
# LCFF Budget Overview for Parents

Local Educational Agency (LEA) Name: Westside Preparatory Charter School
CDS Code: 34765056112643
School Year: 2026-27
LEA contact information:
Kristina Jordan
Principal- WPCS/Westside Campus

9165661990

School districts receive funding from different sources: state funds under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF), other state funds, local funds, and federal funds. LCFF funds include a base level of funding for all LEAs and extra funding - called "supplemental and concentration" grants - to LEAs based on the enrollment of high needs students (foster youth, English learners, and low-income students).

## Budget Overview for the 2026-27 School Year





This chart shows the total general purpose revenue Westside Preparatory Charter School expects to receive in the coming year from all sources.

The text description for the above chart is as follows: The total revenue projected for Westside Preparatory Charter School is $2,154,625, of which $1,734,722 is Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF), $292,442 is other state funds, $0 is local funds, and $127,461 is federal funds. Of the $1,734,722 in LCFF Funds, $1,623,777 is generated based on the enrollment of high needs students (foster youth, English learner, and low-income students).

# LCFF Budget Overview for Parents

The LCFF gives school districts more flexibility in deciding how to use state funds. In exchange, school districts must work with parents, educators, students, and the community to develop a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) that shows how they will use these funds to serve students.




This chart provides a quick summary of how much Westside Preparatory Charter School plans to spend for 2026-27. It shows how much of the total is tied to planned actions and services in the LCAP.

The text description of the above chart is as follows: Westside Preparatory Charter School plans to spend $2,154,625 for the 2026-27 school year. Of that amount, $2,043,680 is tied to actions/services in the LCAP and $110,945 is not included in the LCAP. The budgeted expenditures that are not included in the LCAP will be used for the following:

Site allocation and Athletics. Additionally, base costs (teachers, office staff, special education, utilities, operational overhead, etc.) for dependent charters are not always separately identified. The base costs are included in Twin Rivers USD's LCAP.


## Increased or Improved Services for High Needs Students in the LCAP for the 2026-27 School Year

In 2026-27, Westside Preparatory Charter School is projecting it will receive $1,623,777 based on the enrollment of foster youth, English learner, and low-income students. Westside Preparatory Charter School must describe how it intends to increase or improve services for high needs students in the LCAP.  Westside Preparatory Charter School plans to spend $1,623,777 towards meeting this requirement, as described in the LCAP.

The difference was above the projected plan

# LCFF Budget Overview for Parents

## Update on Increased or Improved Services for High Needs Students in 2025-26




This chart compares what Westside Preparatory Charter School budgeted last year in the LCAP for actions and services that contribute to increasing or improving services for high needs students with what Westside Preparatory Charter School estimates it has spent on actions and services that contribute to increasing or improving services for high needs students in the current year.

The text description of the above chart is as follows: In 2025-26, Westside Preparatory Charter School's LCAP budgeted $1,657,336 for planned actions to increase or improve services for high needs students. Westside Preparatory Charter School actually spent $1,659,322 for actions to increase or improve services for high needs students in 2025-26.

# Local Control and Accountability Plan

**The instructions for completing the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) follow the template.**

| Local Educational Agency (LEA) Name | Contact Name and Title | Email and Phone |
|---|---|---|
| Westside Preparatory Charter School | Kristina Jordan<br>Principal- WPCS/Westside Campus | kristina.jordan@trusd.net<br>9165661990 |

# Plan Summary [2026-27]

## General Information

A description of the LEA, its schools, and its students in grades transitional kindergarten–12, as applicable to the LEA. LEAs may also provide information about their strategic plan, vision, etc.

The Westside Preparatory Charter School (WPCS) serves 470 7th and 8th grade students at four separate campuses, 1) Eastside; 2) Frontier; 3) Westside; and 4) Regency Park and all 4 campuses work together as one school. These campuses are located in Twin Rivers Unified School District across a geographical span north of Sacramento. Current demographics show that our student population is 17% EL students and 12% students with disabilities. We have 81% classified as socioeconomically disadvantaged, 100% Free/Reduced Lunch, and 5% Homeless. Our LCFF unduplicated count is 81%. Languages spoken are Spanish (majority), Punjabi, Russian, Other non-English language, Ukrainian, Farsi (Persian), Hindi, Filipino (Pilipino or Tagalog), Arabic, and Urdu. Our student population is made up of many ethnicities, with the majority of our students 42% identifying as Hispanic/Latino, White 25%, Asian 9%, African American 12%, Filipino 12%, Pacific Islander 1%, American Indian or Alaskan Native 2% and Two or More Races 6%. Our students come to our charter from our own district and surrounding communities.  Data collected from the California Dashboard.

## Reflections: Annual Performance

A reflection on annual performance based on a review of the California School Dashboard (Dashboard) and local data.

California Dashboard indicators show that in ELA WPCS scored in the yellow range with 6 points below standard.  WPCS increased in ELA by 4.6 points.  In math, WPCS scored in yellow range with 42.8 points below standard.  WPCS increased in Math by 6.7 points.  On our local assessments WPCS scored 50% proficient on the quarter 3 ELA benchmark which is an 3% increase from last year's score.  In math WPCS scored 47% proficient which is a 2% increase from last year's score.

# Reflections: Technical Assistance

As applicable, a summary of the work underway as part of technical assistance.

# Comprehensive Support and Improvement

An LEA with a school or schools eligible for comprehensive support and improvement must respond to the following prompts.

### *Schools Identified*

A list of the schools in the LEA that are eligible for comprehensive support and improvement.

NA

### *Support for Identified Schools*

A description of how the LEA has or will support its eligible schools in developing comprehensive support and improvement plans.

NA

### *Monitoring and Evaluating Effectiveness*

A description of how the LEA will monitor and evaluate the plan to support student and school improvement.

NA

# Engaging Educational Partners

A summary of the process used to engage educational partners in the development of the LCAP.

School districts and county offices of education must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, local bargaining units, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

Charter schools must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

| Educational Partner(s) | Process for Engagement |
|---|---|
| Teachers, Administrators | Administrators held meetings with teachers multiple times through the year to discuss student and schoolwide needs incliding but not limited to review of LCAP, Benchmark and Thought Exchange data.<br><br>Staff meeting: 8/15, 8/27, 9/10, 10/01, 11/12, 12/03, 1/14, 2/4, 4/08, 5/06<br><br>Governance meeting: 8/27, 9/17, 10/29, 12/10, 2/18, 4/22, 5/13 |
| Teachers, Parents, Administrators, students, other school staff | School Site Council meetings held with Educational Partners (teachers, students, parents, community members, etc.) to discuss school data and needs, soliciting input in the development of the LCAP.<br>Meeting dates: 9/24, 10/8, 11/19, 1/28, 2/29, 5/20 |
| Parents, Administrators | ELAC meetings held with bilingual Educational Partners (parents/guardians of EL students) to discuss school data and needs, soliciting input in the development of the LCAP.<br>Meeting dates: 10/22, 12/10, 2/18, 4/15, 4/24 |

| Educational Partner(s) | Process for Engagement |
| --- | --- |
| Parents, Administrators | LCAP Educational Partners Night held to gain insight from parents about the school data, progress on LCAP goals, and an opportunity to give input for the coming year.<br>Meeting Date: 4/14 |

A description of how the adopted LCAP was influenced by the feedback provided by educational partners.

Educational Partners feedback summary: LCAP Parent Night on 4/14/26 and the TRUSD Educational Partners Input Survey. Sites present Eastside, Frontier, Westside and Regency Park.
Goal 1 -
Keep all current supplemental resources (listed in the Actions) and add: peer tutoring, base goals set from the incoming 6th grade class, outsourcing tutoring to the high school, and more hands-on science and art experiences. ELD training for all teachers to support not only EL students, but all students.
Goal 2 -
Educational Partners liked field study days and field trips students scheduled. What they would like to add is more field trips during the year, more variety/options for electives and after school activities (Star Nova, Science, Lego Robotics, Art and/or music program), more opportunities for all 4 sites to come together whether through rallies, sports or educational assemblies, help with student community service opportunities, and more ideas for field study days including more onsite field study day options.
Goal 3 -
Educational Partners like the warm environment provided by the teachers and staff. They would like to keep the presentations from this year and would like to add the following presentations: Anti-bullying, Meditation, Restorative Practices, any current topic (ex. Vaping, Social Media), and more supporting social skills. Suggestion was made to have at least 1 counselor/social worker on each site and better food options for the students. They also would like to take a version of the Healthy Kids survey as a way to measure and compare Educational Partners thoughts with students'. Additionally Educational Partners would like more team building, peer mediation and would like to assist if possible with the team building. For safety in the classroom and the idea to put cameras in the classrooms across all campuses.
Goal 4 -
Educational Partners liked the activities offered by WPCS sites. However they would like to add more of the following: more parent nights like CSI/Science, Movie nights, Current topic presentations (similar to the students) and Student presentations (Dance, or Learning) for on campus activities. As far as parent participation they are interested in having the following events with students/staff: Parent vs Student sports day, Parent and student Rally game night.

# Goals and Actions

## Goal

| Goal # | Description | Type of Goal |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Enable students to meet high academic expectations of WPCS and CCSS. | Broad Goal |

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Priority 1: Basic (Conditions of Learning)
Priority 2: State Standards (Conditions of Learning)
Priority 4: Pupil Achievement (Pupil Outcomes)
Priority 5: Pupil Engagement (Engagement)
Priority 6: School Climate (Engagement)

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

WPCS made improvements in the SBAC scores for both ELA and Math for the 2018-2019 school year. In order to continue to achieve these scores the goal and actions listed are strategies to provide the support for all students to continue to reach the high academic expectations of WPCS. WPCS also enhanced their District Benchmark scores in ELA each trimester as well as our current EL students have made growth in proficiency.

## Measuring and Reporting Results

| Metric # | Metric | Baseline | Year 1 Outcome | Year 2 Outcome | Target for Year 3 Outcome | Current Difference from Baseline |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1.1 | SBAC ELA % Met/Exceed | 2023-2024 WPCS: 51.53% | 2024-2025 WPCS: 51.28% | 2025-2026: Available Fall 2026 | 2026-2027 ELA 55% | 2024-2025: -.25%: 2025-2026 N/A |
| 1.2 | SBAC Math % Met/Exceed | 2023-2024 WPCS 31.2% | 2024-2025 WPCS: 35.18% | 2025-2026: Available Fall 2026 | 2026-2027 Math 50% | 2024-2025: +3.97% 2025-2026: N/A |
| 1.3 | District Benchmark Assessments in ELA Q2 and Math T2 | 2023-2024 WPCS ELA Q2 41% WPCS Math T2 41% WPCS ELA Q3 39% | 2024-2025 WPCS ELA Q2: 48.4% WPCS Math T2: 50.3% WPCS ELA Q3: 46.5% | 2025-2026 WPCS ELA Q2: 48.9% WPCS Math T2: 47% WPCS ELA Q3: 49.8% | 2026-2027 WPCS ELA Q2: 51% WPCS Math Tri 2: 51% WPCS ELA Q3: 50% | 2024-2025 WPCS ELA Q2: +7.9% WPCS Math T2: +6% WPCS ELA Q3: +10.8% |

| Metric # | Metric | Baseline | Year 1 Outcome | Year 2 Outcome | Target for Year 3 Outcome | Current Difference from Baseline |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1.4 | 5% of current EL students will make one year's growth on the ELPAC. RFEP students will be monitored and maintain proficiency. | 2023-2024<br>33% making progress to EL proficiency.<br><br>Eastside Campus 45 EL students<br>Level 1: 4 students<br>Level 2: 9 students<br>Level 3: 11 students<br>Level 4: 21 students<br><br>Frontier Campus 35 EL students<br>Level 1: 4 students<br>Level 2: 3 students<br>Level 3: 6 students<br>Level 4: 22 students<br><br>Regency Campus 32 EL students<br>Level 1: 7 students<br>Level 2: 7 students<br>Level 3: 7 students<br>Level 4: 11 students<br><br>Westside Campus 21 EL students<br>Level 1: 2 students<br>Level 2: 7 students<br>Level 3: 7 students<br>Level 4: 5 students | 2024-2025<br>19.5% making progress to EL proficiency<br><br>Eastside Campus 25 EL students students<br>Level 1: 4 students<br>Level 2: 13 students<br>Level 3: 5 students<br>Level 4: 3 students<br><br>Frontier Campus 14 EL students<br>Level 1: 1 students<br>Level 2: 8 students<br>Level 3: 4 students<br>Level 4: 1 students<br><br>Regency Campus 23 EL students<br>Level 1: 4 students<br>Level 2: 9 students<br>Level 3: 8 students | 2025-2026<br>62.2% making progress to EL proficiency<br><br>WPCS EL Students<br>Level 1: 16 students<br>Level 2: 27 students<br>Level 3: 22 students<br>Level 4: 4 students | 2026-2027 Levels and Numbers of students<br><br>EL- 38% making progress to EL proficiency<br><br>Eastside Campus: 45 EL Students<br>Level 1: 1 students<br>Level 2: 10 students<br>Level 3: 12 students<br>Level 4: 22 students<br><br>Frontier Campus: 35 EL Students<br>Level 1: 1 students<br>Level 2: 4 students<br>Level 3: 7 students<br>Level 4: 23 students<br><br>Regency Campus 32 EL Students<br>Level 1: 1 students<br>Level 2: 4 students<br>Level 3: 7 students<br>Level 4: 23 students<br><br>Westside Campus 21 EL Students<br>Level 1: 1 students | 2024-2025<br>-.7% difference in students making growth from last year to this year. 20.2% of students were reclassified during baseline year. 19.5% of students were reclassified in 2024-2025.<br><br>Number of English Learner students on each site:<br>Eastside: -20<br>Frontier: -20<br>Regency: -9<br>Westside: -2<br><br>2025-2026<br>According to the CA Dashboard, EL students made a 30% increase in proficiency when compared to the baseline data.<br><br>There are 116 EL students in WPCS. There are currently 28 students RFEP monitored students in WPCS. |

| Metric # | Metric | Baseline | Year 1 Outcome | Year 2 Outcome | Target for Year 3 Outcome | Current Difference from Baseline |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Level 4: 1 students<br><br>Westside Campus<br>19 EL students<br>Level 1: 5 students<br>Level 2: 3 students<br>Level 3: 7 students<br>Level 4: 4 students | | Level 2: 7 students<br>Level 3: 7 students<br>Level 4: 6 students | 35 students are LTEL and 26 students are at risk of becoming LTEL.<br><br>WPCS EL students language development progress:<br>Level 1: -10.2%<br>Level 2: -19.5%<br>Level 3: +8.8%<br>Level 4: -44.3% |
| 1.5 | Tutoring - Students receiving tutoring reach Typical/Stretch Growth by T2 Assessment. | 2023-2024<br>Reading 41%<br>Math 41% | 2024-2025<br>Reading: 8 students tutored at Eastside<br>Math: 55 students were tutored through WPCS. | 2025-2026<br>Reading: 9 students tutored at Eastside<br>Math: 48 students were tutored through WPCS.<br><br>Q3 ELA (Reading) benchmark data:49.8%<br>T2 Math benchmark data: 47% | 2026-2027<br>Students attending tutoring typical stretch growth in reading is 45%<br><br>Math: Students attending tutoring typical stretch growth in reading is 45% | 2024-2025<br>Data is insufficient to analyze impact on student assessment growth.<br><br>2025-2026<br>Q3 ELA (Reading) benchmark data:+8%<br>T2 Math benchmark data: 6% |

# Goal Analysis [2025-26]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

The data for metrics 1.1 and 1.2 will not be available until Fall 2026.

Metric 1.3 Westside Preparatory Charter School, Quarter 2 ELA benchmark data shows a 7.9% increase in data from last year to this year. We also increased in Trimester 2 math scores by 6%, and data for Quarter 3 ELA benchmark shows an increase of 10%.

Metric 1.4 According to the data collected, Westside Preparatory Charter School has 17 fewer English learner students this year, compared to the baseline year. In the 2025-2026 school year, we have 28 students on RFEP monitoring, 35 LTEL and 26 who are at risk of being LTEL.

Metric 1.5 Students were tutored throughout the year; however, they were inconsistent in attendance and there is not enough sufficient data that was collected to determine if the tutoring directly affected their Trimester 2 data. Regardless, we increased by 8% in ELA during Q3 and 6% in Math for Trimester 2 benchmark.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

Action 1.1 - the GLS position cost more than originally budgeted.
Action 1.2 - staff elected to forgo PD opportunities originally planned
Action 1.4 - sites needed more supplemental materials over original budget.
Action 1.5 - extra clerical support was not utilized at the sties.
Action 1.6 - AISB position cost more for benefits
Action 1.8 - Licenses cost less this school year than originally planned

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

The actions to meet our measurable outcomes are being met for district Math and ELA benchmarks. Our English Learner students are making progress toward being reclassified. Student data for tutoring results are insufficient as most students do not attend tutoring regularly. However, the overall school benchmark score have all increased compared to last year's data, indicating the additional academic supports being provided to students, are progressing students toward meeting the LCAP goal.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

No planned changes at this time.

**A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.**

# Actions

| Action # | Title | Description | Total Funds | Contributing |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| **1.1** | Guidance Learning Specialist | Guidance Learning Specialist.<br><br>S/C Funds Add-on $116,838 | $116,838.00 | Yes |
| **1.2** | Professional Development | Professional Development/Conferences including AVID PD.<br><br>S/C Funds $13,000. | $13,000.00 | Yes |
| **1.3** | Supplemental Supplies | Supplemental materials for Library, PLTW, AVID, Science, enrichment, supplemental PE equipment, print shop orders, lost textbooks, student planners or any supplemental materials to enhance instruction.<br><br>S/C Funds $119,970; Title 1 $47,282 | $167,252.00 | Yes |
| **1.4** | Technology | Supplemental technology, equipment, camera updates, supplies, copiers, RISO, laminators, etc.<br><br>S/C Funds $1,000; LREBG: $4,497; Title 1: $4,000 | $9,497.00 | Yes |
| **1.5** | Stipends and Extra Duties | Extra duty, extra-curricular and lead teacher stipends, extra clerical support.<br><br>S/C Funds $114,856; Title 1 $24,496 | $139,352.00 | Yes |
| **1.6** | AISB | Academic Intervention Specialist Bilingual of EL/RFEP students | $36,000.00 | No |

| Action # | Title | Description | Total Funds | Contributing |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Title 1 $36,000 | | |
| **1.7** | Class Size Reduction | Class size reduction funds to increase student achievement and additional PD & instructional minutes costs.<br><br>S/C Funds $646,698; S/C Funds Add-on $76,000 | $722,698.00 | Yes |
| **1.8** | Licenses | Supplemental licenses such as but not limited to Newsela, Turn-it in, and ELA<br><br>S/C Funds $11,500 Title 1 $12,616 | $24,116.00 | Yes |

# Goals and Actions

## Goal

| Goal # | Description | Type of Goal |
|---|---|---|
| 2 | Ensure college and career readiness exposure with learning beyond the classroom experiences. | Broad Goal |

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Priority 2: State Standards (Conditions of Learning)
Priority 4: Pupil Achievement (Pupil Outcomes)
Priority 5: Pupil Engagement (Engagement)
Priority 6: School Climate (Engagement)

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

The intent of Field Study Days and Community Service Hours is to give our students opportunities to extend their learning outside of the classroom and to help the students understand that what they are learning in school can be applied in the real world. They are given a chance to be independent and take charge of their own education for the day as they expand their horizons.

## Measuring and Reporting Results

| Metric # | Metric | Baseline | Year 1 Outcome | Year 2 Outcome | Target for Year 3 Outcome | Current Difference from Baseline |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 2.2 | PSAT | 2023-2024: No PSAT Testing | 2024-2025: No PSAT Testing | 2025-2026 No PSAT Testing | 2026-2027 No PSAT testing | 2024-2025<br>No PSAT testing<br><br>2025-2026:<br>No PSAT testing |
| 2.3 | Field Study Rubric % Meets/Exceeds Participation over first two Field Study Dates | 2023-2024:<br>Current Enrollment: 436<br>Field Study Participation<br>Trimester 1 (FSD 1):<br>Participation: 99%<br>Met/ Exceed: 78%<br>Trimester 2 (FSD 3):<br>Participation: 92%<br>Met/ Exceed: 80% | 2024-2025:<br>Current Enrollment: 449<br>Field Study Participation<br>Trimester 1 (FSD 1):<br>Participation: 99%<br>Met/ Exceed: 74% | 2025-2026<br>Current Enrollment: 470<br>Field Study Participation<br>Trimester 1 (FSD 1):<br>Participation: 79%<br>Met/ Exceed: 78% | 2026-2027<br>Participation 100%<br>Met/Exceed 85% | 2024-2025<br>Current Enrollment: +13<br>Field Study Participation<br>Trimester 1 (FSD 1):<br>Participation: 0%<br>Met/ Exceed: -4% |

| Metric # | Metric | Baseline | Year 1 Outcome | Year 2 Outcome | Target for Year 3 Outcome | Current Difference from Baseline |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Trimester 2 (FSD 3):<br>Participation: 99%<br>Met/ Exceed: 77% | Trimester 2 (FSD 3):<br>Participation: 74%<br>Met/ Exceed: 68% | | Trimester 2 (FSD 3):<br>Participation: +7%<br>Met/ Exceed: -3%<br><br>2025-2026 Current Enrollment: +36<br>Field Study Participation<br>Trimester 1 (FSD 1):<br>Participation: -20%<br>Met/ Exceed: 0%<br>Trimester 2 (FSD 3):<br>Participation: -18%<br>Met/ Exceed: -12% |
| 2.4 | Community Service Requirement % Exceeds or Meets by T2 | 2023-2024 Community Service 12% of students meet requirements by T2 of 10 hours or more. | 2024-2025 Community Service 19% of students meet requirements by T2 of 10 hours or more. | 2025-2026 Community Service .07% of students meet requirements by T2 of 10 hours or more. | 2026-2027<br><br>50% students meet T2 community service hours of 10 hours or more. | 2024-2025<br>+7% of students met the community service requirements by Trimester 2.<br><br>2025-2026<br>11% less students met the community service requirements by Trimester 2. |

# Goal Analysis [2025-26]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

Metric 2.2 Previously, 8th grade students took the PSAT districtwide. However, since COVID happened, this practice has not continued.

Metric 2.3 The data this shows there was a 20% decrease in the number of students who participated in FSD Trimester 1 and the same percentage of students met/exceeded. In Trimester 2, both the participation and the percent of students who met or exceeded expectations, decreased.
Metric 2.4 This year, we had a decrease of 11% of students who were at 10 hours or more by the end of Trimester 2. This is not moving toward the goal of having at least 50% of students meet the goal by 2026-2027.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

Action 2.1 - decrease in amount of field trips taken over the school year.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

Less students participated in Field Study Days, less students met or exceeded expectations. This shows students need support in completing and with their writing so that they can meet or exceed the expectations of their writing assignment. Currently, our data shows students are not moving toward meeting the goal in 2026-2027.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

No planned changes at this time.

**A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.**

# Actions

| Action # | Title | Description | Total Funds | Contributing |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| **2.1** | Field Trips | Field Trips for field study days, reward, Academic, and AVID field trips (admission, bus cost, and any other costs involved in trip) | $81,885.00 | Yes |

| Action # | Title | Description | Total Funds | Contributing |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | S/C Funds $81,885 | | |
| **2.2** | Assemblies/Rallies | Assemblies and Rallies to build community.<br><br>S/C: $8,000; Title I: $870 | $8,870.00 | Yes |

# Goals and Actions

## Goal

| Goal # | Description | Type of Goal |
|---|---|---|
| 3 | Provide a safe and supportive environment for students to thrive. | Broad Goal |

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Priority 2: State Standards (Conditions of Learning)
Priority 4: Pupil Achievement (Pupil Outcomes)
Priority 5: Pupil Engagement (Engagement)
Priority 6: School Climate (Engagement)

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Parents/Guardians, Students, Teachers expressed their appreciation through Thought Exchange and WPCS LCAP nights, and see the benefits of the support of a School Counselor/School Social Workers to meet the social/emotional needs of the students and encourage a positive environment with Restorative Practices.

## Measuring and Reporting Results

| Metric # | Metric | Baseline | Year 1 Outcome | Year 2 Outcome | Target for Year 3 Outcome | Current Difference from Baseline |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 3.1 | Participation in counseling groups - # of student being supported by School Counselor/School Social Worker by Tri 2 | 2023-2024 by Trimester 2<br># of students in groups = 96<br># of student in individual = 19<br># group sessions = 328<br># individual meetings = 131 | 2024-2025 by Trimester 2<br># of students in groups = 130<br># of student in individual = 130<br># group sessions = 134<br># individual meetings = 148 | 2025-2026 by Trimester 2<br># of students in groups = 224<br># of student in individual = 202<br># group sessions = 223<br># individual meetings = 220 | 2026-2027 by Trimester 2<br># of students being supported by School Counselor/School Social Worker by T2, Maintain/Exceed<br># of students in groups = 96 total<br># of student in individual = 19 total | 2024-2025<br># of students in groups = +34<br># of student in individual = +111<br># group sessions = -194<br># individual meetings = +17<br><br>2025-2026<br># of students in groups = +128<br># of student in individual = +183 |

| Metric # | Metric | Baseline | Year 1 Outcome | Year 2 Outcome | Target for Year 3 Outcome | Current Difference from Baseline |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | # group sessions = 328 sessions<br># individual meetings = 131 | # group sessions = -105<br># individual meetings = +89 |
| 3.2 | Attendance rate | 2023-2024<br>Baseline 93.65% | 2024-2025<br>As of 4/28/25: 94.33% | 2025-2026<br>As of 5/1/26: 91.4% | 2026-2027<br>Maintains/Exceeds 94% | 2024-2025<br>Attendance: -2.25% |
| 3.3 | Suspension rate | 2023-2034<br>Baseline 8.4% | 2024-2025<br>As of 4/28/25: 9.63% | 2025-2026<br>As of 5/1/26: 6.08% | 2026-2027<br>5% | 2024-2025<br>Suspension: -2.32% |
| 3.4 | California Healthy Kids Survey | 2023-2024 5 Key Indicators Baseline<br>School connectedness: 59%<br>Meaningful participation: 34%<br>Safe school perception: 64%<br>Decrease harassment/bullying: 56%<br>Decrease mean rumors/lies: 60% | 2024-2025 5 Key Indicators as of 4/28/25<br>School connectedness: 55.5%<br>Meaningful participation: 31.5%<br>Safe school perception: 58%<br>Decrease harassment/bullying: 54%<br>Decrease mean rumors/lies: 57% | 2025-2026<br>As of 5/1/26, the data has not been shared from the California healthy kids survey. | 2026-2027 5 Key Indicators Baseline<br>School connectedness: 59%<br>Meaningful participation: 34%<br>Safe school perception: 64%<br>Decrease harassment/bullying: 56%<br>Decrease mean rumors/lies: 44%<br>Increase coping skills to decrease chronic sadness and hopelessness: 33% | 2024-2025<br>School connectedness : -3.5%<br>Meaningful participation: -2.5%<br>Safe school perception: -6%<br>Decrease harassment/bullying: -2%<br>Decrease mean rumors/lies: -3%<br><br>2025-2026 |
| 3.5 | Participation in staff restorative practices skills | 2023-2024 Baseline<br># of Community Meetings held in the classrooms = 8<br># of restorative meetings = 33 | 2024-2025 as of 4/27/25<br># of Community Meetings held in the classrooms = 4<br># of restorative meetings = 10 | 2025-2026<br>As of 5/1/2026<br># of Community Meetings held in the classrooms = 21 | From 23-24<br>Baseline growth in implementation by 3% yearly. | 2024-2025<br># of Community Meetings held in the classrooms = -4<br># of restorative meetings = -23 |

| Metric # | Metric | Baseline | Year 1 Outcome | Year 2 Outcome | Target for Year 3 Outcome | Current Difference from Baseline |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | # of restorative meetings = 9 | | 2025-2026<br># of Community Meetings held in the classrooms = +13<br># of restorative meetings = -24 |

# Goal Analysis [2025-26]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

According to the 2025-2026 Trimester 2 data, our counselors worked with more students individually and in groups. While she held less group sessions, her individual meetings with students increased. Overall, attendance decreased by 2.25% and suspension rates are better by 2.32%. From the California Healthy Kids Survey, students feel less connected, feel they participate less, less students feel the school is safe. In addition, less students feel like bullying and harassment decreased and there is a decrease in mean rumors and lies.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

Action 3.1 - Cost increase for salary/benefits for counselor positions
Action 3.2 - Cost increase for salary/benefite for the BIS position
Action 3.3 - Less in-person meetings held which decreased the costs for mileage and snacks.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

Our school counselor was able to meet with more students individually this past school year. She met with less with students in groups. She also held less restorative meetings and less community meetings overall.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

We plan to continue all current services.

**A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.**

# Actions

| Action # | Title | Description | Total Funds | Contributing |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| **3.1** | Social Worker/Counselor | Social Worker/Counselor/Student Support Teacher support for students including but not limited to: life skills, anti-bullying, community resources, crisis intervention and academic support. Counselor also provides counseling support with academic success plans, interventions, and recognition strategies.<br><br>S/C Add-on $2,512; LREBG: $266,809. | $269,321.00 | Yes |
| **3.2** | Extra Yard Duty /Site Safety/ PBIS | Extra yard duty/supervision/Improve safety through services through a behavior intervention specialist, training, or materials/equipment (including surveillance cameras and equipment) and PBIS incentives or any school incentives.<br><br>S/C Funds $2,080 | $2,080.00 | Yes |
| **3.3** | Administrative | Indirect Costs/Admin needs including but not limited to snacks during meetings, mileage to other campuses, postage, and any materials needed for meetings involving staff but not parents.<br><br>S/C Funds $181,294; LREBG: $21,136 | $202,430.00 | Yes |
| **3.4** | Safe Environment supplies | Ensure all students learn in an environment that is clean, safe, and secure, by fostering a positive and healthy school environment that will include purchases of supplies and materials and provide/receive services. | | |

# Goals and Actions

## Goal

| Goal # | Description | Type of Goal |
|---|---|---|
| 4 | Increase WPCS Parent Engagement. | Broad Goal |

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Priority 3: Parental Involvement (Engagement)
Priority 4: Pupil Achievement (Pupil Outcomes)
Priority 5: Pupil Engagement (Engagement)
Priority 6: School Climate (Engagement)
Priority 8: Other Pupil Outcomes (Pupil Outcomes)

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Research shows that parent's/guardian's involvement at school has a positive impact on a student's educational process.

## Measuring and Reporting Results

| Metric # | Metric | Baseline | Year 1 Outcome | Year 2 Outcome | Target for Year 3 Outcome | Current Difference from Baseline |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 4.1 | Number of Parent hours completed by Trimester 2 | 2023-2024<br>By Trimester 2, 1% of Parents completed 11 - 20+ hours. | 2024-2025<br>By Trimester 2, .008% of Parents completed 11 - 20+ hours. (4 parents) | 2025-2026<br>By Trimester 2, .009% of Parents completed 11 - 20+ hours. (5 parents) | 2026-2027<br><br>50% of parents completed 11-20 hours by trimester 2. | 2025: -0.92%<br><br>2026: -.001% |
| 4.2 | Family Participation in family events and/or classes | 2023-2024<br>Back to School Night 0% attended BTSN.<br><br>LCAP Night: 0% Parents did not attend. | 2024-2025<br>Back to School Night: 40% attended BTSN<br><br>LCAP Night: .002% Parents attended (1 parent) | 2025-2026<br>Back to School Night: 53% attended BTSN<br><br>LCAP Night: 0% Parents attended (0 parents) | 2026 - 2027<br><br>Back to School Night: + 10%<br><br>LCAP Night: 10% parents attend | 2025:<br>Back to School Night: +53%<br><br>LCAP Night: 0% |

| Metric # | Metric | Baseline | Year 1 Outcome | Year 2 Outcome | Target for Year 3 Outcome | Current Difference from Baseline |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Open House: No data. Collected after LCAP submitted | Open House: No data. Collected after LCAP submitted | Open House: No data. Collected after LCAP submitted | Open House: baseline + 10% of actual data from 2023-2024 | |

# Goal Analysis [2025-26]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

This year, one more parent completed 11-20 hours for a total of 5. For our baseline year, the number of parents attending back to school night was not documented. This year, approximately 53% of parents attended back to school night and no parents attended LCAP night. Currently, there is no open house data collected.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

Action 4.1 - more money was used toward translation services at all four sites.
Action 4.2 - more family-friendly opportunities were planned which increased our spending.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

Outside of Back to school night, parent engagement increased slightly.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

No planned changes.

**A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.**

# Actions

| Action # | Title | Description | Total Funds | Contributing |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **4.1** | Communication | Communication through face-to-face meetings, newsletters, web, phone, email, and other to translate for EL student families | $0.00 | No |
| **4.2** | Family Events/ Communication to Families | Family events, parenting classes, volunteer opportunities, school sponsored events, and recognition. Snacks/light refreshments for meetings involving parents; Communication through face-to-face meetings, newsletters, web, phone, email, and other to translate for EL student families.<br><br>Title 1 (PFE) $2,197 | $2,197.00 | No |

# Goals and Actions

## Goal

| Goal # | Description | Type of Goal |
|---|---|---|
| 5 | Recruit, HIre and Retain High Quality Staff | Broad Goal |

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Priority 1: Basic (Conditions of Learning)

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Educational Partner input from all groups in this year's engagements prioritized increasing salary and benefits in order to recruit staff and reatin them to ensure that high quality staff were placed in positions to support our students.

## Measuring and Reporting Results

| Metric # | Metric | Baseline | Year 1 Outcome | Year 2 Outcome | Target for Year 3 Outcome | Current Difference from Baseline |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 5.1 | Staff Retention Rate year 3 target of 95% or better | 2025-2026 Percentage of both Certificated and Classifed Staff Retained:<br><br>Eastside Campus: 88%<br>Frontier Campus: 100%<br>Regency Park Campus: 100%<br>Westside Campus: 100% | | | 2028-2029:<br><br>100% staff retention at Eastside Campus and maintain 100% staff retention at Frontier, Regency Park and Westside Campuses. | |

# Goal Analysis [2025-26]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

N/A - new Goal for 2026-27.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

N/A - new Goal for 2026-27.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

N/A - new Goal for 2026-27.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

N/A - new Goal for 2026-27.

**A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.**

# Actions

| Action # | Title | Description | Total Funds | Contributing |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| **5.1** | Salary and Benefits Compensation Increase | In order to retain staff and recruit new staff to fill vacant positions, the district will implement a 7% salary increase and an increase to employee benefits contribution<br>S/C $248,144 | $248,144.00 | Yes |

# Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students [2026-27]

| Total Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants | Projected Additional 15 percent LCFF Concentration Grant |
|---|---|
| $1,623,777 | $195,350 |

**Required Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the LCAP Year**

| Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year | LCFF Carryover — Percentage | LCFF Carryover — Dollar | Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year |
|---|---|---|---|
| 34.562% | 0.000% | $0.00 | 34.562% |

**The Budgeted Expenditures for Actions identified as Contributing may be found in the Contributing Actions Table.**

## Required Descriptions

### *LEA-wide and Schoolwide Actions*

For each action being provided to an entire LEA or school, provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s) and why it is being provided on an LEA or schoolwide basis, and (3) the metric(s) used to measure the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s).

| Goal and Action # | Identified Need(s) | How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis | Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness |
|---|---|---|---|
| **1.1** | **Action:**<br>Guidance Learning Specialist<br><br>**Need:**<br>Need administrative support for systems at all four campuses.<br><br>**Scope:** | The GLS supports unduplicated students with social/emotional needs and academic counseling as well as the WPCS principals by providing data and PD to the staff when necessary. | Increase in student and schoolwide data: SBAC, Local assessment, parent involvement, and decrease in suspension rate. |

| Goal and Action # | Identified Need(s) | How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis | Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness |
|---|---|---|---|
| | Schoolwide | | |
| **1.2** | **Action:**<br>Professional Development<br><br>**Need:**<br>Need Professional Development for teacher implementing and supporting students in their electives and beyond.<br><br>**Scope:**<br>Schoolwide | Teachers are provided funding for professional development to support student learning including SEL training. | Increase in student academics as measured by SBAC and local assessments. Also, an increase in students participating in AVID activities. |
| **1.3** | **Action:**<br>Supplemental Supplies<br><br>**Need:**<br>Supplemental materials are needed for all students to increase academic achievement in all subject areas.<br><br>**Scope:**<br>Schoolwide | Supplemental supplies address what our students need in the classroom and for tutoring. | Increase in student academics as measured by SBAC and local assessments. Also, an increase in students participating in PBIS incentives and activities. |
| **1.4** | **Action:**<br>Technology<br><br>**Need:**<br>Staff and students need access to the latest technololy to operate day to day with students.<br><br>**Scope:**<br>Schoolwide | Technology is necessary for student academic success as students need to accesss curriuculum and supplemental curriculum. | Increase in student academics as measured by SBAC and local assessments. Also, an increase in student trimester and progress reports as students will have access to the materials and supplies needed to be successful as a student. |

| Goal and Action # | Identified Need(s) | How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis | Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness |
|---|---|---|---|
| **1.5** | **Action:**<br>Stipends and Extra Duties<br><br>**Need:**<br>Stipends and extra duty hours worked are necessary to plan and complete paperwork associated with the extra curricular activities and complete supports needed to engage students in social emotional learning, positive behavior intervention supports, and preparing students to be college and career ready.<br><br>**Scope:**<br>Schoolwide | Staff working extra hours and receiving stipend for the work collect data, plan and coordinate events and trips to support student learning, and increase positive behavior and engagement. | Increase in student academics as measured by SBAC and local assessments and a decrease in suspension rates. |
| **1.7** | **Action:**<br>Class Size Reduction<br><br>**Need:**<br>Students need to be in an environment that is conducive to learning. Keeping classroom sizes to a smaller amount provides the optimal learning environment for students.<br><br>**Scope:**<br>Schoolwide | Lower class sizes are more manageable. Teachers can provide more individualized support with less students in a classroom. | Increase in student academics as measured by SBAC and local assessments, increase in student engagement in PBIS, and decrease in suspension rates. |
| **1.8** | **Action:**<br>Licenses<br><br>**Need:**<br>Extra supports for our students contribute to their acacdemic progress within and outside the classroom. | These site license options provide more opportunity for our students to reach proficiency in state standards. | Increase in student academics as measured by SBAC and local assessments. |

| Goal and Action # | Identified Need(s) | How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis | Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness |
|---|---|---|---|
| | **Scope:**<br>Schoolwide | | |
| **2.1** | **Action:**<br>Field Trips<br><br>**Need:**<br>Our students need opportunites that they may not get exposure to within their family/community environment.<br><br>**Scope:**<br>Schoolwide | Field trips provide opportunities for our students outside the classroom environment. | Students participating in field trips, assemblies, and earning PBIS rewards through staff collection. |
| **2.2** | **Action:**<br>Assemblies/Rallies<br><br>**Need:**<br><br>**Scope:**<br>Schoolwide | | |
| **3.1** | **Action:**<br>Social Worker/Counselor<br><br>**Need:**<br>Through thought exchange and WPCS LCAP Nights, and Schoolwide Needs assessment, the support of a school counselor/social worker will meet the Social Emotional Needs of the unduplicated students and encourage a positive environment with restorative practices. | Data indicates that students need a neutral voice. Behaviors reduce with a site counselor or social worker on site for students to access. | Thought Exchange, # of students impacted by social worker and counselor hired (i.e. Groups, Classroom presentations, Restorative meetings). |

| Goal and Action # | Identified Need(s) | How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis | Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness |
|---|---|---|---|
| | **Scope:**<br>Schoolwide | | |
| **3.2** | **Action:**<br>Extra Yard Duty /Site Safety/ PBIS<br><br>**Need:**<br>WPCS needs extra support in student supervision and campus safetey.<br><br>**Scope:**<br>Schoolwide | According to data collected from both staff and engaged partners, most feel that campus safety, and non-punitive actions will be most successful with our students. | WPCS parent night and LCAP feedback/survey opportunites will best help guide decisions in this area. |
| **3.3** | **Action:**<br>Administrative<br><br>**Need:**<br>Various administrative tasks are required between each campus. Staff travel from campus to campus for staff, PLC, collaboration meetings, and indirect costs.<br><br>**Scope:**<br>Schoolwide | Collaboration is necessary for staff to ensure students are provided opportunities offered in our Charter. | Student participation data and staff attendance. |
| **5.1** | **Action:**<br>Salary and Benefits Compensation Increase<br><br>**Need:**<br>Need to ensure that highly qualified staff are retained and recruited.<br><br>**Scope:** | Highly qualified teachers need to be retained and recruited to fill vacant positions. | Staff retention rate year 3 target of 95% or better. |

| Goal and Action # | Identified Need(s) | How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis | Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness |
|---|---|---|---|
| | Schoolwide | | |

## *Limited Actions*

For each action being solely provided to one or more unduplicated student group(s), provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s), and (3) how the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s) will be measured.

| Goal and Action # | Identified Need(s) | How the Action(s) are Designed to Address Need(s) | Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness |
|---|---|---|---|

For any limited action contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement that is associated with a Planned Percentage of Improved Services in the Contributing Summary Table rather than an expenditure of LCFF funds, describe the methodology that was used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage, as applicable.

Goal 1: Enable Students to Meet the High Academic Expectations of Westside Preparatory Charter School and Common Core State Standards. Professional development is provided on key initiatives through Professional Learning Communities (PLC's), CCSS Based Assessments, Instructional Best Practices, High Quality First Instruction, and other means to ensure increased academic performance for all students, with an emphasis on unduplicated students. WPCS will continue implementation of Professional Learning Communities (PLCs) and subsequent professional development, as well as the collaboration, and data analysis that results from the system. For low-income pupils and specific unduplicated student groups, training and services will be provided to improve student access, enrollment and success in rigorous courses, and other indicators of academic success. Funds will continue to be expended on an instructional support team to improve instruction across WPCS. With the demands of Common Core, and the need to increase student achievement school-wide, the instructional support team will continue to model lessons, provide feedback to teachers, co-teach, and support professional development to improve the learning of unduplicated students. Interventions are available for students before, during and after school. These are provided by certificated staff to help students achieve their goals. Student recognition motivates and reinforces effort and achievement. In order to increase the college going atmosphere at our school through actual, meaningful activities, WPCS will continue to provide and expand services with an emphasis on unduplicated pupils. Students are provided with opportunities to participate in a variety of enrichment activities such as Project Lead the Way, AVID, and other related academic areas. Funds are used to purchase supplemental materials and supplies, along with professional development described above. Twenty first century learning strategies improve academics through programs such iReady ELA and Math IXL. Technology supports digital learning endeavors and upkeep of equipment is critical to this learning. WPCS strengthens middle school students through our charter program experiences. Staff receive stipends to organize, coordinate and lead these additional opportunities as well as monitor student progress, growth and needs in academics, behavior and attendance. Extra clerical support enables

our records to be kept current to monitor impact. Research shows that students that are engaged in school have an increased attendance rate and thus have an increased opportunity to learn. This leads to higher achievement.

Goal 2:  Ensure college and career readiness exposure with learning beyond the classroom experiences. We recognize that many of students have had minimal opportunities to cultivate experiential knowledge. Our charter school provides field study days and community exploration connections as part of our program. In the past, families were responsible to provide the field study experience. With a high amount of unduplicated students unable to receive family support for this, we have added School-wide field study trips and funded admission as well as transportation. We also fund additional school wide opportunities through field trips, assemblies, guest speakers to enhance exposure and increase learning. We supplement both academics and career exploration with these additional experiences. There will be after school enrichment through clubs, integrating the arts, science and study skills. All activities are open to every student but will be dedicated to giving our unduplicated students access to language enriched opportunities and increased experiential knowledge. Research shows that students who are more active and involved in school perform better academically.

Goal 3: Provide a Safe and Supportive Environment for Students to Thrive with Educational Partners to continue to  reinforce the need for each campus to have a Pupil Personnel Services Credentialed staff such as a school counselor and/or a social worker in order to strengthen areas of need such as life skills, anti-bullying, conflict resolution, community resources, crisis intervention and social emotional learning. Support will be heightened through assemblies, guest speakers and recognition strategies in these areas. Restorative practices provide a foundation of social emotional learning strategies. Additional training, supervision, materials and equipment ensure the maintenance of safe school environments. Research shows that students who feel safe at school show higher rates of academic achievement. WPCS Pupil Personnel Staff provides academic support in counseling through academic success plans, interventions and recognition strategies to all students, with an emphasis on unduplicated students. They have access to Pupil Personnel Staff, with one at each campus, when they are experiencing difficulties meeting the rigorous standards. This provides support above the base staffing. Consultation and collaboration between student, staff and families creates a plan with specific goals to enable success.

Goal 4: Engage Families as an Integral Part of the Educational Process. WPCS provides involvement opportunities for parents. Communication is a key part of creating effective partnerships between families and school. Our Educational Partners prioritized a variety of improved strategies to reach all families such as our website, face to face meetings, phone calls, parenting classes and family events. While communication and participation in events is open to all, an emphasis will be made to reach out to include families who might not be able to access due to language and/or financial barriers. Volunteer opportunities are accessible to our families including funding admission, transportation or other related fees for families of unduplicated students. Translation services are made available to our EL and RFEP student’s families. Parents are encouraged to be actively involved in all aspects of their child’s education. They have numerous opportunities to volunteer in a variety of ways. Research by Epstein confirms the need to strengthen family connections and include parents as decision makers, support for parenting and academic achievement.

Because our projected unduplicated student percentage is 76.28%, our programs are school-wide and benefit all students. Unduplicated students receive priority in programs that have limited availability.

## Additional Concentration Grant Funding

A description of the plan for how the additional concentration grant add-on funding identified above will be used to increase the number of staff providing direct services to students at schools that have a high concentration (above 55 percent) of foster youth, English learners, and low-income students, as applicable.

The additional concentration add-on funding is being used for a Counselor/Social Worker position (3.1) and Guidance Learning Specialist position (1.1) as outlined in the HR Staffing Handbook.

| **Staff-to-student ratios by type of school and concentration of unduplicated students** | Schools with a student concentration of 55 percent or less | Schools with a student concentration of greater than 55 percent |
|---|---|---|
| Staff-to-student ratio of classified staff providing direct services to students | None | Only 1 school |
| Staff-to-student ratio of certificated staff providing direct services to students | None | Only 1 school |

# 2026-27 Total Planned Expenditures Table

| LCAP Year | 1. Projected LCFF Base Grant (Input Dollar Amount) | 2. Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (Input Dollar Amount) | 3. Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (2 divided by 1) | LCFF Carryover — Percentage (Input Percentage from Prior Year) | Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (3 + Carryover %) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Totals | 4,698,156 | 1,623,777 | 34.562% | 0.000% | 34.562% |

| Totals | LCFF Funds | Other State Funds | Local Funds | Federal Funds | Total Funds | Total Personnel | Total Non-personnel |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Totals | $1,623,777.00 | $292,442.00 | $0.00 | $127,461.00 | $2,043,680.00 | $1,534,433.00 | $509,247.00 |

| Goal # | Action # | Action Title | Student Group(s) | Contributing to Increased or Improved Services? | Scope | Unduplicated Student Group(s) | Location | Time Span | Total Personnel | Total Non-personnel | LCFF Funds | Other State Funds | Local Funds | Federal Funds | Total Funds | Planned Percentage of Improved Services |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1.1 | Guidance Learning Specialist | English Learners Foster Youth Low Income | Yes | School wide | English Learners Foster Youth Low Income | All Schools | | $116,838.00 | $0.00 | $116,838.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 | $116,838.00 | |
| 1 | 1.2 | Professional Development | English Learners Foster Youth Low Income | Yes | School wide | English Learners Foster Youth Low Income | All Schools | | $0.00 | $13,000.00 | $13,000.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 | $13,000.00 | |
| 1 | 1.3 | Supplemental Supplies | English Learners Foster Youth Low Income | Yes | School wide | English Learners Foster Youth Low Income | All Schools | | $0.00 | $167,252.00 | $119,970.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 | $47,282.00 | $167,252.00 | |
| 1 | 1.4 | Technology | English Learners Foster Youth Low Income | Yes | School wide | English Learners Foster Youth Low Income | All Schools | | $0.00 | $9,497.00 | $1,000.00 | $4,497.00 | $0.00 | $4,000.00 | $9,497.00 | |
| 1 | 1.5 | Stipends and Extra Duties | English Learners Foster Youth Low Income | Yes | School wide | English Learners Foster Youth Low Income | All Schools | | $139,352.00 | $0.00 | $114,856.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 | $24,496.00 | $139,352.00 | |
| 1 | 1.6 | AISB | All English Learners | No | | | All Schools | | $36,000.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 | $36,000.00 | $36,000.00 | |
| 1 | 1.7 | Class Size Reduction | English Learners Foster Youth Low Income | Yes | School wide | English Learners Foster Youth Low Income | All Schools | | $722,698.00 | $0.00 | $722,698.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 | $722,698.00 | |
| 1 | 1.8 | Licenses | English Learners Foster Youth Low Income | Yes | School wide | English Learners Foster Youth Low Income | All Schools | | $0.00 | $24,116.00 | $11,500.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 | $12,616.00 | $24,116.00 | |

| Goal # | Action # | Action Title | Student Group(s) | Contributing to Increased or Improved Services? | Scope | Unduplicated Student Group(s) | Location | Time Span | Total Personnel | Total Non-personnel | LCFF Funds | Other State Funds | Local Funds | Federal Funds | Total Funds | Planned Percentage of Improved Services |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 2 | 2.1 | Field Trips | English Learners<br>Foster Youth<br>Low Income | Yes | School wide | English Learners<br>Foster Youth<br>Low Income | All Schools | | $0.00 | $81,885.00 | $81,885.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 | $81,885.00 | |
| 2 | 2.2 | Assemblies/Rallies | English Learners<br>Foster Youth<br>Low Income | Yes | School wide | English Learners<br>Foster Youth<br>Low Income | All Schools | | $0.00 | $8,870.00 | $8,000.00 | | | $870.00 | $8,870.00 | |
| 3 | 3.1 | Social Worker/Counselor | English Learners<br>Foster Youth<br>Low Income | Yes | School wide | English Learners<br>Foster Youth<br>Low Income | All Schools | | $269,321.00 | $0.00 | $2,512.00 | $266,809.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 | $269,321.00 | |
| 3 | 3.2 | Extra Yard Duty /Site Safety/ PBIS | English Learners<br>Foster Youth<br>Low Income | Yes | School wide | English Learners<br>Foster Youth<br>Low Income | All Schools | | $2,080.00 | $0.00 | $2,080.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 | $2,080.00 | |
| 3 | 3.3 | Administrative | English Learners<br>Foster Youth<br>Low Income | Yes | School wide | English Learners<br>Foster Youth<br>Low Income | All Schools | | $0.00 | $202,430.00 | $181,294.00 | $21,136.00 | | | $202,430.00 | |
| 3 | 3.4 | Safe Environment supplies | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | 4.1 | Communication | All | No | | | All Schools | | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 | |
| 4 | 4.2 | Family Events/ Communication to Families | All | No | | | All Schools | | $0.00 | $2,197.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 | $2,197.00 | $2,197.00 | |
| 5 | 5.1 | Salary and Benefits Compensation Increase | | Yes | School wide | | | | $248,144.00 | $0.00 | $248,144.00 | | | | $248,144.00 | |

# 2026-27 Contributing Actions Table

| 1. Projected LCFF Base Grant | 2. Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants | 3. Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (2 divided by 1) | LCFF Carryover — Percentage (Percentage from Prior Year) | Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (3 + Carryover %) | 4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds) | 5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%) | Planned Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (4 divided by 1, plus 5) | Totals by Type | Total LCFF Funds |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 4,698,156 | 1,623,777 | 34.562% | 0.000% | 34.562% | $1,623,777.00 | 0.000% | 34.562 % | **Total:** | $1,623,777.00 |
| | | | | | | | | **LEA-wide Total:** | $0.00 |
| | | | | | | | | **Limited Total:** | $0.00 |
| | | | | | | | | **Schoolwide Total:** | $1,623,777.00 |

| Goal | Action # | Action Title | Contributing to Increased or Improved Services? | Scope | Unduplicated Student Group(s) | Location | Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds) | Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **1** | **1.1** | Guidance Learning Specialist | Yes | Schoolwide | English Learners<br>Foster Youth<br>Low Income | All Schools | $116,838.00 | |
| **1** | **1.2** | Professional Development | Yes | Schoolwide | English Learners<br>Foster Youth<br>Low Income | All Schools | $13,000.00 | |
| **1** | **1.3** | Supplemental Supplies | Yes | Schoolwide | English Learners<br>Foster Youth<br>Low Income | All Schools | $119,970.00 | |
| **1** | **1.4** | Technology | Yes | Schoolwide | English Learners<br>Foster Youth<br>Low Income | All Schools | $1,000.00 | |
| **1** | **1.5** | Stipends and Extra Duties | Yes | Schoolwide | English Learners<br>Foster Youth<br>Low Income | All Schools | $114,856.00 | |
| **1** | **1.7** | Class Size Reduction | Yes | Schoolwide | English Learners<br>Foster Youth<br>Low Income | All Schools | $722,698.00 | |
| **1** | **1.8** | Licenses | Yes | Schoolwide | English Learners<br>Foster Youth | All Schools | $11,500.00 | |

| Goal | Action # | Action Title | Contributing to Increased or Improved Services? | Scope | Unduplicated Student Group(s) | Location | Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds) | Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | Low Income | | | |
| **2** | **2.1** | Field Trips | Yes | Schoolwide | English Learners<br>Foster Youth<br>Low Income | All Schools | $81,885.00 | |
| **2** | **2.2** | Assemblies/Rallies | Yes | Schoolwide | English Learners<br>Foster Youth<br>Low Income | All Schools | $8,000.00 | |
| **3** | **3.1** | Social Worker/Counselor | Yes | Schoolwide | English Learners<br>Foster Youth<br>Low Income | All Schools | $2,512.00 | |
| **3** | **3.2** | Extra Yard Duty /Site Safety/ PBIS | Yes | Schoolwide | English Learners<br>Foster Youth<br>Low Income | All Schools | $2,080.00 | |
| **3** | **3.3** | Administrative | Yes | Schoolwide | English Learners<br>Foster Youth<br>Low Income | All Schools | $181,294.00 | |
| **5** | **5.1** | Salary and Benefits Compensation Increase | Yes | Schoolwide | | | $248,144.00 | |

# 2025-26 Annual Update Table

| Totals | Last Year's Total Planned Expenditures (Total Funds) | Total Estimated Expenditures (Total Funds) |
|---|---|---|
| **Totals** | $1,862,014.00 | $1,708,329.00 |

| Last Year's Goal # | Last Year's Action # | Prior Action/Service Title | Contributed to Increased or Improved Services? | Last Year's Planned Expenditures (Total Funds) | Estimated Actual Expenditures (Input Total Funds) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **1** | **1.1** | Guidance Learning Specialist | Yes | $98,525.00 | $115,842.00 |
| **1** | **1.2** | Professional Development | Yes | $106,000 | $15,220.00 |
| **1** | **1.3** | Supplemental Supplies | Yes | $288,485.00 | $287,704.00 |
| **1** | **1.4** | Technology | Yes | $33,863.00 | $44,014.00 |
| **1** | **1.5** | Stipends and Extra Duties | Yes | $141,259.00 | $126,429.00 |
| **1** | **1.6** | AISB | No | $7,209.00 | $7,462.00 |
| **1** | **1.7** | Class Size Reduction | Yes | $523,479.00 | $526,409.00 |
| **1** | **1.8** | Licenses | Yes | $28,963.00 | $20,267.00 |
| **2** | **2.1** | Field Trips | Yes | $209,139.00 | $127,424 |
| **3** | **3.1** | Social Worker/Counselor | Yes | $248,422.00 | $302,816.00 |
| **3** | **3.2** | Extra Yard Duty /Site Safety/ PBIS | Yes | $37,608.00 | $61,334.00 |

| Last Year's Goal # | Last Year's Action # | Prior Action/Service Title | Contributed to Increased or Improved Services? | Last Year's Planned Expenditures (Total Funds) | Estimated Actual Expenditures (Input Total Funds) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | |
| **3** | **3.3** | Administrative | Yes | $135,786.00 | $69,035 |
| **4** | **4.1** | Communication | No | $1,720.00 | $2,125.00 |
| **4** | **4.2** | Family Events/ Communication to Families | No | $1,556.00 | $2,248.00 |

# 2025-26 Contributing Actions Annual Update Table

| 6. Estimated LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (Input Dollar Amount) | 4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds) | 7. Total Estimated Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds) | Difference Between Planned and Estimated Expenditures for Contributing Actions (Subtract 7 from 4) | 5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%) | 8. Total Estimated Percentage of Improved Services (%) | Difference Between Planned and Estimated Percentage of Improved Services (Subtract 5 from 8) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| $1,659,322 | $1,657,336.00 | $1,659,322.00 | ($1,986.00) | 0.000% | 0.000% | 0.000% |

| Last Year's Goal # | Last Year's Action # | Prior Action/Service Title | Contributing to Increased or Improved Services? | Last Year's Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds) | Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (Input LCFF Funds) | Planned Percentage of Improved Services | Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (Input Percentage) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1.1 | Guidance Learning Specialist | Yes | $98,525.00 | $115,842.00 | | |
| 1 | 1.2 | Professional Development | Yes | $27,000.00 | $4,515.00 | | |
| 1 | 1.3 | Supplemental Supplies | Yes | $233,447.00 | $287,704.00 | | |
| 1 | 1.4 | Technology | Yes | $33,863.00 | $44,012.00 | | |
| 1 | 1.5 | Stipends and Extra Duties | Yes | $98,934.00 | $99,964.00 | | |
| 1 | 1.7 | Class Size Reduction | Yes | $523,479.00 | $526,409.00 | | |
| 1 | 1.8 | Licenses | Yes | $23,000.00 | $20,267.00 | | |
| 2 | 2.1 | Field Trips | Yes | $209,139.00 | $127,424 | | |
| 3 | 3.1 | Social Worker/Counselor | Yes | $248,422.00 | $302,816.00 | | |
| 3 | 3.2 | Extra Yard Duty /Site Safety/ PBIS | Yes | $31,741.00 | $61,334.00 | | |
| 3 | 3.3 | Administrative | Yes | $129,786.00 | $69,035.00 | | |

# 2025-26 LCFF Carryover Table

| 9. Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (Input Dollar Amount) | 6. Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants | LCFF Carryover — Percentage (Percentage from Prior Year) | 10. Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Current School Year (6 divided by 9 + Carryover %) | 7. Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds) | 8. Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (%) | 11. Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (7 divided by 9, plus 8) | 12. LCFF Carryover — Dollar Amount (Subtract 11 from 10 and multiply by 9) | 13. LCFF Carryover — Percentage (12 divided by 9) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 4898583 | $1,659,322 | 0 | 33.874% | $1,659,322.00 | 0.000% | 33.874% | $0.00 | 0.000% |

# Local Control and Accountability Plan Instructions

Plan Summary

Engaging Educational Partners

Goals and Actions

Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students

*For additional questions or technical assistance related to the completion of the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) template, please contact the local county office of education (COE), or the California Department of Education's (CDE's) Local Agency Systems Support Office, by phone at 916-319-0809 or by email at LCFF@cde.ca.gov.*

# Introduction and Instructions

The Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) requires local educational agencies (LEAs) to engage their local educational partners in an annual planning process to evaluate their progress within eight state priority areas encompassing all statutory metrics (COEs have 10 state priorities). LEAs document the results of this planning process in the LCAP using the template adopted by the State Board of Education.

The LCAP development process serves three distinct, but related functions:

- **Comprehensive Strategic Planning:** The process of developing and annually updating the LCAP supports comprehensive strategic planning, particularly to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the California School Dashboard (California Education Code [EC] Section 52064[e][1]). Strategic planning that is comprehensive connects budgetary decisions to teaching and learning performance data. LEAs should continually evaluate the hard choices they make about the use of limited resources to meet student and community needs to ensure opportunities and outcomes are improved for all students.

- **Meaningful Engagement of Educational Partners:** The LCAP development process should result in an LCAP that reflects decisions made through meaningful engagement (EC Section 52064[e][1]). Local educational partners possess valuable perspectives and insights about an LEA's programs and services. Effective strategic planning will incorporate these perspectives and insights in order to identify potential goals and actions to be included in the LCAP.

- **Accountability and Compliance:** The LCAP serves an important accountability function because the nature of some LCAP template sections require LEAs to show that they have complied with various requirements specified in the LCFF statutes and regulations, most notably:

    - Demonstrating that LEAs are increasing or improving services for foster youth, English learners, including long-term English learners, and low-income students in proportion to the amount of additional funding those students generate under LCFF (EC Section 52064[b][4-6]).

    - Establishing goals, supported by actions and related expenditures, that address the statutory priority areas and statutory metrics (EC sections 52064[b][1] and [2]).

        - **NOTE:** As specified in EC Section 62064(b)(1), the LCAP must provide a description of the annual goals, for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils identified pursuant to EC Section 52052, to be achieved for each of the state priorities. Beginning in 2023–24, EC

Section 52052 identifies long-term English learners as a separate and distinct pupil subgroup with a numerical significance at 15 students.

- Annually reviewing and updating the LCAP to reflect progress toward the goals (EC Section 52064[b][7]).
- Ensuring that all increases attributable to supplemental and concentration grant calculations, including concentration grant add-on funding and/or LCFF carryover, are reflected in the LCAP (EC sections 52064[b][6], [8], and [11]).

The LCAP template, like each LEA's final adopted LCAP, is a document, not a process. LEAs must use the template to memorialize the outcome of their LCAP development process, which must: (a) reflect comprehensive strategic planning, particularly to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the California School Dashboard (Dashboard), (b) through meaningful engagement with educational partners that (c) meets legal requirements, as reflected in the final adopted LCAP. The sections included within the LCAP template do not and cannot reflect the full development process, just as the LCAP template itself is not intended as a tool for engaging educational partners.

If a county superintendent of schools has jurisdiction over a single school district, the county board of education and the governing board of the school district may adopt and file for review and approval a single LCAP consistent with the requirements in EC sections 52060, 52062, 52066, 52068, and 52070. The LCAP must clearly articulate to which entity's budget (school district or county superintendent of schools) all budgeted and actual expenditures are aligned.

The revised LCAP template for the 2024–25, 2025–26, and 2026–27 school years reflects statutory changes made through Senate Bill 114 (Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review), Chapter 48, Statutes of 2023 and Senate Bill 153, Chapter 38, Statues of 2024.

At its most basic, the adopted LCAP should attempt to distill not just what the LEA is doing for students in transitional kindergarten through grade twelve (TK–12), but also allow educational partners to understand why, and whether those strategies are leading to improved opportunities and outcomes for students. LEAs are strongly encouraged to use language and a level of detail in their adopted LCAPs intended to be meaningful and accessible for the LEA's diverse educational partners and the broader public.

In developing and finalizing the LCAP for adoption, LEAs are encouraged to keep the following overarching frame at the forefront of the strategic planning and educational partner engagement functions:

> Given present performance across the state priorities and on indicators in the Dashboard, how is the LEA using its budgetary resources to respond to TK–12 student and community needs, and address any performance gaps, including by meeting its obligation to increase or improve services for foster youth, English learners, and low-income students?

LEAs are encouraged to focus on a set of metrics and actions which, based on research, experience, and input gathered from educational partners, the LEA believes will have the biggest impact on behalf of its TK–12 students.

These instructions address the requirements for each section of the LCAP but may include information about effective practices when developing the LCAP and completing the LCAP document. Additionally, the beginning of each template section includes information emphasizing the purpose that section serves.

# Plan Summary

## *Purpose*

A well-developed Plan Summary section provides a meaningful context for the LCAP. This section provides information about an LEA's community as well as relevant information about student needs and performance. In order to present a meaningful context for the rest of the LCAP, the content of this section should be clearly and meaningfully related to the content included throughout each subsequent section of the LCAP.

# Requirements and Instructions

**General Information**

A description of the LEA, its schools, and its students in grades transitional kindergarten–12, as applicable to the LEA. LEAs may also provide information about their strategic plan, vision, etc.

Briefly describe the LEA, its schools, and its students in grades TK–12, as applicable to the LEA.

- For example, information about an LEA in terms of geography, enrollment, employment, the number and size of specific schools, recent community challenges, and other such information the LEA may wish to include can enable a reader to more fully understand the LEA's LCAP.
- LEAs may also provide information about their strategic plan, vision, etc.
- As part of this response, identify all schools within the LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funding.

**Reflections: Annual Performance**

A reflection on annual performance based on a review of the California School Dashboard (Dashboard) and local data.

Reflect on the LEA's annual performance on the Dashboard and local data. This may include both successes and challenges identified by the LEA during the development process.

LEAs are encouraged to highlight how they are addressing the identified needs of student groups, and/or schools within the LCAP as part of this response.

As part of this response, the LEA must identify the following, which will remain unchanged during the three-year LCAP cycle:

- Any school within the LEA that received the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the 2023 Dashboard;
- Any student group within the LEA that received the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the 2023 Dashboard; and/or
- Any student group within a school within the LEA that received the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the 2023 Dashboard.

*EC* Section 52064.4 requires that an LEA that has unexpended Learning Recovery Emergency Block Grant (LREBG) funds must include one or more actions funded with LREBG funds within the 2026-27, 2026-27 and 2027-28 LCAPs, as applicable to the LEA. To implement the requirements of *EC* Section 52064.4, all LEAs must do the following:

- For the 2025–26, 2026–27, and 2027–28 LCAP years, identify whether or not the LEA has unexpended LREBG funds for the applicable LCAP year.
    - If the LEA has unexpended LREBG funds the LEA must provide the following:
        - The goal and action number for each action that will be funded, either in whole or in part, with LREBG funds; and
        - An explanation of the rationale for selecting each action funded with LREBG funds. This explanation must include:
            - An explanation of how the action is aligned with the allowable uses of funds identified in *EC* Section 32627(c)(2); and
            - An explanation of how the action is expected to address the area(s) of need of students and schools identified in the needs assessment required by *EC* Section 32627(d).
                - For information related to the allowable uses of funds and the required needs assessment, please see the Program Information tab on the LREBG Program Information web page.
            - Actions may be grouped together for purposes of these explanations.
            - The LEA may provide these explanations as part of the action description rather than as part of the Reflections: Annual Performance.
    - If the LEA does not have unexpended LREBG funds, the LEA is not required to conduct the needs assessment required by *EC* Section 32627(d), to provide the information identified above or to include actions funded with LREBG funds within the 2026-27, 2026-27 and 2027-28 LCAPs.

**Reflections: Technical Assistance**

As applicable, a summary of the work underway as part of technical assistance.

Annually identify the reason(s) the LEA is eligible for or has requested technical assistance consistent with EC sections 47607.3, 52071, 52071.5, 52072, or 52072.5, and provide a summary of the work underway as part of receiving technical assistance. The most common form of this technical assistance is frequently referred to as Differentiated Assistance, however this also includes LEAs that have requested technical assistance from their COE.

- If the LEA is not eligible for or receiving technical assistance, the LEA may respond to this prompt as “Not Applicable.”

**Comprehensive Support and Improvement**
An LEA with a school or schools identified for comprehensive support and improvement (CSI) under the Every Student Succeeds Act must respond to the following prompts:

### Schools Identified

A list of the schools in the LEA that are eligible for comprehensive support and improvement.

- Identify the schools within the LEA that have been identified for CSI.

### Support for Identified Schools

A description of how the LEA has or will support its eligible schools in developing comprehensive support and improvement plans.

- Describe how the LEA has or will support the identified schools in developing CSI plans that included a school-level needs assessment, evidence-based interventions, and the identification of any resource inequities to be addressed through the implementation of the CSI plan.

### Monitoring and Evaluating Effectiveness

A description of how the LEA will monitor and evaluate the plan to support student and school improvement.

- Describe how the LEA will monitor and evaluate the implementation and effectiveness of the CSI plan to support student and school improvement.

# Engaging Educational Partners

## *Purpose*

Significant and purposeful engagement of parents, students, educators, and other educational partners, including those representing the student groups identified by LCFF, is critical to the development of the LCAP and the budget process. Consistent with statute, such engagement should support comprehensive strategic planning, particularly to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the Dashboard, accountability, and improvement across the state priorities and locally identified priorities (EC Section 52064[e][1]). Engagement of educational partners is an ongoing, annual process.

This section is designed to reflect how the engagement of educational partners influenced the decisions reflected in the adopted LCAP. The goal is to allow educational partners that participated in the LCAP development process and the broader public to understand how the LEA engaged educational partners and the impact of that engagement. LEAs are encouraged to keep this goal in the forefront when completing this section.

# Requirements

## *Requirements*

**School districts and COEs:** *EC* Section 52060(g) and *EC* Section 52066(g) specify the educational partners that must be consulted when developing the LCAP:

- Teachers,

- Principals,
- Administrators,
- Other school personnel,
- Local bargaining units of the LEA,
- Parents, and
- Students

A school district or COE receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

Before adopting the LCAP, school districts and COEs must share it with the applicable committees, as identified below under Requirements and Instructions. The superintendent is required by statute to respond in writing to the comments received from these committees. School districts and COEs must also consult with the special education local plan area administrator(s) when developing the LCAP.

**Charter schools:** *EC* Section 47606.5(d) requires that the following educational partners be consulted with when developing the LCAP:

- Teachers,
- Principals,
- Administrators,
- Other school personnel,
- Parents, and
- Students

A charter school receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at the school generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for the school.

The LCAP should also be shared with, and LEAs should request input from, schoolsite-level advisory groups, as applicable (e.g., schoolsite councils, English Learner Advisory Councils, student advisory groups, etc.), to facilitate alignment between schoolsite and district-level goals. Information and resources that support effective engagement, define student consultation, and provide the requirements for advisory group composition, can be found under Resources on the CDE's LCAP webpage.

Before the governing board/body of an LEA considers the adoption of the LCAP, the LEA must meet the following legal requirements:

- For school districts, see *Education Code* Section 52062;
    - **Note:** Charter schools using the LCAP as the School Plan for Student Achievement must meet the requirements of *EC* Section 52062(a).
- For COEs, see *Education Code* Section 52068; and
- For charter schools, see *Education Code* Section 47606.5.

- **NOTE:** As a reminder, the superintendent of a school district or COE must respond, in writing, to comments received by the applicable committees identified in the *Education Code* sections listed above. This includes the parent advisory committee and may include the English learner parent advisory committee and, as of July 1, 2024, the student advisory committee, as applicable.

## *Instructions*

Respond to the prompts as follows:

A summary of the process used to engage educational partners in the development of the LCAP.

School districts and county offices of education must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, local bargaining units, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

Charter schools must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

Complete the table as follows:

Educational Partners

Identify the applicable educational partner(s) or group(s) that were engaged in the development of the LCAP.

Process for Engagement

Describe the engagement process used by the LEA to involve the identified educational partner(s) in the development of the LCAP. At a minimum, the LEA must describe how it met its obligation to consult with all statutorily required educational partners, as applicable to the type of LEA.

- A sufficient response to this prompt must include general information about the timeline of the process and meetings or other engagement strategies with educational partners. A response may also include information about an LEA's philosophical approach to engaging its educational partners.

- An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also include a summary of how it consulted with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

A description of how the adopted LCAP was influenced by the feedback provided by educational partners.

Describe any goals, metrics, actions, or budgeted expenditures in the LCAP that were influenced by or developed in response to the educational partner feedback.

- A sufficient response to this prompt will provide educational partners and the public with clear, specific information about how the engagement process influenced the development of the LCAP. This may include a description of how the LEA prioritized requests of educational partners within the context of the budgetary resources available or otherwise prioritized areas of focus within the LCAP.

- An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must include a description of how the consultation with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds influenced the development of the adopted LCAP.

- For the purposes of this prompt, this may also include, but is not necessarily limited to:
    - Inclusion of a goal or decision to pursue a Focus Goal (as described below)
    - Inclusion of metrics other than the statutorily required metrics
    - Determination of the target outcome on one or more metrics
    - Inclusion of performance by one or more student groups in the Measuring and Reporting Results subsection
    - Inclusion of action(s) or a group of actions
    - Elimination of action(s) or group of actions
    - Changes to the level of proposed expenditures for one or more actions
    - Inclusion of action(s) as contributing to increased or improved services for unduplicated students
    - Analysis of effectiveness of the specific actions to achieve the goal
    - Analysis of material differences in expenditures
    - Analysis of changes made to a goal for the ensuing LCAP year based on the annual update process
    - Analysis of challenges or successes in the implementation of actions

# Goals and Actions

## *Purpose*

Well-developed goals will clearly communicate to educational partners what the LEA plans to accomplish, what the LEA plans to do in order to accomplish the goal, and how the LEA will know when it has accomplished the goal. A goal statement, associated metrics and expected outcomes, and the actions included in the goal must be in alignment. The explanation for why the LEA included a goal is an opportunity for LEAs to clearly communicate to educational partners and the public why, among the various strengths and areas for improvement highlighted by performance data and strategies and actions that could be pursued, the LEA decided to pursue this goal, and the related metrics, expected outcomes, actions, and expenditures.

A well-developed goal can be focused on the performance relative to a metric or metrics for all students, a specific student group(s), narrowing performance gaps, or implementing programs or strategies expected to impact outcomes. LEAs should assess the performance of their student groups when developing goals and the related actions to achieve such goals.

## *Requirements and Instructions*

LEAs should prioritize the goals, specific actions, and related expenditures included within the LCAP within one or more state priorities. LEAs must consider performance on the state and local indicators, including their locally collected and reported data for the local indicators that are included in the Dashboard, in determining whether and how to prioritize its goals within the LCAP. As previously stated, strategic planning that

is comprehensive connects budgetary decisions to teaching and learning performance data. LEAs should continually evaluate the hard choices they make about the use of limited resources to meet student and community needs to ensure opportunities and outcomes are improved for all students, and to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the Dashboard.

In order to support prioritization of goals, the LCAP template provides LEAs with the option of developing three different kinds of goals:

- Focus Goal: A Focus Goal is relatively more concentrated in scope and may focus on a fewer number of metrics to measure improvement. A Focus Goal statement will be time bound and make clear how the goal is to be measured.
    - All Equity Multiplier goals must be developed as focus goals. For additional information, see Required Focus Goal(s) for LEAs Receiving Equity Multiplier Funding below.
- Broad Goal: A Broad Goal is relatively less concentrated in its scope and may focus on improving performance across a wide range of metrics.
- Maintenance of Progress Goal: A Maintenance of Progress Goal includes actions that may be ongoing without significant changes and allows an LEA to track performance on any metrics not addressed in the other goals of the LCAP.

**Requirement to Address the LCFF State Priorities**

At a minimum, the LCAP must address all LCFF priorities and associated metrics articulated in *EC* sections 52060(d) and 52066(d), as applicable to the LEA. The *LCFF State Priorities Summary* provides a summary of *EC* sections 52060(d) and 52066(d) to aid in the development of the LCAP.

Respond to the following prompts, as applicable:

## Focus Goal(s)

Description

The description provided for a Focus Goal must be specific, measurable, and time bound.

- An LEA develops a Focus Goal to address areas of need that may require or benefit from a more specific and data intensive approach.
- The Focus Goal can explicitly reference the metric(s) by which achievement of the goal will be measured and the time frame according to which the LEA expects to achieve the goal.

Type of Goal

Identify the type of goal being implemented as a Focus Goal.

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Explain why the LEA has chosen to prioritize this goal.

- An explanation must be based on Dashboard data or other locally collected data.
- LEAs must describe how the LEA identified this goal for focused attention, including relevant consultation with educational partners.
- LEAs are encouraged to promote transparency and understanding around the decision to pursue a focus goal.

## Required Focus Goal(s) for LEAs Receiving Equity Multiplier Funding

Description

LEAs receiving Equity Multiplier funding must include one or more focus goals for each school generating Equity Multiplier funding. In addition to addressing the focus goal requirements described above, LEAs must adhere to the following requirements.

Focus goals for Equity Multiplier schoolsites must address the following:

(A) All student groups that have the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the Dashboard, and

(B) Any underlying issues in the credentialing, subject matter preparation, and retention of the school's educators, if applicable.

- Focus Goals for each and every Equity Multiplier schoolsite must identify specific metrics for each identified student group, as applicable.
- An LEA may create a single goal for multiple Equity Multiplier schoolsites if those schoolsites have the same student group(s) performing at the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the Dashboard or, experience similar issues in the credentialing, subject matter preparation, and retention of the school's educators.
    - When creating a single goal for multiple Equity Multiplier schoolsites, the goal must identify the student groups and the performance levels on the Dashboard that the Focus Goal is addressing; or,
    - The common issues the schoolsites are experiencing in credentialing, subject matter preparation, and retention of the school's educators, if applicable.

Type of Goal

Identify the type of goal being implemented as an Equity Multiplier Focus Goal.

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Explain why the LEA has chosen to prioritize this goal.

- An explanation must be based on Dashboard data or other locally collected data.
- LEAs must describe how the LEA identified this goal for focused attention, including relevant consultation with educational partners.
- LEAs are encouraged to promote transparency and understanding around the decision to pursue a focus goal.
- In addition to this information, the LEA must also identify:
    - The school or schools to which the goal applies

LEAs are encouraged to approach an Equity Multiplier goal from a wholistic standpoint, considering how the goal might maximize student outcomes through the use of LCFF and other funding in addition to Equity Multiplier funds.

- Equity Multiplier funds must be used to supplement, not supplant, funding provided to Equity Multiplier schoolsites for purposes of the LCFF, the Expanded Learning Opportunities Program (ELO-P), the Literacy Coaches and Reading Specialists (LCRS) Grant Program, and/or the California Community Schools Partnership Program (CCSPP).
- This means that Equity Multiplier funds must not be used to replace funding that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to implement LEA-wide actions identified in the LCAP or that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to implement provisions of the ELO-P, the LCRS, and/or the CCSPP.

**Note:** *EC* Section 42238.024(b)(1) requires that Equity Multiplier funds be used for the provision of evidence-based services and supports for students. Evidence-based services and supports are based on objective evidence that has informed the design of the service or support and/or guides the modification of those services and supports. Evidence-based supports and strategies are most commonly based on educational research and/or metrics of LEA, school, and/or student performance.

## Broad Goal

Description

Describe what the LEA plans to achieve through the actions included in the goal.

- The description of a broad goal will be clearly aligned with the expected measurable outcomes included for the goal.

- The goal description organizes the actions and expected outcomes in a cohesive and consistent manner.
- A goal description is specific enough to be measurable in either quantitative or qualitative terms. A broad goal is not as specific as a focus goal. While it is specific enough to be measurable, there are many different metrics for measuring progress toward the goal.

Type of Goal

Identify the type of goal being implemented as a Broad Goal.

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Explain why the LEA developed this goal and how the actions and metrics grouped together will help achieve the goal.

## Maintenance of Progress Goal

Description

Describe how the LEA intends to maintain the progress made in the LCFF State Priorities not addressed by the other goals in the LCAP.

- Use this type of goal to address the state priorities and applicable metrics not addressed within the other goals in the LCAP.
- The state priorities and metrics to be addressed in this section are those for which the LEA, in consultation with educational partners, has determined to maintain actions and monitor progress while focusing implementation efforts on the actions covered by other goals in the LCAP.

Type of Goal

Identify the type of goal being implemented as a Maintenance of Progress Goal.

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Explain how the actions will sustain the progress exemplified by the related metrics.

## Measuring and Reporting Results:

For each LCAP year, identify the metric(s) that the LEA will use to track progress toward the expected outcomes.

- LEAs must identify metrics for specific student groups, as appropriate, including expected outcomes that address and reduce disparities in outcomes between student groups.

- The metrics may be quantitative or qualitative; but at minimum, an LEA's LCAP must include goals that are measured using all of the applicable metrics for the related state priorities, in each LCAP year, as applicable to the type of LEA.

- To the extent a state priority does not specify one or more metrics (e.g., implementation of state academic content and performance standards), the LEA must identify a metric to use within the LCAP. For these state priorities, LEAs are encouraged to use metrics based on or reported through the relevant local indicator self-reflection tools within the Dashboard.

- **Required metrics for LEA-wide actions:** For each action identified as 1) contributing towards the requirement to increase or improve services for foster youth, English learners, including long-term English learners, and low-income students and 2) being provided on an LEA-wide basis, the LEA must identify one or more metrics to monitor the effectiveness of the action and its budgeted expenditures.

    - These required metrics may be identified within the action description or the first prompt in the increased or improved services section, however the description must clearly identify the metric(s) being used to monitor the effectiveness of the action and the action(s) that the metric(s) apply to.

- **Required metrics for Equity Multiplier goals**: For each Equity Multiplier goal, the LEA must identify:

    - The specific metrics for each identified student group at each specific schoolsite, as applicable, to measure the progress toward the goal, and/or

    - The specific metrics used to measure progress in meeting the goal related to credentialing, subject matter preparation, or educator retention at each specific schoolsite.

- **Required metrics for actions supported by LREBG funds**: To implement the requirements of *EC* Section 52064.4, LEAs with unexpended LREBG funds must include at least one metric to monitor the impact of each action funded with LREBG funds included in the goal.

    - The metrics being used to monitor the impact of each action funded with LREBG funds are not required to be new metrics; they may be metrics that are already being used to measure progress towards goals and actions included in the LCAP.

Complete the table as follows:

Metric #

- Enter the metric number.

Metric

- Identify the standard of measure being used to determine progress towards the goal and/or to measure the effectiveness of one or more actions associated with the goal.

## Baseline

- Enter the baseline when completing the LCAP for 2024–25.
    - Use the most recent data associated with the metric available at the time of adoption of the LCAP for the first year of the three-year plan. LEAs may use data as reported on the 2023 Dashboard for the baseline of a metric only if that data represents the most recent available data (e.g., high school graduation rate).
    - Using the most recent data available may involve reviewing data the LEA is preparing for submission to the California Longitudinal Pupil Achievement Data System (CALPADS) or data that the LEA has recently submitted to CALPADS.
    - Indicate the school year to which the baseline data applies.
    - The baseline data must remain unchanged throughout the three-year LCAP.
        - This requirement is not intended to prevent LEAs from revising the baseline data if it is necessary to do so. For example, if an LEA identifies that its data collection practices for a particular metric are leading to inaccurate data and revises its practice to obtain accurate data, it would also be appropriate for the LEA to revise the baseline data to align with the more accurate data process and report its results using the accurate data.
        - If an LEA chooses to revise its baseline data, then, at a minimum, it must clearly identify the change as part of its response to the description of changes prompt in the Goal Analysis for the goal. LEAs are also strongly encouraged to involve their educational partners in the decision of whether or not to revise a baseline and to communicate the proposed change to their educational partners.
    - Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one- or two-year LCAP may identify a new baseline each year, as applicable.

## Year 1 Outcome

- When completing the LCAP for 2025–26, enter the most recent data available. Indicate the school year to which the data applies.
    - Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one-year LCAP may provide the Year 1 Outcome when completing the LCAP for both 2025–26 and 2026–27 or may provide the Year 1 Outcome for 2025–26 and provide the Year 2 Outcome for 2026–27.

## Year 2 Outcome

- When completing the LCAP for 2026–27, enter the most recent data available. Indicate the school year to which the data applies.

- Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one-year LCAP may identify the Year 2 Outcome as not applicable when completing the LCAP for 2026–27 or may provide the Year 2 Outcome for 2026–27.

Target for Year 3 Outcome

- When completing the first year of the LCAP, enter the target outcome for the relevant metric the LEA expects to achieve by the end of the three-year LCAP cycle.
    - Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one- or two-year LCAP may identify a Target for Year 1 or Target for Year 2, as applicable.

Current Difference from Baseline

- When completing the LCAP for 2025–26 and 2026–27, enter the current difference between the baseline and the yearly outcome, as applicable.
    - Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one- or two-year LCAP will identify the current difference between the baseline and the yearly outcome for Year 1 and/or the current difference between the baseline and the yearly outcome for Year 2, as applicable.

Timeline for school districts and COEs for completing the "**Measuring and Reporting Results**" part of the Goal.

| Metric | Baseline | Year 1 Outcome | Year 2 Outcome | Target for Year 3 Outcome | Current Difference from Baseline |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for **2024–25** or when adding a new metric. | Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for **2024–25** or when adding a new metric. | Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for **2025–26**. Leave blank until then. | Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for **2026–27**. Leave blank until then. | Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for **2024–25** or when adding a new metric. | Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for **2025–26** and **2026–27**. Leave blank until then. |

## Goal Analysis:

Enter the LCAP Year.

Using actual annual measurable outcome data, including data from the Dashboard, analyze whether the planned actions were effective towards achieving the goal. "Effective" means the degree to which the planned actions were successful in producing the target result. Respond to the prompts as instructed.

**Note:** When completing the 2024–25 LCAP, use the 2023–24 Local Control and Accountability Plan Annual Update template to complete the Goal Analysis and identify the Goal Analysis prompts in the 2024–25 LCAP as "Not Applicable."

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

- Describe the overall implementation of the actions to achieve the articulated goal, including relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.
    - Include a discussion of relevant challenges and successes experienced with the implementation process.
    - This discussion must include any instance where the LEA did not implement a planned action or implemented a planned action in a manner that differs substantively from how it was described in the adopted LCAP.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

- Explain material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and between the Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services, as applicable. Minor variances in expenditures or percentages do not need to be addressed, and a dollar-for-dollar accounting is not required.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

- Describe the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal. “Effectiveness” means the degree to which the actions were successful in producing the target result and “ineffectiveness” means that the actions did not produce any significant or targeted result.
    - In some cases, not all actions in a goal will be intended to improve performance on all of the metrics associated with the goal.
    - When responding to this prompt, LEAs may assess the effectiveness of a single action or group of actions within the goal in the context of performance on a single metric or group of specific metrics within the goal that are applicable to the action(s). Grouping actions with metrics will allow for more robust analysis of whether the strategy the LEA is using to impact a specified set of metrics is working and increase transparency for educational partners. LEAs are encouraged to use such an approach when goals include multiple actions and metrics that are not closely associated.
    - Beginning with the development of the 2024–25 LCAP, the LEA must change actions that have not proven effective over a three-year period.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

- Describe any changes made to this goal, expected outcomes, metrics, or actions to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis and analysis of the data provided in the Dashboard or other local data, as applicable.
    - As noted above, beginning with the development of the 2024–25 LCAP, the LEA must change actions that have not proven effective over a three-year period. For actions that have been identified as ineffective, the LEA must identify the ineffective action and must include a description of the following:

- The reasons for the ineffectiveness, and
- How changes to the action will result in a new or strengthened approach.

## Actions:

Complete the table as follows. Add additional rows as necessary.

Action #

- Enter the action number.

Title

- Provide a short title for the action. This title will also appear in the action tables.

Description

- Provide a brief description of the action.
    - For actions that contribute to meeting the increased or improved services requirement, the LEA may include an explanation of how each action is principally directed towards and effective in meeting the LEA's goals for unduplicated students, as described in the instructions for the Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students section.
    - As previously noted, for each action identified as 1) contributing towards the requirement to increase or improve services for foster youth, English learners, including long-term English learners, and low-income students and 2) being provided on an LEA-wide basis, the LEA must identify one or more metrics to monitor the effectiveness of the action and its budgeted expenditures.
    - These required metrics may be identified within the action description or the first prompt in the increased or improved services section; however, the description must clearly identify the metric(s) being used to monitor the effectiveness of the action and the action(s) that the metric(s) apply to.

Total Funds

- Enter the total amount of expenditures associated with this action. Budgeted expenditures from specific fund sources will be provided in the action tables.

Contributing

- Indicate whether the action contributes to meeting the increased or improved services requirement as described in the Increased or Improved Services section using a “Y” for Yes or an “N” for No.
    - **Note:** for each such contributing action, the LEA will need to provide additional information in the Increased or Improved Services section to address the requirements in *California Code of Regulations*, Title 5 [5 *CCR*] Section 15496 in the Increased or Improved Services section of the LCAP.

***Actions for Foster Youth*:** School districts, COEs, and charter schools that have a numerically significant foster youth student subgroup are encouraged to include specific actions in the LCAP designed to meet needs specific to foster youth students.

## Required Actions

### For English Learners and Long-Term English Learners

- LEAs with 30 or more English learners and/or 15 or more long-term English learners must include specific actions in the LCAP related to, at a minimum:
    - Language acquisition programs, as defined in *EC* Section 306, provided to students, and
    - Professional development for teachers.
    - If an LEA has both 30 or more English learners and 15 or more long-term English learners, the LEA must include actions for both English learners and long-term English learners.

### For Technical Assistance

- LEAs eligible for technical assistance pursuant to *EC* sections 47607.3, 52071, 52071.5, 52072, or 52072.5, must include specific actions within the LCAP related to its implementation of the work underway as part of technical assistance. The most common form of this technical assistance is frequently referred to as Differentiated Assistance.

### For Lowest Performing Dashboard Indicators

- LEAs that have Red Dashboard indicators for (1) a school within the LEA, (2) a student group within the LEA, and/or (3) a student group within any school within the LEA must include one or more specific actions within the LCAP:
    - The specific action(s) must be directed towards the identified student group(s) and/or school(s) and must address the identified state indicator(s) for which the student group or school received the lowest performance level on the 2023 Dashboard. Each student group and/or school that receives the lowest performance level on the 2023 Dashboard must be addressed by one or more actions.
    - These required actions will be effective for the three-year LCAP cycle.

### For LEAs With Unexpended LREBG Funds

- To implement the requirements of *EC* Section 52064.4, LEAs with unexpended LREBG funds must include one or more actions supported with LREBG funds within the 2025–26, 2026–27, and 2027–28 LCAPs, as applicable to the LEA. Actions funded with LREBG funds must remain in the LCAP until the LEA has expended the remainder of its LREBG funds, after which time the actions may be removed from the LCAP.
    - Prior to identifying the actions included in the LCAP the LEA is required to conduct a needs assessment pursuant to *EC* Section 32627(d). For information related to the required needs assessment please see the Program Information tab on the LREBG

Program Information web page. Additional information about the needs assessment and evidence-based resources for the LREBG may be found on the California Statewide System of Support LREBG Resources web page. The required LREBG needs assessment may be part of the LEAs regular needs assessment for the LCAP if it meets the requirements of *EC* Section 32627(d).

- School districts receiving technical assistance and COEs providing technical assistance are encouraged to use the technical assistance process to support the school district in conducting the required needs assessment, the selection of actions funded by the LREBG and/or the evaluation of implementation of the actions required as part of the LCAP annual update process.
- As a reminder, LREBG funds must be used to implement one or more of the purposes articulated in *EC* Section 32627(c)(2).
- LEAs with unexpended LREBG funds must include one or more actions supported by LREBG funds within the LCAP. For each action supported by LREBG funding the action description must:
  - Identify the action as an LREBG action;
  - Include an explanation of how research supports the selected action;
  - Identify the metric(s) being used to monitor the impact of the action; and
  - Identify the amount of LREBG funds being used to support the action.

# Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students

## *Purpose*

A well-written Increased or Improved Services section provides educational partners with a comprehensive description, within a single dedicated section, of how an LEA plans to increase or improve services for its unduplicated students as defined in *EC* Section 42238.02 in grades TK–12 as compared to all students in grades TK–12, as applicable, and how LEA-wide or schoolwide actions identified for this purpose meet regulatory requirements. Descriptions provided should include sufficient detail yet be sufficiently succinct to promote a broader understanding of educational partners to facilitate their ability to provide input. An LEA's description in this section must align with the actions included in the Goals and Actions section as contributing.

Please Note: For the purpose of meeting the Increased or Improved Services requirement and consistent with *EC* Section 42238.02, long-term English learners are included in the English learner student group.

### Statutory Requirements

An LEA is required to demonstrate in its LCAP how it is increasing or improving services for its students who are foster youth, English learners, and/or low-income, collectively referred to as unduplicated students, as compared to the services provided to all students in proportion to the increase in funding it receives based on the number and concentration of unduplicated students in the LEA (*EC* Section 42238.07[a][1], *EC*

Section 52064[b][8][B]; 5 *CCR* Section 15496[a]). This proportionality percentage is also known as the "minimum proportionality percentage" or "MPP." The manner in which an LEA demonstrates it is meeting its MPP is two-fold: (1) through the expenditure of LCFF funds or through the identification of a Planned Percentage of Improved Services as documented in the Contributing Actions Table, and (2) through the explanations provided in the Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students section.

To improve services means to grow services in quality and to increase services means to grow services in quantity. Services are increased or improved by those actions in the LCAP that are identified in the Goals and Actions section as contributing to the increased or improved services requirement, whether they are provided across the entire LEA (LEA-wide action), provided to an entire school (Schoolwide action), or solely provided to one or more unduplicated student group(s) (Limited action).

Therefore, for *any* action contributing to meet the increased or improved services requirement, the LEA must include an explanation of:

- How the action is increasing or improving services for the unduplicated student group(s) (Identified Needs and Action Design), and
- How the action meets the LEA's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas (Measurement of Effectiveness).

### LEA-wide and Schoolwide Actions

In addition to the above required explanations, LEAs must provide a justification for why an LEA-wide or Schoolwide action is being provided to all students and how the action is intended to improve outcomes for unduplicated student group(s) as compared to all students.

- Conclusory statements that a service will help achieve an expected outcome for the goal, without an explicit connection or further explanation as to how, are not sufficient.

- Further, simply stating that an LEA has a high enrollment percentage of a specific student group or groups does not meet the increased or improved services standard because enrolling students is not the same as serving students.

### For School Districts Only

Actions provided on an **LEA-wide** basis at **school districts with an unduplicated pupil percentage of less than 55 percent** must also include a description of how the actions are the most effective use of the funds to meet the district's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas. The description must provide the basis for this determination, including any alternatives considered, supporting research, experience, or educational theory.

Actions provided on a **Schoolwide** basis for **schools with less than 40 percent enrollment of unduplicated pupils** must also include a description of how these actions are the most effective use of the funds to meet the district's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas. The description must provide the basis for this determination, including any alternatives considered, supporting research, experience, or educational theory.

## *Requirements and Instructions*

Complete the tables as follows:

Total Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants

- Specify the amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grant funds the LEA estimates it will receive in the coming year based on the number and concentration of foster youth, English learner, and low-income students. This amount includes the Additional 15 percent LCFF Concentration Grant.

Projected Additional 15 percent LCFF Concentration Grant

- Specify the amount of additional LCFF concentration grant add-on funding, as described in *EC* Section 42238.02, that the LEA estimates it will receive in the coming year.

Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year

- Specify the estimated percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the LCAP year as calculated pursuant to 5 *CCR* Section 15496(a)(7).

LCFF Carryover — Percentage

- Specify the LCFF Carryover — Percentage identified in the LCFF Carryover Table. If a carryover percentage is not identified in the LCFF Carryover Table, specify a percentage of zero (0.00%).

LCFF Carryover — Dollar

- Specify the LCFF Carryover — Dollar amount identified in the LCFF Carryover Table. If a carryover amount is not identified in the LCFF Carryover Table, specify an amount of zero ($0).

Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year

- Add the Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year and the Proportional LCFF Required Carryover Percentage and specify the percentage. This is the LEA's percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the LCAP year, as calculated pursuant to 5 *CCR* Section 15496(a)(7).

***Required Descriptions:***

**LEA-wide and Schoolwide Actions**

For each action being provided to an entire LEA or school, provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s) and why it is being provided on an LEA or schoolwide basis, and (3) the metric(s) used to measure the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s).

If the LEA has provided this required description in the Action Descriptions, state as such within the table.

Complete the table as follows:

**Identified Need(s)**

Provide an explanation of the unique identified need(s) of the LEA's unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed.

An LEA demonstrates how an action is principally directed towards an unduplicated student group(s) when the LEA explains the need(s), condition(s), or circumstance(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) identified through a needs assessment and how the action addresses them. A meaningful needs assessment includes, at a minimum, analysis of applicable student achievement data and educational partner feedback.

**How the Action(s) are Designed to Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis**

Provide an explanation of how the action as designed will address the unique identified need(s) of the LEA's unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed and the rationale for why the action is being provided on an LEA-wide or schoolwide basis.

- As stated above, conclusory statements that a service will help achieve an expected outcome for the goal, without an explicit connection or further explanation as to how, are not sufficient.
- Further, simply stating that an LEA has a high enrollment percentage of a specific student group or groups does not meet the increased or improved services standard because enrolling students is not the same as serving students.

**Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness**

Identify the metric(s) being used to measure the progress and effectiveness of the action(s).

**Note for COEs and Charter Schools**: In the case of COEs and charter schools, schoolwide and LEA-wide are considered to be synonymous.

**Limited Actions**

For each action being solely provided to one or more unduplicated student group(s), provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s), and (3) how the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s) will be measured.

If the LEA has provided the required descriptions in the Action Descriptions, state as such.

Complete the table as follows:

**Identified Need(s)**

Provide an explanation of the unique need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served identified through the LEA's needs assessment. A meaningful needs assessment includes, at a minimum, analysis of applicable student achievement data and educational partner feedback.

**How the Action(s) are Designed to Address Need(s)**

Provide an explanation of how the action is designed to address the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served.

## Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness

Identify the metric(s) being used to measure the progress and effectiveness of the action(s).

For any limited action contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement that is associated with a Planned Percentage of Improved Services in the Contributing Summary Table rather than an expenditure of LCFF funds, describe the methodology that was used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage, as applicable.

- For each action with an identified Planned Percentage of Improved Services, identify the goal and action number and describe the methodology that was used.

- When identifying a Planned Percentage of Improved Services, the LEA must describe the methodology that it used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage. The percentage of improved services for an action corresponds to the amount of LCFF funding that the LEA estimates it would expend to implement the action if it were funded.

- For example, an LEA determines that there is a need to analyze data to ensure that instructional aides and expanded learning providers know what targeted supports to provide to students who are foster youth. The LEA could implement this action by hiring additional staff to collect and analyze data and to coordinate supports for students, which, based on the LEA's current pay scale, the LEA estimates would cost $165,000. Instead, the LEA chooses to utilize a portion of existing staff time to analyze data relating to students who are foster youth. This analysis will then be shared with site principals who will use the data to coordinate services provided by instructional assistants and expanded learning providers to target support to students. In this example, the LEA would divide the estimated cost of $165,000 by the amount of LCFF Funding identified in the Total Planned Expenditures Table and then convert the quotient to a percentage. This percentage is the Planned Percentage of Improved Services for the action.

## Additional Concentration Grant Funding

A description of the plan for how the additional concentration grant add-on funding identified above will be used to increase the number of staff providing direct services to students at schools that have a high concentration (above 55 percent) of foster youth, English learners, and low-income students, as applicable.

An LEA that receives the additional concentration grant add-on described in *EC* Section 42238.02 is required to demonstrate how it is using these funds to increase the number of staff who provide direct services to students at schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent as compared to the number of staff who provide direct services to students at schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is equal to or less than 55 percent. The staff who provide direct services to students must be certificated staff and/or classified staff employed by the LEA; classified staff includes custodial staff.

Provide the following descriptions, as applicable to the LEA:

- An LEA that does not receive a concentration grant or the concentration grant add-on must indicate that a response to this prompt is not applicable.

- Identify the goal and action numbers of the actions in the LCAP that the LEA is implementing to meet the requirement to increase the number of staff who provide direct services to students at schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent.

- An LEA that does not have comparison schools from which to describe how it is using the concentration grant add-on funds, such as a single-school LEA or an LEA that only has schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, must describe how it is using the funds to increase the number of credentialed staff, classified staff, or both, including custodial staff, who provide direct services to students at selected schools and the criteria used to determine which schools require additional staffing support.

- In the event that an additional concentration grant add-on is not sufficient to increase staff providing direct services to students at a school with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, the LEA must describe how it is using the funds to retain staff providing direct services to students at a school with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent.

Complete the table as follows:

- Provide the staff-to-student ratio of classified staff providing direct services to students with a concentration of unduplicated students that is 55 percent or less and the staff-to-student ratio of classified staff providing direct services to students at schools with a concentration of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, as applicable to the LEA.
    - The LEA may group its schools by grade span (Elementary, Middle/Junior High, and High Schools), as applicable to the LEA.
    - The staff-to-student ratio must be based on the number of full-time equivalent (FTE) staff and the number of enrolled students as counted on the first Wednesday in October of each year.
- Provide the staff-to-student ratio of certificated staff providing direct services to students at schools with a concentration of unduplicated students that is 55 percent or less and the staff-to-student ratio of certificated staff providing direct services to students at schools with a concentration of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, as applicable to the LEA.
    - The LEA may group its schools by grade span (Elementary, Middle/Junior High, and High Schools), as applicable to the LEA.
    - The staff-to-student ratio must be based on the number of FTE staff and the number of enrolled students as counted on the first Wednesday in October of each year.

# Action Tables

Complete the Total Planned Expenditures Table for each action in the LCAP. The information entered into this table will automatically populate the other Action Tables. Information is only entered into the Total Planned Expenditures Table, the Annual Update Table, the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table, and the LCFF Carryover Table. The word “input” has been added to column headers to aid in identifying the column(s) where information will be entered. Information is not entered on the remaining Action tables.

The following tables are required to be included as part of the LCAP adopted by the local governing board or governing body:

- Table 1: Total Planned Expenditures Table (for the coming LCAP Year)
- Table 2: Contributing Actions Table (for the coming LCAP Year)
- Table 3: Annual Update Table (for the current LCAP Year)
- Table 4: Contributing Actions Annual Update Table (for the current LCAP Year)
- Table 5: LCFF Carryover Table (for the current LCAP Year)

Note: The coming LCAP Year is the year that is being planned for, while the current LCAP year is the current year of implementation. For example, when developing the 2024–25 LCAP, 2024–25 will be the coming LCAP Year and 2023–24 will be the current LCAP Year.

## *Total Planned Expenditures Table*

In the Total Planned Expenditures Table, input the following information for each action in the LCAP for that applicable LCAP year:

- **LCAP Year**: Identify the applicable LCAP Year.
- **1. Projected LCFF Base Grant**: Provide the total amount estimated LCFF entitlement for the coming school year, excluding the supplemental and concentration grants and the add-ons for the Targeted Instructional Improvement Block Grant program, the former Home-to-School Transportation program, and the Small School District Transportation program, pursuant to 5 *CCR* Section 15496(a)(8). Note that the LCFF Base Grant for purposes of the LCAP also includes the Necessary Small Schools and Economic Recovery Target allowances for school districts, and County Operations Grant for COEs.

  See *EC* sections 2574 (for COEs) and 42238.02 (for school districts and charter schools), as applicable, for LCFF entitlement calculations.
- **2. Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants:** Provide the total amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grants estimated on the basis of the number and concentration of unduplicated students for the coming school year.
- **3. Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year:** This percentage will not be entered; it is calculated based on the Projected LCFF Base Grant and the Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants, pursuant to 5 *CCR* Section 15496(a)(8). This is the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the coming LCAP year.
- **LCFF Carryover — Percentage:** Specify the LCFF Carryover — Percentage identified in the LCFF Carryover Table from the prior LCAP year. If a carryover percentage is not identified in the LCFF Carryover Table, specify a percentage of zero (0.00%).
- **Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year:** This percentage will not be entered; it is calculated based on the Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year and the LCFF Carryover —

Percentage. ***This is the percentage by which the LEA must increase or improve services for unduplicated pupils as compared to the services provided to all students in the coming LCAP year.***

- **Goal #**: Enter the LCAP Goal number for the action.
- **Action #**: Enter the action's number as indicated in the LCAP Goal.
- **Action Title**: Provide a title of the action.
- **Student Group(s)**: Indicate the student group or groups who will be the primary beneficiary of the action by entering "All," or by entering a specific student group or groups.
- **Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?:** Type "Yes" if the action **is** included as contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement; OR, type "No" if the action is **not** included as contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement.
- If "Yes" is entered into the Contributing column, then complete the following columns:
    - **Scope**: The scope of an action may be LEA-wide (i.e., districtwide, countywide, or charterwide), schoolwide, or limited. An action that is LEA-wide in scope upgrades the entire educational program of the LEA. An action that is schoolwide in scope upgrades the entire educational program of a single school. An action that is limited in its scope is an action that serves only one or more unduplicated student groups.
    - **Unduplicated Student Group(s)**: Regardless of scope, contributing actions serve one or more unduplicated student groups. Indicate one or more unduplicated student groups for whom services are being increased or improved as compared to what all students receive.
    - **Location**: Identify the location where the action will be provided. If the action is provided to all schools within the LEA, the LEA must indicate "All Schools." If the action is provided to specific schools within the LEA or specific grade spans only, the LEA must enter "Specific Schools" or "Specific Grade Spans." Identify the individual school or a subset of schools or grade spans (e.g., all high schools or grades transitional kindergarten through grade five), as appropriate.
- **Time Span**: Enter "ongoing" if the action will be implemented for an indeterminate period of time. Otherwise, indicate the span of time for which the action will be implemented. For example, an LEA might enter "1 Year," or "2 Years," or "6 Months."
- **Total Personnel**: Enter the total amount of personnel expenditures utilized to implement this action.
- **Total Non-Personnel**: This amount will be automatically calculated based on information provided in the Total Personnel column and the Total Funds column.

- **LCFF Funds**: Enter the total amount of LCFF funds utilized to implement this action, if any. LCFF funds include all funds that make up an LEA's total LCFF target (i.e., base grant, grade span adjustment, supplemental grant, concentration grant, Targeted Instructional Improvement Block Grant, and Home-To-School Transportation).
    - **Note:** For an action to contribute towards meeting the increased or improved services requirement, it must include some measure of LCFF funding. The action may also include funding from other sources, however the extent to which an action contributes to meeting the increased or improved services requirement is based on the LCFF funding being used to implement the action.
- **Other State Funds**: Enter the total amount of Other State Funds utilized to implement this action, if any.
    - **Note:** Equity Multiplier funds must be included in the "Other State Funds" category, not in the "LCFF Funds" category. As a reminder, Equity Multiplier funds must be used to supplement, not supplant, funding provided to Equity Multiplier schoolsites for purposes of the LCFF, the ELO-P, the LCRS, and/or the CCSPP. This means that Equity Multiplier funds must not be used to replace funding that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to implement LEA-wide actions identified in the LEA's LCAP or that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to implement provisions of the ELO-P, the LCRS, and/or the CCSPP.
- **Local Funds**: Enter the total amount of Local Funds utilized to implement this action, if any.
- **Federal Funds**: Enter the total amount of Federal Funds utilized to implement this action, if any.
- **Total Funds**: This amount is automatically calculated based on amounts entered in the previous four columns.
- **Planned Percentage of Improved Services**: For any action identified as contributing, being provided on a Limited basis to unduplicated students, and that does not have funding associated with the action, enter the planned quality improvement anticipated for the action as a percentage rounded to the nearest hundredth (0.00%). A limited action is an action that only serves foster youth, English learners, and/or low-income students.
    - As noted in the instructions for the Increased or Improved Services section, when identifying a Planned Percentage of Improved Services, the LEA must describe the methodology that it used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage. The percentage of improved services for an action corresponds to the amount of LCFF funding that the LEA estimates it would expend to implement the action if it were funded.

      For example, an LEA determines that there is a need to analyze data to ensure that instructional aides and expanded learning providers know what targeted supports to provide to students who are foster youth. The LEA could implement this action by hiring additional staff to collect and analyze data and to coordinate supports for students, which, based on the LEA's current pay scale, the LEA estimates would cost $165,000. Instead, the LEA chooses to utilize a portion of existing staff time to analyze data relating to students who are foster youth. This analysis will then be shared with site principals who will use the data to coordinate services provided by instructional assistants and expanded learning providers to target support to students. In this example, the LEA would divide the estimated cost of $165,000 by the amount of LCFF Funding identified in the Data Entry Table and then convert the quotient to a percentage. This percentage is the Planned Percentage of Improved Services for the action.

## *Contributing Actions Table*

As noted above, information will not be entered in the Contributing Actions Table; however, the 'Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?' column will need to be checked to ensure that only actions with a "Yes" are displaying. If actions with a "No" are displayed or if actions that are contributing are not displaying in the column, use the drop-down menu in the column header to filter only the "Yes" responses.

## *Annual Update Table*

In the Annual Update Table, provide the following information for each action in the LCAP for the relevant LCAP year:

- **Estimated Actual Expenditures**: Enter the total estimated actual expenditures to implement this action, if any.

## *Contributing Actions Annual Update Table*

In the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table, check the 'Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?' column to ensure that only actions with a "Yes" are displaying. If actions with a "No" are displayed or if actions that are contributing are not displaying in the column, use the drop-down menu in the column header to filter only the "Yes" responses. Provide the following information for each contributing action in the LCAP for the relevant LCAP year:

- **6. Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants:** Provide the total amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grants estimated based on the number and concentration of unduplicated students in the current school year.
- **Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions**: Enter the total estimated actual expenditure of LCFF funds used to implement this action, if any.
- **Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services:** For any action identified as contributing, being provided on a Limited basis only to unduplicated students, and that does not have funding associated with the action, enter the total estimated actual quality improvement anticipated for the action as a percentage rounded to the nearest hundredth (0.00%).
    - Building on the example provided above for calculating the Planned Percentage of Improved Services, the LEA in the example implements the action. As part of the annual update process, the LEA reviews implementation and student outcome data and determines that the action was implemented with fidelity and that outcomes for foster youth students improved. The LEA reviews the original estimated cost for the action and determines that had it hired additional staff to collect and analyze data and to coordinate supports for students that estimated actual cost would have been $169,500 due to a cost of living adjustment. The LEA would divide the estimated actual cost of $169,500 by the amount of LCFF Funding identified in the Data Entry Table and then convert the quotient to a percentage. This percentage is the Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services for the action.

## *LCFF Carryover Table*

- **9. Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant**: Provide the total amount of estimated LCFF Target Entitlement for the current school year, excluding the supplemental and concentration grants and the add-ons for the Targeted Instructional Improvement Block Grant program,

the former Home-to-School Transportation program, and the Small School District Transportation program, pursuant to 5 *CCR* Section 15496(a)(8). Note that the LCFF Base Grant for purposes of the LCAP also includes the Necessary Small Schools and Economic Recovery Target allowances for school districts, and County Operations Grant for COEs. See *EC* sections 2574 (for COEs) and 42238.02 (for school districts and charter schools), as applicable, for LCFF entitlement calculations.

- **10. Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Current School Year:** This percentage will not be entered. The percentage is calculated based on the amounts of the Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (9) and the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (6), pursuant to 5 *CCR* Section 15496(a)(8), plus the LCFF Carryover – Percentage from the prior year. This is the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the current LCAP year.

## *Calculations in the Action Tables*

To reduce the duplication of effort of LEAs, the Action Tables include functionality such as pre-population of fields and cells based on the information provided in the Data Entry Table, the Annual Update Summary Table, and the Contributing Actions Table. For transparency, the functionality and calculations used are provided below.

### Contributing Actions Table

- **4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)**
    - This amount is the total of the Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds) column.
- **5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services**
    - This percentage is the total of the Planned Percentage of Improved Services column.
- **Planned Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the coming school year (4 divided by 1, plus 5)**
    - This percentage is calculated by dividing the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4) by the Projected LCFF Base Grant (1), converting the quotient to a percentage, and adding it to the Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (5).

### Contributing Actions Annual Update Table

Pursuant to *EC* Section 42238.07(c)(2), if the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4) is less than the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and Concentration Grants (6), the LEA is required to calculate the difference between the Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (5) and the Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (7). If the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4) is equal to or greater than the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and Concentration Grants (6), the Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services will display “Not Required.”

- **6. Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and Concentration Grants**

- This is the total amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grants the LEA estimates it will actually receive based on the number and concentration of unduplicated students in the current school year.

- **4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)**
  - This amount is the total of the Last Year's Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds).
- **7. Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions**
  - This amount is the total of the Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds).
- **Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (Subtract 7 from 4)**
  - This amount is the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (7) subtracted from the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4).
- **5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)**
  - This amount is the total of the Planned Percentage of Improved Services column.
- **8. Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (%)**
  - This amount is the total of the Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services column.
- **Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (Subtract 5 from 8)**
  - This amount is the Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (5) subtracted from the Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (8).

## LCFF Carryover Table

- **10. Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Current School Year (6 divided by 9 plus Carryover %)**
  - This percentage is the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (6) divided by the Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (9) plus the LCFF Carryover – Percentage from the prior year.
- **11. Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (7 divided by 9, plus 8)**
  - This percentage is the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (7) divided by the LCFF Funding (9), then converting the quotient to a percentage and adding the Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (8).
- **12. LCFF Carryover — Dollar Amount LCFF Carryover (Subtract 11 from 10 and multiply by 9)**

- If the Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (11) is less than the Estimated Actual Percentage to Increase or Improve Services (10), the LEA is required to carry over LCFF funds.

  The amount of LCFF funds is calculated by subtracting the Estimated Actual Percentage to Increase or Improve Services (11) from the Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (10) and then multiplying by the Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (9). This amount is the amount of LCFF funds that is required to be carried over to the coming year.

- **13. LCFF Carryover — Percentage (12 divided by 9)**

  - This percentage is the unmet portion of the Percentage to Increase or Improve Services that the LEA must carry over into the coming LCAP year. The percentage is calculated by dividing the LCFF Carryover (12) by the LCFF Funding (9).

California Department of Education
November 2024