out some of the LCAP items at reduced cost, without any negative consequences or reduced services to students.

Local Control and Accountability Plan

The instructions for completing the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) follow the template.

Local Educational Agency (LEA) Name	Contact Name and Title	Email and Phone
Igo-Ono-Platina Union Elementary School District	Cindy Bishop Superintendent	cbishop@rsdnmp.org (530) 225-0011

Plan Summary [2026-27]

General Information

A description of the LEA, its schools, and its students in grades transitional kindergarten–12, as applicable to the LEA. LEAs may also provide information about their strategic plan, vision, etc.

PROFILE:
The Igo-Ono-Platina Union School District is a small rural district located in the southwest corner of Shasta County. A distance of thirty miles separates the communities of Igo, Ono, and Platina. Igo is located approximately ten miles west of Redding, Ono is seventeen miles west of Redding, and Platina is forty miles west of Redding. Igo-Ono Elementary, located in the town of Igo, is a TK-8 facility with an enrollment of an estimated 30 students. The three communities that compose the District are widely separated and sparsely populated. Numerous cattle ranches, the logging industry, a Cal-Trans station, a CDF Fire Station, a U.S. Post Office, a small store, a Forest Service Station, and the schools provide local employment. Each community also has its own restaurant/store. Many community members travel outside the District to secure employment.

The District has a three-member school board, a principal, two regular classroom teachers, instructional assistants, one part-time Project SHARE program facilitator and one part-time Project Share liaison for the after school program, two part-time custodial/maintenance people, one part-time cook, and a confidential secretary. The District's school board opted to join in a partnership with the Redding School District and the Shasta Union Elementary School District to share administrative, special education, business, and food services beginning in the 2000-2001 school year. The arrangement is unique in that all three Districts share a common superintendent, yet keep their own boards of education.

The student population consists of 86.1% Socioeconomically Disadvantaged and 5.6% English Learners. There are no Foster Youth.

MISSION STATEMENT:
The Igo-Ono-Platina Union School District, in cooperation with parents and community, is resolved to provide a quality education to our students within an enthusiastic and caring environment. We will strive to instill a sense of responsibility and individual achievement for each student as he/she studies and learns the skills necessary for our complex world.

VALUES AND BELIEFS: The staff of the Igo-Ono-Platina Union School District believes that in order to facilitate academic achievement it is vital to focus on these areas:

- The academic success of every student
- No excuses
- Experimentation
- Everyone is part of the solution
- Sense of family
- Collaboration and trust
- Passion for learning and growing

During the school year, there are 23 minimum days for staff/collaboration meetings and parent/teacher conferences that affect all students. The number of instructional minutes at Igo-Ono School is 50,505 in transitional kindergarten through third grade and 54,880 minutes in fourth through eighth grades.

Igo-Ono School provides on-campus staff at 7:15 a.m., so parents may have a safe place to leave their children on their way to work. The Project SHARE after-school program runs from 1:30 to 6:00 p.m. and is staffed by one facilitator and one liaison at Igo-Ono. Instruction and intervention for this program are coordinated with the student's regular classroom teacher in order to better facilitate student learning.

The District participates in the REAP program and utilizes the flexibility component to transfer some categorical entitlements into Title 1. Title 1 and RSP students are grouped by ability/needs and serviced by certificated and classified staff in the classrooms and the Learning Center. Students qualify for Title One services by scoring below the proficiency performance standard in the language arts and/or mathematics portion of the CAASPP test, teacher grades, District benchmarks, or by recommendation of the RST or classroom teacher.

In addition to standard curriculum offerings, students have many options for educational support, such as computers, access to the Internet, EL services, special education, fine arts, before and after-school programs and research-based intervention programs and curriculum.

The District also offers many programs and opportunities to build character, sportsmanship, and citizenship. Most of the staff has been trained in Positive Behavior Instruction Support (PBIS), a comprehensive student discipline program. The fifth and sixth grade attend an outdoor educational program every other year. After school sports activities for boys and girls are provided year round.

Igo-Ono School holds events to raise money to support classroom activities, school facilities, school/community events and educational field trips. Parent volunteers help in the classrooms and with school events and activities.

Igo-Ono is an Equity Multiplier school. Goal five reflects specific actions to support more positive outcomes for students of high poverty and mobility.

Reflections: Annual Performance

A reflection on annual performance based on a review of the California School Dashboard (Dashboard) and local data.

Igo-Ono-Platina Elementary School has areas of success and areas of identified need.

2023-2024 (CAASPP Data 2022- 2023)
Igo-Ono has an enrollment of 30 students. 90.0% of the student population is Socioeconomically Disadvantaged. 13.3% of the population are English Learners. There are no Foster Youth.
All Local Indicators have been met.
Student Achievement:
English Language Arts - There was an increase of 11.6 points from 2022 to 2023. This results in students scoring 29.3 points below standard in the area of English Language Arts.
Math - There was a decrease of 16.4 points from 2022 to 2023. This results in students scoring 77.8 points below standard in the area of math.
Engagement
Chronic Absenteeism declined by 7.0% from 2022 to 2023, resulting in a 10.5% Chronic Absenteeism rate overall.
Climate
Suspension rate maintained, reporting that 0.0% of students were suspended from Igo-Ono-Platina Union School District

2024-2025 (CAASPP data 2023-2024)

Igo-Ono has an enrollment of 29 students. 82.8% of the student population is Socioeconomically Disadvantaged. 6.9% of the population are English Learners. There are no Foster Youth.

SUCCESSES:
All Local Indicators have been met.

Student Achievement:

English Language Arts:
The school increased their ELA status on the California Dashboard showing 3.3 points of growth. This results in students scoring 26 points below standard in the area of English Language Arts. There are no student groups to report. (Action 1.3, Action 1.4, Action 1.5, Action 1.7, Action 5.2, Action 5.3)

Math:
There was an increase of 8.5 points from 2023 to 2024. This results in students scoring 69.3 points below standard in the area of math. There are no student groups to report. (Action 1.3, Action 1.5, Action 1.6, Action 1.7, Action 5.2)

Science:
For informational purposes, there are fewer than 11 students and there is no performance color in 2024. (Action 2.3)

Engagement:

Chronic Absenteeism declined by 2.6% from 2023 to 2024, resulting in a 7.9% Chronic Absenteeism rate overall. The overall student color was green. (Action 3.3, Action 3.4, Action 4.3, Action 4.4, Action 5.1)
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged students received an green on the dashboard.

Climate:

Suspension rate maintained reporting that 0% of students were suspended from Igo-Ono-Platina Elementary School District. (Action 2.2, Action 3.3, Action 3.4, Action 4.1, Action 4.4, Action 5.1)
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged students received a blue on the dashboard.

Community partner and counselor feedback is a continued strategy of parent engagement through sharing free educational and trade opportunities for the guardians of students.

2025-2026 (CAASPP data 2024-2025):

Igo-Ono's enrollment is 36 students. 86.1% of the students are socio-economically disadvantaged. 5.6% are English Learners. There are no Foster Youth.

Successes:
All Local Indicators have been met.

Student Achievement:

English Language Arts:
The school decreased their ELA status on the CA Dashboard declining 11.1 points are are 24.3 points below standard. There are no student groups to report. (Action 1.3, Action 1.4, Action 1.5, Action 1.7, Action 5.2, Action 5.3)

Math:
There was an increase of 8.2 points in the area of math, though the school remains 37.3 points below standard. (Action 1.3, Action 1.4, Action 1.5, Action 1.7, Action 5.2, Action 5.3)

Science:
There are fewer than 11 students and there is no performance color in 2025. (Action 2.3)

Engagement:
Chronic Absenteeism declined 8.3% and there are zero students who are identified as chronically absent. The school is blue on the California Dashboard.

Climate:
Suspension rate maintained reporting that 0% of students were suspended. The school is blue on the California Dashboard. (Action 2.2, Action 3.3, Action 3.4, Action 4.1, Action 4.4, Action 5.1)

IDENTIFIED NEEDS:
The needs identified will be addressed through goals that are supported by actions within the Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP) based on community engagement and feedback amongst district leaders, site leaders, staff, students, parents, and community.

EQUITY MULTIPLIER
School sites within a county office of education, school district, or classroom-based charter school that generate an LCFF entitlement, that also have prior year non-stability rates greater than 25 percent and prior year socioeconomically disadvantaged pupil rates greater than 70 percent per the California Department of Education's (CDE) Stability Rate Report are eligible for funding.

The District has expended its Learning Recovery Emergency Block Grant funds.

Reflections: Technical Assistance

As applicable, a summary of the work underway as part of technical assistance.

N/A

Comprehensive Support and Improvement

An LEA with a school or schools eligible for comprehensive support and improvement must respond to the following prompts.

Schools Identified

A list of the schools in the LEA that are eligible for comprehensive support and improvement.

N/A

Support for Identified Schools

A description of how the LEA has or will support its eligible schools in developing comprehensive support and improvement plans.

N/A

Monitoring and Evaluating Effectiveness

A description of how the LEA will monitor and evaluate the plan to support student and school improvement.

N/A

Engaging Educational Partners

A summary of the process used to engage educational partners in the development of the LCAP.

School districts and county offices of education must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, local bargaining units, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

Charter schools must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

Educational Partner(s)	Process for Engagement
Teachers and Other School Personnel	Roadshow at site for engagement and feedback on August 27, 2025.
Parents	Parent Surveys on achievement, engagement, and climate in March 2026
Parents	School Site Council meetings: September 3, 2025 November 5, 2025 January 7, 2026 March 4, 2026 May 6, 2026
District Administrators and Parents	Parent Advisory Committee meetings: Wednesday, September 10, 2025 Wednesday, November 12, 2025 Wednesday, February 11, 2026 Wednesday, April 22, 2026 Wednesday, May 27, 2026
Parents, students, staff, and community partners.	Mid Year Study Session, 1-23-2026: A session was held in order to engage and gain feedback from all educational partners. Current district wide programs were highlighted and feedback was gained in regards to the Eight State Priorities in order to guide the Local Control Accountability Plan development. Close to one-hundred community members, staff, parents, and students were in attendance.

Educational Partner(s)	Process for Engagement
	Through parent meetings, student feedback opportunities, staff discussions, and School Site Council input, educational partners consistently identified student connectedness, school engagement, and social-emotional well-being as key factors influencing student attendance, which helped in the creation and development of Goal 5 (Equity Multiplier).
Staff	Staff survey on achievement, engagement, and climate in February.
Parents	Parent survey on achievement, engagement, and climate.
Students	Student survey. Met with students for engagement and feedback on January 6, 2026.
Certificated and Classified Unit members (Other School Personnel)	Annual consultation with Unions, Classified and Certificated
Teachers, Principals, and Parents	District Advisory Committee Meetings: Tuesday, September 23, 2025 Tuesday, February 24, 2026 Tuesday, May 19, 2026
Principals and District Administrators	District meetings: Weekly Cabinet meetings; monthly Curriculum and Instruction meetings, and monthly Leadership meetings.
Parents	Open House, Parent Survey, and Site Council Nominations April 1, 2026.
Parents	Spring Concert TBD
Parents, Staff, Community Partners	School Site Council meetings were held to engage and to gain feedback specific to the Local Control Accountability Plan September 3, 2025.
SELPA review of LCAP	4-23-2026 LCAP consultation occurred

A description of how the adopted LCAP was influenced by the feedback provided by educational partners.

Feedback from parents, students, staff, and community reflect the satisfaction the school community has for Igo-Ono-Platina Elementary School District and the academic programming and pathways it has to offer. Areas of continuation and focus are various pathways for students to be engaged such as, sports, field trips, gardening, music, drama/theater. Another area of importance for the district is the assurance that the core subject areas of math, English Language Arts, science, and social studies, integrated with hands-on and experiential learning, are being taught at high levels. In addition, a culture of love, positive relationships, and inclusion that supports social emotional learning is extremely important to the community. All of this is being done in a system that supports students, parents, and staff, resulting in a positive culture for all.

Principal Kim Miller has been leading a cohort of administrators and staff members in the New Millennium Partnership in the RAISE Network, working to improve chronic absenteeism rates in our schools.

Parents and staff across grade levels shared an on-going need for support in meeting students' mental health needs.

English Learner parents expressed a continued need for academics.

Students want activities (electives, clubs and sports) to continue and options to increase.

Parents and students share a desire for rich and varied learning excursions to provide opportunities for students to have first-hand experiences outside the boundaries of our small community.

Parents of Students with Disabilities (SWD) want early learning opportunities, an inclusive environment that supports the needs of all learners and increased professional development around teaching SWD's.

Community partner and counselor feedback is the continued strategy of parent engagement through sharing free educational and trade opportunities for the guardians of students.

Special Education Local Plan Area feedback and discussion resulted in the following feedback: taking part in a Community of Practice, professional development around collaboration, and forty hour online training on behavior techniques geared to staff serving in specific programs.

As a result of this feedback, the district developed an Equity Multiplier goal that prioritizes the implementation of social-emotional learning strategies, student engagement activities, and targeted supports for students identified as chronically absent or at risk of chronic absenteeism. Educational partner input reinforced the connection between students' social-emotional needs and their ability to consistently attend school, leading the district to focus resources and actions on creating a positive school climate, strengthening student connections, and improving attendance and educational outcomes for all students.

Goals and Actions

Goal

Goal #	Description	Type of Goal
1	High academic achievement for all students while closing the achievement gap for our underperforming student groups.	Broad Goal

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Priority 1: Basic (Conditions of Learning)
Priority 2: State Standards (Conditions of Learning)
Priority 4: Pupil Achievement (Pupil Outcomes)
Priority 7: Course Access (Conditions of Learning)

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Based on local and state measures, Igo-Ono-Platina Union School District will continue to promote equity and access for all students while working to eliminate some predictability of achievement based on any demographic category.

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
1.1	CAASPP ELA Achievement Growth	29.3 points below standard	3.3 points of growth; 26 points below standard	24.3 points below standard	6.2 points below standard	+3.3 points
1.2	CAASPP Math Achievement Growth	77.8 points below standard	8.5 points of growth; 69.3 points below standard	37.3 points below standard	44.7 points below standard	+8.5 points
1.3	CAASPP Science Achievement Growth	58.33% of students met or exceeded standard for science	Fewer than 11 students - data not displayed	No data displayed - fewer than 11 students	73%	N/A
1.4	English Learner Progress	Not a significant student group at this time	Not a significant subgroup at this time	Not a significant subgroup at this time	N/A	N/A
1.5	Master Schedule- all pupils have access to all	100%	100%	100%	100%	0%

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
	courses as evidenced by school schedule					
1.6	Annual Board Resolution on State aligned textbook sufficiency	100%	100%	100%	100%	0%
1.7	Two completed professional development days and calendared Wednesday collaborations	100%	100%	100%	100%	0%
1.8	Teachers reporting they are prepared to teach how English Learner's will access the Common Core State Standards and English Language Development standards	100%	66.6% report feeling prepared or very prepared; one neutral response	Not yet available	100%	-33.4 % from baseline

Goal Analysis [2025-26]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

All Action Steps for Goal 1 were carried out and were successful in implementation.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

The District is updating this action (1.3 for IOP) to budget additional restricted funds for expanded tutoring opportunities, based on data that reflects significant growth in student achievement after consistent participation in prior year tutoring activities.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

All action steps for Goal 1 continue to show effectiveness and the school is making progress toward the goal.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

The District is updating this action (1.3 for IOP) to budget additional restricted funds for expanded tutoring opportunities, based on data that reflects significant growth in student achievement after consistent participation in prior year tutoring activities.

A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.

Actions

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
1.1	Develop and implement two Staff Development Days for Teachers	Conduct professional development and teacher collaboration to increase preparedness in implementing the California Common Core State Standards, English Language Development Standards, and inclusive strategies to increase outcomes for Special Education students. Continue to train teachers on devices, software and engagement strategies. Provide strategies that directly impact Low-Income, English Learners, and Foster Youth. Develop and implement 2 staff Development Days for Teachers.	$1,243.00	Yes
1.2	Staff Development	High quality staff development around the Common Core State Standards and the 8 Key Practices (Evidence-Based Content Instruction; Culturally and Linguistically Responsive Pedagogy; Collaboration; Positive Behavior Strategies; Flexible Grouping; Explicit, Targeted Instruction; Universal Design for Learning; Strategy Instruction to increase achievement for all.	$2,520.62	Yes
1.3	Increased Intervention	Provide intervention and tutoring opportunities through attendance of the After School program. This action is principally directed towards Low Income, English Learners, and Foster Youth students.	$17,741.00	Yes
1.4	Partnership ESL Coordinator and Support Team	Partnership will provide ESL Coordinator & Support Team for English Learners & Redesignated fluent English proficient.	$750.00	Yes

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
1.5	Learning Software	Provide access to enhanced learning software (Lexia, Power Up, Prodigy) to principally benefit Low Income, Foster Youth, and English Learners.	$10,570.00	Yes
1.6	Math Adoption Cycle	2025-2026 review framework with adopted materials	$597.00	No
1.7	Increased Intervention	Increase intervention for low achieving students (that substantially include Low Income, English Learners, and Foster Youth).	$121,312.00	Yes

Goals and Actions

Goal

Goal #	Description	Type of Goal
2	Provide access to a broad and challenging curriculum through the leverage of the Portrait of a Graduate competencies (Adaptability, Communication, Critical Thinking, Empathy, Perseverance, Responsibility) to prepare students for college, the workplace, and the transition to adulthood.	Broad Goal

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Priority 1: Basic (Conditions of Learning)
Priority 2: State Standards (Conditions of Learning)
Priority 3: Parental Involvement (Engagement)
Priority 4: Pupil Achievement (Pupil Outcomes)
Priority 5: Pupil Engagement (Engagement)
Priority 6: School Climate (Engagement)
Priority 7: Course Access (Conditions of Learning)
Priority 8: Other Pupil Outcomes (Pupil Outcomes)

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Continue to expose and prepare our students for evolving future opportunities that require unique skills and raise the importance of our six learning dispositions so students can navigate complex life environments.

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
2.1	Connectedness 4th-8th	91% of 26 student respondents feel connected.	91%	90%	95%	TBA
2.2	Annual College Field Trip	At least one field trip to a college for 6th - 8th grade students	Trip to Shasta College on April 4, 2025 and and Simpson University on April 10, 2025	Not yet available	Maintain at least one field trip to a college for 6th - 8th grade students	0

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
2.3	Provide Career and Technical Education for 6th - 8th grade students	New for 2024 - 2025 school year	Waiting for results	Offered for 6th - 8th grade students	At least 60% or more of students to complete CTE lessons	TBA
2.4	Middle School Drop Out Rate	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

Goal Analysis [2025-26]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

All Action Steps for Goal 2 were carried out.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

There were no substantive material differences between projections and expenditures.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

All action steps are considered effective and are making positive impacts on the school.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

No changes will be made at this time.

A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.

Actions

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
2.1	Maintaining a Broad Course of Study	The principal will verify and maintain a broad course of study for all students as defined in California Education Codes 51210(K- 6) and 51220(7-8) as evidenced by school schedules to be reviewed by site administration.	$0.00	No
2.2	Site Administrator Support of Portrait Competencies	Site administrator training, coaching, and supporting Portrait of a Graduate Competencies (Adaptability, Communication, Critical Thinking, Empathy, Perseverance, Responsibility) to prepare students for college, the workplace, and the transition to adulthood.	$21,834.00	Yes
2.3	Science Camp	Fifth grade students will experience outdoor science camp in order to increase knowledge of the environment, natural science, and biology, principally directed for Low Income, Foster Youth, and English Learners.	$2,000.00	Yes

Goals and Actions

Goal

Goal #	Description	Type of Goal
3	Provide clean, safe, functional, and well-maintained facilities with positive learning climates that challenge bias, support social, emotional, and physical needs of students.	Broad Goal

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Priority 1: Basic (Conditions of Learning)
Priority 2: State Standards (Conditions of Learning)
Priority 3: Parental Involvement (Engagement)
Priority 4: Pupil Achievement (Pupil Outcomes)
Priority 5: Pupil Engagement (Engagement)
Priority 6: School Climate (Engagement)
Priority 7: Course Access (Conditions of Learning)
Priority 8: Other Pupil Outcomes (Pupil Outcomes)

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Continue to build greater joy and a sense of belonging within all stakeholders while at our school.

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
3.1	Suspension Rate	0%	0%	0%	0%	0
3.2	Healthy Kids Survey for 4th-8th grades	84% of 26 respondents feel safe at school	Waiting for results from WestEd	Not yet available	95%	TBA
3.3	Monthly facilities and safety inspection report	Maintain monthly site inspections	FIT reports being done	100%	Maintain monthly inspections	0
3.4	Williams Report of facilities; complaints	Maintain 0% findings	0%	0%	Maintain 0% findings	0
3.5	CAASPP Participation Rate in ELA	100%	Waiting on results	15 students took CAASPP	100%	TBA

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
3.6	CAASPP Participation Rate in Math	100%	Waiting on results	15 students took CAASPP	100%	TBA
3.7	Expulsion Rate	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

Goal Analysis [2025-26]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

All action steps in goal 3 were carried out.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

There were no substantive material differences between projected and actual expenditures.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

All action steps are effective and have made a positive impact on the school.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

No changes will be made to the planned goal or metrics.

A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.

Actions

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
3.1	Monthly site inspections	District will perform monthly site inspections to review site condition as defined in Education Code.	$500.00	No

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
3.2	Maintain annual maintenance account	An appropriate plan will be developed and implemented to address maintenance needs. maintain annual maintenance account.	$3,000.00	No
3.3	Counseling Services	Provide a counselor principally directed toward Low Income, English Learners, Foster Youth, students with exceptional needs, highly mobile students, and their families to support Social and Emotional needs. To be shared with partner district.	$15,024.00	Yes
3.4	Capturing Kids Hearts	Provide workshops for any untrained site administrators and teachers in Capturing Kids Hearts, a training provided by the Flippen Group, as well as other District-Wide trauma-informed support programs.	$3,350.00	Yes

Goals and Actions

Goal

Goal #	Description	Type of Goal
4	Increase engagement and collaboration among students, parents, staff, and other community partners.	Broad Goal

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Priority 3: Parental Involvement (Engagement)
Priority 5: Pupil Engagement (Engagement)
Priority 6: School Climate (Engagement)

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

To engage, empower, and connect all stakeholder groups in order to increase student performance academically, socially, and emotionally.

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
4.1	Parent Advisory Committee participation	1 of 1 represented	1 parent participating	1 parent participating	1 parent participating	0
4.2	School Site Council participation	maintain holding at least five meetings a year	5 meetings held	5 meetings held	5 meetings a year	0
4.3	Family Engagement Nights	Four school-wide events to engage families	4 site events	4 site events	4 site events for parents	0

Goal Analysis [2025-26]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

All Action Steps were carried out in Goal 4.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

There were no substantive differences between projected and actual expenditures in goal 4.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

All action steps were deemed to be effective in making positive impact at the school.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

No changes will be made to the goal or metrics at this time.

A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.

Actions

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
4.1	Access to tools to increase parent engagement	Provide access to tools that increase parent engagement, student learning, and student efficiency. (Software, Web-based products, Devices, Digital Textbooks).	$4,037.71	No
4.2	Implementing effective school and district-level committees	The District will provide tools, resources, and training needed to establish and implement effective school and District-level committees, including School Site Councils (SSC), Site Leadership Teams (SLT), English Learner Advisory Committees (DELAC), and the District Advisory Council for Compensatory Education (DAC) in order to attract parents especially for unduplicated groups.	$500.00	No
4.3	Attendance Education	Provide pro-active attendance notification to all families notifying the importance of daily attendance in order to support student learning and engagement.	$4,037.71	Yes

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
4.4	Implementing Meaningful Parent Engagement Nights	All parents/guardians/community members including parents of students with disabilities, as well as parents of unduplicated student groups, will be provided meaningful engagement opportunities with engaging activity nights that support student learning and family engagement.	$2,500.00	No

Goals and Actions

Goal

Goal #	Description	Type of Goal
5	By June 2027, Chronic Absenteeism rates of students will decrease due to specific and focused actions around Social Emotional Learning at Igo-Ono School. In addition, student achievement will increase due to additional instructional and academic support for highly mobile students.	Equity Multiplier Focus Goal

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Priority 2: State Standards (Conditions of Learning)
Priority 3: Parental Involvement (Engagement)
Priority 4: Pupil Achievement (Pupil Outcomes)
Priority 5: Pupil Engagement (Engagement)
Priority 6: School Climate (Engagement)

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

A positive, safe and supportive school climate fosters safety; promotes a supportive academic, disciplinary, and physical environment; and encourages and maintains respectful, trusting, and caring relationships throughout the school community. Positive school climates improve student achievement. We believe that providing a safe and supportive school climate will decrease chronic absenteeism and increase student achievement.

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
5.1	Average Daily Attendance (ADA) Igo-Ono School	94.20% for 2023	95.84%		96% ADA	+1.64%
5.2	Chronic Absenteeism Rate (CAR) Igo-Ono School	11.4% from 2023	7.9% (Declined 2.6%)	0%	10% CAR	-3.5 points
5.3	CAASPP English Language Arts Igo-Ono School	29.3 points below standard	26 points below standard (increased 3.3 points)	24.3 points below	30 points above standard	+3.3 points

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
5.4	CAASPP Math Igo-Ono School	77.8 points below standard	69.3 points below standard (increased 8.5 points)	37.3 points below	8 points below standard	+8.5 points

Goal Analysis [2025-26]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

Action 5.1 was fully implemented. Action 5.2 was met through other funding resources. Action 5.3 was met and the Literacy Coordinator's pay was split between LCAP and Community School Funds.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

There was a substantive material difference in Action 5.2 and 5.3. Action 5.2 was met through other funding resources. Action 5.3 was met through other funding resources.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

Action 5.1 was effective and will a counselor will continue to serve at the school.
Action 5.2 was effective but was met through other funding resources.
Action 5.3 was effective but was met through other funding resources.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

Additional services will be provided in the upcoming year to address tutoring and literacy coordinator support (actions 5.2 and 5.3).

A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.

Actions

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
5.1	School Counselor	Provide a counselor principally directed toward Low Income, English Learners, Foster Youth, students with exceptional needs, highly mobile students and their families to support Social and Emotional needs. To be shared with partner district.	$19,464.00	No
5.2	Academic Support	Extra teacher time and/or extra staff to provide tutoring to support the academic outcomes of of low income and highly mobile students.		No
5.3	Literacy Coordinator	Provide a literacy coordinator to plan and implement a variety of school and community literacy events and programs, and to help increase literacy awareness and supports, especially for low-income and highly mobile students.		No

Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students [2026-27]

Total Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants	Projected Additional 15 percent LCFF Concentration Grant
$132,844	$15,563

Required Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the LCAP Year

Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year	LCFF Carryover — Percentage	LCFF Carryover — Dollar	Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year
16.546%	9.994%	$67,286.01	26.540%

The Budgeted Expenditures for Actions identified as Contributing may be found in the Contributing Actions Table.

Required Descriptions

LEA-wide and Schoolwide Actions

For each action being provided to an entire LEA or school, provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s) and why it is being provided on an LEA or schoolwide basis, and (3) the metric(s) used to measure the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s).

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
1.1	**Action:** Develop and implement two Staff Development Days for Teachers **Need:** Professional development to support the achievement, engagement, and climate for students, principally directed to Low Income, Foster Youth, and English Learners.	This support increases access and achievement for students, principally Low Income, English Learners, and Foster Youth.	Two calendared and completed professional development days.

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
	Scope: LEA-wide		
1.2	**Action:** Staff Development **Need:** Year 1: CAASPP ELA scores increased 11.6 points, but remain 29.3 points below standard. For CAASPP Math, scores declined 16.4 points and are 77.8 points below standard. Year 2: CAASPP ELA scores increased 3.3 points, remaining 26 points below standard. For CAASPP Math, scores increased 8.5 points, remaining 69.3 points below standard. Year 3: Year 3: CAASPP ELA scores decreased 11.1 points. For math, scores increased 8.2 points. **Scope:** LEA-wide	Staff will operate within a Professional Learning Community collaborating around student learning, outcome data, and supports and strategies needed to increase achievement outcomes for all.	CAASPP ELA and Math scores
1.3	**Action:** Increased Intervention **Need:** Year 1: CAASPP ELA scores increased 11.6 points, but remain 29.3 points below standard. For CAASPP Math, scores declined 16.4 points and are 77.8 points below standard.	By providing additional support to targeted student groups, achievement outcomes will increase.	ELA and Math CAASPP scores.

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
	Year 2: CAASPP ELA scores increased 3.3 points, remaining 26 points below standard. For CAASPP Math, scores increased 8.5 points, remaining 69.3 points below standard. Year 3: CAASPP ELA scores decreased 11.1 points. For math, scores increased 8.2 points. **Scope:** LEA-wide		
1.4	**Action:** Partnership ESL Coordinator and Support Team **Need:** Although there are not enough students to make an EL group on the CA Dashboard, Shasta will continue to partner to receive EL support through having the Redding School District's EL Coordinator and support team for English Learners and ELPAC administration. **Scope:** LEA-wide	To support staff in implementing ELL/SDAIE strategies.	CAASPP and ELPAC English Learner Progress
1.5	**Action:** Learning Software **Need:** Year 1: CAASPP ELA scores increased 11.6 points, but remain 29.3 points below standard. For CAASPP Math, scores declined 16.4 points and are 77.8 points below standard.	Targeted individual instruction for each student based on individual assessment needs. Helps to close student learning gaps.	ELA and Math CAASPP Math Scores

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
	Year 2: CAASPP ELA scores increased 3.3 points, remaining 26 points below standard. For CAASPP Math, scores increased 8.5 points, remaining 69.3 points below standard. Year 3: CAASPP ELA scores decreased 11.1 points. For math, scores increased 8.2 points. **Scope:** LEA-wide		
1.7	**Action:** Increased Intervention **Need:** Year 1: CAASPP ELA scores increased 11.6 points, but remain 29.3 points below standard. For CAASPP Math, scores declined 16.4 points and are 77.8 points below standard. Year 2: CAASPP ELA scores increased 3.3 points, remaining 26 points below standard. For CAASPP Math, scores increased 8.5 points, remaining 69.3 points below standard. Year 3: CAASPP ELA scores decreased 11.1 points. For math, scores increased 8.2 points. **Scope:** LEA-wide	By providing additional support to targeted student groups, achievement outcomes will increase.	ELA and Math CAASPP scores.

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
2.2	**Action:** Site Administrator Support of Portrait Competencies **Need:** Year 1: In the area of Conditions and Climate (Suspensions), Igo-Ono maintained and did not suspend any students and is Blue on the California Dashboard. In the area of academic engagement (Chronic Absenteeism), absenteeism rates declined 7% while 10.5% of the population was chronically absent and was Yellow on the California Dashboard. Year 2: Maintained and did not suspend any students are is Blue on the CA Dashboard. In the area of Chronic Absenteeism, rates declined 2.6%, resulting in a 7.9% Chronic Absenteeism rate and is green on the CA Dashboard. Year 3: Maintained and did not suspend any students. The school is blue on the CA Dashboard. In the area of Chronic Absenteeism, rates declined 8.3% resulting in zero students being considered chronically absent. The school is blue on the CA Dashboard. **Scope:** LEA-wide	There will be a focus on the "soft skills" students need to navigate relationships, course work, and the school environment.	California Healthy Kids Survey connectedness results and Chronic Absenteeism results from the California Dashboard have been used, as well as surveys given by the district.
2.3	**Action:** Science Camp	Science camps enhance CAASPP science proficiency by offering hands-on learning experiences that reinforce classroom concepts,	CAASPP Science Scores

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
	Need: Science results will be on the California Dashboard for 5th and 8th grade students. **Scope:** LEA-wide	spark curiosity, and foster collaboration among students, ultimately improving scientific understanding and readiness for standardized testing.	
3.3	**Action:** Counseling Services **Need:** In the area of Conditions and Climate (Suspensions), Igo-Ono maintained and did not suspend any students and is Blue on the California Dashboard. In the area of academic engagement (Chronic Absenteeism), absenteeism rates declined 7% while 10.5% of the population was chronically absent and was Yellow on the California Dashboard. For 2025-2026 (2024-2025 Dashboard results), Igo-Ono maintained and did not suspend any students and is Blue on the CA Dashboard. In the area of Chronic Absenteeism, rates declined 8.3% so zero students were considered chronically absent; the school is Blue on the CA Dashboard. **Scope:** LEA-wide	Counselor will provide school wide, small group, and individual student support in order to increase access to learning.	Suspension and Chronic Absenteeism Rate
3.4	**Action:** Capturing Kids Hearts	Having a strong school culture that emphasizes the importance of relationships will help students feel connected to school.	Suspension and Chronic Absenteeism Rates

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
	Need: In the area of Conditions and Climate (Suspensions), Igo-Ono maintained and did not suspend any students and is Blue on the California Dashboard. In the area of academic engagement (Chronic Absenteeism), absenteeism rates declined 7% while 10.5% of the population was chronically absent and was Yellow on the California Dashboard. For 2025-2026 (2024-2025 Dashboard results), Igo-Ono maintained and did not suspend any students and is Blue on the CA Dashboard. In the area of Chronic Absenteeism, rates declined 8.3% so zero students were considered chronically absent; the school is Blue on the CA Dashboard. **Scope:** LEA-wide		
4.3	**Action:** Attendance Education **Need:** School district students chronic absenteeism rate was 11.4%. For 2025-2026 (2024-2025 Dashboard results), Igo-Ono maintained and did not suspend any students and is Blue on the CA Dashboard. In the area of Chronic Absenteeism, rates declined 8.3% so zero students were considered chronically absent; the school is Blue on the CA Dashboard.	Attendance education reduces chronic absenteeism by raising awareness about its importance, providing strategies to address barriers, and fostering a positive school environment that values regular attendance.	Chronic absenteeism rate

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
	Scope: LEA-wide		

Limited Actions

For each action being solely provided to one or more unduplicated student group(s), provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s), and (3) how the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s) will be measured.

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) are Designed to Address Need(s)	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness

For any limited action contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement that is associated with a Planned Percentage of Improved Services in the Contributing Summary Table rather than an expenditure of LCFF funds, describe the methodology that was used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage, as applicable.

N/A

Additional Concentration Grant Funding

A description of the plan for how the additional concentration grant add-on funding identified above will be used to increase the number of staff providing direct services to students at schools that have a high concentration (above 55 percent) of foster youth, English learners, and low-income students, as applicable.

This additional funding was used to add extra duty opportunities (tutoring, homework help, etc.) for existing staff (credentialed and classified) members to support the needs of students.

Staff-to-student ratios by type of school and concentration of unduplicated students	Schools with a student concentration of 55 percent or less	Schools with a student concentration of greater than 55 percent
Staff-to-student ratio of classified staff providing direct services to students		1 to 5.7

Staff-to-student ratios by type of school and concentration of unduplicated students	Schools with a student concentration of 55 percent or less	Schools with a student concentration of greater than 55 percent
Staff-to-student ratio of certificated staff providing direct services to students		1 to 14.5

2026-27 Total Planned Expenditures Table

LCAP Year	1. Projected LCFF Base Grant (Input Dollar Amount)	2. Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (Input Dollar Amount)	3. Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (2 divided by 1)	LCFF Carryover — Percentage (Input Percentage from Prior Year)	Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (3 + Carryover %)
Totals	$802,878	132,844	16.546%	9.994%	26.540%

Totals	LCFF Funds	Other State Funds	Local Funds	Federal Funds	Total Funds	Total Personnel	Total Non-personnel
Totals	$177,805.62	$41,793.00	$0.00	$11,382.42	$230,981.04	$173,663.12	$57,317.92

Goal #	Action #	Action Title	Student Group(s)	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Scope	Unduplicated Student Group(s)	Location	Time Span	Total Personnel	Total Non-personnel	LCFF Funds	Other State Funds	Local Funds	Federal Funds	Total Funds	Planned Percentage of Improved Services
1	1.1	Develop and implement two Staff Development Days for Teachers	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	1 year	$1,243.00	$0.00	$1,243.00				$1,243.00	
1	1.2	Staff Development	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	1 year	$879.12	$1,641.50	$2,520.62				$2,520.62	
1	1.3	Increased Intervention	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	1 year	$15,241.00	$2,500.00	$2,500.00	$15,241.00			$17,741.00	
1	1.4	Partnership ESL Coordinator and Support Team	English Learners	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners	All Schools	1 year	$0.00	$750.00	$750.00				$750.00	
1	1.5	Learning Software	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	1 year	$0.00	$10,570.00	$4,763.00			$5,807.00	$10,570.00	
1	1.6	Math Adoption Cycle	All	No			All Schools	1 year	$0.00	$597.00		$597.00			$597.00	
1	1.7	Increased Intervention	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	1 year	$121,312.00	$0.00	$121,312.00				$121,312.00	
2	2.1	Maintaining a Broad Course of Study	All	No			All Schools	1 year	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00				$0.00	
2	2.2	Site Administrator Support of Portrait Competencies	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	1 year	$0.00	$21,834.00	$21,834.00				$21,834.00	

Goal #	Action #	Action Title	Student Group(s)	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Scope	Unduplicated Student Group(s)	Location	Time Span	Total Personnel	Total Non-personnel	LCFF Funds	Other State Funds	Local Funds	Federal Funds	Total Funds	Planned Percentage of Improved Services
2	**2.3**	Science Camp	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	1 year	$0.00	$2,000.00	$2,000.00				$2,000.00	
3	**3.1**	Monthly site inspections	All	No			All Schools	1 year	$500.00	$0.00		$500.00			$500.00	
3	**3.2**	Maintain annual maintenance account	All	No			All Schools	1 year	$0.00	$3,000.00		$3,000.00			$3,000.00	
3	**3.3**	Counseling Services	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	1 year	$15,024.00	$0.00	$12,033.00	$2,991.00			$15,024.00	
3	**3.4**	Capturing Kids Hearts	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	1 year	$0.00	$3,350.00	$3,350.00				$3,350.00	
4	**4.1**	Access to tools to increase parent engagement	All	No			All Schools	1 year	$0.00	$4,037.71	$1,250.00			$2,787.71	$4,037.71	
4	**4.2**	Implementing effective school and district-level committees	All	No			All Schools	1 year	$0.00	$500.00	$500.00				$500.00	
4	**4.3**	Attendance Education	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	1 year	$0.00	$4,037.71	$1,250.00			$2,787.71	$4,037.71	
4	**4.4**	Implementing Meaningful Parent Engagement Nights	All	No			All Schools	1 year	$0.00	$2,500.00	$2,500.00				$2,500.00	
5	**5.1**	School Counselor	All Low income and highly mobile students	No			All Schools	1 year	$19,464.00	$0.00		$19,464.00			$19,464.00	
5	**5.2**	Academic Support	All Low income and highly mobile students	No			All Schools	Inactive								
5	**5.3**	Literacy Coordinator	All	No			All Schools	Inactive								

2026-27 Contributing Actions Table

1. Projected LCFF Base Grant	2. Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants	3. Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (2 divided by 1)	LCFF Carryover — Percentage (Percentage from Prior Year)	Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (3 + Carryover %)	4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)	5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)	Planned Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (4 divided by 1, plus 5)	Totals by Type	Total LCFF Funds
$802,878	132,844	16.546%	9.994%	26.540%	$173,555.62	0.000%	21.617 %	**Total:**	$173,555.62
								LEA-wide Total:	$173,555.62
								Limited Total:	$0.00
								Schoolwide Total:	$0.00

Goal	Action #	Action Title	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Scope	Unduplicated Student Group(s)	Location	Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)
1	**1.1**	Develop and implement two Staff Development Days for Teachers	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	$1,243.00	
1	**1.2**	Staff Development	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	$2,520.62	
1	**1.3**	Increased Intervention	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	$2,500.00	
1	**1.4**	Partnership ESL Coordinator and Support Team	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners	All Schools	$750.00	
1	**1.5**	Learning Software	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	$4,763.00	
1	**1.7**	Increased Intervention	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	$121,312.00	

Goal	Action #	Action Title	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Scope	Unduplicated Student Group(s)	Location	Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)
2	2.2	Site Administrator Support of Portrait Competencies	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	$21,834.00	
2	2.3	Science Camp	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	$2,000.00	
3	3.3	Counseling Services	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	$12,033.00	
3	3.4	Capturing Kids Hearts	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	$3,350.00	
4	4.3	Attendance Education	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	$1,250.00	

2025-26 Annual Update Table

Totals	Last Year's Total Planned Expenditures (Total Funds)	Total Estimated Expenditures (Total Funds)
Totals	$216,101.39	$149,031.04

Last Year's Goal #	Last Year's Action #	Prior Action/Service Title	Contributed to Increased or Improved Services?	Last Year's Planned Expenditures (Total Funds)	Estimated Actual Expenditures (Input Total Funds)
1	**1.1**	Develop and implement two Staff Development Days for Teachers	Yes	$1,190.09	$1,190.09
1	**1.2**	Staff Development	Yes	$3,904.75	$4,263.08
1	**1.3**	Increased Intervention	Yes	$30,230.00	$3,828.66
1	**1.4**	Partnership ESL Coordinator and Support Team	Yes	$750.00	$750.00
1	**1.5**	Learning Software	Yes	$10,275.43	$246.00
1	**1.6**	Math Adoption Cycle	No	$500.00	$0.00
1	**1.7**	Increased Intervention	Yes	$87,270.67	$60,643.62
2	**2.1**	Maintaining a Broad Course of Study	No	$0.00	$0.00
2	**2.2**	Site Administrator Support of Portrait Competencies	Yes	$9,675.00	$10,237.12
2	**2.3**	Science Camp	Yes	$2,000.00	$0.00

Last Year's Goal #	Last Year's Action #	Prior Action/Service Title	Contributed to Increased or Improved Services?	Last Year's Planned Expenditures (Total Funds)	Estimated Actual Expenditures (Input Total Funds)
3	3.1	Monthly site inspections	No	$500.00	$500.00
3	3.2	Maintain annual maintenance account	No	$1,500.00	$3,000.00
3	3.3	Counseling Services	Yes	$12,746.03	$13,958.85
3	3.4	Capturing Kids Hearts	Yes	$2,525.00	$5,640.28
4	4.1	Access to tools to increase parent engagement	No	$674.00	$0.00
4	4.2	Implementing effective school and district-level committees	No	$500.00	$0.00
4	4.3	Attendance Education	Yes	$500.00	$660.45
4	4.4	Implementing Meaningful Parent Engagement Nights	No	$500.00	$909.01
5	5.1	School Counselor	No	$21,243.42	$15,714.75
5	5.2	Academic Support	No	$18,037.00	$27,489.13
5	5.3	Literacy Coordinator	No	$11,580.00	$0.00

2025-26 Contributing Actions Annual Update Table

6. Estimated LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (Input Dollar Amount)	4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)	7. Total Estimated Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	Difference Between Planned and Estimated Expenditures for Contributing Actions (Subtract 7 from 4)	5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)	8. Total Estimated Percentage of Improved Services (%)	Difference Between Planned and Estimated Percentage of Improved Services (Subtract 5 from 8)
$132,712	$148,836.97	$101,418.15	$47,418.82	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%

Last Year's Goal #	Last Year's Action #	Prior Action/Service Title	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Last Year's Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (Input LCFF Funds)	Planned Percentage of Improved Services	Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (Input Percentage)
1	1.1	Develop and implement two Staff Development Days for Teachers	Yes	$1,190.09	$1,190.09		
1	1.2	Staff Development	Yes	$3,904.75	$4,263.08		
1	1.3	Increased Intervention	Yes	$18,000.00	$3,828.66		
1	1.4	Partnership ESL Coordinator and Support Team	Yes	$750.00	$750		
1	1.5	Learning Software	Yes	$10,275.43	$246		
1	1.7	Increased Intervention	Yes	$87,270.67	$60,643.62		
2	2.2	Site Administrator Support of Portrait Competencies	Yes	$9,675.00	$10,237.12		
2	2.3	Science Camp	Yes	$2,000.00	$0.00		
3	3.3	Counseling Services	Yes	$12,746.03	$13,958.85		
3	3.4	Capturing Kids Hearts	Yes	$2,525.00	$5,640.28		
4	4.3	Attendance Education	Yes	$500.00	$660.45		

2025-26 LCFF Carryover Table

9. Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (Input Dollar Amount)	6. Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants	LCFF Carryover — Percentage (Percentage from Prior Year)	10. Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Current School Year (6 divided by 9 + Carryover %)	7. Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	8. Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (%)	11. Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (7 divided by 9, plus 8)	12. LCFF Carryover — Dollar Amount (Subtract 11 from 10 and multiply by 9)	13. LCFF Carryover — Percentage (12 divided by 9)
$673,254	$132,712	5.346%	25.058%	$101,418.15	0.000%	15.064%	$67,286.01	9.994%

Local Control and Accountability Plan Instructions

Plan Summary

Engaging Educational Partners

Goals and Actions

Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students

For additional questions or technical assistance related to the completion of the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) template, please contact the local county office of education (COE), or the California Department of Education's (CDE's) Local Agency Systems Support Office, by phone at 916-319-0809 or by email at LCFF@cde.ca.gov.

Introduction and Instructions

The Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) requires local educational agencies (LEAs) to engage their local educational partners in an annual planning process to evaluate their progress within eight state priority areas encompassing all statutory metrics (COEs have 10 state priorities). LEAs document the results of this planning process in the LCAP using the template adopted by the State Board of Education.

The LCAP development process serves three distinct, but related functions:

- **Comprehensive Strategic Planning:** The process of developing and annually updating the LCAP supports comprehensive strategic planning, particularly to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the California School Dashboard (California Education Code [EC] Section 52064[e][1]). Strategic planning that is comprehensive connects budgetary decisions to teaching and learning performance data. LEAs should continually evaluate the hard choices they make about the use of limited resources to meet student and community needs to ensure opportunities and outcomes are improved for all students.

- **Meaningful Engagement of Educational Partners:** The LCAP development process should result in an LCAP that reflects decisions made through meaningful engagement (EC Section 52064[e][1]). Local educational partners possess valuable perspectives and insights about an LEA's programs and services. Effective strategic planning will incorporate these perspectives and insights in order to identify potential goals and actions to be included in the LCAP.

- **Accountability and Compliance:** The LCAP serves an important accountability function because the nature of some LCAP template sections require LEAs to show that they have complied with various requirements specified in the LCFF statutes and regulations, most notably:

    - Demonstrating that LEAs are increasing or improving services for foster youth, English learners, including long-term English learners, and low-income students in proportion to the amount of additional funding those students generate under LCFF (EC Section 52064[b][4-6]).

    - Establishing goals, supported by actions and related expenditures, that address the statutory priority areas and statutory metrics (EC sections 52064[b][1] and [2]).

        - **NOTE:** As specified in EC Section 62064(b)(1), the LCAP must provide a description of the annual goals, for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils identified pursuant to EC Section 52052, to be achieved for each of the state priorities. Beginning in 2023–24, EC

Section 52052 identifies long-term English learners as a separate and distinct pupil subgroup with a numerical significance at 15 students.

- Annually reviewing and updating the LCAP to reflect progress toward the goals (EC Section 52064[b][7]).
- Ensuring that all increases attributable to supplemental and concentration grant calculations, including concentration grant add-on funding and/or LCFF carryover, are reflected in the LCAP (EC sections 52064[b][6], [8], and [11]).

The LCAP template, like each LEA's final adopted LCAP, is a document, not a process. LEAs must use the template to memorialize the outcome of their LCAP development process, which must: (a) reflect comprehensive strategic planning, particularly to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the California School Dashboard (Dashboard), (b) through meaningful engagement with educational partners that (c) meets legal requirements, as reflected in the final adopted LCAP. The sections included within the LCAP template do not and cannot reflect the full development process, just as the LCAP template itself is not intended as a tool for engaging educational partners.

If a county superintendent of schools has jurisdiction over a single school district, the county board of education and the governing board of the school district may adopt and file for review and approval a single LCAP consistent with the requirements in EC sections 52060, 52062, 52066, 52068, and 52070. The LCAP must clearly articulate to which entity's budget (school district or county superintendent of schools) all budgeted and actual expenditures are aligned.

The revised LCAP template for the 2024–25, 2025–26, and 2026–27 school years reflects statutory changes made through Senate Bill 114 (Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review), Chapter 48, Statutes of 2023 and Senate Bill 153, Chapter 38, Statues of 2024.

At its most basic, the adopted LCAP should attempt to distill not just what the LEA is doing for students in transitional kindergarten through grade twelve (TK–12), but also allow educational partners to understand why, and whether those strategies are leading to improved opportunities and outcomes for students. LEAs are strongly encouraged to use language and a level of detail in their adopted LCAPs intended to be meaningful and accessible for the LEA's diverse educational partners and the broader public.

In developing and finalizing the LCAP for adoption, LEAs are encouraged to keep the following overarching frame at the forefront of the strategic planning and educational partner engagement functions:

> Given present performance across the state priorities and on indicators in the Dashboard, how is the LEA using its budgetary resources to respond to TK–12 student and community needs, and address any performance gaps, including by meeting its obligation to increase or improve services for foster youth, English learners, and low-income students?

LEAs are encouraged to focus on a set of metrics and actions which, based on research, experience, and input gathered from educational partners, the LEA believes will have the biggest impact on behalf of its TK–12 students.

These instructions address the requirements for each section of the LCAP but may include information about effective practices when developing the LCAP and completing the LCAP document. Additionally, the beginning of each template section includes information emphasizing the purpose that section serves.

Plan Summary

Purpose

A well-developed Plan Summary section provides a meaningful context for the LCAP. This section provides information about an LEA's community as well as relevant information about student needs and performance. In order to present a meaningful context for the rest of the LCAP, the content of this section should be clearly and meaningfully related to the content included throughout each subsequent section of the LCAP.

Requirements and Instructions

General Information

A description of the LEA, its schools, and its students in grades transitional kindergarten–12, as applicable to the LEA. LEAs may also provide information about their strategic plan, vision, etc.

Briefly describe the LEA, its schools, and its students in grades TK–12, as applicable to the LEA.

- For example, information about an LEA in terms of geography, enrollment, employment, the number and size of specific schools, recent community challenges, and other such information the LEA may wish to include can enable a reader to more fully understand the LEA's LCAP.
- LEAs may also provide information about their strategic plan, vision, etc.
- As part of this response, identify all schools within the LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funding.

Reflections: Annual Performance

A reflection on annual performance based on a review of the California School Dashboard (Dashboard) and local data.

Reflect on the LEA's annual performance on the Dashboard and local data. This may include both successes and challenges identified by the LEA during the development process.

LEAs are encouraged to highlight how they are addressing the identified needs of student groups, and/or schools within the LCAP as part of this response.

As part of this response, the LEA must identify the following, which will remain unchanged during the three-year LCAP cycle:

- Any school within the LEA that received the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the 2023 Dashboard;
- Any student group within the LEA that received the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the 2023 Dashboard; and/or
- Any student group within a school within the LEA that received the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the 2023 Dashboard.

EC Section 52064.4 requires that an LEA that has unexpended Learning Recovery Emergency Block Grant (LREBG) funds must include one or more actions funded with LREBG funds within the 2026-27, 2026-27 and 2027-28 LCAPs, as applicable to the LEA. To implement the requirements of *EC* Section 52064.4, all LEAs must do the following:

- For the 2025–26, 2026–27, and 2027–28 LCAP years, identify whether or not the LEA has unexpended LREBG funds for the applicable LCAP year.
    - If the LEA has unexpended LREBG funds the LEA must provide the following:
        - The goal and action number for each action that will be funded, either in whole or in part, with LREBG funds; and
        - An explanation of the rationale for selecting each action funded with LREBG funds. This explanation must include:
            - An explanation of how the action is aligned with the allowable uses of funds identified in *EC* Section 32526(c)(2); and
            - An explanation of how the action is expected to address the area(s) of need of students and schools identified in the needs assessment required by *EC* Section 32526(d).
                - For information related to the allowable uses of funds and the required needs assessment, please see the Program Information tab on the LREBG Program Information web page.
            - Actions may be grouped together for purposes of these explanations.
            - The LEA may provide these explanations as part of the action description rather than as part of the Reflections: Annual Performance.
    - If the LEA does not have unexpended LREBG funds, the LEA is not required to conduct the needs assessment required by *EC* Section 32526(d), to provide the information identified above or to include actions funded with LREBG funds within the 2026-27, 2026-27 and 2027-28 LCAPs.

Reflections: Technical Assistance

As applicable, a summary of the work underway as part of technical assistance.

Annually identify the reason(s) the LEA is eligible for or has requested technical assistance consistent with EC sections 47607.3, 52071, 52071.5, 52072, or 52072.5, and provide a summary of the work underway as part of receiving technical assistance. The most common form of this technical assistance is frequently referred to as Differentiated Assistance, however this also includes LEAs that have requested technical assistance from their COE.

- If the LEA is not eligible for or receiving technical assistance, the LEA may respond to this prompt as “Not Applicable.”

Comprehensive Support and Improvement
An LEA with a school or schools identified for comprehensive support and improvement (CSI) under the Every Student Succeeds Act must respond to the following prompts:

Schools Identified

A list of the schools in the LEA that are eligible for comprehensive support and improvement.

- Identify the schools within the LEA that have been identified for CSI.

Support for Identified Schools

A description of how the LEA has or will support its eligible schools in developing comprehensive support and improvement plans.

- Describe how the LEA has or will support the identified schools in developing CSI plans that included a school-level needs assessment, evidence-based interventions, and the identification of any resource inequities to be addressed through the implementation of the CSI plan.

Monitoring and Evaluating Effectiveness

A description of how the LEA will monitor and evaluate the plan to support student and school improvement.

- Describe how the LEA will monitor and evaluate the implementation and effectiveness of the CSI plan to support student and school improvement.

Engaging Educational Partners

Purpose

Significant and purposeful engagement of parents, students, educators, and other educational partners, including those representing the student groups identified by LCFF, is critical to the development of the LCAP and the budget process. Consistent with statute, such engagement should support comprehensive strategic planning, particularly to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the Dashboard, accountability, and improvement across the state priorities and locally identified priorities (EC Section 52064[e][1]). Engagement of educational partners is an ongoing, annual process.

This section is designed to reflect how the engagement of educational partners influenced the decisions reflected in the adopted LCAP. The goal is to allow educational partners that participated in the LCAP development process and the broader public to understand how the LEA engaged educational partners and the impact of that engagement. LEAs are encouraged to keep this goal in the forefront when completing this section.

Requirements

Requirements

School districts and COEs: *EC* Section 52060(g) and *EC* Section 52066(g) specify the educational partners that must be consulted when developing the LCAP:

- Teachers,
- Principals,
- Administrators,
- Other school personnel,
- Local bargaining units of the LEA,
- Parents, and
- Students

A school district or COE receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

Before adopting the LCAP, school districts and COEs must share it with the applicable committees, as identified below under Requirements and Instructions. The superintendent is required by statute to respond in writing to the comments received from these committees. School districts and COEs must also consult with the special education local plan area administrator(s) when developing the LCAP.

Charter schools: *EC* Section 47606.5(d) requires that the following educational partners be consulted with when developing the LCAP:

- Teachers,
- Principals,
- Administrators,
- Other school personnel,
- Parents, and
- Students

A charter school receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at the school generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for the school.

The LCAP should also be shared with, and LEAs should request input from, schoolsite-level advisory groups, as applicable (e.g., schoolsite councils, English Learner Advisory Councils, student advisory groups, etc.), to facilitate alignment between schoolsite and district-level goals. Information and resources that support effective engagement, define student consultation, and provide the requirements for advisory group composition, can be found under Resources on the CDE's LCAP webpage.

Before the governing board/body of an LEA considers the adoption of the LCAP, the LEA must meet the following legal requirements:

- For school districts, see *Education Code* Section 52062;
    - **Note:** Charter schools using the LCAP as the School Plan for Student Achievement must meet the requirements of *EC* Section 52062(a).
- For COEs, see *Education Code* Section 52068; and

- For charter schools, see *Education Code* Section 47606.5.
- **NOTE:** As a reminder, the superintendent of a school district or COE must respond, in writing, to comments received by the applicable committees identified in the *Education Code* sections listed above. This includes the parent advisory committee and may include the English learner parent advisory committee and, as of July 1, 2024, the student advisory committee, as applicable.

Instructions

Respond to the prompts as follows:

A summary of the process used to engage educational partners in the development of the LCAP.

School districts and county offices of education must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, local bargaining units, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

Charter schools must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

Complete the table as follows:

Educational Partners

Identify the applicable educational partner(s) or group(s) that were engaged in the development of the LCAP.

Process for Engagement

Describe the engagement process used by the LEA to involve the identified educational partner(s) in the development of the LCAP. At a minimum, the LEA must describe how it met its obligation to consult with all statutorily required educational partners, as applicable to the type of LEA.

- A sufficient response to this prompt must include general information about the timeline of the process and meetings or other engagement strategies with educational partners. A response may also include information about an LEA's philosophical approach to engaging its educational partners.
- An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also include a summary of how it consulted with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

A description of how the adopted LCAP was influenced by the feedback provided by educational partners.

Describe any goals, metrics, actions, or budgeted expenditures in the LCAP that were influenced by or developed in response to the educational partner feedback.

- A sufficient response to this prompt will provide educational partners and the public with clear, specific information about how the engagement process influenced the development of the LCAP. This may include a description of how the LEA prioritized requests of educational partners within the context of the budgetary resources available or otherwise prioritized areas of focus within the LCAP.

- An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must include a description of how the consultation with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds influenced the development of the adopted LCAP.

- For the purposes of this prompt, this may also include, but is not necessarily limited to:
    - Inclusion of a goal or decision to pursue a Focus Goal (as described below)
    - Inclusion of metrics other than the statutorily required metrics
    - Determination of the target outcome on one or more metrics
    - Inclusion of performance by one or more student groups in the Measuring and Reporting Results subsection
    - Inclusion of action(s) or a group of actions
    - Elimination of action(s) or group of actions
    - Changes to the level of proposed expenditures for one or more actions
    - Inclusion of action(s) as contributing to increased or improved services for unduplicated students
    - Analysis of effectiveness of the specific actions to achieve the goal
    - Analysis of material differences in expenditures
    - Analysis of changes made to a goal for the ensuing LCAP year based on the annual update process
    - Analysis of challenges or successes in the implementation of actions

Goals and Actions

Purpose

Well-developed goals will clearly communicate to educational partners what the LEA plans to accomplish, what the LEA plans to do in order to accomplish the goal, and how the LEA will know when it has accomplished the goal. A goal statement, associated metrics and expected outcomes, and the actions included in the goal must be in alignment. The explanation for why the LEA included a goal is an opportunity for LEAs to clearly communicate to educational partners and the public why, among the various strengths and areas for improvement highlighted by performance data and strategies and actions that could be pursued, the LEA decided to pursue this goal, and the related metrics, expected outcomes, actions, and expenditures.

A well-developed goal can be focused on the performance relative to a metric or metrics for all students, a specific student group(s), narrowing performance gaps, or implementing programs or strategies expected to impact outcomes. LEAs should assess the performance of their student groups when developing goals and the related actions to achieve such goals.

Requirements and Instructions

LEAs should prioritize the goals, specific actions, and related expenditures included within the LCAP within one or more state priorities. LEAs must consider performance on the state and local indicators, including their locally collected and reported data for the local indicators that are included in the Dashboard, in determining whether and how to prioritize its goals within the LCAP. As previously stated, strategic planning that is comprehensive connects budgetary decisions to teaching and learning performance data. LEAs should continually evaluate the hard choices they make about the use of limited resources to meet student and community needs to ensure opportunities and outcomes are improved for all students, and to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the Dashboard.

In order to support prioritization of goals, the LCAP template provides LEAs with the option of developing three different kinds of goals:

- Focus Goal: A Focus Goal is relatively more concentrated in scope and may focus on a fewer number of metrics to measure improvement. A Focus Goal statement will be time bound and make clear how the goal is to be measured.
    - All Equity Multiplier goals must be developed as focus goals. For additional information, see Required Focus Goal(s) for LEAs Receiving Equity Multiplier Funding below.
- Broad Goal: A Broad Goal is relatively less concentrated in its scope and may focus on improving performance across a wide range of metrics.
- Maintenance of Progress Goal: A Maintenance of Progress Goal includes actions that may be ongoing without significant changes and allows an LEA to track performance on any metrics not addressed in the other goals of the LCAP.

Requirement to Address the LCFF State Priorities

At a minimum, the LCAP must address all LCFF priorities and associated metrics articulated in *EC* sections 52060(d) and 52066(d), as applicable to the LEA. The *LCFF State Priorities Summary* provides a summary of *EC* sections 52060(d) and 52066(d) to aid in the development of the LCAP.

Respond to the following prompts, as applicable:

Focus Goal(s)

Description

The description provided for a Focus Goal must be specific, measurable, and time bound.

- An LEA develops a Focus Goal to address areas of need that may require or benefit from a more specific and data intensive approach.
- The Focus Goal can explicitly reference the metric(s) by which achievement of the goal will be measured and the time frame according to which the LEA expects to achieve the goal.

Type of Goal

Identify the type of goal being implemented as a Focus Goal.

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Explain why the LEA has chosen to prioritize this goal.

- An explanation must be based on Dashboard data or other locally collected data.
- LEAs must describe how the LEA identified this goal for focused attention, including relevant consultation with educational partners.
- LEAs are encouraged to promote transparency and understanding around the decision to pursue a focus goal.

Required Focus Goal(s) for LEAs Receiving Equity Multiplier Funding

Description

LEAs receiving Equity Multiplier funding must include one or more focus goals for each school generating Equity Multiplier funding. In addition to addressing the focus goal requirements described above, LEAs must adhere to the following requirements.

Focus goals for Equity Multiplier schoolsites must address the following:

(A) All student groups that have the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the Dashboard, and

(B) Any underlying issues in the credentialing, subject matter preparation, and retention of the school's educators, if applicable.

- Focus Goals for each and every Equity Multiplier schoolsite must identify specific metrics for each identified student group, as applicable.
- An LEA may create a single goal for multiple Equity Multiplier schoolsites if those schoolsites have the same student group(s) performing at the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the Dashboard or, experience similar issues in the credentialing, subject matter preparation, and retention of the school's educators.
    - When creating a single goal for multiple Equity Multiplier schoolsites, the goal must identify the student groups and the performance levels on the Dashboard that the Focus Goal is addressing; or,

- The common issues the schoolsites are experiencing in credentialing, subject matter preparation, and retention of the school's educators, if applicable.

Type of Goal

Identify the type of goal being implemented as an Equity Multiplier Focus Goal.

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Explain why the LEA has chosen to prioritize this goal.

- An explanation must be based on Dashboard data or other locally collected data.
- LEAs must describe how the LEA identified this goal for focused attention, including relevant consultation with educational partners.
- LEAs are encouraged to promote transparency and understanding around the decision to pursue a focus goal.
- In addition to this information, the LEA must also identify:
  - The school or schools to which the goal applies

LEAs are encouraged to approach an Equity Multiplier goal from a wholistic standpoint, considering how the goal might maximize student outcomes through the use of LCFF and other funding in addition to Equity Multiplier funds.

- Equity Multiplier funds must be used to supplement, not supplant, funding provided to Equity Multiplier schoolsites for purposes of the LCFF, the Expanded Learning Opportunities Program (ELO-P), the Literacy Coaches and Reading Specialists (LCRS) Grant Program, and/or the California Community Schools Partnership Program (CCSPP).
- This means that Equity Multiplier funds must not be used to replace funding that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to implement LEA-wide actions identified in the LCAP or that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to implement provisions of the ELO-P, the LCRS, and/or the CCSPP.

Note: *EC* Section 42238.024(b)(1) requires that Equity Multiplier funds be used for the provision of evidence-based services and supports for students. Evidence-based services and supports are based on objective evidence that has informed the design of the service or support and/or guides the modification of those services and supports. Evidence-based supports and strategies are most commonly based on educational research and/or metrics of LEA, school, and/or student performance.

Broad Goal

Description

Describe what the LEA plans to achieve through the actions included in the goal.

- The description of a broad goal will be clearly aligned with the expected measurable outcomes included for the goal.
- The goal description organizes the actions and expected outcomes in a cohesive and consistent manner.
- A goal description is specific enough to be measurable in either quantitative or qualitative terms. A broad goal is not as specific as a focus goal. While it is specific enough to be measurable, there are many different metrics for measuring progress toward the goal.

Type of Goal

Identify the type of goal being implemented as a Broad Goal.

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Explain why the LEA developed this goal and how the actions and metrics grouped together will help achieve the goal.

Maintenance of Progress Goal

Description

Describe how the LEA intends to maintain the progress made in the LCFF State Priorities not addressed by the other goals in the LCAP.

- Use this type of goal to address the state priorities and applicable metrics not addressed within the other goals in the LCAP.
- The state priorities and metrics to be addressed in this section are those for which the LEA, in consultation with educational partners, has determined to maintain actions and monitor progress while focusing implementation efforts on the actions covered by other goals in the LCAP.

Type of Goal

Identify the type of goal being implemented as a Maintenance of Progress Goal.

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Explain how the actions will sustain the progress exemplified by the related metrics.

Measuring and Reporting Results:

For each LCAP year, identify the metric(s) that the LEA will use to track progress toward the expected outcomes.

- LEAs must identify metrics for specific student groups, as appropriate, including expected outcomes that address and reduce disparities in outcomes between student groups.

- The metrics may be quantitative or qualitative; but at minimum, an LEA's LCAP must include goals that are measured using all of the applicable metrics for the related state priorities, in each LCAP year, as applicable to the type of LEA.

- To the extent a state priority does not specify one or more metrics (e.g., implementation of state academic content and performance standards), the LEA must identify a metric to use within the LCAP. For these state priorities, LEAs are encouraged to use metrics based on or reported through the relevant local indicator self-reflection tools within the Dashboard.

- **Required metrics for LEA-wide actions:** For each action identified as 1) contributing towards the requirement to increase or improve services for foster youth, English learners, including long-term English learners, and low-income students and 2) being provided on an LEA-wide basis, the LEA must identify one or more metrics to monitor the effectiveness of the action and its budgeted expenditures.

    - These required metrics may be identified within the action description or the first prompt in the increased or improved services section, however the description must clearly identify the metric(s) being used to monitor the effectiveness of the action and the action(s) that the metric(s) apply to.

- **Required metrics for Equity Multiplier goals**: For each Equity Multiplier goal, the LEA must identify:

    - The specific metrics for each identified student group at each specific schoolsite, as applicable, to measure the progress toward the goal, and/or

    - The specific metrics used to measure progress in meeting the goal related to credentialing, subject matter preparation, or educator retention at each specific schoolsite.

- **Required metrics for actions supported by LREBG funds**: To implement the requirements of *EC* Section 52064.4, LEAs with unexpended LREBG funds must include at least one metric to monitor the impact of each action funded with LREBG funds included in the goal.

- The metrics being used to monitor the impact of each action funded with LREBG funds are not required to be new metrics; they may be metrics that are already being used to measure progress towards goals and actions included in the LCAP.

Complete the table as follows:

Metric #

- Enter the metric number.

Metric

- Identify the standard of measure being used to determine progress towards the goal and/or to measure the effectiveness of one or more actions associated with the goal.

Baseline

- Enter the baseline when completing the LCAP for 2024–25.
  - Use the most recent data associated with the metric available at the time of adoption of the LCAP for the first year of the three-year plan. LEAs may use data as reported on the 2023 Dashboard for the baseline of a metric only if that data represents the most recent available data (e.g., high school graduation rate).
  - Using the most recent data available may involve reviewing data the LEA is preparing for submission to the California Longitudinal Pupil Achievement Data System (CALPADS) or data that the LEA has recently submitted to CALPADS.
  - Indicate the school year to which the baseline data applies.
  - The baseline data must remain unchanged throughout the three-year LCAP.
    - This requirement is not intended to prevent LEAs from revising the baseline data if it is necessary to do so. For example, if an LEA identifies that its data collection practices for a particular metric are leading to inaccurate data and revises its practice to obtain accurate data, it would also be appropriate for the LEA to revise the baseline data to align with the more accurate data process and report its results using the accurate data.
    - If an LEA chooses to revise its baseline data, then, at a minimum, it must clearly identify the change as part of its response to the description of changes prompt in the Goal Analysis for the goal. LEAs are also strongly encouraged to involve their educational partners in the decision of whether or not to revise a baseline and to communicate the proposed change to their educational partners.
  - Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one- or two-year LCAP may identify a new baseline each year, as applicable.

Year 1 Outcome

- When completing the LCAP for 2025–26, enter the most recent data available. Indicate the school year to which the data applies.
    - Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one-year LCAP may provide the Year 1 Outcome when completing the LCAP for both 2025–26 and 2026–27 or may provide the Year 1 Outcome for 2025–26 and provide the Year 2 Outcome for 2026–27.

Year 2 Outcome

- When completing the LCAP for 2026–27, enter the most recent data available. Indicate the school year to which the data applies.
    - Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one-year LCAP may identify the Year 2 Outcome as not applicable when completing the LCAP for 2026–27 or may provide the Year 2 Outcome for 2026–27.

Target for Year 3 Outcome

- When completing the first year of the LCAP, enter the target outcome for the relevant metric the LEA expects to achieve by the end of the three-year LCAP cycle.
    - Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one- or two-year LCAP may identify a Target for Year 1 or Target for Year 2, as applicable.

Current Difference from Baseline

- When completing the LCAP for 2025–26 and 2026–27, enter the current difference between the baseline and the yearly outcome, as applicable.
    - Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one- or two-year LCAP will identify the current difference between the baseline and the yearly outcome for Year 1 and/or the current difference between the baseline and the yearly outcome for Year 2, as applicable.

Timeline for school districts and COEs for completing the "**Measuring and Reporting Results**" part of the Goal.

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for **2024–25** or when adding a new metric.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for **2024–25** or when adding a new metric.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for **2025–26**. Leave blank until then.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for **2026–27**. Leave blank until then.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for **2024–25** or when adding a new metric.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for **2025–26** and **2026–27**. Leave blank until then.

Goal Analysis:

Enter the LCAP Year.

Using actual annual measurable outcome data, including data from the Dashboard, analyze whether the planned actions were effective towards achieving the goal. "Effective" means the degree to which the planned actions were successful in producing the target result. Respond to the prompts as instructed.

Note: When completing the 2024–25 LCAP, use the 2023–24 Local Control and Accountability Plan Annual Update template to complete the Goal Analysis and identify the Goal Analysis prompts in the 2024–25 LCAP as "Not Applicable."

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

- Describe the overall implementation of the actions to achieve the articulated goal, including relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.
    - Include a discussion of relevant challenges and successes experienced with the implementation process.
    - This discussion must include any instance where the LEA did not implement a planned action or implemented a planned action in a manner that differs substantively from how it was described in the adopted LCAP.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

- Explain material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and between the Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services, as applicable. Minor variances in expenditures or percentages do not need to be addressed, and a dollar-for-dollar accounting is not required.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

- Describe the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal. “Effectiveness” means the degree to which the actions were successful in producing the target result and “ineffectiveness” means that the actions did not produce any significant or targeted result.
    - In some cases, not all actions in a goal will be intended to improve performance on all of the metrics associated with the goal.
    - When responding to this prompt, LEAs may assess the effectiveness of a single action or group of actions within the goal in the context of performance on a single metric or group of specific metrics within the goal that are applicable to the action(s). Grouping actions with metrics will allow for more robust analysis of whether the strategy the LEA is using to impact a specified set of metrics is working and increase transparency for educational partners. LEAs are encouraged to use such an approach when goals include multiple actions and metrics that are not closely associated.
    - Beginning with the development of the 2024–25 LCAP, the LEA must change actions that have not proven effective over a three-year period.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

- Describe any changes made to this goal, expected outcomes, metrics, or actions to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis and analysis of the data provided in the Dashboard or other local data, as applicable.
    - As noted above, beginning with the development of the 2024–25 LCAP, the LEA must change actions that have not proven effective over a three-year period. For actions that have been identified as ineffective, the LEA must identify the ineffective action and must include a description of the following:
        - The reasons for the ineffectiveness, and
        - How changes to the action will result in a new or strengthened approach.

Actions:

Complete the table as follows. Add additional rows as necessary.

Action #

- Enter the action number.

Title

- Provide a short title for the action. This title will also appear in the action tables.

Description

- Provide a brief description of the action.
    - For actions that contribute to meeting the increased or improved services requirement, the LEA may include an explanation of how each action is principally directed towards and effective in meeting the LEA's goals for unduplicated students, as described in the instructions for the Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students section.
    - As previously noted, for each action identified as 1) contributing towards the requirement to increase or improve services for foster youth, English learners, including long-term English learners, and low-income students and 2) being provided on an LEA-wide basis, the LEA must identify one or more metrics to monitor the effectiveness of the action and its budgeted expenditures.
    - These required metrics may be identified within the action description or the first prompt in the increased or improved services section; however, the description must clearly identify the metric(s) being used to monitor the effectiveness of the action and the action(s) that the metric(s) apply to.

Total Funds

- Enter the total amount of expenditures associated with this action. Budgeted expenditures from specific fund sources will be provided in the action tables.

Contributing

- Indicate whether the action contributes to meeting the increased or improved services requirement as described in the Increased or Improved Services section using a “Y” for Yes or an “N” for No.
    - **Note:** for each such contributing action, the LEA will need to provide additional information in the Increased or Improved Services section to address the requirements in *California Code of Regulations*, Title 5 [5 *CCR*] Section 15496 in the Increased or Improved Services section of the LCAP.

***Actions for Foster Youth*:** School districts, COEs, and charter schools that have a numerically significant foster youth student subgroup are encouraged to include specific actions in the LCAP designed to meet needs specific to foster youth students.

Required Actions

For English Learners and Long-Term English Learners

- LEAs with 30 or more English learners and/or 15 or more long-term English learners must include specific actions in the LCAP related to, at a minimum:
    - Language acquisition programs, as defined in *EC* Section 306, provided to students, and

- Professional development for teachers.
- If an LEA has both 30 or more English learners and 15 or more long-term English learners, the LEA must include actions for both English learners and long-term English learners.

For Technical Assistance

- LEAs eligible for technical assistance pursuant to *EC* sections 47607.3, 52071, 52071.5, 52072, or 52072.5, must include specific actions within the LCAP related to its implementation of the work underway as part of technical assistance. The most common form of this technical assistance is frequently referred to as Differentiated Assistance.

For Lowest Performing Dashboard Indicators

- LEAs that have Red Dashboard indicators for (1) a school within the LEA, (2) a student group within the LEA, and/or (3) a student group within any school within the LEA must include one or more specific actions within the LCAP:
  - The specific action(s) must be directed towards the identified student group(s) and/or school(s) and must address the identified state indicator(s) for which the student group or school received the lowest performance level on the 2023 Dashboard. Each student group and/or school that receives the lowest performance level on the 2023 Dashboard must be addressed by one or more actions.
  - These required actions will be effective for the three-year LCAP cycle.

For LEAs With Unexpended LREBG Funds

- To implement the requirements of *EC* Section 52064.4, LEAs with unexpended LREBG funds must include one or more actions supported with LREBG funds within the 2025–26, 2026–27, and 2027–28 LCAPs, as applicable to the LEA. Actions funded with LREBG funds must remain in the LCAP until the LEA has expended the remainder of its LREBG funds, after which time the actions may be removed from the LCAP.
  - Prior to identifying the actions included in the LCAP the LEA is required to conduct a needs assessment pursuant to *EC* Section 32526(d). For information related to the required needs assessment please see the Program Information tab on the LREBG Program Information web page. Additional information about the needs assessment and evidence-based resources for the LREBG may be found on the California Statewide System of Support LREBG Resources web page. The required LREBG needs assessment may be part of the LEAs regular needs assessment for the LCAP if it meets the requirements of *EC* Section 32526(d).
  - School districts receiving technical assistance and COEs providing technical assistance are encouraged to use the technical assistance process to support the school district in conducting the required needs assessment, the selection of actions funded by the LREBG and/or the evaluation of implementation of the actions required as part of the LCAP annual update process.
  - As a reminder, LREBG funds must be used to implement one or more of the purposes articulated in *EC* Section 32526(c)(2).

- LEAs with unexpended LREBG funds must include one or more actions supported by LREBG funds within the LCAP. For each action supported by LREBG funding the action description must:
    - Identify the action as an LREBG action;
    - Include an explanation of how research supports the selected action;
    - Identify the metric(s) being used to monitor the impact of the action; and
    - Identify the amount of LREBG funds being used to support the action.

Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students

Purpose

A well-written Increased or Improved Services section provides educational partners with a comprehensive description, within a single dedicated section, of how an LEA plans to increase or improve services for its unduplicated students as defined in *EC* Section 42238.02 in grades TK–12 as compared to all students in grades TK–12, as applicable, and how LEA-wide or schoolwide actions identified for this purpose meet regulatory requirements. Descriptions provided should include sufficient detail yet be sufficiently succinct to promote a broader understanding of educational partners to facilitate their ability to provide input. An LEA's description in this section must align with the actions included in the Goals and Actions section as contributing.

Please Note: For the purpose of meeting the Increased or Improved Services requirement and consistent with *EC* Section 42238.02, long-term English learners are included in the English learner student group.

Statutory Requirements

An LEA is required to demonstrate in its LCAP how it is increasing or improving services for its students who are foster youth, English learners, and/or low-income, collectively referred to as unduplicated students, as compared to the services provided to all students in proportion to the increase in funding it receives based on the number and concentration of unduplicated students in the LEA (*EC* Section 42238.07[a][1], *EC* Section 52064[b][8][B]; 5 *CCR* Section 15496[a]). This proportionality percentage is also known as the "minimum proportionality percentage" or "MPP." The manner in which an LEA demonstrates it is meeting its MPP is two-fold: (1) through the expenditure of LCFF funds or through the identification of a Planned Percentage of Improved Services as documented in the Contributing Actions Table, and (2) through the explanations provided in the Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students section.

To improve services means to grow services in quality and to increase services means to grow services in quantity. Services are increased or improved by those actions in the LCAP that are identified in the Goals and Actions section as contributing to the increased or improved services requirement, whether they are provided across the entire LEA (LEA-wide action), provided to an entire school (Schoolwide action), or solely provided to one or more unduplicated student group(s) (Limited action).

Therefore, for *any* action contributing to meet the increased or improved services requirement, the LEA must include an explanation of:

- How the action is increasing or improving services for the unduplicated student group(s) (Identified Needs and Action Design), and
- How the action meets the LEA's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas (Measurement of Effectiveness).

LEA-wide and Schoolwide Actions

In addition to the above required explanations, LEAs must provide a justification for why an LEA-wide or Schoolwide action is being provided to all students and how the action is intended to improve outcomes for unduplicated student group(s) as compared to all students.

- Conclusory statements that a service will help achieve an expected outcome for the goal, without an explicit connection or further explanation as to how, are not sufficient.

- Further, simply stating that an LEA has a high enrollment percentage of a specific student group or groups does not meet the increased or improved services standard because enrolling students is not the same as serving students.

For School Districts Only

Actions provided on an **LEA-wide** basis at **school districts with an unduplicated pupil percentage of less than 55 percent** must also include a description of how the actions are the most effective use of the funds to meet the district's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas. The description must provide the basis for this determination, including any alternatives considered, supporting research, experience, or educational theory.

Actions provided on a **Schoolwide** basis for **schools with less than 40 percent enrollment of unduplicated pupils** must also include a description of how these actions are the most effective use of the funds to meet the district's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas. The description must provide the basis for this determination, including any alternatives considered, supporting research, experience, or educational theory.

Requirements and Instructions

Complete the tables as follows:

Total Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants

- Specify the amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grant funds the LEA estimates it will receive in the coming year based on the number and concentration of foster youth, English learner, and low-income students. This amount includes the Additional 15 percent LCFF Concentration Grant.

Projected Additional 15 percent LCFF Concentration Grant

- Specify the amount of additional LCFF concentration grant add-on funding, as described in *EC* Section 42238.02, that the LEA estimates it will receive in the coming year.

Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year

- Specify the estimated percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the LCAP year as calculated pursuant to 5 *CCR* Section 15496(a)(7).

LCFF Carryover — Percentage

- Specify the LCFF Carryover — Percentage identified in the LCFF Carryover Table. If a carryover percentage is not identified in the LCFF Carryover Table, specify a percentage of zero (0.00%).

LCFF Carryover — Dollar

- Specify the LCFF Carryover — Dollar amount identified in the LCFF Carryover Table. If a carryover amount is not identified in the LCFF Carryover Table, specify an amount of zero ($0).

Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year

- Add the Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year and the Proportional LCFF Required Carryover Percentage and specify the percentage. This is the LEA's percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the LCAP year, as calculated pursuant to 5 *CCR* Section 15496(a)(7).

Required Descriptions:

LEA-wide and Schoolwide Actions

For each action being provided to an entire LEA or school, provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s) and why it is being provided on an LEA or schoolwide basis, and (3) the metric(s) used to measure the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s).

If the LEA has provided this required description in the Action Descriptions, state as such within the table.

Complete the table as follows:

Identified Need(s)

Provide an explanation of the unique identified need(s) of the LEA's unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed.

An LEA demonstrates how an action is principally directed towards an unduplicated student group(s) when the LEA explains the need(s), condition(s), or circumstance(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) identified through a needs assessment and how the action addresses them. A meaningful needs assessment includes, at a minimum, analysis of applicable student achievement data and educational partner feedback.

How the Action(s) are Designed to Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis

Provide an explanation of how the action as designed will address the unique identified need(s) of the LEA's unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed and the rationale for why the action is being provided on an LEA-wide or schoolwide basis.

- As stated above, conclusory statements that a service will help achieve an expected outcome for the goal, without an explicit connection or further explanation as to how, are not sufficient.

- Further, simply stating that an LEA has a high enrollment percentage of a specific student group or groups does not meet the increased or improved services standard because enrolling students is not the same as serving students.

Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness

Identify the metric(s) being used to measure the progress and effectiveness of the action(s).

Note for COEs and Charter Schools: In the case of COEs and charter schools, schoolwide and LEA-wide are considered to be synonymous.

Limited Actions

For each action being solely provided to one or more unduplicated student group(s), provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s), and (3) how the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s) will be measured.

If the LEA has provided the required descriptions in the Action Descriptions, state as such.

Complete the table as follows:

Identified Need(s)

Provide an explanation of the unique need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served identified through the LEA's needs assessment. A meaningful needs assessment includes, at a minimum, analysis of applicable student achievement data and educational partner feedback.

How the Action(s) are Designed to Address Need(s)

Provide an explanation of how the action is designed to address the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served.

Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness

Identify the metric(s) being used to measure the progress and effectiveness of the action(s).

For any limited action contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement that is associated with a Planned Percentage of Improved Services in the Contributing Summary Table rather than an expenditure of LCFF funds, describe the methodology that was used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage, as applicable.

- For each action with an identified Planned Percentage of Improved Services, identify the goal and action number and describe the methodology that was used.

- When identifying a Planned Percentage of Improved Services, the LEA must describe the methodology that it used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage. The percentage of improved services for an action corresponds to the amount of LCFF funding that the LEA estimates it would expend to implement the action if it were funded.

- For example, an LEA determines that there is a need to analyze data to ensure that instructional aides and expanded learning providers know what targeted supports to provide to students who are foster youth. The LEA could implement this action by hiring additional staff to collect and analyze data and to coordinate supports for students, which, based on the LEA's current pay scale, the LEA estimates would cost $165,000. Instead, the LEA chooses to utilize a portion of existing staff time to analyze data relating to students who are foster youth. This analysis will then be shared with site principals who will use the data to coordinate services provided by instructional assistants and expanded learning providers to target support to students. In this example, the LEA would divide the estimated cost of $165,000 by the amount of LCFF Funding identified in the Total Planned Expenditures Table and then convert the quotient to a percentage. This percentage is the Planned Percentage of Improved Services for the action.

Additional Concentration Grant Funding

A description of the plan for how the additional concentration grant add-on funding identified above will be used to increase the number of staff providing direct services to students at schools that have a high concentration (above 55 percent) of foster youth, English learners, and low-income students, as applicable.

An LEA that receives the additional concentration grant add-on described in *EC* Section 42238.02 is required to demonstrate how it is using these funds to increase the number of staff who provide direct services to students at schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent as compared to the number of staff who provide direct services to students at schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is equal to or less than 55 percent. The staff who provide direct services to students must be certificated staff and/or classified staff employed by the LEA; classified staff includes custodial staff.

Provide the following descriptions, as applicable to the LEA:

- An LEA that does not receive a concentration grant or the concentration grant add-on must indicate that a response to this prompt is not applicable.

- Identify the goal and action numbers of the actions in the LCAP that the LEA is implementing to meet the requirement to increase the number of staff who provide direct services to students at schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent.

- An LEA that does not have comparison schools from which to describe how it is using the concentration grant add-on funds, such as a single-school LEA or an LEA that only has schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, must describe how it is using the funds to increase the number of credentialed staff, classified staff, or both, including custodial staff, who provide direct services to students at selected schools and the criteria used to determine which schools require additional staffing support.

- In the event that an additional concentration grant add-on is not sufficient to increase staff providing direct services to students at a school with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, the LEA must describe how it is using the funds to retain staff providing direct services to students at a school with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent.

Complete the table as follows:

- Provide the staff-to-student ratio of classified staff providing direct services to students with a concentration of unduplicated students that is 55 percent or less and the staff-to-student ratio of classified staff providing direct services to students at schools with a concentration of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, as applicable to the LEA.
    - The LEA may group its schools by grade span (Elementary, Middle/Junior High, and High Schools), as applicable to the LEA.
    - The staff-to-student ratio must be based on the number of full-time equivalent (FTE) staff and the number of enrolled students as counted on the first Wednesday in October of each year.
- Provide the staff-to-student ratio of certificated staff providing direct services to students at schools with a concentration of unduplicated students that is 55 percent or less and the staff-to-student ratio of certificated staff providing direct services to students at schools with a concentration of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, as applicable to the LEA.
    - The LEA may group its schools by grade span (Elementary, Middle/Junior High, and High Schools), as applicable to the LEA.
    - The staff-to-student ratio must be based on the number of FTE staff and the number of enrolled students as counted on the first Wednesday in October of each year.

Action Tables

Complete the Total Planned Expenditures Table for each action in the LCAP. The information entered into this table will automatically populate the other Action Tables. Information is only entered into the Total Planned Expenditures Table, the Annual Update Table, the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table, and the LCFF Carryover Table. The word “input” has been added to column headers to aid in identifying the column(s) where information will be entered. Information is not entered on the remaining Action tables.

The following tables are required to be included as part of the LCAP adopted by the local governing board or governing body:

- Table 1: Total Planned Expenditures Table (for the coming LCAP Year)

- Table 2: Contributing Actions Table (for the coming LCAP Year)
- Table 3: Annual Update Table (for the current LCAP Year)
- Table 4: Contributing Actions Annual Update Table (for the current LCAP Year)
- Table 5: LCFF Carryover Table (for the current LCAP Year)

Note: The coming LCAP Year is the year that is being planned for, while the current LCAP year is the current year of implementation. For example, when developing the 2024–25 LCAP, 2024–25 will be the coming LCAP Year and 2023–24 will be the current LCAP Year.

Total Planned Expenditures Table

In the Total Planned Expenditures Table, input the following information for each action in the LCAP for that applicable LCAP year:

- **LCAP Year**: Identify the applicable LCAP Year.
- **1. Projected LCFF Base Grant**: Provide the total amount estimated LCFF entitlement for the coming school year, excluding the supplemental and concentration grants and the add-ons for the Targeted Instructional Improvement Block Grant program, the former Home-to-School Transportation program, and the Small School District Transportation program, pursuant to 5 *CCR* Section 15496(a)(8). Note that the LCFF Base Grant for purposes of the LCAP also includes the Necessary Small Schools and Economic Recovery Target allowances for school districts, and County Operations Grant for COEs.

  See *EC* sections 2574 (for COEs) and 42238.02 (for school districts and charter schools), as applicable, for LCFF entitlement calculations.
- **2. Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants:** Provide the total amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grants estimated on the basis of the number and concentration of unduplicated students for the coming school year.
- **3. Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year:** This percentage will not be entered; it is calculated based on the Projected LCFF Base Grant and the Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants, pursuant to 5 *CCR* Section 15496(a)(8). This is the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the coming LCAP year.
- **LCFF Carryover — Percentage:** Specify the LCFF Carryover — Percentage identified in the LCFF Carryover Table from the prior LCAP year. If a carryover percentage is not identified in the LCFF Carryover Table, specify a percentage of zero (0.00%).
- **Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year:** This percentage will not be entered; it is calculated based on the Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year and the LCFF Carryover —

Percentage. ***This is the percentage by which the LEA must increase or improve services for unduplicated pupils as compared to the services provided to all students in the coming LCAP year.***

- **Goal #**: Enter the LCAP Goal number for the action.
- **Action #**: Enter the action's number as indicated in the LCAP Goal.
- **Action Title**: Provide a title of the action.
- **Student Group(s)**: Indicate the student group or groups who will be the primary beneficiary of the action by entering "All," or by entering a specific student group or groups.
- **Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?:** Type "Yes" if the action **is** included as contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement; OR, type "No" if the action is **not** included as contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement.
- If "Yes" is entered into the Contributing column, then complete the following columns:
    - **Scope**: The scope of an action may be LEA-wide (i.e., districtwide, countywide, or charterwide), schoolwide, or limited. An action that is LEA-wide in scope upgrades the entire educational program of the LEA. An action that is schoolwide in scope upgrades the entire educational program of a single school. An action that is limited in its scope is an action that serves only one or more unduplicated student groups.
    - **Unduplicated Student Group(s)**: Regardless of scope, contributing actions serve one or more unduplicated student groups. Indicate one or more unduplicated student groups for whom services are being increased or improved as compared to what all students receive.
    - **Location**: Identify the location where the action will be provided. If the action is provided to all schools within the LEA, the LEA must indicate "All Schools." If the action is provided to specific schools within the LEA or specific grade spans only, the LEA must enter "Specific Schools" or "Specific Grade Spans." Identify the individual school or a subset of schools or grade spans (e.g., all high schools or grades transitional kindergarten through grade five), as appropriate.
- **Time Span**: Enter "ongoing" if the action will be implemented for an indeterminate period of time. Otherwise, indicate the span of time for which the action will be implemented. For example, an LEA might enter "1 Year," or "2 Years," or "6 Months."
- **Total Personnel**: Enter the total amount of personnel expenditures utilized to implement this action.
- **Total Non-Personnel**: This amount will be automatically calculated based on information provided in the Total Personnel column and the Total Funds column.

- **LCFF Funds**: Enter the total amount of LCFF funds utilized to implement this action, if any. LCFF funds include all funds that make up an LEA's total LCFF target (i.e., base grant, grade span adjustment, supplemental grant, concentration grant, Targeted Instructional Improvement Block Grant, and Home-To-School Transportation).
    - **Note:** For an action to contribute towards meeting the increased or improved services requirement, it must include some measure of LCFF funding. The action may also include funding from other sources, however the extent to which an action contributes to meeting the increased or improved services requirement is based on the LCFF funding being used to implement the action.
- **Other State Funds**: Enter the total amount of Other State Funds utilized to implement this action, if any.
    - **Note:** Equity Multiplier funds must be included in the "Other State Funds" category, not in the "LCFF Funds" category. As a reminder, Equity Multiplier funds must be used to supplement, not supplant, funding provided to Equity Multiplier schoolsites for purposes of the LCFF, the ELO-P, the LCRS, and/or the CCSPP. This means that Equity Multiplier funds must not be used to replace funding that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to implement LEA-wide actions identified in the LEA's LCAP or that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to implement provisions of the ELO-P, the LCRS, and/or the CCSPP.
- **Local Funds**: Enter the total amount of Local Funds utilized to implement this action, if any.
- **Federal Funds**: Enter the total amount of Federal Funds utilized to implement this action, if any.
- **Total Funds**: This amount is automatically calculated based on amounts entered in the previous four columns.
- **Planned Percentage of Improved Services**: For any action identified as contributing, being provided on a Limited basis to unduplicated students, and that does not have funding associated with the action, enter the planned quality improvement anticipated for the action as a percentage rounded to the nearest hundredth (0.00%). A limited action is an action that only serves foster youth, English learners, and/or low-income students.
    - As noted in the instructions for the Increased or Improved Services section, when identifying a Planned Percentage of Improved Services, the LEA must describe the methodology that it used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage. The percentage of improved services for an action corresponds to the amount of LCFF funding that the LEA estimates it would expend to implement the action if it were funded.

      For example, an LEA determines that there is a need to analyze data to ensure that instructional aides and expanded learning providers know what targeted supports to provide to students who are foster youth. The LEA could implement this action by hiring additional staff to collect and analyze data and to coordinate supports for students, which, based on the LEA's current pay scale, the LEA estimates would cost $165,000. Instead, the LEA chooses to utilize a portion of existing staff time to analyze data relating to students who are foster youth. This analysis will then be shared with site principals who will use the data to coordinate services provided by instructional assistants and expanded learning providers to target support to students. In this example, the LEA would

divide the estimated cost of $165,000 by the amount of LCFF Funding identified in the Data Entry Table and then convert the quotient to a percentage. This percentage is the Planned Percentage of Improved Services for the action.

Contributing Actions Table

As noted above, information will not be entered in the Contributing Actions Table; however, the 'Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?' column will need to be checked to ensure that only actions with a "Yes" are displaying. If actions with a "No" are displayed or if actions that are contributing are not displaying in the column, use the drop-down menu in the column header to filter only the "Yes" responses.

Annual Update Table

In the Annual Update Table, provide the following information for each action in the LCAP for the relevant LCAP year:

- **Estimated Actual Expenditures**: Enter the total estimated actual expenditures to implement this action, if any.

Contributing Actions Annual Update Table

In the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table, check the 'Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?' column to ensure that only actions with a "Yes" are displaying. If actions with a "No" are displayed or if actions that are contributing are not displaying in the column, use the drop-down menu in the column header to filter only the "Yes" responses. Provide the following information for each contributing action in the LCAP for the relevant LCAP year:

- **6. Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants:** Provide the total amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grants estimated based on the number and concentration of unduplicated students in the current school year.
- **Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions**: Enter the total estimated actual expenditure of LCFF funds used to implement this action, if any.
- **Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services:** For any action identified as contributing, being provided on a Limited basis only to unduplicated students, and that does not have funding associated with the action, enter the total estimated actual quality improvement anticipated for the action as a percentage rounded to the nearest hundredth (0.00%).
    - Building on the example provided above for calculating the Planned Percentage of Improved Services, the LEA in the example implements the action. As part of the annual update process, the LEA reviews implementation and student outcome data and determines that the action was implemented with fidelity and that outcomes for foster youth students improved. The LEA reviews the original estimated cost for the action and determines that had it hired additional staff to collect and analyze data and to coordinate supports for students that estimated actual cost would have been $169,500 due to a cost of living adjustment. The LEA would divide the estimated actual cost of $169,500 by the amount of LCFF Funding identified in the Data Entry Table and then convert the quotient to a percentage. This percentage is the Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services for the action.

LCFF Carryover Table

- **9. Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant**: Provide the total amount of estimated LCFF Target Entitlement for the current school year, excluding the supplemental and concentration grants and the add-ons for the Targeted Instructional Improvement Block Grant program, the former Home-to-School Transportation program, and the Small School District Transportation program, pursuant to 5 *CCR* Section 15496(a)(8). Note that the LCFF Base Grant for purposes of the LCAP also includes the Necessary Small Schools and Economic Recovery Target allowances for school districts, and County Operations Grant for COEs. See *EC* sections 2574 (for COEs) and 42238.02 (for school districts and charter schools), as applicable, for LCFF entitlement calculations.

- **10. Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Current School Year:** This percentage will not be entered. The percentage is calculated based on the amounts of the Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (9) and the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (6), pursuant to 5 *CCR* Section 15496(a)(8), plus the LCFF Carryover – Percentage from the prior year. This is the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the current LCAP year.

Calculations in the Action Tables

To reduce the duplication of effort of LEAs, the Action Tables include functionality such as pre-population of fields and cells based on the information provided in the Data Entry Table, the Annual Update Summary Table, and the Contributing Actions Table. For transparency, the functionality and calculations used are provided below.

Contributing Actions Table

- **4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)**
    - This amount is the total of the Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds) column.
- **5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services**
    - This percentage is the total of the Planned Percentage of Improved Services column.
- **Planned Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the coming school year (4 divided by 1, plus 5)**
    - This percentage is calculated by dividing the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4) by the Projected LCFF Base Grant (1), converting the quotient to a percentage, and adding it to the Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (5).

Contributing Actions Annual Update Table

Pursuant to *EC* Section 42238.07(c)(2), if the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4) is less than the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and Concentration Grants (6), the LEA is required to calculate the difference between the Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (5) and the Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (7). If the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4) is equal to or greater

than the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and Concentration Grants (6), the Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services will display “Not Required.”

- **6. Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and Concentration Grants**
    - This is the total amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grants the LEA estimates it will actually receive based on the number and concentration of unduplicated students in the current school year.
- **4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)**
    - This amount is the total of the Last Year's Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds).
- **7. Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions**
    - This amount is the total of the Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds).
- **Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (Subtract 7 from 4)**
    - This amount is the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (7) subtracted from the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4).
- **5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)**
    - This amount is the total of the Planned Percentage of Improved Services column.
- **8. Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (%)**
    - This amount is the total of the Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services column.
- **Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (Subtract 5 from 8)**
    - This amount is the Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (5) subtracted from the Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (8).

LCFF Carryover Table

- **10. Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Current School Year (6 divided by 9 plus Carryover %)**
    - This percentage is the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (6) divided by the Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (9) plus the LCFF Carryover – Percentage from the prior year.

- **11. Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (7 divided by 9, plus 8)**
    - This percentage is the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (7) divided by the LCFF Funding (9), then converting the quotient to a percentage and adding the Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (8).
- **12. LCFF Carryover — Dollar Amount LCFF Carryover (Subtract 11 from 10 and multiply by 9)**
    - If the Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (11) is less than the Estimated Actual Percentage to Increase or Improve Services (10), the LEA is required to carry over LCFF funds.

      The amount of LCFF funds is calculated by subtracting the Estimated Actual Percentage to Increase or Improve Services (11) from the Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (10) and then multiplying by the Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (9). This amount is the amount of LCFF funds that is required to be carried over to the coming year.
- **13. LCFF Carryover — Percentage (12 divided by 9)**
    - This percentage is the unmet portion of the Percentage to Increase or Improve Services that the LEA must carry over into the coming LCAP year. The percentage is calculated by dividing the LCFF Carryover (12) by the LCFF Funding (9).

California Department of Education
November 2024