



## LCFF Budget Overview for Parents

Local Educational Agency (LEA) Name: Igo-Ono-Platina Union Elementary School District

CDS Code: 45-70029-0000000

School Year: 2024-25

LEA contact information:

Cindy Bishop

Superintendent


cbishop@rsdnmp.org

(530) 225-0011

School districts receive funding from different sources: state funds under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF), other state funds, local funds, and federal funds. LCFF funds include a base level of funding for all LEAs and extra funding - called "supplemental and concentration" grants - to LEAs based on the enrollment of high needs students (foster youth, English learners, and low-income students).

### Budget Overview for the 2024-25 School Year

#### Projected Revenue by Fund Source




This chart shows the total general purpose revenue Igo-Ono-Platina Union Elementary School District expects to receive in the coming year from all sources.

The text description for the above chart is as follows: The total revenue projected for Igo-Ono-Platina Union Elementary School District is \$1,086,924, of which \$828,965 is Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF), \$127,530 is other state funds, \$66,543 is local funds, and \$63,886 is federal funds. Of the \$828,965 in LCFF Funds, \$113,922 is generated based on the enrollment of high needs students (foster youth, English learner, and low-income students).

# LCFF Budget Overview for Parents

The LCFF gives school districts more flexibility in deciding how to use state funds. In exchange, school districts must work with parents, educators, students, and the community to develop a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) that shows how they will use these funds to serve students.



This chart provides a quick summary of how much Igo-Ono-Platina Union Elementary School District plans to spend for 2024-25. It shows how much of the total is tied to planned actions and services in the LCAP.

The text description of the above chart is as follows: Igo-Ono-Platina Union Elementary School District plans to spend \$1,169,166 for the 2024-25 school year. Of that amount, \$191,281 is tied to actions/services in the LCAP and \$977,885 is not included in the LCAP. The budgeted expenditures that are not included in the LCAP will be used for the following:


The District priority is for students to achieve academic excellence with a commitment to maintaining fiscally responsible practices. The District's budget other than specific actions noted in the LCAP consists of general operating expenditures, such as general education classroom Teachers, Special Education services, Instructional Assistants, Instructional Materials, Transportation services, Athletics, Utilities, Custodial services, Maintenance services, Technology Support, and general supplies. The District continues to balance the budget against ongoing rising costs related to pensions and Special Education services.

## Increased or Improved Services for High Needs Students in the LCAP for the 2024-25 School Year

In 2024-25, Igo-Ono-Platina Union Elementary School District is projecting it will receive \$113,922 based on the enrollment of foster youth, English learner, and low-income students. Igo-Ono-Platina Union Elementary School District must describe how it intends to increase or improve services for high needs students in the LCAP. Igo-Ono-Platina Union Elementary School District plans to spend \$119,857 towards meeting this requirement, as described in the LCAP.

# LCFF Budget Overview for Parents

## Update on Increased or Improved Services for High Needs Students in 2023-24



This chart compares what Igo-Ono-Platina Union Elementary School District budgeted last year in the LCAP for actions and services that contribute to increasing or improving services for high needs students with what Igo-Ono-Platina Union Elementary School District estimates it has spent on actions and services that contribute to increasing or improving services for high needs students in the current year.

The text description of the above chart is as follows: In 2023-24, Igo-Ono-Platina Union Elementary School District's LCAP budgeted \$122,107 for planned actions to increase or improve services for high needs students. Igo-Ono-Platina Union Elementary School District actually spent \$113,003 for actions to increase or improve services for high needs students in 2023-24.

The difference between the budgeted and actual expenditures of \$-9,104 had the following impact on Igo-Ono-Platina Union Elementary School District's ability to increase or improve services for high needs students:

The budgeted and actual expenditures are adjusted to meet the needs of actual student's enrollment and attendance. The district was able to carry out some of the LCAP items at reduced cost, without any negative consequences or reduced services to students.



# 2023–24 Local Control and Accountability Plan Annual Update

The instructions for completing the 2023–24 Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) Annual Update follow the template.

| Local Educational Agency (LEA) Name              | Contact Name and Title         | Email and Phone                      |
|--|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Igo-Ono-Platina Union Elementary School District | Cindy Bishop<br>Superintendent | cbishop@rsdnmp.org<br>(530) 225-0011 |

## Goals and Actions

### Goal

| Goal # | Description  |
|--------|--|
| 1      | High academic achievement for all students while closing the achievement gap for our underperforming student groups. |

### Measuring and Reporting Results

| Metric   | Baseline  | Year 1 Outcome      | Year 2 Outcome   | Year 3 Outcome             | Desired Outcome for 2023–24 |
|--|---|---------------------|--|----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| English Learner Reclassification rate  | N/A   | N/A                 | N/A  | N/A                        | N/A                         |
| Teachers reporting they are prepared to teach how English Learner's will access the Common Core State Standards and English Language Development standards | 100% of teachers feel prepared to teach EL's how to access the CCSS and the ELD standards | 100% state prepared | 50% of 2 respondents feel prepared to teach how English Learner's will access the Common Core State Standards and English Language Development standards | N/A                        | 100%                        |
| Percentage of English Learner's making progress toward English proficiency through the ELPAC   | N/A   | N/A                 | N/A  | N/A                        | N/A                         |
| CAASPP Science Achievement Growth  | TBD   | N/A for 20/21       | N/A- data is suppressed because fewer than 11 students tested  | 58.33% met standard        | 58.33% met standard         |
| CAASPP ELA Achievement Growth  | 39.4 points below standard  | N/A for 20/21       | 40.9 points below standard   | 15.2 points below standard | 30.4 points below standard  |

| Metric  | Baseline  | Year 1 Outcome                 | Year 2 Outcome                 | Year 3 Outcome                               | Desired Outcome for 2023–24 |
|---|---|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|
| CAASPP Math Achievement Growth  | 61.3 points below standard                                      | N/A for 20/21                  | 61.4 points below standard     | 53.7 points below standard                   | 52.3 points below standard  |
| Annual Board Resolution on California state standards aligned textbook sufficiency and that every student has sufficient access | 100%  | 100%                           | 100%                           | 100%   | 100%                        |
| Teachers reporting they are prepared to teach the California Common Core State Standards (CCCSS).                               | 50% of teachers feel prepared while 50% of teachers are neutral | 100% state prepared            | 0 respondents                  | N/A  | 100%                        |
| Master schedule- all pupils have access to all courses as evidenced by school schedule  | 100%  | 100%                           | 100%                           | 100%   | 100%                        |
| 3rd - 8th Grade Star Reading  | 43.05% proficient   | 25%                            | 30% of students are proficient | 43% of students are proficient as of 12/23   | 58.05%                      |
| 3rd - 8th Grade Star Math Assessment  | 54% proficient  | 54% of students are proficient | 78% of students are proficient | 66.7% of students are proficient as of 12/23 | 69%                         |

## Goal Analysis

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions.

All of the actions in Goal 1 were carried out in 2023-2024.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

There was no difference for budgeting purposes for what was budgeted and actual expenditures.

An explanation of how effective or ineffective the specific actions were in making progress toward the goal during the three-year LCAP cycle.

In looking at the above results, it should be noted that there was growth in both ELA and Math on the CAASPP, meeting both desired outcomes for 2023-2024. There were also gains in the 3rd - 8th grade STAR Reading test, though the desired outcome target was not met.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, desired outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

There will be a renewed focus on Tier 1 foundational reading skills instruction in grades K -2, and looking at the Basic Phonics Skills and High Frequency Word assessment results. For grades 3 - 8, continued work on IABs and FIABs will be done, along with relooking at standards alignment and the use of supplemental learning resources.

**A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.**



# Goals and Actions

## Goal

| Goal # | Description   |
|--------|---|
| 2      | Provide access to a broad and challenging curriculum to leverage the Portrait of a Graduate competencies (Adaptability, Communication, Critical Thinking, Empathy, Perseverance, Responsibility) to prepare students for college, the workplace, and the transition to adulthood. |

## Measuring and Reporting Results

| Metric                           | Baseline                        | Year 1 Outcome            | Year 2 Outcome      | Year 3 Outcome  | Desired Outcome for 2023–24 |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|---|-----------------------------|
| Connectedness Survey for 4th-8th | 79% of students feel connected. | N/A due to 10 respondents | TBA in Fall of 2023 | 83.8% of students feel connected (26 student responses) | 100%                        |

## Goal Analysis

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.  
A description of any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions.

All actions in Goal 2 were completed.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

There is no material difference in Goal 2.

An explanation of how effective or ineffective the specific actions were in making progress toward the goal during the three-year LCAP cycle.

There was an increase of 4.8% of students who felt more connected to their school from the baseline to the year 3 outcome.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, desired outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

Two new metrics will be used in the future to help guide this goal. Those new metrics are planning a college field trip and providing Career and Technical Education opportunities to the middle school students.

**A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.**

## Goals and Actions

### Goal

| Goal # | Description   |
|--------|---|
| 3      | Provide clean, safe, functional, and well-maintained facilities with positive learning climates that challenge bias, support social, emotional, and physical needs of students. |

### Measuring and Reporting Results

| Metric   | Baseline   | Year 1 Outcome   | Year 2 Outcome  | Year 3 Outcome  | Desired Outcome for 2023–24 |
|--|--|--|---|---|-----------------------------|
| Suspension rate  | 0%   | 0% in 2021 as reported in Dataquest                                      | 0%  | 0%  | 0%                          |
| Safety Survey for 4th-8th                                | 93% of students report feeling safe  | N/A due to 10 respondents  | TBA in Fall of 2023   | 84.3% of students report feeling safe at school (26 student responses)  | 100%                        |
| Teachers: Fully credentialed and appropriately assigned  | 100%   | 100%   | 100%  | 100%  | 100%                        |
| Monthly facilities and safety inspection report          | 100%   | 100%   | 100%  | 100% as of December 2023  | 100%                        |
| Williams Report of facilities complaints                 | 0%   | 0%   | 0%  | 0%  | 0%                          |
| Parent Survey of Facilities: Clean, safe, and functional | 100% of respondents are very satisfied with the maintenance of the facilities. | 75% of 4 parent respondents are very satisfied or satisfied (31 emailed) | 66.7% of 3 parent respondents are very satisfied or satisfied | 100% of 6 parent respondents state they are very satisfied or satisfied | 100%                        |
| Staff Survey of Facilities: Clean, safe, and functional  | 100% of staff are very satisfied/ satisfied with                               | 56% of 9 staff respondents state   | 56% of 9 staff respondents state                              | 77.7% of 9 staff respondents state                                      | 100%                        |

| Metric   | Baseline  | Year 1 Outcome                       | Year 2 Outcome  | Year 3 Outcome   | Desired Outcome for 2023–24 |
|--|---|--------------------------------------|---|--|-----------------------------|
|  | the maintenance of the facilities   | they are very satisfied or satisfied | they are very satisfied or satisfied  | they are very satisfied or satisfied   |                             |
| Teacher Survey, Teachers stating they are prepared to use appropriate accommodations, supports, and instructional strategies to support Students with Disabilities | 100% of teachers are prepared to use appropriate accommodations, supports, and instructional strategies | 100% state prepared                  | 100% of two respondents state they are prepared to use appropriate accommodations, supports, and instructional strategies to support Students with Disabilities | 100% of two respondents state they are prepared to use appropriate accommodations, supports, and instructional strategies to support SWD's | 100%                        |
| Teacher Survey, Teachers reporting percentage of classrooms using strategies from Capturing Kids Hearts  | 100% of teachers implement Capturing Kids Hearts  | 100% implementing                    | 100% of two respondents report using strategies from Capturing Kids Hearts  | 100% of two respondents report using strategies from Capturing Kids Hearts   | 100%                        |
| Expulsion rate   | 0%  | 0%                                   | 0%  | 0%   | 0%                          |

## Goal Analysis

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions.

All of the actions in Goal 3 were carried out.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

There was no material difference.

An explanation of how effective or ineffective the specific actions were in making progress toward the goal during the three-year LCAP cycle.

A greater number of both staff and parent responses indicate in Year 3 that they are very satisfied or satisfied with the maintenance of the school's facilities.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, desired outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

There will be more focused and specific training for employees upon being hired in the area of behavior and de-escalation practices. Also added to next year's plan is a Capturing Kids' Hearts Recharge training that will take place in January of 2025.

**A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.**

## Goals and Actions

### Goal

| Goal # | Description   |
|--------|---|
| 4      | Increase engagement and collaboration among students, parents, staff, and other community partners. |

### Measuring and Reporting Results

| Metric                                | Baseline                         | Year 1 Outcome                         | Year 2 Outcome                         | Year 3 Outcome             | Desired Outcome for 2023–24 |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Chronic Absenteeism Rate              | 18% in 2021 as locally monitored | 14.3% in 2021 as reported in Dataquest | 16.7% in 2022 as reported in Dataquest | 10% as of December 4, 2023 | 16.5%                       |
| Parent Survey participation rate      | 4 participants                   | 4 participants                         | 3 participants                         | 6 participants             | 16 participants             |
| School Site Council participation     | a minimum of 5 meetings a year   | maintained 5 meetings                  | maintained 5 meetings                  | maintained 5 meetings      | 5 meetings a year           |
| Parent Advisory Council participation | 1 participant                    | 1 participant                          | 1 participant                          | 1 participant              | 1 participant               |
| Middle school drop out rate           | 0%                               | 0%                                     | 0%                                     | 0%                         | 0%                          |
| Average Daily Attendance              | 93.82%                           | 94.89%                                 | 94.20%                                 | 95.16%                     | 95%                         |

### Goal Analysis

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions.

All actions were carried out in the 2023-2024 school year.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

There are no material differences.

An explanation of how effective or ineffective the specific actions were in making progress toward the goal during the three-year LCAP cycle.

Chronic Absenteeism rates decreased by 8 percentage points from the baseline to the Year 3 outcome, while the Average Daily Attendance rate increased every outcome period.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, desired outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

Efforts will continue to be made to solicit and receive feedback from parents through different means.

**A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.**

Instructions

For additional questions or technical assistance related to the completion of the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) template, please contact the local county office of education (COE), or the California Department of Education’s (CDE’s) Local Agency Systems Support Office, by phone at 916-319-0809 or by email at [lcff@cde.ca.gov](mailto:lcff@cde.ca.gov).

Complete the prompts as instructed for each goal included in the 2023–24 LCAP. Duplicate the tables as needed. The 2023–24 LCAP Annual Update must be included with the 2024–25 LCAP.

Goals and Actions

Goal(s)

Description:

Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.

Measuring and Reporting Results

- Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.

Metric:

- Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.

Baseline:

- Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.

Year 1 Outcome:

- Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.

Year 2 Outcome:

- Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.

Year 3 Outcome:

- When completing the 2023–24 LCAP Annual Update, enter the most recent data available. Indicate the school year to which the data applies.

Desired Outcome for 2023–24:

- Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.

Timeline for completing the “Measuring and Reporting Results” part of the Goal.

| Metric   | Baseline                                       | Year 1 Outcome                                 | Year 2 Outcome                                 | Year 3 Outcome  | Desired Outcome for Year 3 (2023–24)           |
|--|--|--|--|---|--|
| Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP. | Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP. | Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP. | Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP. | Enter information in this box when completing the 2023–24 LCAP Annual Update. | Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP. |

Goal Analysis

Using actual annual measurable outcome data, including data from the Dashboard, analyze whether the planned actions were effective in achieving the goal. Respond to the prompts as instructed.

A description of any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions.



- Describe the overall implementation of the actions to achieve the articulated goal. Include a discussion of relevant challenges and successes experienced with the implementation process. This must include any instance where the LEA did not implement a planned action or implemented a planned action in a manner that differs substantively from how it was described in the adopted LCAP.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

- Explain material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and between the Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services, as applicable. Minor variances in expenditures or percentages do not need to be addressed, and a dollar-for-dollar accounting is not required.

An explanation of how effective or ineffective the specific actions were in making progress toward the goal during the three-year LCAP cycle.

- Describe the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions in making progress toward the goal during the three-year LCAP cycle. “Effectiveness” means the degree to which the actions were successful in producing the desired result and “ineffectiveness” means that the actions did not produce any significant or desired result.
  - In some cases, not all actions in a goal will be intended to improve performance on all of the metrics associated with the goal.
  - When responding to this prompt, LEAs may assess the effectiveness of a single action or group of actions within the goal in the context of performance on a single metric or group of specific metrics within the goal that are applicable to the action(s). Grouping actions with metrics will allow for more robust analysis of whether the strategy the LEA is using to impact a specified set of metrics is working and increase transparency for educational partners. LEAs are encouraged to use such an approach when goals include multiple actions and metrics that are not closely associated.
  - Beginning with the development of the 2024–25 LCAP, the LEA must change actions that have not proven effective over a three-year period.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, desired outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

- Describe any changes made to this goal, expected outcomes, metrics, or actions to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis and analysis of the data provided in the Dashboard or other local data, as applicable.
  - As noted above, beginning with the development of the 2024–25 LCAP, the LEA must change actions that have not proven effective over a three-year period. For actions that have been identified as ineffective, the LEA must identify the ineffective action and must include a description of the following:
    - The reasons for the ineffectiveness, and
    - How changes to the action will result in a new or strengthened approach.

California Department of Education  
November 2023



## Local Control and Accountability Plan

The instructions for completing the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) follow the template.

| Local Educational Agency (LEA) Name              | Contact Name and Title         | Email and Phone                      |
|--|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Igo-Ono-Platina Union Elementary School District | Cindy Bishop<br>Superintendent | cbishop@rsdnmp.org<br>(530) 225-0011 |

## Plan Summary [2024-25]

### General Information

A description of the LEA, its schools, and its students in grades transitional kindergarten–12, as applicable to the LEA.

#### PROFILE:

The Igo-Ono-Platina Union School District is a small rural district located in the southwest corner of Shasta County. A distance of thirty miles separates the communities of Igo, Ono, and Platina. Igo is located approximately ten miles west of Redding, Ono is seventeen miles west of Redding, and Platina is forty miles west of Redding. Igo-Ono Elementary, located in the town of Igo, is a TK-8 facility with an enrollment of an estimated 30 students. The three communities that compose the District are widely separated and sparsely populated. Numerous cattle ranches, the logging industry, a Cal-Trans station, a CDF Fire Station, a U.S. Post Office, a small store, a Forest Service Station, and the schools provide local employment. Each community also has its own restaurant/store. Many community members travel outside the District to secure employment.

The District has a three-member school board, a principal, two regular classroom teachers, instructional assistants, one part-time Project SHARE program facilitator and one part-time Project Share liaison for the after school program, two part-time custodial/maintenance people, one part-time cook, and a confidential secretary. The District's school board opted to join in a partnership with the Redding School District and the Shasta Union Elementary School District to share administrative, special education, business, and food services beginning in the 2000-2001 school year. The arrangement is unique in that all three Districts share a common superintendent, yet keep their own boards of education.

The student population consists of 90% Socioeconomically Disadvantaged and 13.3% English Learners. The ethnic makeup of the district is

60.0% White, 23.3% Hispanic or Latino, 13.3% American Indian or Alaska Native, and 3.3% African-American. All district teachers have their SB1969 certification or a CLAD credential. All teachers are NCLB compliant.

#### MISSION STATEMENT:

The Igo-Ono-Platina Union School District, in cooperation with parents and community, is resolved to provide a quality education to our students within an enthusiastic and caring environment. We will strive to instill a sense of responsibility and individual achievement for each student as he/she studies and learns the skills necessary for our complex world.

VALUES AND BELIEFS: The staff of the Igo-Ono-Platina Union School District believes that in order to facilitate academic achievement it is vital to focus on these areas:

- The academic success of every student
- No excuses
- Experimentation
- Everyone is part of the solution
- Sense of family
- Collaboration and trust
- Passion for learning and growing

During the school year, there are 23 minimum days for staff/collaboration meetings and parent/teacher conferences that affect all students. The number of instructional minutes at Igo-Ono School is 50,505 in transitional kindergarten through third grade and 54,880 minutes in fourth through eighth grades.

Igo-Ono School provides on-campus staff at 7:15 a.m., so parents may have a safe place to leave their children on their way to work. The Project SHARE after-school program runs from 1:30 to 6:00 p.m. and is staffed by one facilitator and one liaison at Igo-Ono. Instruction and intervention for this program are coordinated with the student's regular classroom teacher in order to better facilitate student learning.

The District participates in the REAP program and utilizes the flexibility component to transfer some categorical entitlements into Title 1. Title 1 and RSP students are grouped by ability/needs and serviced by certificated and classified staff in the classrooms and the Learning Center. Students qualify for Title One services by scoring below the proficiency performance standard in the language arts and/or mathematics portion of the CAASPP test, teacher grades, District benchmarks, or by recommendation of the RST or classroom teacher.

In addition to standard curriculum offerings, students have many options for educational support, such as computers, access to the Internet, EL services, special education, fine arts, before and after-school programs and research-based intervention programs and curriculum.

The District also offers many programs and opportunities to build character, sportsmanship, and citizenship. Most of the staff has been trained in Positive Behavior Instruction Support (PBIS), a comprehensive student discipline program. The fifth and sixth grade attend an outdoor educational program every other year. After school sports activities for boys and girls are provided year round.

Igo-Ono School holds events to raise money to support classroom activities, school facilities, school/community events and educational field

trips. Parent volunteers help in the classrooms and with school events and activities.

## Reflections: Annual Performance

A reflection on annual performance based on a review of the California School Dashboard (Dashboard) and local data.

Igo-Ono has an enrollment of 30 students. 90.0% of the student population is Socioeconomically Disadvantaged. 13.3% of the population are English Learners. There are no Foster Youth.

All Local Indicators have been met.

### Student Achievement

English Language Arts - There was an increase of 11.6 points from 2022 to 2023. This results in students scoring 29.3 points below standard in the area of English Language Arts.

Math - There was a decrease of 16.4 points from 2022 to 2023. This results in students scoring 77.8 points below standard in the area of math.

### Engagement

Chronic Absenteeism declined by 7.0% from 2022 to 2023, resulting in a 10.5% Chronic Absenteeism rate overall.

### Climate

Suspension rate maintained, reporting that 0.0% of students were suspended from Igo-Ono-Platina Union School District.

## Reflections: Technical Assistance

As applicable, a summary of the work underway as part of technical assistance.

### EQUITY MULTIPLIER

School sites within a county office of education, school district, or classroom-based charter school that generate an LCFF entitlement, that also have prior year non-stability rates greater than 25 percent and prior year socioeconomically disadvantaged pupil rates greater than 70 percent per the California Department of Education's (CDE) Stability Rate Report are eligible for funding.

# Comprehensive Support and Improvement

An LEA with a school or schools eligible for comprehensive support and improvement must respond to the following prompts.

## ***Schools Identified***

A list of the schools in the LEA that are eligible for comprehensive support and improvement.

N/A

## ***Support for Identified Schools***

A description of how the LEA has or will support its eligible schools in developing comprehensive support and improvement plans.

N/A

## ***Monitoring and Evaluating Effectiveness***

A description of how the LEA will monitor and evaluate the plan to support student and school improvement.

N/A

# Engaging Educational Partners

A summary of the process used to engage educational partners in the development of the LCAP.

School districts and county offices of education must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, local bargaining units, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

Charter schools must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

| Educational Partner(s)                            | Process for Engagement   |
|---|--|
| Teachers and Other School Personnel               | Roadshow at site for engagement and feedback. In addition, the 2023-2024 Local Control Accountability Survey was distributed.  |
| Parents   | Parent Meet and Greet September 27, 2023   |
| Parents   | School Site Council meetings<br>September 6, 2023<br>November 1, 2023<br>January 10, 2024<br>March 6, 2024 (shared final 2023-24 LCAP)<br>May 1, 2024 (shared preliminary draft of 24-25 LCAP)   |
| District Administrators and Parents               | Parent Advisory Committee meetings<br>Wednesday, September 13, 2023<br>Wednesday, November 29, 2023<br>Wednesday, February 14, 2024<br>Wednesday, April 17, 2024<br>Monday, June 3, 2024   |
| Parents, students, staff, and community partners. | Mid Year Study Session, 1-19-2024<br>A session was held in order to engage and gain feedback from all educational partners. Current district wide programs were highlighted and feedback was gained in regards to the Eight State Priorities in order to guide the Local Control Accountability Plan development. Close to one-hundred community members, staff, parents, and students were in attendance. |

| Educational Partner(s)  | Process for Engagement   |
|---|--|
|   |  |
| Staff   | Staff survey, April 19, 2024   |
| Parents   | Parent survey, April 19, 2024  |
| Students  | Student survey   |
| Certificated and Classified Unit members (Other School Personnel) | Annual consultation with Unions, Classified and Certificated   |
| Teachers, Principals, and Parents                                 | District English Learner Advisor Committee (DELAC)<br>October 18, 2023<br>November 6, 2023<br>January 24, 2024<br>February 28, 2024<br>May 1, 2024   |
| Principals and District Administrators                            | District meetings: Weekly Cabinet meetings; monthly Curriculum and Instruction meetings, and monthly Leadership meetings.  |
| Parents   | Sip and Support<br>September 13, 2023<br>October 11, 2023<br>November 8, 2023<br>December 13, 2023<br>January 10, 2024<br>February 14, 2024<br>March 13, 2024<br>April 10, 2024<br>May 8, 2024 |
| Parents   | Open House, Parent Survey, and Site Council Nominations March 27, 2024   |
| Parents   | Spring Concert and Site Council Election May 16, 2024  |

A description of how the adopted LCAP was influenced by the feedback provided by educational partners.

Feedback from parents, students, staff, and community reflect the satisfaction the school community has for Igo-Ono-Platina Elementary School District and the academic programming and pathways it has to offer. Areas of continuation and focus are various pathways for students to be engaged such as, sports, field trips, gardening, music, drama/theater. Another area of importance for the district is the assurance that the core subject areas of math, English Language Arts, science, and social studies, integrated with hands-on and experiential learning, are being taught at high levels. In addition, a culture of love, positive relationships, and inclusion that supports social emotional

learning is extremely important to the community. All of this is being done in a system that supports students, parents, and staff, resulting in a positive culture for all.

Parents and staff across grade levels shared an on-going need for support in meeting students' mental health needs.

English Learner parents expressed a continued need for academics.

Students want activities (electives, clubs and sports) to continue and options to increase.

Parents and students share a desire for rich and varied learning excursions to provide opportunities for students to have first-hand experiences outside the boundaries of our small community.

Parents of Students with Disabilities (SWD) want early learning opportunities, an inclusive environment that supports the needs of all learners and increased professional development around teaching SWD's.

Community partner and counselor feedback is the continued strategy of parent engagement through sharing free educational and trade opportunities for the guardians of students.

Special Education Local Plan Area feedback and discussion resulted in the following feedback: taking part in a Community of Practice, professional development around collaboration, and forty hour online training on behavior techniques geared to staff serving in specific programs.



# Goals and Actions

## Goal

| Goal # | Description  | Type of Goal |
|--------|--|--------------|
| 1      | High academic achievement for all students while closing the achievement gap for our underperforming student groups. | Broad Goal   |

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

- Priority 1: Basic (Conditions of Learning)
- Priority 2: State Standards (Conditions of Learning)
- Priority 4: Pupil Achievement (Pupil Outcomes)
- Priority 7: Course Access (Conditions of Learning)

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Based on local and state measures, Igo-Ono-Platina Union School District will continue to promote equity and access for all students while working to eliminate some predictability of achievement based on any demographic category.

## Measuring and Reporting Results

| Metric # | Metric   | Baseline  | Year 1 Outcome | Year 2 Outcome | Target for Year 3 Outcome  | Current Difference from Baseline |
|----------|--|---|----------------|----------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1.1      | CAASPP ELA Achievement Growth  | 15.2 points below standard                              |                |                | 6.2 points below standard  |                                  |
| 1.2      | CAASPP Math Achievement Growth   | 53.7 points below standard                              |                |                | 44.7 points below standard |                                  |
| 1.3      | CAASPP Science Achievement Growth  | 58.33% of students met or exceeded standard for science |                |                | 73%                        |                                  |
| 1.4      | English Learner Progress   | Not a significant student group at this time            |                |                |                            |                                  |
| 1.5      | Master Schedule- all pupils have access to all courses as evidenced by school schedule | 100%  |                |                | 100%                       |                                  |

| Metric # | Metric   | Baseline | Year 1 Outcome | Year 2 Outcome | Target for Year 3 Outcome | Current Difference from Baseline |
|----------|--|----------|----------------|----------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1.6      | Annual Board Resolution on State aligned textbook sufficiency  | 100%     |                |                | 100%                      |                                  |
| 1.7      | Two completed professional development days and calendared Wednesday collaborations  | 100%     |                |                | 100%                      |                                  |
| 1.8      | Teachers reporting they are prepared to teach how English Learner's will access the Common Core State Standards and English Language Development standards | 100%     |                |                | 100%                      |                                  |

## Goal Analysis [2023-24]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

Not Applicable.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

Not Applicable.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

Not Applicable.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

Not Applicable.

## Actions

| Action # | Title   | Description   | Total Funds | Contributing |
|----------|---|---|-------------|--------------|
| 1.1      | Develop and implement two Staff Development Days for Teachers | Conduct professional development and teacher collaboration to increase preparedness in implementing the California Common Core State Standards, English Language Development Standards, and inclusive strategies to increase outcomes for Special Education students. Continue to train teachers on devices, software and engagement strategies. Provide strategies that directly impact Low-Income, English Learners, and Foster Youth. Develop and implement 2 staff Development Days for Teachers. | \$2,941.00  | Yes          |
| 1.2      | Staff Development   | High quality staff development around the Common Core State Standards and the 8 Key Practices (Evidence-Based Content Instruction; Culturally and Linguistically Responsive Pedagogy; Collaboration; Positive Behavior Strategies; Flexible Grouping; Explicit, Targeted Instruction; Universal Design for Learning; Strategy Instruction to increase achievement for all.  | \$2,727.00  | Yes          |
| 1.3      | Increased Intervention  | Provide intervention and tutoring opportunities through attendance of the After School program. This action is principally directed towards Low Income, English Learners, and Foster Youth students.  | \$17,000.00 | Yes          |
| 1.4      | Partnership ESL Coordinator and Support Team                  | Partnership will provide ESL Coordinator & Support Team for English Learners & Redesignated fluent English proficient.  | \$750.00    | Yes          |
| 1.5      | Learning Software   | Provide access to enhanced learning software (Lexia, Power Up, Prodigy) to principally benefit Low Income, Foster Youth, and English Learners.  | \$4,383.00  | Yes          |
| 1.6      | Math Adoption Cycle   | 2025-2026 review framework with adopted materials   | \$0.00      | No           |
| 1.7      | Increased Intervention  | Increase intervention for low achieving students (that substantially include Low Income, English Learners, and Foster Youth).   | \$84,522.00 | Yes          |

| Action # | Title | Description | Total Funds | Contributing |
|----------|-------|-------------|-------------|--------------|
|          |       |             |             |              |

# Goals and Actions

## Goal

| Goal # | Description   | Type of Goal |
|--------|---|--------------|
| 2      | Provide access to a broad and challenging curriculum through the leverage of the Portrait of a Graduate competencies (Adaptability, Communication, Critical Thinking, Empathy, Perseverance, Responsibility) to prepare students for college, the workplace, and the transition to adulthood. | Broad Goal   |

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Priority 1: Basic (Conditions of Learning)  
 Priority 2: State Standards (Conditions of Learning)  
 Priority 3: Parental Involvement (Engagement)  
 Priority 4: Pupil Achievement (Pupil Outcomes)  
 Priority 5: Pupil Engagement (Engagement)  
 Priority 6: School Climate (Engagement)  
 Priority 7: Course Access (Conditions of Learning)  
 Priority 8: Other Pupil Outcomes (Pupil Outcomes)

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Continue to expose and prepare our students for evolving future opportunities that require unique skills and raise the importance of our six learning dispositions so students can navigate complex life environments.

## Measuring and Reporting Results

| Metric # | Metric                    | Baseline  | Year 1 Outcome | Year 2 Outcome | Target for Year 3 Outcome  | Current Difference from Baseline |
|----------|---------------------------|---|----------------|----------------|--|----------------------------------|
| 2.1      | Connectedness 4th-8th     | 91% of 26 student respondents feel connected.                     |                |                | 95%  |                                  |
| 2.2      | Annual College Field Trip | At least one field trip to a college for 6th - 8th grade students |                |                | Maintain at least one field trip to a college for 6th - 8th grade students |                                  |

| Metric # | Metric  | Baseline                        | Year 1 Outcome | Year 2 Outcome | Target for Year 3 Outcome                                | Current Difference from Baseline |
|----------|---|---------------------------------|----------------|----------------|--|----------------------------------|
| 2.3      | Provide Career and Technical Education for 6th - 8th grade students | New for 2024 - 2025 school year |                |                | At least 60% or more of students to complete CTE lessons |                                  |
| 2.4      | Middle School Drop Out Rate   | 0%                              |                |                | 0%   |                                  |

## Goal Analysis [2023-24]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

Not Applicable.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

Not Applicable.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

Not Applicable.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

Not Applicable.

## Actions

| Action # | Title                               | Description   | Total Funds | Contributing |
|----------|-------------------------------------|---|-------------|--------------|
| 2.1      | Maintaining a Broad Course of Study | The principal will verify and maintain a broad course of study for all students as defined in California Education Codes 51210(K- 6) and 51220(7-8) as evidenced by school schedules to be reviewed by site administration. | \$0.00      | No           |

| Action #   | Title   | Description  | Total Funds | Contributing |
|------------|---|--|-------------|--------------|
|            |   |  |             |              |
| <b>2.2</b> | Site Administrator Support of Portrait Competencies | Site administrator training, coaching, and supporting Portrait of a Graduate Competencies (Adaptability, Communication, Critical Thinking, Empathy, Perseverance, Responsibility) to prepare students for college, the workplace, and the transition to adulthood. | \$9,298.00  | Yes          |
| <b>2.3</b> | Science Camp  | Fifth grade students will experience outdoor science camp in order to increase knowledge of the environment, natural science, and biology, principally directed for Low Income, Foster Youth, and English Learners.  | \$2,000.00  | Yes          |

# Goals and Actions

## Goal

| Goal # | Description   | Type of Goal |
|--------|---|--------------|
| 3      | Provide clean, safe, functional, and well-maintained facilities with positive learning climates that challenge bias, support social, emotional, and physical needs of students. | Broad Goal   |

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Priority 1: Basic (Conditions of Learning)  
 Priority 2: State Standards (Conditions of Learning)  
 Priority 3: Parental Involvement (Engagement)  
 Priority 4: Pupil Achievement (Pupil Outcomes)  
 Priority 5: Pupil Engagement (Engagement)  
 Priority 6: School Climate (Engagement)  
 Priority 7: Course Access (Conditions of Learning)  
 Priority 8: Other Pupil Outcomes (Pupil Outcomes)

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Continue to build greater joy and a sense of belonging within all stakeholders while at our school.

## Measuring and Reporting Results

| Metric # | Metric  | Baseline                                  | Year 1 Outcome | Year 2 Outcome | Target for Year 3 Outcome    | Current Difference from Baseline |
|----------|---|---|----------------|----------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 3.1      | Suspension Rate                                 | 0%  |                |                | 0%                           |                                  |
| 3.2      | Healthy Kids Survey for 4th-8th grades          | 84% of 26 respondents feel safe at school |                |                | 95%                          |                                  |
| 3.3      | Monthly facilities and safety inspection report | Maintain monthly site inspections         |                |                | Maintain monthly inspections |                                  |
| 3.4      | Williams Report of facilities; complaints       | Maintain 0% findings                      |                |                | Maintain 0% findings         |                                  |
| 3.5      | CAASPP Participation Rate in ELA                | 100%                                      |                |                | 100%                         |                                  |



| Metric # | Metric                            | Baseline | Year 1 Outcome | Year 2 Outcome | Target for Year 3 Outcome | Current Difference from Baseline |
|----------|-----------------------------------|----------|----------------|----------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 3.6      | CAASPP Participation Rate in Math | 100%     |                |                | 100%                      |                                  |
| 3.7      | Expulsion Rate                    | 0%       |                |                | 0%                        |                                  |

## Goal Analysis [2023-24]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

Not Applicable.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

Not Applicable.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

Not Applicable.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

Not Applicable.

## Actions

| Action # | Title                               | Description  | Total Funds | Contributing |
|----------|-------------------------------------|--|-------------|--------------|
| 3.1      | Monthly site inspections            | District will perform monthly site inspections to review site condition as defined in Education Code.                    | \$500.00    | No           |
| 3.2      | Maintain annual maintenance account | An appropriate plan will be developed and implemented to address maintenance needs. maintain annual maintenance account. | \$1,500.00  | No           |

| Action #   | Title                 | Description  | Total Funds | Contributing |
|------------|-----------------------|--|-------------|--------------|
| <b>3.3</b> | Counseling Services   | Provide a counselor principally directed toward Low Income, English Learners, Foster Youth, students with exceptional needs, highly mobile students, and their families to support Social and Emotional needs. To be shared with partner district. | \$11,486.00 | Yes          |
| <b>3.4</b> | Capturing Kids Hearts | Provide workshops for any untrained site administrators and teachers in Capturing Kids Hearts, a training provided by the Flippen Group, as well as other District-Wide trauma-informed support programs.  | \$2,000.00  | Yes          |

# Goals and Actions

## Goal

| Goal # | Description   | Type of Goal |
|--------|---|--------------|
| 4      | Increase engagement and collaboration among students, parents, staff, and other community partners. | Broad Goal   |

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

|   |
|---|
| Priority 3: Parental Involvement (Engagement)<br>Priority 5: Pupil Engagement (Engagement)<br>Priority 6: School Climate (Engagement) |
|---|

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

|  |
|--|
| To engage, empower, and connect all stakeholder groups in order to increase student performance academically, socially, and emotionally. |
|--|

## Measuring and Reporting Results

| Metric # | Metric                                  | Baseline                                       | Year 1 Outcome | Year 2 Outcome | Target for Year 3 Outcome | Current Difference from Baseline |
|----------|---|--|----------------|----------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 4.1      | Parent Advisory Committee participation | 1 of 1 represented                             |                |                | 1 parent participating    |                                  |
| 4.2      | School Site Council participation       | maintain holding at least five meetings a year |                |                | 5 meetings a year         |                                  |
| 4.3      | Family Engagement Nights                | Four school-wide events to engage families     |                |                | 4 site events for parents |                                  |

## Goal Analysis [2023-24]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.  
A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

|                 |
|-----------------|
| Not Applicable. |
|-----------------|

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

Not Applicable.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

Not Applicable.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

Not Applicable.

## Actions

| Action # | Title   | Description   | Total Funds | Contributing |
|----------|---|---|-------------|--------------|
| 4.1      | Access to tools to increase parent engagement               | Provide access to tools that increase parent engagement, student learning, and student efficiency. (Software, Web-based products, Devices, Digital Textbooks).  | \$674.00    | No           |
| 4.2      | Implementing effective school and district-level committees | The District will provide tools, resources, and training needed to establish and implement effective school and District-level committees, including School Site Councils (SSC), Site Leadership Teams (SLT), English Learner Advisory Committees (DELAC), and the District Advisory Council for Compensatory Education (DAC) in order to attract parents especially for unduplicated groups. | \$500.00    | No           |
| 4.3      | Attendance Education  | Provide pro-active attendance notification to all families notifying the importance of daily attendance in order to support student learning and engagement.  | \$500.00    | Yes          |
| 4.4      | Implementing Meaningful Parent Engagement Nights            | All parents/guardians/community members including parents of students with disabilities, as well as parents of unduplicated student groups, will be provided meaningful engagement opportunities with engaging activity nights that support student learning and family engagement.   | \$500.00    | No           |

| Action # | Title | Description | Total Funds | Contributing |
|----------|-------|-------------|-------------|--------------|
|          |       |             |             |              |

# Goals and Actions

## Goal

| Goal # | Description   | Type of Goal                 |
|--------|---|------------------------------|
| 5      | By June 2026, Chronic Absenteeism rates of students will decrease due to specific and focused actions around Social Emotional Learning at Igo-Ono School. In addition, student achievement will increase due to additional instructional and academic support for highly mobile students. | Equity Multiplier Focus Goal |

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Priority 2: State Standards (Conditions of Learning)  
 Priority 3: Parental Involvement (Engagement)  
 Priority 4: Pupil Achievement (Pupil Outcomes)  
 Priority 5: Pupil Engagement (Engagement)  
 Priority 6: School Climate (Engagement)

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

A positive, safe and supportive school climate fosters safety; promotes a supportive academic, disciplinary, and physical environment; and encourages and maintains respectful, trusting, and caring relationships throughout the school community. Positive school climates improve student achievement. We believe that providing a safe and supportive school climate will decrease chronic absenteeism and increase student achievement.

## Measuring and Reporting Results

| Metric # | Metric  | Baseline                   | Year 1 Outcome | Year 2 Outcome | Target for Year 3 Outcome | Current Difference from Baseline |
|----------|---|----------------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 5.1      | Average Daily Attendance (ADA) Igo-Ono School | 94.20% for 2023            |                |                | 96% ADA                   |                                  |
| 5.2      | Chronic Absenteeism Rate (CAR) Igo-Ono School | 11.4% from 2023            |                |                | 10% CAR                   |                                  |
| 5.3      | CAASPP English Language Arts Igo-Ono School   | 15.2 points below standard |                |                | 30 points above standard  |                                  |
| 5.4      | CAASPP Math Igo-Ono School                    | 53.7 points below standard |                |                | 8 points below standard   |                                  |

## Goal Analysis [2023-24]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

Not Applicable.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

Not Applicable.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

Not Applicable.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

Not Applicable.

## Actions

| Action # | Title                | Description   | Total Funds | Contributing |
|----------|----------------------|---|-------------|--------------|
| 5.1      | School Counselor     | Provide a counselor principally directed toward Low Income, English Learners, Foster Youth, students with exceptional needs, highly mobile students and their families to support Social and Emotional needs. To be shared with partner district. | \$20,383.00 | No           |
| 5.2      | Academic Support     | Extra teacher time and/or extra staff to provide tutoring to support the academic outcomes of of low income and highly mobile students.   | \$18,037.00 | No           |
| 5.3      | Literacy Coordinator | Provide a literacy coordinator to plan and implement a variety of school and community literacy events and programs, and to help increase literacy awareness and supports, especially for low-income and highly mobile students.                  | \$11,580.00 | No           |

| Action # | Title | Description | Total Funds | Contributing |
|----------|-------|-------------|-------------|--------------|
|          |       |             |             |              |



# Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students [2024-25]

|   |  |
|---|--|
| Total Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants | Projected Additional 15 percent LCFF Concentration Grant |
| \$113,922   | \$14,078   |

## Required Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the LCAP Year

| Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year | LCFF Carryover — Percentage | LCFF Carryover — Dollar | Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year |
|---|-----------------------------|-------------------------|---|
| 17.351%   | 0.000%                      | \$0.00                  | 17.351%   |

The Budgeted Expenditures for Actions identified as Contributing may be found in the Contributing Actions Table.

## Required Descriptions

### LEA-wide and Schoolwide Actions

For each action being provided to an entire LEA or school, provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s) and why it is being provided on an LEA or schoolwide basis, and (3) the metric(s) used to measure the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s).

| Goal and Action # | Identified Need(s)   | How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis                             | Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness                          |
|-------------------|--|---|---|
| 1.1               | <p><b>Action:</b><br/>Develop and implement two Staff Development Days for Teachers</p> <p><b>Need:</b><br/>Professional development to support the achievement, engagement, and climate for students, principally directed to Low Income, Foster Youth, and English Learners.</p> | This support increases access and achievement for students, principally Low Income, English Learners, and Foster Youth. | Two calendared and completed professional development days. |

| Goal and Action # | Identified Need(s)  | How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis   | Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness        |
|-------------------|---|---|---|
|                   | <b>Scope:</b><br>LEA-wide   |   |   |
| <b>1.2</b>        | <b>Action:</b><br>Staff Development<br><br><b>Need:</b><br>CAASPP ELA scores increased 11.6 points, but remain 29.3 points below standard. For CAASPP Math, scores declined 16.4 points and are 77.8 points below standard.<br><br><b>Scope:</b><br>LEA-wide      | Staff will operate within a Professional Learning Community collaborating around student learning, outcome data, and supports and strategies needed to increase achievement outcomes for all. | CAASPP ELA and Math scores                |
| <b>1.3</b>        | <b>Action:</b><br>Increased Intervention<br><br><b>Need:</b><br>CAASPP ELA scores increased 11.6 points, but remain 29.3 points below standard. For CAASPP Math, scores declined 16.4 points and are 77.8 points below standard.<br><br><b>Scope:</b><br>LEA-wide | By providing additional support to targeted student groups, achievement outcomes will increase.   | ELA and Math CAASPP scores.               |
| <b>1.4</b>        | <b>Action:</b><br>Partnership ESL Coordinator and Support Team<br><br><b>Need:</b><br>Although there are not enough students to make an EL group on the CA Dashboard, Shasta will continue to partner to receive EL   | To support staff in implementing ELL/SDAIE strategies.  | CAASPP and ELPAC English Learner Progress |

| Goal and Action # | Identified Need(s)  | How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis                                  | Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness                               |
|-------------------|---|--|--|
|                   | <p>support through having the Redding School District's EL Coordinator and support team for English Learners and ELPAC administration.</p> <p><b>Scope:</b><br/>LEA-wide</p>  |  |  |
| <b>1.5</b>        | <p><b>Action:</b><br/>Learning Software</p> <p><b>Need:</b><br/>CAASPP ELA scores increased 11.6 points, but remain 29.3 points below standard. For CAASPP Math, scores declined 16.4 points and are 77.8 points below standard.</p> <p><b>Scope:</b><br/>LEA-wide</p>      | Targeted individual instruction for each student based on individual assessment needs. Helps to close student learning gaps. | ELA and Math CAASPP Math Scores                                  |
| <b>1.7</b>        | <p><b>Action:</b><br/>Increased Intervention</p> <p><b>Need:</b><br/>CAASPP ELA scores increased 11.6 points, but remain 29.3 points below standard. For CAASPP Math, scores declined 16.4 points and are 77.8 points below standard.</p> <p><b>Scope:</b><br/>LEA-wide</p> | By providing additional support to targeted student groups, achievement outcomes will increase.                              | ELA and Math CAASPP scores.                                      |
| <b>2.2</b>        | <p><b>Action:</b><br/>Site Administrator Support of Portrait Competencies</p>   | There will be a focus on the "soft skills" students need to navigate relationships, course work, and the school environment. | California Healthy Kids Survey connectedness results and Chronic |

| Goal and Action # | Identified Need(s)   | How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis   | Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness                 |
|-------------------|--|---|--|
|                   | <p><b>Need:</b><br/>In the area of Conditions and Climate (Suspensions), Igo-Ono maintained and did not suspend any students and is Blue on the California Dashboard. In the area of academic engagement (Chronic Absenteeism), absenteeism rates declined 7% while 10.5% of the population was chronically absent and was Yellow on the California Dashboard.</p> <p><b>Scope:</b><br/>LEA-wide</p> |   | Absenteeism results from the California Dashboard. |
| 2.3               | <p><b>Action:</b><br/>Science Camp</p> <p><b>Need:</b><br/>Science results will be on the California Dashboard for 5th and 8th grade students.</p> <p><b>Scope:</b><br/>LEA-wide</p>   | Science camps enhance CAASPP science proficiency by offering hands-on learning experiences that reinforce classroom concepts, spark curiosity, and foster collaboration among students, ultimately improving scientific understanding and readiness for standardized testing. | CAASPP Science Scores                              |
| 3.3               | <p><b>Action:</b><br/>Counseling Services</p> <p><b>Need:</b><br/>In the area of Conditions and Climate (Suspensions), Igo-Ono maintained and did not suspend any students and is Blue on the California Dashboard. In the area of academic engagement (Chronic Absenteeism), absenteeism rates declined 7% while 10.5% of</p>   | Counselor will provide school wide, small group, and individual student support in order to increase access to learning.  | Suspension and Chronic Absenteeism Rate            |

| Goal and Action # | Identified Need(s)   | How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis   | Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness       |
|-------------------|--|---|--|
|                   | <p>the population was chronically absent and was Yellow on the California Dashboard.</p> <p><b>Scope:</b><br/>LEA-wide</p>   |   |  |
| <b>3.4</b>        | <p><b>Action:</b><br/>Capturing Kids Hearts</p> <p><b>Need:</b><br/>In the area of Conditions and Climate (Suspensions), Igo-Ono maintained and did not suspend any students and is Blue on the California Dashboard. In the area of academic engagement (Chronic Absenteeism), absenteeism rates declined 7% while 10.5% of the population was chronically absent and was Yellow on the California Dashboard.</p> <p><b>Scope:</b><br/>LEA-wide</p> | Having a strong school culture that emphasizes the importance of relationships will help students feel connected to school.   | Suspension and Chronic Absenteeism Rates |
| <b>4.3</b>        | <p><b>Action:</b><br/>Attendance Education</p> <p><b>Need:</b><br/>School district students chronic absenteeism rate is 11.4%.</p> <p><b>Scope:</b><br/>LEA-wide</p>   | Attendance education reduces chronic absenteeism by raising awareness about its importance, providing strategies to address barriers, and fostering a positive school environment that values regular attendance. | Chronic absenteeism rate                 |

**Limited Actions**

For each action being solely provided to one or more unduplicated student group(s), provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s), and (3) how the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s) will be measured.

| Goal and Action # | Identified Need(s) | How the Action(s) are Designed to Address Need(s) | Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness |
|-------------------|--------------------|---|------------------------------------|
|-------------------|--------------------|---|------------------------------------|

For any limited action contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement that is associated with a Planned Percentage of Improved Services in the Contributing Summary Table rather than an expenditure of LCFF funds, describe the methodology that was used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage, as applicable.

**Additional Concentration Grant Funding**

A description of the plan for how the additional concentration grant add-on funding identified above will be used to increase the number of staff providing direct services to students at schools that have a high concentration (above 55 percent) of foster youth, English learners, and low-income students, as applicable.

This additional funding was used to add extra duty opportunities (tutoring, homework help, etc.) for existing staff (credentialed and classified) members to support the needs of students.

| Staff-to-student ratios by type of school and concentration of unduplicated students | Schools with a student concentration of 55 percent or less | Schools with a student concentration of greater than 55 percent |
|--|--|---|
| Staff-to-student ratio of classified staff providing direct services to students     |  | 1 to 5.7  |
| Staff-to-student ratio of certificated staff providing direct services to students   |  | 1 to 14.5   |

2024-25 Total Expenditures Table

| LCAP Year | 1. Projected LCFF Base Grant<br>(Input Dollar Amount) | 2. Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants<br>(Input Dollar Amount) | 3. Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year<br>(2 divided by 1) | LCFF Carryover — Percentage<br>(Input Percentage from Prior Year) | Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year<br>(3 + Carryover %) |
|-----------|---|---|--|---|--|
| Totals    | \$656,561   | \$113,922   | 17.351%  | 0.000%  | 17.351%  |

| Totals | LCFF Funds   | Other State Funds | Local Funds | Federal Funds | Total Funds  | Total Personnel | Total Non-personnel |
|--------|--------------|-------------------|-------------|---------------|--------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| Totals | \$123,031.00 | \$67,750.00       | \$0.00      | \$500.00      | \$191,281.00 | \$167,076.00    | \$24,205.00         |

| Goal # | Action # | Action Title  | Student Group(s)                               | Contributing to Increased or Improved Services? | Scope    | Unduplicated Student Group(s)                  | Location    | Time Span | Total Personnel | Total Non-personnel | LCFF Funds  | Other State Funds | Local Funds | Federal Funds | Total Funds | Planned Percentage of Improved Services |
|--------|----------|---|--|---|----------|--|-------------|-----------|-----------------|---------------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|---|
| 1      | 1.1      | Develop and implement two Staff Development Days for Teachers | English Learners<br>Foster Youth<br>Low Income | Yes   | LEA-wide | English Learners<br>Foster Youth<br>Low Income | All Schools |           | \$2,341.00      | \$600.00            | \$2,941.00  |                   |             |               | \$2,941.00  |   |
| 1      | 1.2      | Staff Development   | English Learners<br>Foster Youth<br>Low Income | Yes   | LEA-wide | English Learners<br>Foster Youth<br>Low Income | All Schools |           | \$1,227.00      | \$1,500.00          | \$2,727.00  |                   |             |               | \$2,727.00  |   |
| 1      | 1.3      | Increased Intervention  | English Learners<br>Foster Youth<br>Low Income | Yes   | LEA-wide | English Learners<br>Foster Youth<br>Low Income | All Schools |           | \$17,000.00     | \$0.00              |             | \$17,000.00       |             |               | \$17,000.00 |   |
| 1      | 1.4      | Partnership ESL Coordinator and Support Team                  | English Learners                               | Yes   | LEA-wide | English Learners                               | All Schools |           | \$0.00          | \$750.00            |             | \$750.00          |             |               | \$750.00    |   |
| 1      | 1.5      | Learning Software   | English Learners<br>Foster Youth<br>Low Income | Yes   | LEA-wide | English Learners<br>Foster Youth<br>Low Income | All Schools |           | \$0.00          | \$4,383.00          | \$4,383.00  |                   |             |               | \$4,383.00  |   |
| 1      | 1.6      | Math Adoption Cycle   | All  | No  |          |  | All Schools |           | \$0.00          | \$0.00              |             | \$0.00            |             |               | \$0.00      |   |
| 1      | 1.7      | Increased Intervention  | English Learners<br>Foster Youth<br>Low Income | Yes   | LEA-wide | English Learners<br>Foster Youth<br>Low Income | All Schools |           | \$84,522.00     | \$0.00              | \$84,522.00 |                   |             |               | \$84,522.00 |   |
| 2      | 2.1      | Maintaining a Broad Course of Study                           | All  | No  |          |  | All Schools |           | \$0.00          | \$0.00              | \$0.00      |                   |             |               | \$0.00      |   |
| 2      | 2.2      | Site Administrator Support of Portrait Competencies           | English Learners<br>Foster Youth<br>Low Income | Yes   | LEA-wide | English Learners<br>Foster Youth<br>Low Income | All Schools |           | \$0.00          | \$9,298.00          | \$9,298.00  |                   |             |               | \$9,298.00  |   |

| Goal # | Action # | Action Title  | Student Group(s)                                   | Contributing to Increased or Improved Services? | Scope    | Unduplicated Student Group(s)                  | Location    | Time Span | Total Personnel | Total Non-personnel | LCFF Funds  | Other State Funds | Local Funds | Federal Funds | Total Funds | Planned Percentage of Improved Services |
|--------|----------|---|--|---|----------|--|-------------|-----------|-----------------|---------------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|---|
| 2      | 2.3      | Science Camp  | English Learners<br>Foster Youth<br>Low Income     | Yes   | LEA-wide | English Learners<br>Foster Youth<br>Low Income | All Schools |           | \$0.00          | \$2,000.00          | \$2,000.00  |                   |             |               | \$2,000.00  |   |
| 3      | 3.1      | Monthly site inspections                                    | All  | No  |          |  | All Schools |           | \$500.00        | \$0.00              | \$500.00    |                   |             |               | \$500.00    |   |
| 3      | 3.2      | Maintain annual maintenance account                         | All  | No  |          |  | All Schools |           | \$0.00          | \$1,500.00          | \$1,500.00  |                   |             |               | \$1,500.00  |   |
| 3      | 3.3      | Counseling Services   | English Learners<br>Foster Youth<br>Low Income     | Yes   | LEA-wide | English Learners<br>Foster Youth<br>Low Income | All Schools |           | \$11,486.00     | \$0.00              | \$11,486.00 |                   |             |               | \$11,486.00 |   |
| 3      | 3.4      | Capturing Kids Hearts                                       | English Learners<br>Foster Youth<br>Low Income     | Yes   | LEA-wide | English Learners<br>Foster Youth<br>Low Income | All Schools |           | \$0.00          | \$2,000.00          | \$2,000.00  |                   |             |               | \$2,000.00  |   |
| 4      | 4.1      | Access to tools to increase parent engagement               | All  | No  |          |  | All Schools |           | \$0.00          | \$674.00            | \$674.00    |                   |             |               | \$674.00    |   |
| 4      | 4.2      | Implementing effective school and district-level committees | All  | No  |          |  | All Schools |           | \$0.00          | \$500.00            | \$500.00    |                   |             |               | \$500.00    |   |
| 4      | 4.3      | Attendance Education  | English Learners<br>Foster Youth<br>Low Income     | Yes   | LEA-wide | English Learners<br>Foster Youth<br>Low Income | All Schools |           | \$0.00          | \$500.00            | \$500.00    |                   |             |               | \$500.00    |   |
| 4      | 4.4      | Implementing Meaningful Parent Engagement Nights            | All  | No  |          |  | All Schools |           | \$0.00          | \$500.00            |             |                   |             | \$500.00      | \$500.00    |   |
| 5      | 5.1      | School Counselor  | All<br>Low income and<br>highly mobile<br>students | No  |          |  | All Schools |           | \$20,383.00     | \$0.00              |             | \$20,383.00       |             |               | \$20,383.00 |   |
| 5      | 5.2      | Academic Support  | All<br>Low income and<br>highly mobile<br>students | No  |          |  | All Schools |           | \$18,037.00     | \$0.00              |             | \$18,037.00       |             |               | \$18,037.00 |   |
| 5      | 5.3      | Literacy Coordinator  | All  | No  |          |  | All Schools |           | \$11,580.00     | \$0.00              |             | \$11,580.00       |             |               | \$11,580.00 |   |



# 2024-25 Contributing Actions Table

| 1. Projected LCFF Base Grant | 2. Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants | 3. Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (2 divided by 1) | LCFF Carryover — Percentage (Percentage from Prior Year) | Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (3 + Carryover %) | 4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds) | 5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%) | Planned Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (4 divided by 1, plus 5) | Totals by Type           | Total LCFF Funds |
|------------------------------|--|---|--|---|---|--|--|--------------------------|------------------|
| \$656,561                    | \$113,922  | 17.351%   | 0.000%   | 17.351%   | \$119,857.00  | 0.000%   | 18.255 %   | <b>Total:</b>            | \$119,857.00     |
|                              |  |   |  |   |   |  |  | <b>LEA-wide Total:</b>   | \$119,857.00     |
|                              |  |   |  |   |   |  |  | <b>Limited Total:</b>    | \$0.00           |
|                              |  |   |  |   |   |  |  | <b>Schoolwide Total:</b> | \$0.00           |

| Goal | Action # | Action Title  | Contributing to Increased or Improved Services? | Scope    | Unduplicated Student Group(s)                  | Location    | Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds) | Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%) |
|------|----------|---|---|----------|--|-------------|--|---|
| 1    | 1.1      | Develop and implement two Staff Development Days for Teachers | Yes   | LEA-wide | English Learners<br>Foster Youth<br>Low Income | All Schools | \$2,941.00   |   |
| 1    | 1.2      | Staff Development   | Yes   | LEA-wide | English Learners<br>Foster Youth<br>Low Income | All Schools | \$2,727.00   |   |
| 1    | 1.3      | Increased Intervention  | Yes   | LEA-wide | English Learners<br>Foster Youth<br>Low Income | All Schools |  |   |
| 1    | 1.4      | Partnership ESL Coordinator and Support Team                  | Yes   | LEA-wide | English Learners                               | All Schools |  |   |
| 1    | 1.5      | Learning Software   | Yes   | LEA-wide | English Learners<br>Foster Youth<br>Low Income | All Schools | \$4,383.00   |   |
| 1    | 1.7      | Increased Intervention  | Yes   | LEA-wide | English Learners<br>Foster Youth<br>Low Income | All Schools | \$84,522.00  |   |
| 2    | 2.2      | Site Administrator Support of Portrait Competencies           | Yes   | LEA-wide | English Learners<br>Foster Youth               | All Schools | \$9,298.00   |   |

| Goal | Action # | Action Title          | Contributing to Increased or Improved Services? | Scope    | Unduplicated Student Group(s)                  | Location    | Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds) | Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%) |
|------|----------|-----------------------|---|----------|--|-------------|--|---|
|      |          |                       |   |          | Low Income                                     |             |  |   |
| 2    | 2.3      | Science Camp          | Yes   | LEA-wide | English Learners<br>Foster Youth<br>Low Income | All Schools | \$2,000.00   |   |
| 3    | 3.3      | Counseling Services   | Yes   | LEA-wide | English Learners<br>Foster Youth<br>Low Income | All Schools | \$11,486.00  |   |
| 3    | 3.4      | Capturing Kids Hearts | Yes   | LEA-wide | English Learners<br>Foster Youth<br>Low Income | All Schools | \$2,000.00   |   |
| 4    | 4.3      | Attendance Education  | Yes   | LEA-wide | English Learners<br>Foster Youth<br>Low Income | All Schools | \$500.00   |   |

# 2023-24 Annual Update Table

| Totals | Last Year's Total Planned Expenditures (Total Funds) | Total Estimated Expenditures (Total Funds) |
|--------|--|--|
| Totals | \$142,888.00   | \$126,951.00                               |

| Last Year's Goal # | Last Year's Action # | Prior Action/Service Title                                | Contributed to Increased or Improved Services? | Last Year's Planned Expenditures (Total Funds) | Estimated Actual Expenditures (Input Total Funds) |
|--------------------|----------------------|---|--|--|---|
| 1                  | 1.1                  | Develop and implement Staff Development Days for Teachers | Yes  | \$2,726.00                                     | \$3,638   |
| 1                  | 1.2                  | Staff Development   | No   | \$1,315.00                                     | \$500   |
| 1                  | 1.3                  | Increased Intervention                                    | No   | \$4,928.00                                     | \$10,913  |
| 1                  | 1.4                  | Partnership ESL Coordinator and Support Team              | No   | \$750.00                                       | \$750   |
| 1                  | 1.5                  | NGSS Materials  | No   | \$1,442.00                                     | \$0   |
| 1                  | 1.6                  | Learning Software   | Yes  | \$2,883.00                                     | \$2,436   |
| 1                  | 1.7                  | Math Adoption Cycle - Postponed                           | No   | \$0.00   | \$0   |
| 1                  | 1.8                  | Aid Support for Student Intervention                      | Yes  | \$82,053.00                                    | \$66,227  |
| 1                  | 1.9                  | No Excuses University                                     | No   | \$0.00   | \$0   |
| 2                  | 2.1                  | Maintaining a broad course of study                       | No   | \$0.00   | \$0   |
| 2                  | 2.2                  | Site Administrator Support of Portrait Competencies       | No   | \$7,346.00                                     | \$7,413   |

| Last Year's Goal # | Last Year's Action # | Prior Action/Service Title                                  | Contributed to Increased or Improved Services? | Last Year's Planned Expenditures (Total Funds) | Estimated Actual Expenditures (Input Total Funds) |
|--------------------|----------------------|---|--|--|---|
|                    |                      |   |  |  |   |
| 2                  | 2.3                  | "Wes Camp" like activities                                  | Yes  | \$1,684.00                                     | \$0   |
| 2                  | 2.4                  | Technology  | No   | \$3,000.00                                     | \$3,000   |
| 3                  | 3.1                  | Monthly site inspections                                    | No   | \$500.00                                       | \$500   |
| 3                  | 3.2                  | Maintain annual maintenance account                         | No   | \$500.00                                       | \$0   |
| 3                  | 3.3                  | Counseling Services & Materials                             | Yes  | \$30,511.00                                    | \$29,574  |
| 3                  | 3.4                  | Capturing Kids Hearts                                       | Yes  | \$2,000.00                                     | \$2,000   |
| 3                  | 3.5                  | SEL Curriculum, Materials and Supports                      | Yes  | \$250.00                                       | \$0   |
| 4                  | 4.1                  | Access to tools to increase parent engagement               | No   | \$500.00                                       | \$0   |
| 4                  | 4.2                  | Implementing effective school and district-level committees | No   | \$500.00                                       | \$0   |
| 4                  | 4.3                  | Parent Attendance Letters                                   | No   | \$0.00   | \$0   |

# 2023-24 Contributing Actions Annual Update Table

| 6. Estimated LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (Input Dollar Amount) | 4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds) | 7. Total Estimated Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds) | Difference Between Planned and Estimated Expenditures for Contributing Actions (Subtract 7 from 4) | 5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%) | 8. Total Estimated Percentage of Improved Services (%) | Difference Between Planned and Estimated Percentage of Improved Services (Subtract 5 from 8) |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| \$112,365  | \$122,107.00  | \$113,003.00  | \$9,104.00   | 0.000%   | 0.000%   | 0.000%   |

| Last Year's Goal # | Last Year's Action # | Prior Action/Service Title                                | Contributing to Increased or Improved Services? | Last Year's Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds) | Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (Input LCFF Funds) | Planned Percentage of Improved Services | Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (Input Percentage) |
|--------------------|----------------------|---|---|--|---|---|---|
| 1                  | 1.1                  | Develop and implement Staff Development Days for Teachers | Yes   | \$2,726.00   | \$3,638   |   |   |
| 1                  | 1.6                  | Learning Software   | Yes   | \$2,883.00   | \$2,436   |   |   |
| 1                  | 1.8                  | Aid Support for Student Intervention                      | Yes   | \$82,053.00  | \$66,227  |   |   |
| 2                  | 2.3                  | "Wes Camp" like activities                                | Yes   | \$1,684.00   | \$0   |   |   |
| 3                  | 3.3                  | Counseling Services & Materials                           | Yes   | \$30,511.00  | \$38,702  |   |   |
| 3                  | 3.4                  | Capturing Kids Hearts                                     | Yes   | \$2,000.00   | \$2,000   |   |   |
| 3                  | 3.5                  | SEL Curriculum, Materials and Supports                    | Yes   | \$250.00   | \$0   |   |   |

## 2023-24 LCFF Carryover Table

| 9. Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (Input Dollar Amount) | 6. Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants | LCFF Carryover — Percentage (Percentage from Prior Year) | 10. Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Current School Year (6 divided by 9 + Carryover %) | 7. Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds) | 8. Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (%) | 11. Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (7 divided by 9, plus 8) | 12. LCFF Carryover — Dollar Amount (Subtract 11 from 10 and multiply by 9) | 13. LCFF Carryover — Percentage (12 divided by 9) |
|---|---|--|---|--|---|--|--|---|
| \$651,722   | \$112,365   | 0  | 17.241%   | \$113,003.00   | 0.000%  | 17.339%  | \$0.00   | 0.000%  |

# Local Control and Accountability Plan Instructions

[Plan Summary](#)

[Engaging Educational Partners](#)

[Goals and Actions](#)

[Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students](#)

*For additional questions or technical assistance related to the completion of the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) template, please contact the local county office of education (COE), or the California Department of Education's (CDE's) Local Agency Systems Support Office, by phone at 916-319-0809 or by email at [LCFF@cde.ca.gov](mailto:LCFF@cde.ca.gov).*

## Introduction and Instructions

The Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) requires local educational agencies (LEAs) to engage their local educational partners in an annual planning process to evaluate their progress within eight state priority areas encompassing all statutory metrics (COEs have 10 state priorities). LEAs document the results of this planning process in the LCAP using the template adopted by the State Board of Education.

The LCAP development process serves three distinct, but related functions:

- **Comprehensive Strategic Planning:** The process of developing and annually updating the LCAP supports comprehensive strategic planning, particularly to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the California School Dashboard (California *Education Code* [EC] Section 52064[e][1]). Strategic planning that is comprehensive connects budgetary decisions to teaching and learning performance data. LEAs should continually evaluate the hard choices they make about the use of limited resources to meet student and community needs to ensure opportunities and outcomes are improved for all students.
- **Meaningful Engagement of Educational Partners:** The LCAP development process should result in an LCAP that reflects decisions made through meaningful engagement (EC Section 52064[e][1]). Local educational partners possess valuable perspectives and insights about an LEA's programs and services. Effective strategic planning will incorporate these perspectives and insights in order to identify potential goals and actions to be included in the LCAP.
- **Accountability and Compliance:** The LCAP serves an important accountability function because the nature of some LCAP template sections require LEAs to show that they have complied with various requirements specified in the LCFF statutes and regulations, most notably:
  - Demonstrating that LEAs are increasing or improving services for foster youth, English learners, including long-term English learners, and low-income students in proportion to the amount of additional funding those students generate under LCFF (EC Section 52064[b][4-6]).
  - Establishing goals, supported by actions and related expenditures, that address the statutory priority areas and statutory metrics (EC sections 52064[b][1] and [2]).
    - **NOTE:** As specified in EC Section 62064(b)(1), the LCAP must provide a description of the annual goals, for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils identified pursuant to EC Section 52052, to be achieved for each of the state priorities. Beginning in 2023–24, EC

Section 52052 identifies long-term English learners as a separate and distinct pupil subgroup with a numerical significance at 15 students.

- Annually reviewing and updating the LCAP to reflect progress toward the goals (*EC* Section 52064[b][7]).
- Ensuring that all increases attributable to supplemental and concentration grant calculations, including concentration grant add-on funding and/or LCFF carryover, are reflected in the LCAP (*EC* sections 52064[b][6], [8], and [11]).

The LCAP template, like each LEA's final adopted LCAP, is a document, not a process. LEAs must use the template to memorialize the outcome of their LCAP development process, which must: (a) reflect comprehensive strategic planning, particularly to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the California School Dashboard (Dashboard), (b) through meaningful engagement with educational partners that (c) meets legal requirements, as reflected in the final adopted LCAP. The sections included within the LCAP template do not and cannot reflect the full development process, just as the LCAP template itself is not intended as a tool for engaging educational partners.

If a county superintendent of schools has jurisdiction over a single school district, the county board of education and the governing board of the school district may adopt and file for review and approval a single LCAP consistent with the requirements in *EC* sections 52060, 52062, 52066, 52068, and 52070. The LCAP must clearly articulate to which entity's budget (school district or county superintendent of schools) all budgeted and actual expenditures are aligned.

The revised LCAP template for the 2024–25, 2025–26, and 2026–27 school years reflects statutory changes made through Senate Bill 114 (Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review), Chapter 48, Statutes of 2023.

At its most basic, the adopted LCAP should attempt to distill not just what the LEA is doing for students in transitional kindergarten through grade twelve (TK–12), but also allow educational partners to understand why, and whether those strategies are leading to improved opportunities and outcomes for students. LEAs are strongly encouraged to use language and a level of detail in their adopted LCAPs intended to be meaningful and accessible for the LEA's diverse educational partners and the broader public.

In developing and finalizing the LCAP for adoption, LEAs are encouraged to keep the following overarching frame at the forefront of the strategic planning and educational partner engagement functions:

Given present performance across the state priorities and on indicators in the Dashboard, how is the LEA using its budgetary resources to respond to TK–12 student and community needs, and address any performance gaps, including by meeting its obligation to increase or improve services for foster youth, English learners, and low-income students?

LEAs are encouraged to focus on a set of metrics and actions which, based on research, experience, and input gathered from educational partners, the LEA believes will have the biggest impact on behalf of its TK–12 students.

These instructions address the requirements for each section of the LCAP, but may include information about effective practices when developing the LCAP and completing the LCAP document. Additionally, the beginning of each template section includes information emphasizing the purpose that section serves.



# Plan Summary

## Purpose

A well-developed Plan Summary section provides a meaningful context for the LCAP. This section provides information about an LEA's community as well as relevant information about student needs and performance. In order to present a meaningful context for the rest of the LCAP, the content of this section should be clearly and meaningfully related to the content included throughout each subsequent section of the LCAP.

## Requirements and Instructions

### General Information

A description of the LEA, its schools, and its students in grades transitional kindergarten–12, as applicable to the LEA.

Briefly describe the LEA, its schools, and its students in grades TK–12, as applicable to the LEA.

- For example, information about an LEA in terms of geography, enrollment, employment, the number and size of specific schools, recent community challenges, and other such information the LEA may wish to include can enable a reader to more fully understand the LEA's LCAP.
- As part of this response, identify all schools within the LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funding.

### Reflections: Annual Performance

A reflection on annual performance based on a review of the California School Dashboard (Dashboard) and local data.

Reflect on the LEA's annual performance on the Dashboard and local data. This may include both successes and challenges identified by the LEA during the development process.

LEAs are encouraged to highlight how they are addressing the identified needs of student groups, and/or schools within the LCAP as part of this response.

As part of this response, the LEA must identify the following, which will remain unchanged during the three-year LCAP cycle:

- Any school within the LEA that received the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the 2023 Dashboard;
- Any student group within the LEA that received the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the 2023 Dashboard; and/or
- Any student group within a school within the LEA that received the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the 2023 Dashboard.

### Reflections: Technical Assistance

As applicable, a summary of the work underway as part of technical assistance.

Annually identify the reason(s) the LEA is eligible for or has requested technical assistance consistent with *EC* sections 47607.3, 52071, 52071.5, 52072, or 52072.5, and provide a summary of the work underway as part of receiving technical assistance. The most common form of this technical assistance is frequently referred to as Differentiated Assistance, however this also includes LEAs that have requested technical assistance from their COE.

- If the LEA is not eligible for or receiving technical assistance, the LEA may respond to this prompt as “Not Applicable.”

**Comprehensive Support and Improvement**

An LEA with a school or schools identified for comprehensive support and improvement (CSI) under the Every Student Succeeds Act must respond to the following prompts:

*Schools Identified*

A list of the schools in the LEA that are eligible for comprehensive support and improvement.

- Identify the schools within the LEA that have been identified for CSI.

*Support for Identified Schools*

A description of how the LEA has or will support its eligible schools in developing comprehensive support and improvement plans.

- Describe how the LEA has or will support the identified schools in developing CSI plans that included a school-level needs assessment, evidence-based interventions, and the identification of any resource inequities to be addressed through the implementation of the CSI plan.

*Monitoring and Evaluating Effectiveness*

A description of how the LEA will monitor and evaluate the plan to support student and school improvement.

- Describe how the LEA will monitor and evaluate the implementation and effectiveness of the CSI plan to support student and school improvement.

**Engaging Educational Partners**

**Purpose**

Significant and purposeful engagement of parents, students, educators, and other educational partners, including those representing the student groups identified by LCFF, is critical to the development of the LCAP and the budget process. Consistent with statute, such engagement should support comprehensive strategic planning, particularly to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the Dashboard, accountability, and improvement across the state priorities and locally identified priorities (*EC* Section 52064[e][1]). Engagement of educational partners is an ongoing, annual process.

This section is designed to reflect how the engagement of educational partners influenced the decisions reflected in the adopted LCAP. The goal is to allow educational partners that participated in the LCAP development process and the broader public to understand how the LEA engaged educational partners and the impact of that engagement. LEAs are encouraged to keep this goal in the forefront when completing this section.

**Requirements**

**School districts and COEs:** *EC* sections [52060\(g\) \(California Legislative Information\)](#) and [52066\(g\) \(California Legislative Information\)](#) specify the educational partners that must be consulted when developing the LCAP:

- Teachers,
- Principals,
- Administrators,
- Other school personnel,
- Local bargaining units of the LEA,
- Parents, and
- Students

A school district or COE receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

Before adopting the LCAP, school districts and COEs must share it with the applicable committees, as identified below under Requirements and Instructions. The superintendent is required by statute to respond in writing to the comments received from these committees. School districts and COEs must also consult with the special education local plan area administrator(s) when developing the LCAP.

**Charter schools:** *EC* Section [47606.5\(d\) \(California Legislative Information\)](#) requires that the following educational partners be consulted with when developing the LCAP:

- Teachers,
- Principals,
- Administrators,
- Other school personnel,
- Parents, and
- Students

A charter school receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at the school generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for the school.

The LCAP should also be shared with, and LEAs should request input from, schoolsite-level advisory groups, as applicable (e.g., schoolsite councils, English Learner Advisory Councils, student advisory groups, etc.), to facilitate alignment between schoolsite and district-level goals. Information and resources that support effective engagement, define student consultation, and provide the requirements for advisory group composition, can be found under Resources on the [CDE's LCAP webpage](#).

Before the governing board/body of an LEA considers the adoption of the LCAP, the LEA must meet the following legal requirements:

- For school districts, see [Education Code Section 52062 \(California Legislative Information\)](#);
  - **Note:** Charter schools using the LCAP as the School Plan for Student Achievement must meet the requirements of *EC* Section 52062(a).

- For COEs, see [Education Code Section 52068 \(California Legislative Information\)](#); and
- For charter schools, see [Education Code Section 47606.5 \(California Legislative Information\)](#).
- **NOTE:** As a reminder, the superintendent of a school district or COE must respond, in writing, to comments received by the applicable committees identified in the *Education Code* sections listed above. This includes the parent advisory committee and may include the English learner parent advisory committee and, as of July 1, 2024, the student advisory committee, as applicable.

## Instructions

### Respond to the prompts as follows:

A summary of the process used to engage educational partners in the development of the LCAP.

School districts and county offices of education must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, local bargaining units, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

Charter schools must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

### Complete the table as follows:

#### Educational Partners

Identify the applicable educational partner(s) or group(s) that were engaged in the development of the LCAP.

#### Process for Engagement

Describe the engagement process used by the LEA to involve the identified educational partner(s) in the development of the LCAP. At a minimum, the LEA must describe how it met its obligation to consult with all statutorily required educational partners, as applicable to the type of LEA.

- A sufficient response to this prompt must include general information about the timeline of the process and meetings or other engagement strategies with educational partners. A response may also include information about an LEA's philosophical approach to engaging its educational partners.
- An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also include a summary of how it consulted with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

A description of how the adopted LCAP was influenced by the feedback provided by educational partners.

Describe any goals, metrics, actions, or budgeted expenditures in the LCAP that were influenced by or developed in response to the educational partner feedback.

- A sufficient response to this prompt will provide educational partners and the public with clear, specific information about how the engagement process influenced the development of the LCAP. This may include a description of how the LEA prioritized requests of educational partners within the context of the budgetary resources available or otherwise prioritized areas of focus within the LCAP.
- An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must include a description of how the consultation with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds influenced the development of the adopted LCAP.
- For the purposes of this prompt, this may also include, but is not necessarily limited to:
  - Inclusion of a goal or decision to pursue a Focus Goal (as described below)
  - Inclusion of metrics other than the statutorily required metrics
  - Determination of the target outcome on one or more metrics
  - Inclusion of performance by one or more student groups in the Measuring and Reporting Results subsection
  - Inclusion of action(s) or a group of actions
  - Elimination of action(s) or group of actions
  - Changes to the level of proposed expenditures for one or more actions
  - Inclusion of action(s) as contributing to increased or improved services for unduplicated students
  - Analysis of effectiveness of the specific actions to achieve the goal
  - Analysis of material differences in expenditures
  - Analysis of changes made to a goal for the ensuing LCAP year based on the annual update process
  - Analysis of challenges or successes in the implementation of actions

## Goals and Actions

### Purpose

Well-developed goals will clearly communicate to educational partners what the LEA plans to accomplish, what the LEA plans to do in order to accomplish the goal, and how the LEA will know when it has accomplished the goal. A goal statement, associated metrics and expected outcomes, and the actions included in the goal must be in alignment. The explanation for why the LEA included a goal is an opportunity for LEAs to clearly communicate to educational partners and the public why, among the various strengths and areas for improvement highlighted by performance data and strategies and actions that could be pursued, the LEA decided to pursue this goal, and the related metrics, expected outcomes, actions, and expenditures.

A well-developed goal can be focused on the performance relative to a metric or metrics for all students, a specific student group(s), narrowing performance gaps, or implementing programs or strategies expected to impact outcomes. LEAs should assess the performance of their student groups when developing goals and the related actions to achieve such goals.

### Requirements and Instructions

LEAs should prioritize the goals, specific actions, and related expenditures included within the LCAP within one or more state priorities. LEAs must consider performance on the state and local indicators, including their locally collected and reported data for the local indicators that are included in the Dashboard, in determining whether and how to prioritize its goals within the LCAP. As previously stated, strategic planning that

is comprehensive connects budgetary decisions to teaching and learning performance data. LEAs should continually evaluate the hard choices they make about the use of limited resources to meet student and community needs to ensure opportunities and outcomes are improved for all students, and to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the Dashboard.

In order to support prioritization of goals, the LCAP template provides LEAs with the option of developing three different kinds of goals:

- Focus Goal: A Focus Goal is relatively more concentrated in scope and may focus on a fewer number of metrics to measure improvement. A Focus Goal statement will be time bound and make clear how the goal is to be measured.
  - All Equity Multiplier goals must be developed as focus goals. For additional information, see Required Focus Goal(s) for LEAs Receiving Equity Multiplier Funding below.
- Broad Goal: A Broad Goal is relatively less concentrated in its scope and may focus on improving performance across a wide range of metrics.
- Maintenance of Progress Goal: A Maintenance of Progress Goal includes actions that may be ongoing without significant changes and allows an LEA to track performance on any metrics not addressed in the other goals of the LCAP.

**Requirement to Address the LCFF State Priorities**

At a minimum, the LCAP must address all LCFF priorities and associated metrics articulated in *EC* sections 52060(d) and 52066(d), as applicable to the LEA. The [LCFF State Priorities Summary](#) provides a summary of *EC* sections 52060(d) and 52066(d) to aid in the development of the LCAP.

Respond to the following prompts, as applicable:

**Focus Goal(s)**

Description

The description provided for a Focus Goal must be specific, measurable, and time bound.

- An LEA develops a Focus Goal to address areas of need that may require or benefit from a more specific and data intensive approach.
- The Focus Goal can explicitly reference the metric(s) by which achievement of the goal will be measured and the time frame according to which the LEA expects to achieve the goal.

Type of Goal

Identify the type of goal being implemented as a Focus Goal.

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Explain why the LEA has chosen to prioritize this goal.

- An explanation must be based on Dashboard data or other locally collected data.
- LEAs must describe how the LEA identified this goal for focused attention, including relevant consultation with educational partners.
- LEAs are encouraged to promote transparency and understanding around the decision to pursue a focus goal.

**Required Focus Goal(s) for LEAs Receiving Equity Multiplier Funding**

Description

LEAs receiving Equity Multiplier funding must include one or more focus goals for each school generating Equity Multiplier funding. In addition to addressing the focus goal requirements described above, LEAs must adhere to the following requirements.

Focus goals for Equity Multiplier schoolsites must address the following:

- (A) All student groups that have the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the Dashboard, and
- (B) Any underlying issues in the credentialing, subject matter preparation, and retention of the school’s educators, if applicable.
- Focus Goals for each and every Equity Multiplier schoolsite must identify specific metrics for each identified student group, as applicable.
- An LEA may create a single goal for multiple Equity Multiplier schoolsites if those schoolsites have the same student group(s) performing at the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the Dashboard or, experience similar issues in the credentialing, subject matter preparation, and retention of the school’s educators.
  - When creating a single goal for multiple Equity Multiplier schoolsites, the goal must identify the student groups and the performance levels on the Dashboard that the Focus Goal is addressing; or,
  - The common issues the schoolsites are experiencing in credentialing, subject matter preparation, and retention of the school’s educators, if applicable.

Type of Goal

Identify the type of goal being implemented as an Equity Multiplier Focus Goal.

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Explain why the LEA has chosen to prioritize this goal.

- An explanation must be based on Dashboard data or other locally collected data.
- LEAs must describe how the LEA identified this goal for focused attention, including relevant consultation with educational partners.
- LEAs are encouraged to promote transparency and understanding around the decision to pursue a focus goal.
- In addition to this information, the LEA must also identify:
  - The school or schools to which the goal applies

LEAs are encouraged to approach an Equity Multiplier goal from a wholistic standpoint, considering how the goal might maximize student outcomes through the use of LCFF and other funding in addition to Equity Multiplier funds.

- Equity Multiplier funds must be used to supplement, not supplant, funding provided to Equity Multiplier schoolsites for purposes of the LCFF, the Expanded Learning Opportunities Program (ELO-P), the Literacy Coaches and Reading Specialists (LCRS) Grant Program, and/or the California Community Schools Partnership Program (CCSPP).
- This means that Equity Multiplier funds must not be used to replace funding that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to implement LEA-wide actions identified in the LCAP or that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to implement provisions of the ELO-P, the LCRS, and/or the CCSPP.

**Note:** EC Section [42238.024\(b\)\(1\) \(California Legislative Information\)](#) requires that Equity Multiplier funds be used for the provision of evidence-based services and supports for students. Evidence-based services and supports are based on objective evidence that has informed the design of the service or support and/or guides the modification of those services and supports. Evidence-based supports and strategies are most commonly based on educational research and/or metrics of LEA, school, and/or student performance.

## Broad Goal

### Description

Describe what the LEA plans to achieve through the actions included in the goal.

- The description of a broad goal will be clearly aligned with the expected measurable outcomes included for the goal.
- The goal description organizes the actions and expected outcomes in a cohesive and consistent manner.
- A goal description is specific enough to be measurable in either quantitative or qualitative terms. A broad goal is not as specific as a focus goal. While it is specific enough to be measurable, there are many different metrics for measuring progress toward the goal.

### Type of Goal

Identify the type of goal being implemented as a Broad Goal.

### State Priorities addressed by this goal.



Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Explain why the LEA developed this goal and how the actions and metrics grouped together will help achieve the goal.

**Maintenance of Progress Goal**

Description

Describe how the LEA intends to maintain the progress made in the LCFF State Priorities not addressed by the other goals in the LCAP.

- Use this type of goal to address the state priorities and applicable metrics not addressed within the other goals in the LCAP.
- The state priorities and metrics to be addressed in this section are those for which the LEA, in consultation with educational partners, has determined to maintain actions and monitor progress while focusing implementation efforts on the actions covered by other goals in the LCAP.

Type of Goal

Identify the type of goal being implemented as a Maintenance of Progress Goal.

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Explain how the actions will sustain the progress exemplified by the related metrics.

**Measuring and Reporting Results:**

For each LCAP year, identify the metric(s) that the LEA will use to track progress toward the expected outcomes.

- LEAs must identify metrics for specific student groups, as appropriate, including expected outcomes that address and reduce disparities in outcomes between student groups.
- The metrics may be quantitative or qualitative; but at minimum, an LEA’s LCAP must include goals that are measured using all of the applicable metrics for the related state priorities, in each LCAP year, as applicable to the type of LEA.
- To the extent a state priority does not specify one or more metrics (e.g., implementation of state academic content and performance standards), the LEA must identify a metric to use within the LCAP. For these state priorities, LEAs are encouraged to use metrics based on or reported through the relevant local indicator self-reflection tools within the Dashboard.

- **Required metrics for LEA-wide actions:** For each action identified as 1) contributing towards the requirement to increase or improve services for foster youth, English learners, including long-term English learners, and low-income students and 2) being provided on an LEA-wide basis, the LEA must identify one or more metrics to monitor the effectiveness of the action and its budgeted expenditures.
  - These required metrics may be identified within the action description or the first prompt in the increased or improved services section, however the description must clearly identify the metric(s) being used to monitor the effectiveness of the action and the action(s) that the metric(s) apply to.
- **Required metrics for Equity Multiplier goals:** For each Equity Multiplier goal, the LEA must identify:
  - The specific metrics for each identified student group at each specific schoolsite, as applicable, to measure the progress toward the goal, and/or
  - The specific metrics used to measure progress in meeting the goal related to credentialing, subject matter preparation, or educator retention at each specific schoolsite.

Complete the table as follows:

#### Metric #

- Enter the metric number.

#### Metric

- Identify the standard of measure being used to determine progress towards the goal and/or to measure the effectiveness of one or more actions associated with the goal.

#### Baseline

- Enter the baseline when completing the LCAP for 2024–25.
  - Use the most recent data associated with the metric available at the time of adoption of the LCAP for the first year of the three-year plan. LEAs may use data as reported on the 2023 Dashboard for the baseline of a metric only if that data represents the most recent available data (e.g., high school graduation rate).
  - Using the most recent data available may involve reviewing data the LEA is preparing for submission to the California Longitudinal Pupil Achievement Data System (CALPADS) or data that the LEA has recently submitted to CALPADS.
  - Indicate the school year to which the baseline data applies.
  - The baseline data must remain unchanged throughout the three-year LCAP.
    - This requirement is not intended to prevent LEAs from revising the baseline data if it is necessary to do so. For example, if an LEA identifies that its data collection practices for a particular metric are leading to inaccurate data and revises its practice to obtain

accurate data, it would also be appropriate for the LEA to revise the baseline data to align with the more accurate data process and report its results using the accurate data.

- If an LEA chooses to revise its baseline data, then, at a minimum, it must clearly identify the change as part of its response to the description of changes prompt in the Goal Analysis for the goal. LEAs are also strongly encouraged to involve their educational partners in the decision of whether or not to revise a baseline and to communicate the proposed change to their educational partners.
- Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one- or two-year LCAP may identify a new baseline each year, as applicable.

### Year 1 Outcome

- When completing the LCAP for 2025–26, enter the most recent data available. Indicate the school year to which the data applies.
  - Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one-year LCAP may provide the Year 1 Outcome when completing the LCAP for both 2025–26 and 2026–27 or may provide the Year 1 Outcome for 2025–26 and provide the Year 2 Outcome for 2026–27.

### Year 2 Outcome

- When completing the LCAP for 2026–27, enter the most recent data available. Indicate the school year to which the data applies.
  - Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one-year LCAP may identify the Year 2 Outcome as not applicable when completing the LCAP for 2026–27 or may provide the Year 2 Outcome for 2026–27.

### Target for Year 3 Outcome

- When completing the first year of the LCAP, enter the target outcome for the relevant metric the LEA expects to achieve by the end of the three-year LCAP cycle.
  - Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one- or two-year LCAP may identify a Target for Year 1 or Target for Year 2, as applicable.

### Current Difference from Baseline

- When completing the LCAP for 2025–26 and 2026–27, enter the current difference between the baseline and the yearly outcome, as applicable.
  - Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one- or two-year LCAP will identify the current difference between the baseline and the yearly outcome for Year 1 and/or the current difference between the baseline and the yearly outcome for Year 2, as applicable.

Timeline for school districts and COEs for completing the “**Measuring and Reporting Results**” part of the Goal.

| Metric   | Baseline   | Year 1 Outcome  | Year 2 Outcome  | Target for Year 3 Outcome  | Current Difference from Baseline   |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|
| Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for <b>2024–25</b> or when adding a new metric. | Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for <b>2024–25</b> or when adding a new metric. | Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for <b>2025–26</b> . Leave blank until then. | Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for <b>2026–27</b> . Leave blank until then. | Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for <b>2024–25</b> or when adding a new metric. | Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for <b>2025–26</b> and <b>2026–27</b> . Leave blank until then. |

**Goal Analysis:**

Enter the LCAP Year.

Using actual annual measurable outcome data, including data from the Dashboard, analyze whether the planned actions were effective towards achieving the goal. “Effective” means the degree to which the planned actions were successful in producing the target result. Respond to the prompts as instructed.

**Note:** When completing the 2024–25 LCAP, use the 2023–24 Local Control and Accountability Plan Annual Update template to complete the Goal Analysis and identify the Goal Analysis prompts in the 2024–25 LCAP as “Not Applicable.”

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

- Describe the overall implementation of the actions to achieve the articulated goal, including relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.
  - Include a discussion of relevant challenges and successes experienced with the implementation process.
  - This discussion must include any instance where the LEA did not implement a planned action or implemented a planned action in a manner that differs substantively from how it was described in the adopted LCAP.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

- Explain material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and between the Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services, as applicable. Minor variances in expenditures or percentages do not need to be addressed, and a dollar-for-dollar accounting is not required.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

- Describe the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal. “Effectiveness” means the degree to which the actions were successful in producing the target result and “ineffectiveness” means that the actions did not produce any significant or targeted result.
  - In some cases, not all actions in a goal will be intended to improve performance on all of the metrics associated with the goal.
  - When responding to this prompt, LEAs may assess the effectiveness of a single action or group of actions within the goal in the context of performance on a single metric or group of specific metrics within the goal that are applicable to the action(s). Grouping actions with metrics will allow for more robust analysis of whether the strategy the LEA is using to impact a specified set of metrics is working and increase transparency for educational partners. LEAs are encouraged to use such an approach when goals include multiple actions and metrics that are not closely associated.
  - Beginning with the development of the 2024–25 LCAP, the LEA must change actions that have not proven effective over a three-year period.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

- Describe any changes made to this goal, expected outcomes, metrics, or actions to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis and analysis of the data provided in the Dashboard or other local data, as applicable.
  - As noted above, beginning with the development of the 2024–25 LCAP, the LEA must change actions that have not proven effective over a three-year period. For actions that have been identified as ineffective, the LEA must identify the ineffective action and must include a description of the following:
    - The reasons for the ineffectiveness, and
    - How changes to the action will result in a new or strengthened approach.

**Actions:**  
Complete the table as follows. Add additional rows as necessary.

Action #

- Enter the action number.

Title

- Provide a short title for the action. This title will also appear in the action tables.

Description

- Provide a brief description of the action.

- For actions that contribute to meeting the increased or improved services requirement, the LEA may include an explanation of how each action is principally directed towards and effective in meeting the LEA's goals for unduplicated students, as described in the instructions for the Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students section.
- As previously noted, for each action identified as 1) contributing towards the requirement to increase or improve services for foster youth, English learners, including long-term English learners, and low-income students and 2) being provided on an LEA-wide basis, the LEA must identify one or more metrics to monitor the effectiveness of the action and its budgeted expenditures.
- These required metrics may be identified within the action description or the first prompt in the increased or improved services section; however, the description must clearly identify the metric(s) being used to monitor the effectiveness of the action and the action(s) that the metric(s) apply to.

## Total Funds

- Enter the total amount of expenditures associated with this action. Budgeted expenditures from specific fund sources will be provided in the action tables.

## Contributing

- Indicate whether the action contributes to meeting the increased or improved services requirement as described in the Increased or Improved Services section using a “Y” for Yes or an “N” for No.
  - **Note:** for each such contributing action, the LEA will need to provide additional information in the Increased or Improved Services section to address the requirements in *California Code of Regulations*, Title 5 [5 CCR] Section 15496 in the Increased or Improved Services section of the LCAP.

**Actions for Foster Youth:** School districts, COEs, and charter schools that have a numerically significant foster youth student subgroup are encouraged to include specific actions in the LCAP designed to meet needs specific to foster youth students.

## Required Actions

- LEAs with 30 or more English learners and/or 15 or more long-term English learners must include specific actions in the LCAP related to, at a minimum:
  - Language acquisition programs, as defined in *EC* Section 306, provided to students, and
  - Professional development for teachers.
  - If an LEA has both 30 or more English learners and 15 or more long-term English learners, the LEA must include actions for both English learners and long-term English learners.
- LEAs eligible for technical assistance pursuant to *EC* sections 47607.3, 52071, 52071.5, 52072, or 52072.5, must include specific actions within the LCAP related to its implementation of the work underway as part of technical assistance. The most common form of this technical assistance is frequently referred to as Differentiated Assistance.

- LEAs that have Red Dashboard indicators for (1) a school within the LEA, (2) a student group within the LEA, and/or (3) a student group within any school within the LEA must include one or more specific actions within the LCAP:
  - The specific action(s) must be directed towards the identified student group(s) and/or school(s) and must address the identified state indicator(s) for which the student group or school received the lowest performance level on the 2023 Dashboard. Each student group and/or school that receives the lowest performance level on the 2023 Dashboard must be addressed by one or more actions.
  - These required actions will be effective for the three-year LCAP cycle.

## Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students

### Purpose

A well-written Increased or Improved Services section provides educational partners with a comprehensive description, within a single dedicated section, of how an LEA plans to increase or improve services for its unduplicated students as defined in *EC* Section 42238.02 in grades TK–12 as compared to all students in grades TK–12, as applicable, and how LEA-wide or schoolwide actions identified for this purpose meet regulatory requirements. Descriptions provided should include sufficient detail yet be sufficiently succinct to promote a broader understanding of educational partners to facilitate their ability to provide input. An LEA’s description in this section must align with the actions included in the Goals and Actions section as contributing.

Please Note: For the purpose of meeting the Increased or Improved Services requirement and consistent with *EC* Section 42238.02, long-term English learners are included in the English learner student group.

### Statutory Requirements

An LEA is required to demonstrate in its LCAP how it is increasing or improving services for its students who are foster youth, English learners, and/or low-income, collectively referred to as unduplicated students, as compared to the services provided to all students in proportion to the increase in funding it receives based on the number and concentration of unduplicated students in the LEA (*EC* Section 42238.07[a][1], *EC* Section 52064[b][8][B]; 5 *CCR* Section 15496[a]). This proportionality percentage is also known as the “minimum proportionality percentage” or “MPP.” The manner in which an LEA demonstrates it is meeting its MPP is two-fold: (1) through the expenditure of LCFF funds or through the identification of a Planned Percentage of Improved Services as documented in the Contributing Actions Table, and (2) through the explanations provided in the Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students section.

To improve services means to grow services in quality and to increase services means to grow services in quantity. Services are increased or improved by those actions in the LCAP that are identified in the Goals and Actions section as contributing to the increased or improved services requirement, whether they are provided across the entire LEA (LEA-wide action), provided to an entire school (Schoolwide action), or solely provided to one or more unduplicated student group(s) (Limited action).

Therefore, for *any* action contributing to meet the increased or improved services requirement, the LEA must include an explanation of:

- How the action is increasing or improving services for the unduplicated student group(s) (Identified Needs and Action Design), and
- How the action meets the LEA's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas (Measurement of Effectiveness).

**LEA-wide and Schoolwide Actions**

In addition to the above required explanations, LEAs must provide a justification for why an LEA-wide or Schoolwide action is being provided to all students and how the action is intended to improve outcomes for unduplicated student group(s) as compared to all students.

- Conclusory statements that a service will help achieve an expected outcome for the goal, without an explicit connection or further explanation as to how, are not sufficient.
- Further, simply stating that an LEA has a high enrollment percentage of a specific student group or groups does not meet the increased or improved services standard because enrolling students is not the same as serving students.

**For School Districts Only**

Actions provided on an **LEA-wide** basis at **school districts with an unduplicated pupil percentage of less than 55 percent** must also include a description of how the actions are the most effective use of the funds to meet the district's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas. The description must provide the basis for this determination, including any alternatives considered, supporting research, experience, or educational theory.

Actions provided on a **Schoolwide** basis for **schools with less than 40 percent enrollment of unduplicated pupils** must also include a description of how these actions are the most effective use of the funds to meet the district's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas. The description must provide the basis for this determination, including any alternatives considered, supporting research, experience, or educational theory.

**Requirements and Instructions**

Complete the tables as follows:

Total Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants

- Specify the amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grant funds the LEA estimates it will receive in the coming year based on the number and concentration of foster youth, English learner, and low-income students. This amount includes the Additional 15 percent LCFF Concentration Grant.

Projected Additional 15 percent LCFF Concentration Grant

- Specify the amount of additional LCFF concentration grant add-on funding, as described in *EC* Section 42238.02, that the LEA estimates it will receive in the coming year.

Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year

- Specify the estimated percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the LCAP year as calculated pursuant to 5 *CCR* Section 15496(a)(7).

LCFF Carryover — Percentage



- Specify the LCFF Carryover — Percentage identified in the LCFF Carryover Table. If a carryover percentage is not identified in the LCFF Carryover Table, specify a percentage of zero (0.00%).

#### LCFF Carryover — Dollar

- Specify the LCFF Carryover — Dollar amount identified in the LCFF Carryover Table. If a carryover amount is not identified in the LCFF Carryover Table, specify an amount of zero (\$0).

#### Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year

- Add the Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year and the Proportional LCFF Required Carryover Percentage and specify the percentage. This is the LEA's percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the LCAP year, as calculated pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(7).

## Required Descriptions:

### LEA-wide and Schoolwide Actions

For each action being provided to an entire LEA or school, provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s) and why it is being provided on an LEA or schoolwide basis, and (3) the metric(s) used to measure the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s).

If the LEA has provided this required description in the Action Descriptions, state as such within the table.

Complete the table as follows:

#### Identified Need(s)

Provide an explanation of the unique identified need(s) of the LEA's unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed.

An LEA demonstrates how an action is principally directed towards an unduplicated student group(s) when the LEA explains the need(s), condition(s), or circumstance(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) identified through a needs assessment and how the action addresses them. A meaningful needs assessment includes, at a minimum, analysis of applicable student achievement data and educational partner feedback.

#### How the Action(s) are Designed to Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis

Provide an explanation of how the action as designed will address the unique identified need(s) of the LEA's unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed and the rationale for why the action is being provided on an LEA-wide or schoolwide basis.

- As stated above, conclusory statements that a service will help achieve an expected outcome for the goal, without an explicit connection or further explanation as to how, are not sufficient.

- Further, simply stating that an LEA has a high enrollment percentage of a specific student group or groups does not meet the increased or improved services standard because enrolling students is not the same as serving students.

### **Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness**

Identify the metric(s) being used to measure the progress and effectiveness of the action(s).

**Note for COEs and Charter Schools:** In the case of COEs and charter schools, schoolwide and LEA-wide are considered to be synonymous.

### **Limited Actions**

For each action being solely provided to one or more unduplicated student group(s), provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s), and (3) how the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s) will be measured.

If the LEA has provided the required descriptions in the Action Descriptions, state as such.

Complete the table as follows:

### **Identified Need(s)**

Provide an explanation of the unique need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served identified through the LEA's needs assessment. A meaningful needs assessment includes, at a minimum, analysis of applicable student achievement data and educational partner feedback.

### **How the Action(s) are Designed to Address Need(s)**

Provide an explanation of how the action is designed to address the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served.

### **Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness**

Identify the metric(s) being used to measure the progress and effectiveness of the action(s).

For any limited action contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement that is associated with a Planned Percentage of Improved Services in the Contributing Summary Table rather than an expenditure of LCFF funds, describe the methodology that was used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage, as applicable.

- For each action with an identified Planned Percentage of Improved Services, identify the goal and action number and describe the methodology that was used.
- When identifying a Planned Percentage of Improved Services, the LEA must describe the methodology that it used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage. The percentage of improved services for an action corresponds to the amount of LCFF funding that the LEA estimates it would expend to implement the action if it were funded.

- For example, an LEA determines that there is a need to analyze data to ensure that instructional aides and expanded learning providers know what targeted supports to provide to students who are foster youth. The LEA could implement this action by hiring additional staff to collect and analyze data and to coordinate supports for students, which, based on the LEA's current pay scale, the LEA estimates would cost \$165,000. Instead, the LEA chooses to utilize a portion of existing staff time to analyze data relating to students who are foster youth. This analysis will then be shared with site principals who will use the data to coordinate services provided by instructional assistants and expanded learning providers to target support to students. In this example, the LEA would divide the estimated cost of \$165,000 by the amount of LCFF Funding identified in the Total Planned Expenditures Table and then convert the quotient to a percentage. This percentage is the Planned Percentage of Improved Services for the action.

### Additional Concentration Grant Funding

A description of the plan for how the additional concentration grant add-on funding identified above will be used to increase the number of staff providing direct services to students at schools that have a high concentration (above 55 percent) of foster youth, English learners, and low-income students, as applicable.

An LEA that receives the additional concentration grant add-on described in *EC* Section 42238.02 is required to demonstrate how it is using these funds to increase the number of staff who provide direct services to students at schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent as compared to the number of staff who provide direct services to students at schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is equal to or less than 55 percent. The staff who provide direct services to students must be certificated staff and/or classified staff employed by the LEA; classified staff includes custodial staff.

Provide the following descriptions, as applicable to the LEA:

- An LEA that does not receive a concentration grant or the concentration grant add-on must indicate that a response to this prompt is not applicable.
- Identify the goal and action numbers of the actions in the LCAP that the LEA is implementing to meet the requirement to increase the number of staff who provide direct services to students at schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent.
- An LEA that does not have comparison schools from which to describe how it is using the concentration grant add-on funds, such as a single-school LEA or an LEA that only has schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, must describe how it is using the funds to increase the number of credentialed staff, classified staff, or both, including custodial staff, who provide direct services to students at selected schools and the criteria used to determine which schools require additional staffing support.
- In the event that an additional concentration grant add-on is not sufficient to increase staff providing direct services to students at a school with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, the LEA must describe how it is using the funds to retain staff providing direct services to students at a school with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent.

Complete the table as follows:

- Provide the staff-to-student ratio of classified staff providing direct services to students with a concentration of unduplicated students that is 55 percent or less and the staff-to-student ratio of classified staff providing direct services to students at schools with a concentration of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, as applicable to the LEA.
  - The LEA may group its schools by grade span (Elementary, Middle/Junior High, and High Schools), as applicable to the LEA.

- The staff-to-student ratio must be based on the number of full-time equivalent (FTE) staff and the number of enrolled students as counted on the first Wednesday in October of each year.
- Provide the staff-to-student ratio of certificated staff providing direct services to students at schools with a concentration of unduplicated students that is 55 percent or less and the staff-to-student ratio of certificated staff providing direct services to students at schools with a concentration of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, as applicable to the LEA.
  - The LEA may group its schools by grade span (Elementary, Middle/Junior High, and High Schools), as applicable to the LEA.
  - The staff-to-student ratio must be based on the number of FTE staff and the number of enrolled students as counted on the first Wednesday in October of each year.

## Action Tables

Complete the Total Planned Expenditures Table for each action in the LCAP. The information entered into this table will automatically populate the other Action Tables. Information is only entered into the Total Planned Expenditures Table, the Annual Update Table, the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table, and the LCFF Carryover Table. The word “input” has been added to column headers to aid in identifying the column(s) where information will be entered. Information is not entered on the remaining Action tables.

The following tables are required to be included as part of the LCAP adopted by the local governing board or governing body:

- Table 1: Total Planned Expenditures Table (for the coming LCAP Year)
- Table 2: Contributing Actions Table (for the coming LCAP Year)
- Table 3: Annual Update Table (for the current LCAP Year)
- Table 4: Contributing Actions Annual Update Table (for the current LCAP Year)
- Table 5: LCFF Carryover Table (for the current LCAP Year)

Note: The coming LCAP Year is the year that is being planned for, while the current LCAP year is the current year of implementation. For example, when developing the 2024–25 LCAP, 2024–25 will be the coming LCAP Year and 2023–24 will be the current LCAP Year.

## Total Planned Expenditures Table

In the Total Planned Expenditures Table, input the following information for each action in the LCAP for that applicable LCAP year:

- **LCAP Year:** Identify the applicable LCAP Year.
- **1. Projected LCFF Base Grant:** Provide the total amount estimated LCFF entitlement for the coming school year, excluding the supplemental and concentration grants and the add-ons for the Targeted Instructional Improvement Block Grant program, the former Home-to-School Transportation program, and the Small School District Transportation program, pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(8).

Note that the LCFF Base Grant for purposes of the LCAP also includes the Necessary Small Schools and Economic Recovery Target allowances for school districts, and County Operations Grant for COEs.

See *EC* sections 2574 (for COEs) and 42238.02 (for school districts and charter schools), as applicable, for LCFF entitlement calculations.

- **2. Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants:** Provide the total amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grants estimated on the basis of the number and concentration of unduplicated students for the coming school year.
- **3. Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year:** This percentage will not be entered; it is calculated based on the Projected LCFF Base Grant and the Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants, pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(8). This is the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the coming LCAP year.
- **LCFF Carryover — Percentage:** Specify the LCFF Carryover — Percentage identified in the LCFF Carryover Table from the prior LCAP year. If a carryover percentage is not identified in the LCFF Carryover Table, specify a percentage of zero (0.00%).
- **Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year:** This percentage will not be entered; it is calculated based on the Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year and the LCFF Carryover — Percentage. ***This is the percentage by which the LEA must increase or improve services for unduplicated pupils as compared to the services provided to all students in the coming LCAP year.***
- **Goal #:** Enter the LCAP Goal number for the action.
- **Action #:** Enter the action's number as indicated in the LCAP Goal.
- **Action Title:** Provide a title of the action.
- **Student Group(s):** Indicate the student group or groups who will be the primary beneficiary of the action by entering "All," or by entering a specific student group or groups.
- **Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?:** Type "Yes" if the action **is** included as contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement; OR, type "No" if the action is **not** included as contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement.
- If "Yes" is entered into the Contributing column, then complete the following columns:
  - **Scope:** The scope of an action may be LEA-wide (i.e., districtwide, countywide, or charterwide), schoolwide, or limited. An action that is LEA-wide in scope upgrades the entire educational program of the LEA. An action that is schoolwide in scope upgrades the entire educational program of a single school. An action that is limited in its scope is an action that serves only one or more unduplicated student groups.

- **Unduplicated Student Group(s):** Regardless of scope, contributing actions serve one or more unduplicated student groups. Indicate one or more unduplicated student groups for whom services are being increased or improved as compared to what all students receive.
- **Location:** Identify the location where the action will be provided. If the action is provided to all schools within the LEA, the LEA must indicate “All Schools.” If the action is provided to specific schools within the LEA or specific grade spans only, the LEA must enter “Specific Schools” or “Specific Grade Spans.” Identify the individual school or a subset of schools or grade spans (e.g., all high schools or grades transitional kindergarten through grade five), as appropriate.
- **Time Span:** Enter “ongoing” if the action will be implemented for an indeterminate period of time. Otherwise, indicate the span of time for which the action will be implemented. For example, an LEA might enter “1 Year,” or “2 Years,” or “6 Months.”
- **Total Personnel:** Enter the total amount of personnel expenditures utilized to implement this action.
- **Total Non-Personnel:** This amount will be automatically calculated based on information provided in the Total Personnel column and the Total Funds column.
- **LCFF Funds:** Enter the total amount of LCFF funds utilized to implement this action, if any. LCFF funds include all funds that make up an LEA’s total LCFF target (i.e., base grant, grade span adjustment, supplemental grant, concentration grant, Targeted Instructional Improvement Block Grant, and Home-To-School Transportation).
  - **Note:** For an action to contribute towards meeting the increased or improved services requirement, it must include some measure of LCFF funding. The action may also include funding from other sources, however the extent to which an action contributes to meeting the increased or improved services requirement is based on the LCFF funding being used to implement the action.
- **Other State Funds:** Enter the total amount of Other State Funds utilized to implement this action, if any.
  - **Note:** Equity Multiplier funds must be included in the “Other State Funds” category, not in the “LCFF Funds” category. As a reminder, Equity Multiplier funds must be used to supplement, not supplant, funding provided to Equity Multiplier schoolsites for purposes of the LCFF, the ELO-P, the LCRS, and/or the CCSPP. This means that Equity Multiplier funds must not be used to replace funding that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to implement LEA-wide actions identified in the LEA’s LCAP or that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to implement provisions of the ELO-P, the LCRS, and/or the CCSPP.
- **Local Funds:** Enter the total amount of Local Funds utilized to implement this action, if any.
- **Federal Funds:** Enter the total amount of Federal Funds utilized to implement this action, if any.
- **Total Funds:** This amount is automatically calculated based on amounts entered in the previous four columns.
- **Planned Percentage of Improved Services:** For any action identified as contributing, being provided on a Limited basis to unduplicated students, and that does not have funding associated with the action, enter the planned quality improvement anticipated for the action as

a percentage rounded to the nearest hundredth (0.00%). A limited action is an action that only serves foster youth, English learners, and/or low-income students.

- As noted in the instructions for the Increased or Improved Services section, when identifying a Planned Percentage of Improved Services, the LEA must describe the methodology that it used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage. The percentage of improved services for an action corresponds to the amount of LCFF funding that the LEA estimates it would expend to implement the action if it were funded.

For example, an LEA determines that there is a need to analyze data to ensure that instructional aides and expanded learning providers know what targeted supports to provide to students who are foster youth. The LEA could implement this action by hiring additional staff to collect and analyze data and to coordinate supports for students, which, based on the LEA’s current pay scale, the LEA estimates would cost \$165,000. Instead, the LEA chooses to utilize a portion of existing staff time to analyze data relating to students who are foster youth. This analysis will then be shared with site principals who will use the data to coordinate services provided by instructional assistants and expanded learning providers to target support to students. In this example, the LEA would divide the estimated cost of \$165,000 by the amount of LCFF Funding identified in the Data Entry Table and then convert the quotient to a percentage. This percentage is the Planned Percentage of Improved Services for the action.

## Contributing Actions Table

As noted above, information will not be entered in the Contributing Actions Table; however, the ‘Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?’ column will need to be checked to ensure that only actions with a “Yes” are displaying. If actions with a “No” are displayed or if actions that are contributing are not displaying in the column, use the drop-down menu in the column header to filter only the “Yes” responses.

## Annual Update Table

In the Annual Update Table, provide the following information for each action in the LCAP for the relevant LCAP year:

- **Estimated Actual Expenditures:** Enter the total estimated actual expenditures to implement this action, if any.

## Contributing Actions Annual Update Table

In the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table, check the ‘Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?’ column to ensure that only actions with a “Yes” are displaying. If actions with a “No” are displayed or if actions that are contributing are not displaying in the column, use the drop-down menu in the column header to filter only the “Yes” responses. Provide the following information for each contributing action in the LCAP for the relevant LCAP year:

- **6. Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants:** Provide the total amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grants estimated based on the number and concentration of unduplicated students in the current school year.
- **Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions:** Enter the total estimated actual expenditure of LCFF funds used to implement this action, if any.

- **Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services:** For any action identified as contributing, being provided on a Limited basis only to unduplicated students, and that does not have funding associated with the action, enter the total estimated actual quality improvement anticipated for the action as a percentage rounded to the nearest hundredth (0.00%).
  - Building on the example provided above for calculating the Planned Percentage of Improved Services, the LEA in the example implements the action. As part of the annual update process, the LEA reviews implementation and student outcome data and determines that the action was implemented with fidelity and that outcomes for foster youth students improved. The LEA reviews the original estimated cost for the action and determines that had it hired additional staff to collect and analyze data and to coordinate supports for students that estimated actual cost would have been \$169,500 due to a cost of living adjustment. The LEA would divide the estimated actual cost of \$169,500 by the amount of LCFF Funding identified in the Data Entry Table and then convert the quotient to a percentage. This percentage is the Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services for the action.

## LCFF Carryover Table

- **9. Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant:** Provide the total amount of estimated LCFF Target Entitlement for the current school year, excluding the supplemental and concentration grants and the add-ons for the Targeted Instructional Improvement Block Grant program, the former Home-to-School Transportation program, and the Small School District Transportation program, pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(8). Note that the LCFF Base Grant for purposes of the LCAP also includes the Necessary Small Schools and Economic Recovery Target allowances for school districts, and County Operations Grant for COEs. See *EC* sections 2574 (for COEs) and 42238.02 (for school districts and charter schools), as applicable, for LCFF entitlement calculations.
- **10. Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Current School Year:** This percentage will not be entered. The percentage is calculated based on the amounts of the Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (9) and the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (6), pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(8), plus the LCFF Carryover – Percentage from the prior year. This is the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the current LCAP year.

## Calculations in the Action Tables

To reduce the duplication of effort of LEAs, the Action Tables include functionality such as pre-population of fields and cells based on the information provided in the Data Entry Table, the Annual Update Summary Table, and the Contributing Actions Table. For transparency, the functionality and calculations used are provided below.

### Contributing Actions Table

- **4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)**
  - This amount is the total of the Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds) column.
- **5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services**
  - This percentage is the total of the Planned Percentage of Improved Services column.
- **Planned Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the coming school year (4 divided by 1, plus 5)**



- This percentage is calculated by dividing the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4) by the Projected LCFF Base Grant (1), converting the quotient to a percentage, and adding it to the Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (5).

### **Contributing Actions Annual Update Table**

Pursuant to *EC* Section 42238.07(c)(2), if the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4) is less than the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and Concentration Grants (6), the LEA is required to calculate the difference between the Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (5) and the Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (7). If the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4) is equal to or greater than the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and Concentration Grants (6), the Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services will display “Not Required.”

- **6. Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and Concentration Grants**
  - This is the total amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grants the LEA estimates it will actually receive based on of the number and concentration of unduplicated students in the current school year.
- **4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)**
  - This amount is the total of the Last Year's Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds).
- **7. Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions**
  - This amount is the total of the Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds).
- **Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (Subtract 7 from 4)**
  - This amount is the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (7) subtracted from the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4).
- **5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)**
  - This amount is the total of the Planned Percentage of Improved Services column.
- **8. Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (%)**
  - This amount is the total of the Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services column.
- **Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (Subtract 5 from 8)**
  - This amount is the Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (5) subtracted from the Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (8).

### **LCFF Carryover Table**

- **10. Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Current School Year (6 divided by 9 plus Carryover %)**

- This percentage is the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (6) divided by the Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (9) plus the LCFF Carryover – Percentage from the prior year.
- **11. Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (7 divided by 9, plus 8)**
  - This percentage is the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (7) divided by the LCFF Funding (9), then converting the quotient to a percentage and adding the Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (8).
- **12. LCFF Carryover — Dollar Amount LCFF Carryover (Subtract 11 from 10 and multiply by 9)**
  - If the Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (11) is less than the Estimated Actual Percentage to Increase or Improve Services (10), the LEA is required to carry over LCFF funds.  
  
The amount of LCFF funds is calculated by subtracting the Estimated Actual Percentage to Increase or Improve Services (11) from the Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (10) and then multiplying by the Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (9). This amount is the amount of LCFF funds that is required to be carried over to the coming year.
- **13. LCFF Carryover — Percentage (12 divided by 9)**
  - This percentage is the unmet portion of the Percentage to Increase or Improve Services that the LEA must carry over into the coming LCAP year. The percentage is calculated by dividing the LCFF Carryover (12) by the LCFF Funding (9).

California Department of Education  
November 2023

Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP)  
Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA)  
Federal Addendum Template

School Year

2024-25

Date of Board Approval

6-19-2024

LEA Name

Igo-Ono-Platina Union Elementary School District

CDS Code:

45-70029-0000000

Link to the LCAP:

*(optional)*

For which ESSA programs apply to your LEA?

Choose From:

**TITLE I, PART A**  
Improving Basic Programs Operated by State and Local Educational Agencies

**TITLE II, PART A**  
Supporting Effective Instruction

**TITLE IV, PART A**  
Student Support and Academic Enrichment Grants

*(note: This list only includes ESSA programs with LEA plan requirements; not all ESSA programs.)*

*In the following pages, ONLY complete the sections for the corresponding programs.*

## Instructions

The LCAP Federal Addendum is meant to supplement the LCAP to ensure that eligible LEAs have the opportunity to meet the Local Educational Agency (LEA) Plan provisions of the ESSA.

The LCAP Federal Addendum Template must be completed and submitted to the California Department of Education (CDE) to apply for ESSA funding. LEAs are encouraged to review the LCAP Federal Addendum annually with their LCAP, as ESSA funding should be considered in yearly strategic planning.

**The LEA must address the Strategy and Alignment prompts provided on the following page.**

**Each provision for each program must be addressed** unless the provision is not applicable to the LEA.

In addressing these provisions, LEAs must provide a narrative that addresses the provision **within the LCAP Federal Addendum Template**.

Under State Priority Alignment, state priority numbers are provided to demonstrate where an ESSA provision aligns with state priorities. This is meant to assist LEAs in determining where ESSA provisions may already be addressed in the LEA's LCAP, as it demonstrates the LEA's efforts to support the state priorities.

The CDE emphasizes that **the LCAP Federal Addendum should not drive LCAP development**. ESSA funds are supplemental to state funds, just as the LCAP Federal Addendum supplements your LCAP. **LEAs are encouraged to integrate their ESSA funds into their LCAP development as much as possible to promote strategic planning of all resources**; however, this is not a requirement. In reviewing the LCAP Federal Addendum, staff will evaluate the LEA's responses to the ESSA plan provisions. There is no standard length for the responses. LEAs will be asked to clarify insufficient responses during the review process.

**This template is designed to be used by LEAs who already have completed their LCAP Federal Addendum and received approval from CDE. This template will support LEAs with the review of their LCAP Federal Addendum and revision.**

**The review and revision of the LCAP Federal Addendum do not need to be submitted to CDE for approval. However, an LEA should have their local Board approve any revisions.**

**Even if the LEA plans to transfer all of its title funds, it must still address all of the provisions of the title from which it is transferring its funds. The LEA must first meet the application requirements of those funds before it can elect to transfer those funds to another title.**

California's ESSA State Plan significantly shifts the state's approach to the utilization of federal resources in support of underserved student groups. This LCAP Federal Addendum provides LEAs with the opportunity to document their approach to maximizing the impact of federal investments in support of underserved students.

The implementation of ESSA in California presents an opportunity for LEAs to innovate with their federally-funded programs and align them with the priority goals they are realizing under the state's Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF).

LCFF provides LEAs flexibility to design programs and provide services that meet the needs of students in order to achieve readiness for college, career, and lifelong learning. The LCAP planning process supports continuous cycles of action, reflection, and improvement.

Please respond to the prompts below, and in the pages that follow, to describe the LEA's plan for making the best use of federal ESEA resources in alignment with other federal, state, and local programs as described in the LEA's LCAP.

## Strategy

Explain the LEA's strategy for using federal funds to supplement and enhance local priorities or initiatives funded with state funds, as reflected in the LEA's LCAP. This shall include describing the rationale/evidence for the selected use(s) of federal funds within the context of the LEA's broader strategy reflected in the LCAP.

The LEA's strategy for using federal funds is to help ensure equity and access for students who have an academic and/or social-emotional disparity when compared to peers, with a specific focus on the sub-groups of Low Income, Foster Youth, and English Learners. The CA Dashboard reflects a performance color based on the Spring of 2023 CAASPP assessments. Igo-Ono Elementary (IOE) has no color score in English Language Arts or in math due to a testing cohort size under 30 students; Chronic absenteeism is listed as "yellow"; the suspension rate is listed as "blue". The suspension rate for the 2022-2023, as reflected on the CA Dashboard, is 0%, which is the same as the previous year. Chronic Absenteeism for IOE is 10.5%, which is a 7% decrease from the previous year (as reflected on the CA Dashboard). Local measures are showing growth in the area of reading foundational skills. Also, there are strong local measure results in math for grades K-5th. ELA scores increased 11.6 points, but remain 29.3 points below standard according to the CA Dashboard. In math, the school declined 16.4 points and is 77.8 points below standard according to the CA Dashboard.

## Alignment

Describe the efforts that the LEA will take to align use of federal funds with activities funded by state and local funds and, as applicable, across different federal grant programs.

Federal funds will be aligned with state and local funds through the engagement of the School Site Council and District Advisory Committee. Actions currently defined to support and enhance are the implementation of instructional assistants, tutoring, interventions, and transportation.

## ESSA Provisions Not Addressed in the LCAP

For the majority of LEAs, the ESSA provisions on the following pages do not align with state priorities. **Each provision for each program provided on the following pages must be addressed** unless the provision is not applicable to the LEA. In addressing these provisions, LEAs must provide a narrative that addresses the provision **within this addendum**.

As previously stated, the CDE emphasizes that the LCAP Federal Addendum should not drive LCAP development. ESSA funds are supplemental to state funds, just as the LCAP Federal Addendum supplements your LCAP. **LEAs are encouraged to integrate their ESSA funds into their LCAP** development as much as possible to promote strategic planning of all resources; however, this is not a requirement. In reviewing the LCAP Federal Addendum, staff will evaluate the LEA's responses to the ESSA plan provisions. There is no standard length for the responses. LEAs will be asked to clarify insufficient responses during the review process.

## TITLE I, PART A

### Educator Equity

ESSA SECTION 1112(b)(2) – *Not Applicable to Charters and Single School Districts.*

Describe how the LEA will identify and address, as required under State plans as described in Section 1111(g)(1)(B), any disparities that result in low-income students and minority students being taught at higher rates than other students by ineffective, inexperienced, or out-of-field teachers.

NOTE: In this section, LEAs must identify and address disparities. Tools on the CDE's website (<https://www.cde.ca.gov/pd/ee/peat.asp>) can help with this process. LEAs are required to specifically address the following at comparable sites:

1. What # and % of teachers at sites are inexperienced, misassigned, or out-of-field in relation to:
  - a. Number of low-income students
  - b. Number of minority students
2. Does the LEA have an educator equity gap –
  - a. If yes, must create a plan which must include root cause analysis of the disparity
  - b. A plan must be created with meaningful educational partner engagement.

Educator Equity Data Tables available [here](#).

**THIS ESSA PROVISION IS ADDRESSED BELOW:**

The Igo-Ono-Platina School District (IOP) reports quarterly to the Governing Board in regard to the Williams Act. In addition, IOP submits an annual School Accountability Report Card that reports mis-assignments, as well as Priority 1 in the LCAP. There have been found to be 0% of teachers to be in mis-assignments. In instances where we have inexperienced teachers or teacher turnover, support is provided through additional resources (e.g., additional professional development, additional support staff, etc.).

#### Ineffective Teachers:

This is defined as any of the following:

1. An individual whose assignment is legally authorized by an emergency permit that does not require possession of a full teaching license; or
2. A teacher who holds a teaching credential but does not possess a permit or authorization that temporarily allows them to teach outside of their credentialed area (misassigned)
3. An individual who holds no credential, permit, or authorization to teach in California.

Under this definition, teachers, teachers with the following limited emergency permits would be considered ineffective: Provisional Internship Permits, Short Term Staff Permits; Variable Term Waivers, Substitute Permits for Statutory Leave (TSPL) holders serving as the teacher of record

IOP actively recruits highly qualified teachers through our job posting process. The Human Resources Department screens applicants during the hiring process to ensure candidates are highly qualified.

#### Inexperienced Teachers:

The work of our Principals is crucial for the retention of new and inexperienced Teachers in RSD. To promote the retention of these Teachers and develop their growth in the teaching profession, Principals:

1. Facilitate weekly collaboration meetings in which new Teachers receive the opportunity to collaborate with experienced colleagues in the areas of academics and social-emotional health.
2. Ensure that materials are provided to inexperienced Teachers to support their onboarding process into the classroom and school site.
3. Meet with inexperienced Teachers individually to provide a space and venue for open feedback on their teachers' new experience and growth.

Additionally, District personnel at the Local District level work with Principals, Universities, and other support providers to coordinate the support and development of new and inexperienced Teachers.

#### Out of Field Teachers:

A credentialed teacher who has not yet demonstrated subject matter competence in the subject area(s) or for the student population to which he or she is assigned. Under this definition, the following limited permits would be considered out of field: (1) General Education Limited Assignment Permit (2) Special Education Limited Assignment Permit (3) Short Term Waivers (4) Emergency English Learner or Bilingual Authorization Permits (5) Local Assignment Options District staff provide Assignment Monitoring and ESSA Compliance information to the school sites to help educate them on alternative options available to assist with mis-assignments. (1) Education Code Options available at no cost(2) Committee on Assignments(3) University and District Internship options (in approved subjects). CTC issued documents,(1)Supplemental Authorization

On November 6, 2019, the SBE approved updated definitions for “ineffective” and “out-of-field” teachers to be included in the amended California ESSA Consolidated State Plan.

| Term                | Definition   |
|---------------------|--|
| Ineffective teacher | <p>An ineffective teacher is any of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>An individual whose assignment is legally authorized by an emergency permit that does not require possession of a full teaching license; or</li> </ul> |

|                       |  |
|-----------------------|--|
|                       | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A teacher who holds a teaching credential but does not possess a permit or authorization that temporarily allows them to teach outside of their credentialed area (misassigned)</li> <li>• An individual who holds no credential, permit, or authorization to teach in California.</li> </ul> <p>Under this definition, teachers with the following limited emergency permits would be considered ineffective:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Provisional Internship Permits,</b></li> <li>• <b>Short-Term Staff Permits</b></li> <li>• <b>Variable Term Waivers</b></li> </ul> <p><b>Substitute permits or Teaching Permits for Statutory Leave (TSPL) holders serving as the teacher of record</b></p> |
| Out-of-field teacher  | <p>A credentialed out-of-field teacher is: A credentialed teacher who has not yet demonstrated subject matter competence in the subject area(s) or for the student population to which he or she is assigned. Under this definition, the following limited permits will be considered out of the field:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• General Education Limited Assignment Permit (GELAP)</li> <li>• Special Education Limited Assignment Permit (SELAP)</li> <li>• <b>Short-Term Waivers</b></li> <li>• <b>Emergency English Learner or Bilingual Authorization Permits</b></li> </ul> <p><b>Local Assignment Options</b> (except for those made pursuant to the <i>California Code of Regulations</i>, Title 5, Section 80005[b])</p>             |
| Inexperienced Teacher | A teacher who has two or fewer years of teaching experience.   |
| Minority Student      | A student who is American Indian/Alaska Native, Asian, African American, Filipino, Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander, Hispanic, or Two or More Races Not Hispanic.  |
| Low-Income Student    | A student who is eligible to receive Free or Reduced-Price Meals   |

## Parent and Family Engagement

ESSA SECTIONS 1112(b)(3) and 1112(b)(7)

Describe the strategy the LEA will use to implement effective parent and family engagement under Section 1116.

To meet this requirement, LEAs must provide a description of the following:

**ESSA Section 1112(b)(3):** how the LEA will carry out its responsibilities under paragraphs (1) and (2) of Section 1111(d);

1. How the LEA will involve parents and family members at identified schools in jointly developing Comprehensive Support and Improvement plans
2. How the LEA will involve parents and family members in identified schools in jointly developing the Targeted Support and Improvement plans
3. In the absence of the identification of any schools for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI) or any schools for Targeted Assistance and Intervention (TSI), the LEA may write N/A. This provision will not be reviewed.

**ESSA Section 1112(b)(7):** the strategy the LEA will use to implement effective parent and family engagement under Section 1116; shall include how the LEA and its schools will build capacity for parent and family engagement by:



1. Describe the LEA parent and family engagement policy, and how it was developed jointly with, agree on with, and distribute to, parents and family members of participating children a written parent and family engagement policy (ESSA Section 1116(a)).
2. Describe how the LEA will provide assistance to parents of children served by the school or local educational agency, as appropriate, in understanding such topics as the challenging State academic standards, State and local academic assessments, the requirements of this part, and how to monitor a child's progress and work with educators to improve the achievement of their children; (ESSA Section 1116(e)(1))
3. Describe how the LEA will provide materials and training to help parents to work with their children to improve their children's achievement, such as literacy training and using technology (including education about the harms of copyright piracy), as appropriate, to foster parental involvement; (ESSA Section 1116(e)(2))
4. Describe how the LEA will educate teachers, specialized instructional support personnel, principals, other school leaders, and other staff, with the assistance of parents, in the value and utility of contributions of parents, and in how to reach out to, communicate with, and work with parents as equal partners, implement and coordinate parent programs, and build ties between parents and the school; (ESSA Section 1116(e)(3))
5. Describe how the LEA will to the extent feasible and appropriate, coordinate and integrate parent involvement programs and activities with other Federal, State, and local programs, including public preschool programs, and conduct other activities, such as parent resource centers, that encourage and support parents in more fully participating in the education of their children; (ESSA Section 1116(e)(4))
6. Describe how the LEA will ensure that information related to school and parent programs, meetings, and other activities is sent to the parents of participating children in a format and, to the extent practicable, in a language the parents can understand (ESSA Section 1116(e)(5))
7. Describe how the LEA will provide such other reasonable support for parental involvement activities as parents may request (ESSA Section 1116(e)(14)).
8. Describe how the LEA will provide opportunities for the informed participation of parents and family members (including parents and family members who have limited English proficiency, parents and family members with disabilities, and parents and family members of migratory children), including providing information and school reports in a format and, to the extent practicable, in a language, such parents understand (ESSA Section 1116(f)).

Also, include how the LEA will align parent involvement required in Section 1116 with the LCAP educational partner engagement process.

**THIS ESSA PROVISION IS ADDRESSED BELOW:**

In order to implement effective parent and family engagement as described under Section 1116, Igo-Ono-Platina School District will employ multiple strategies. Research has shown that authentic parent and family engagement and support must occur closest to the school. Therefore, the District will make sure that all family engagement focuses on students and their needs.

In the event that Igo-Ono School is identified as a CSI school site, parents are engaged by being invited to take part in a stakeholder process, which includes a review of the data that caused the site to be identified as a CSI site. A needs assessment is conducted with all stakeholders. This is followed with a planning session in order to discuss and develop actions to support increased outcomes for students. These actions are then supported with the allocation of fiscal resources.

In the event that Igo-Ono School is identified as a TSI/ATSI site, stakeholders will be engaged to address those identified sub-groups who reflect red on the California Dashboard over a two year period through the School Site Council. The following process will take place: a review of the data that identifies the site as TSI/ATSI; a needs assessment will be conducted; a specific plan of action(s) will be created to support increased outcomes for these identified sub-groups; then fiscal resources will be tied to the actions identified by the stakeholders.

#### Comprehensive Support and Improvement:

##### Collaboration:

The first strategy will involve collaborating with parents as leaders, encouraging them to participate in various forms of governance both in the school and in the District. At the school, parents will be given opportunities to participate on the School Site Council and PTA. This first group will provide input into the Single Plan for Student Achievement, containing programs and expenses related to federal categorical funding. The second group will review various general school programs and expenses. Parents' consistent and informed participation will enable meaningful consultation with them as stakeholders. In partnerships with school staff, parent leaders will develop and approve the school's Title I Parent and Family Engagement Policy and School-Parent Compact. In addition, the school has a robust parent volunteer program which provides parents with opportunities to be involved in their child's school by providing a variety of volunteer activities. At the school site, parents will be invited to participate in Parent Nights. These parent nights will include information on college and career readiness, current instructional materials and programs being used and how to access materials, and the social emotional needs of students.

##### Communication:

A second strategy will involve establishing multiple modes of communication between families and school and district staff to address families' diverse needs and interests. To facilitate communication from schools to families, information will be provided through online postings and newsletters, with notices mailed home in a timely fashion and translated into languages parents can understand. Families will receive text messages, if they opt in, and phone calls providing them with critical school information. In addition, parents will have access to an online Parent Portal through which they can learn about their students' daily attendance, grades, test scores, Individualized Education Plan, English Learner status, graduation requirements, discipline records, transportation schedule, lunch application, health records and contact information. Gaining access to their children's school information through the Portal will allow for families and school staff to have the same foundational information about their students so that they can better focus on coordinating strategies for targeted support. Also, the Parent and Family Engagement Policy is distributed annually during the first week of school or upon enrollment in the Parent Rights Handbook.

To assist communication from parents to schools, parents will be provided with various opportunities for communicating with school and District staff. Each family is invited to an annual Back to School Night in which curriculum (standards), and assessments are discussed. Also, there is an annual parent conference in which the teacher goes over the learning outcomes, assessments, present levels, and how to read the report card in order to understand the progress of the students. Teachers share strategies on how to monitor the progress of the student through a tool, the grade level standard booklet. Through the online Parent Portal in Aeries, parents can communicate with their children's teachers over email, while reviewing specific assignments for each class online. Families can also use traditional means of communicating with school and district staff by calling, visiting and writing. Appointments with teachers and other staff will be made directly with each teacher through email, phone calls or in person. Special accommodations will be made for communicating with families that have accessibility needs, with the Translations Unit providing material in multiple languages at schools upon request, and with the needs of disabled, homeless and migrant families being considered and addressed at the school site in coordination with the Division of Special Education, the Homeless Education Program and the Migrant Education Program staff. Parents are invited to complete an annual Parent Survey for schools to be better informed about the needs of their families and the effectiveness of their family engagement programs. The results from this Survey will be published annually for all stakeholders to review.

##### Capacity Building:

A third strategy will involve building the capacity of both parents and school and District staff to partner with one another. To address this strategy, schools will offer training to parents to understand District academic initiatives and to provide parents with opportunities to learn how to support learning in the home. The workshops presented will enable parents to support their schools around the area of instructional strategies and social emotional support including school attendance. A Title I Meeting will be held annually to share detailed information about the Title I programs with families.

**Schoolwide Programs, Targeted Support Programs, and Programs for Neglected or Delinquent Children**

ESSA SECTIONS 1112(b)(5) and 1112(b)(9)

Describe, in general, the nature of the programs to be conducted by the LEA's schools under sections 1114 and 1115 and, where appropriate, educational services outside such schools for children living in local institutions for neglected or delinquent children, and for neglected and delinquent children in community day school programs.

Describe how teachers and school leaders, in consultation with parents, administrators, paraprofessionals, and specialized instructional support personnel, in schools operating a targeted assistance school program under Section 1115, will identify the eligible children most in need of services under this part.

**THIS ESSA PROVISION IS ADDRESSED BELOW:**

#### School-Wide Program (SWP):

A school may operate a Title I school-wide program in order to upgrade the entire educational program of the school when at least 40 percent of the students in the school attendance area, or at least 40 percent of the students enrolled in the school, are from low-income families. The Superintendent or designee shall inform any such eligible school and the school's parents/guardians of the school's eligibility and its ability to consolidate funds from federal, state, and local sources for program purposes.

Any participating school shall develop, annually review, and update a single plan for student achievement which incorporates the plan for reforming the school's total instructional program and plans required by other categorical programs included in the state's consolidated application.

A school-wide program shall include:

1. A comprehensive needs assessment of the entire school, including the needs of migrant students, which includes the achievement of students in relation to state academic content and achievement standards
2. School-wide reform strategies that:
  - a. Provide opportunities for all students to meet the state's proficient and advanced levels of achievement
  - b. Use effective methods and instructional strategies, based on scientifically based research, that strengthen the school's core academic program, increase the amount and quality of learning time, help provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum, and include strategies for meeting the educational needs of historically under-served populations
  - c. Include strategies to address the needs of all students in the school, but particularly the needs of low-achieving students and those at risk for not meeting state achievement standards who are members of the target population of any program that is part of the school-wide program, such strategies may include counseling, student services, mentoring services, college and career awareness and preparation, and the integration of vocational and technical education programs.
  - d. Address how the school will determine if student needs have been met
  - e. Are consistent with and designed to implement state and local improvement plans, if any
3. High-quality and ongoing professional development for teachers, principals, paraprofessionals, and, if appropriate, student services personnel, other staff, and parents/guardians to enable all students in the school to meet state academic achievement standards
4. Strategies to attract high-quality, highly qualified teachers to high-need schools
5. Strategies to increase parent involvement
6. Plans for assisting preschool children in the transition from early childhood programs to elementary school programs
7. Measures to include teachers in decisions regarding the use of academic assessments to provide information on and to improve the achievement of individual students and the overall instructional program
8. Activities to ensure that students who experience difficulty mastering the proficient and advanced levels of academic standards shall be provided with effective, timely additional assistance, which shall include measures for timely identification of students' difficulties and provision of sufficient information on which to base effective assistance
9. Coordination and integration of federal, state, and local services and programs

The following assistance is provided by the District to support schools that are school-wide programs:

1. Assists schools in identifying eligible Title I students using multiple measures.
2. Provides an online Single Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) template that addresses all required elements needed to upgrade the entire school's academic program.
3. Provides schools and Local District a checklist to ensure that the elements of SWP are addressed in the plan.
4. Assists schools in revising or reformatting their submitted SPSA to meet the components for SWP prior to obtaining Board approval.
5. Instructs schools to review, evaluate, and revise the plan annually based on current student academic achievement data.
6. Monitors through the Local District the effective implementation of the plan.
7. Disseminates the SPSA Annual Evaluation to determine effectiveness of the SPSA.

## **Homeless Children and Youth Services**

ESSA SECTION 1112(b)(6)

Describe the services the LEA will provide homeless children and youths, including services provided with funds reserved under Section 1113(c)(3)(A), to support the enrollment, attendance, and success of homeless children and youths, in coordination with the services the LEA is providing under the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act (42 United States Code 11301 et seq.).

**THIS ESSA PROVISION IS ADDRESSED BELOW:**

Federal and State Law mandate that all school districts identify homeless students annually. IOP uses the Student Enrollment Form and a Student Residency Questionnaire (SRQ) found in the annually distributed Parent Rights Handbook to identify eligible homeless students and provide support services.

The education subtitle of the McKinney-Vento Act was reauthorized by the Every Student Succeeds Act of 2015. This law entitles all homeless school-aged children equal access to the same free, appropriate public education that is provided to non-homeless students. Accordingly, schools and centers are required to identify homeless students, inform them of their rights, remove barriers to enrollment, attendance or academic success and are not to stigmatize homeless students.

Students are identified through the SRQ that is required to be included in every enrollment packet and distributed to all students annually with the emergency cards. Families self-identify their current nighttime residence on the SRQ. The District has a Homeless Liaison who provides needed supports to the family. Parents can self-identify via the SRQ at any time during the school year at the school site.

Per federal law, all schools are responsible for the annual identification of homeless students. Therefore, designated liaisons shall ensure that their school site annually identifies all homeless students and accurately inputs student information and indicates the nighttime residence in Aeries for accounting purposes. Additionally, the school site is required to provide public notice of the educational rights and protections of students experiencing homelessness.

In addition, designated LEA Homeless Liaison will support the identified specialized student population and work with school site staff to ensure compliance with district policy and federal mandates regarding:

1. Immediate Enrollment;
2. Appropriate educational placement (e.g., school of origin); this provision is inclusive of preschool enrollment;
3. Proper withdrawal from school, which includes timely awarding and transfer of credits (full or partial), records and grades;
4. Identification of all students experiencing homelessness
5. Awareness and training for school site staff on support to be provided to students and parents in transition; and
6. Consultation and collaboration with Counselors in the Homeless Education Program
7. Parent education occurs through meetings, pamphlets, flyers, and conversations with office staff while enrolling
8. Transportation assistance to school of origin, even if the student becomes permanently housed during the school year

IOP Student Services provides the following support to children, youth, and families:

1. Facilitate school enrollment as needed to ensure compliance with District policy (e.g., school of origin rights) and to equal access to educational and meal programs, as well as tutoring and other support services
2. Conduct professional development training for school personnel and community agencies regarding the educational rights and supports afforded to homeless students
3. Remove enrollment barriers, including barriers related to missed application or enrollment deadlines, fines, or fees; records required for enrollment, including immunization or other required health records, proof of residency, or other documentation; or academic records, including documentation for credit transfer
4. Provide technical assistance to schools regarding the proper identification, enrollment, and service needs of students and families experiencing homelessness and educate on the importance of ensuring the privacy of student records, including information about a homeless child or youth's living situation
5. Provide assistance with transportation, backpacks, school supplies, hygiene kits, and emergency clothing/shoes
6. Provide medical, dental, and mental health referrals, in addition to other school/community services
7. Educate students and parents on their educational rights, and promote parent participation in school related activities
8. Assist unaccompanied youth with enrollment procedures, educational/vocational placement options, and linkage to community resources such as job placement services, post-secondary educational programs, and housing resources
9. Provide advocacy services for parents and students during school related educational meetings, such as Student Study Team (SST), Individualized Educational Program (IEP), and/or School Attendance Review Board (SARB) meetings
10. Participate in the mediation of school enrollment disputes
11. Provide assistance to specialized populations of homeless students, including preschoolers, homeless teen parents, children with special needs, and unaccompanied youth

12. Conduct monthly preschool story times whereby all preschoolers are invited to attend pre-enrollment sessions at the school site. During these events, information is shared regarding accessing the school and school personnel, school programs, etc. and school tours are available.

13. The school also invites preschoolers to visit the kindergarten classrooms, so that preschoolers have an opportunity to become familiar with kindergarten classrooms and expectations.

### **Student Transitions**

ESSA SECTIONS 1112(b)(8), 1112(b)(10), and 1112(b)(10) (A–B)

Describe, if applicable, how the LEA will support, coordinate, and integrate services provided under this part with early childhood education programs at the LEA or individual school level, including plans for the transition of participants in such programs to local elementary school programs.

Describe, if applicable, how the LEA will implement strategies to facilitate effective transitions for students from middle grades to high school and from high school to postsecondary education including:

- (A) through coordination with institutions of higher education, employers, and other local partners; and
- (B) through increased student access to early college high school or dual or concurrent enrollment opportunities, or career counseling to identify student interests and skills.

**THIS ESSA PROVISION IS ADDRESSED BELOW:**



## Transition from Early Education Centers and California State Preschool Programs

The District's early education programs maintain assessments of children's learning strengths and needs. Articulation and a smooth transition from prekindergarten to kindergarten are critical to learners' continued educational success. Articulation and transition are the responsibility of both the sending early education program and the receiving elementary school.

Preschool children enrolled in Early Childhood programs including Early Education Centers (EECs), Expanded Transitional Kindergarten (ETK), and California State Preschools (CSPP) will maintain a preschool folder. The preschool folder will alert elementary schools that the entering student has attended an early education program prior to enrolling in the elementary school. The preschool folder will contain a copy of the Kindergarten Readiness snapshot and a developmental profile

Each pupil transitioning from Special Education preschool services will have Special Education folder with the cumulative record folder. In addition, a transitional IEP will be held in the Spring that precedes the student's kindergarten year.

## Eighth Grade Transition to High School

In the Fall, each 8th grade student takes the PSAT. This is used to assist students in goal setting for high school. In addition, each student is assigned a College Board account in which she/he can take the appropriate Kahn Academy tutorials to gain knowledge in areas of need.

Each Winter, high school counselors come to the school in order to enroll each 8th grade student into high school courses based on multiple assessments and teacher recommendations.

In the Spring of the 8th grade year, students take a tour of the feeder high school and participate in a pep rally. In addition, there are Fall and Spring Parent Nights during which parents learn about A-G requirements and the courses offered at the feeder school. The Fall Parent Night is done at a local middle school. The Spring Parent Night is done at the high school.

## **Additional Information Regarding Use of Funds Under this Part**

### **ESSA SECTION 1112(b)(13) (A–B)**

Provide any other information on how the LEA proposes to use funds to meet the purposes of this part, and that the LEA determines appropriate to provide, which may include how the LEA will:

- (A) assist schools in identifying and serving gifted and talented students; and
- (B) assist schools in developing effective school library programs to provide students an opportunity to develop digital literacy skills and improve academic achievement.

## **THIS ESSA PROVISION IS ADDRESSED BELOW:**

Title 1 Part A funds will be used to support eligible students in accessing education through the allocation of resources in regard to academic, social emotional, transportation, and physical needs.

## **TITLE I, PART D**

### **Description of Program** ESSA SECTION 1423(1)

Provide a description of the program to be assisted [by Title I, Part D].

#### **THIS ESSA PROVISION IS ADDRESSED BELOW:**

N/A

### **Formal Agreements** ESSA SECTION 1423(2)

Provide a description of formal agreements, regarding the program to be assisted, between the

- (A) LEA; and
- (B) correctional facilities and alternative school programs serving children and youth involved with the juvenile justice system, including such facilities operated by the Secretary of the Interior and Indian tribes.

#### **THIS ESSA PROVISION IS ADDRESSED BELOW:**

N/A

### **Comparable Education Program** ESSA SECTION 1423(3)

As appropriate, provide a description of how participating schools will coordinate with facilities working with delinquent children and youth to ensure that such children and youth are participating in an education program comparable to one operating in the local school such youth would attend.

#### **THIS ESSA PROVISION IS ADDRESSED BELOW:**

N/A

### **Successful Transitions** ESSA SECTION 1423(4)

Provide a description of the program operated by participating schools to facilitate the successful transition of children and youth returning from correctional facilities and, as appropriate, the types of services that such schools will provide such children and youth and other at-risk children and youth.

#### **THIS ESSA PROVISION IS ADDRESSED BELOW:**

N/A

### **Educational Needs** ESSA SECTION 1423(5)

Provide a description of the characteristics (including learning difficulties, substance abuse problems, and other special needs) of the children and youth who will be returning from correctional facilities and, as appropriate, other at-risk children

and youth expected to be served by the program, and a description of how the school will coordinate existing educational programs to meet the unique educational needs of such children and youth.

**THIS ESSA PROVISION IS ADDRESSED BELOW:**

N/A

**Social, Health, and Other Services**

ESSA SECTION 1423(6)

As appropriate, provide a description of how schools will coordinate with existing social, health, and other services to meet the needs of students returning from correctional facilities, at-risk children or youth, and other participating children or youth, including prenatal health care and nutrition services related to the health of the parent and the child or youth, parenting and child development classes, child care, targeted reentry and outreach programs, referrals to community resources, and scheduling flexibility.

**THIS ESSA PROVISION IS ADDRESSED BELOW:**

N/A

**Postsecondary and Workforce Partnerships**

ESSA SECTION 1423(7)

As appropriate, provide a description of any partnerships with institutions of higher education or local businesses to facilitate postsecondary and workforce success for children and youth returning from correctional facilities, such as through participation in credit-bearing coursework while in secondary school, enrollment in postsecondary education, participation in career and technical education programming, and mentoring services for participating students.

**THIS ESSA PROVISION IS ADDRESSED BELOW:**

N/A

**Parent and Family Involvement**

ESSA SECTION 1423(8)

As appropriate, provide a description of how the program will involve parents and family members in efforts to improve the educational achievement of their children, assist in dropout prevention activities, and prevent the involvement of their children in delinquent activities.

**THIS ESSA PROVISION IS ADDRESSED BELOW:**

N/A

**Program Coordination**

ESSA SECTION 1423(9–10)

Provide a description of how the program under this subpart will be coordinated with other Federal, State, and local programs, such as programs under title I of the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act and career and technical education programs serving at-risk children and youth.

Include how the program will be coordinated with programs operated under the Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act of 1974 and other comparable programs, if applicable.

**THIS ESSA PROVISION IS ADDRESSED BELOW:**

N/A

**Probation Officer Coordination**

ESSA SECTION 1423(11)

As appropriate, provide a description of how schools will work with probation officers to assist in meeting the needs of children and youth returning from correctional facilities.

**THIS ESSA PROVISION IS ADDRESSED BELOW:**

N/A

**Individualized Education Program Awareness**

ESSA SECTION 1423(12)

Provide a description of the efforts participating schools will make to ensure correctional facilities working with children and youth are aware of a child's or youth's existing individualized education program.

**THIS ESSA PROVISION IS ADDRESSED BELOW:**

N/A

**Alternative Placements**

ESSA SECTIONS 1423(13)

As appropriate, provide a description of the steps participating schools will take to find alternative placements for children and youth interested in continuing their education but unable to participate in a traditional public school program.

**THIS ESSA PROVISION IS ADDRESSED BELOW:**

N/A

## **TITLE II, PART A**

### **Professional Growth and Improvement**

ESSA SECTION 2102(b)(2)(B)

Provide a description of the LEA's systems of professional growth and improvement, such as induction for teachers, principals, or other school leaders and opportunities for building the capacity of teachers and opportunities to develop meaningful teacher leadership.

#### **THIS ESSA PROVISION IS ADDRESSED BELOW:**

##### **Address these questions:**

1. Please provide a description of the LEA's systems of professional growth and improvement for teachers, principals, and other school leaders.
2. Please address principals, teachers, and other school leaders separately.
3. Please explain how the systems promote professional growth and ensure improvement, including how the LEA measures growth and improvement
4. Please describe how the systems support principals, teachers, and other school leaders from the beginning of their careers, throughout their careers, and through advancement opportunities
5. Please describe how the LEA evaluates its systems of professional growth and improvement and makes adjustments to ensure continuous improvement within these systems.

## Induction for Teachers, Principals, and Other School Leaders

### Beginning Teachers

New teachers have the opportunity to receive training twice a month. Support is given that is aligned with current District initiatives and goals leading towards accelerating new teacher practice.

New teachers also participate in the Alliance for Teacher Excellence program. This is a program that supports new teachers in earning a clear credential from the Commission on Teacher Credentialing.

### Beginning Administrators

New administrators have the opportunity to collaborate twice a month with colleagues in the area of curriculum and leadership. Support given is aligned with current District initiatives and goals leading towards accelerating new principal practice. Support is also provided through monthly site visits.

## Capacity Building for Teacher and Administrator Growth and Development

### Salary Point Credit Program

Igo-Ono-Platina School District provides opportunities for teachers to engage in professional learning and growth sessions and workshops. Teachers may participate in a variety of trainings, which can be used to enhance their skills and knowledge as well as earn college unit credit that assists teachers in moving over in the pay scale.

Igo-Ono-Platina School District (IOP) is located in a rural area. Due to this, IOP offers trainings to staff through the local county office and the local Special Education Local Plan Area (SELPA). Trainings are based on District aligned goals that are generated by student outcome data, such as attendance, suspensions, and academics. In addition to the offered trainings, IOP also holds three professional development training days a year. These training dates are aligned with the results of a needs based survey taken by staff and District aligned goals.

IOP supports the Title II Professional Growth and Improvement programs' continuous improvement by sharing and consulting around outcome data from employee development and support evaluation system. Data represent all indicators of the multiple measures evaluation system, including for teachers and school leaders, evidence and ratings from classroom observations, artifacts and evidence of effective leadership, stakeholder feedback, data-based objectives of student and educator achievement and performance data as well as final overall evaluation ratings and comments.

Educational Services provide annual measures of outcomes of teacher and leader program participants along with non-program participants. These data are used to guide programmatic changes and professional development for program staff. In addition to evaluation data, Educational Services staff post session and end of program feedback surveys and outcome reporting (including all school leader and administrator induction programs). These data are used in consultation with program staff to ensure continuous improvement for present and future participants.

## Prioritizing Funding

### ESSA SECTION 2102(b)(2)(C)

Provide a description of how the LEA will prioritize funds to schools served by the agency that are implementing comprehensive support and improvement activities and targeted support and improvement activities under Section 1111(d) and have the highest percentage of children counted under Section 1124(c).

#### Address these questions:

1. Please describe the LEA's process for determining Title II, Part A funding among the schools it serves.
2. Please describe how the LEA determines funding that prioritizes CSI and TSI schools and schools serving the highest percentage of children counted under Section 1124(c).
3. Please describe how CSI and TSI schools and schools that have the highest percentage of children counted under Section 1124(c) that the LEA serves receive priority in Title II, Part A funding decisions compared to other schools the LEA serves.

#### THIS ESSA PROVISION IS ADDRESSED BELOW:

Not applicable. LEA is a single school district.

## **Data and Ongoing Consultation to Support Continuous Improvement**

ESSA SECTION 2102(b)(2)(D)

Provide a description of how the LEA will use data and ongoing consultation described in Section 2102(b)(3) to continually update and improve activities supported under this part.

### **THIS ESSA PROVISION IS ADDRESSED BELOW:**

#### **Address these questions:**

1. Please explain how the LEA coordinates its Title II, Part A activities with other related strategies, programs, and activities.
2. Please describe how the LEA uses data to continually update and improve activities supported under Title II, Part A.
3. Please describe how the LEA uses ongoing consultation described in Section 2102(b)(3) to continually update and improve activities supported under Title II, Part A.
4. Please describe the sources of data the LEA monitors to evaluate Title II, Part A activities and how often it analyzes this data.
5. Please describe the ways in which the LEA meaningfully consults with the following educational partners to update and improve Title II, Part A-funded activities:
  - a. Teachers
  - b. Principals and other school leaders
  - c. Paraprofessionals (including organizations representing such individuals)
  - d. Specialized instructional support personnel
  - e. Charter school leaders (in a local educational agency that has charter schools)
  - f. Parents
  - g. Community partners
  - h. Organizations or partners with relevant and demonstrated expertise in programs and activities
6. Please explain how often the LEA meaningfully consults with these educational partners.

Igo-Ono-Platina School District (IOP) supports the Title II Professional Growth and Improvement programs' continuous improvement by sharing and consulting around outcome data from employee development and support evaluation system. Data represent all indicators of the multiple measures evaluation system, including for teachers and school leaders, evidence and ratings from classroom observations, artifacts and evidence of effective leadership, instructional and professional growth objectives data, stakeholder feedback, data-based objectives of student and educator achievement and performance data as well as final overall evaluation ratings and comments.

The Igo-Ono-Platina School District Advisory Committee and the School Site Council are consulted to gain feedback in regard to increasing outcomes for students and the expenditures used to support increasing these.

IOP evaluation data of the Title II program consists of post session and end of program feedback surveys, walk through forms and outcome reporting. These data are used in consultation with program staff to ensure continuous improvement for present and future participants. This data is analyzed annually.

IOP meaningfully consults with stakeholders to update and improve Title II Part A funded activities through conducting annual surveys in order to gain input. In addition, a meeting is held at the site annually in order to gain input in regard to programs and outcomes. Also, there is a mid-year meeting in which all stakeholders (classified, certificated, families, and community providers) throughout the district come together in order to discuss Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP) actions and progress made toward the stated goals. Discourse occurs in regard to whether additional actions need to occur.

Title II supports IOP in the achievement of the LCAP goals.

## **TITLE III, PART A**

### **Title III Professional Development**

ESSA SECTION 3115(c)(2)

Describe how the eligible entity will provide effective professional development to classroom teachers, principals and other school leaders, administrators, and other school or community-based organizational personnel.

Complete responses will:

Address professional development activities specific to English learners/Title III purposes that are:

1. designed to improve the instruction and assessment of English learners;
2. designed to enhance the ability of such teachers, principals, and other school leaders to understand and implement curricula, assessment practices and measures, and instructional strategies for English learners;
3. effective in increasing children's English language proficiency or substantially increasing the subject matter knowledge, teaching knowledge, and teaching skills of such teachers;
4. of sufficient intensity and duration (which shall not include activities such as one-day or short-term workshops and conferences) to have a positive and lasting impact on the teachers' performance in the classroom; and
5. supplemental to all other funding sources for which the LEA is eligible.

**THIS ESSA PROVISION IS ADDRESSED BELOW:**

N/A

### **Enhanced Instructional Opportunities**

ESSA SECTIONS 3115(e)(1) and 3116

Describe how the eligible entity will provide enhanced instructional opportunities for immigrant children and youth.

Complete responses will:

1. Describe the activities implemented, supplemental to all other funding sources for which the LEA is eligible, that provide enhanced instructional opportunities for immigrant children and youth.

**THIS ESSA PROVISION IS ADDRESSED BELOW:**

N/A

### **Title III Programs and Activities**

ESSA SECTIONS 3116(b)(1)

Describe the effective programs and activities, including language instruction educational programs, proposed to be developed, implemented, and administered under the subgrant that will help English learners increase their English language proficiency and meet the challenging State academic standards.

Complete responses will:

1. Address the effective language instruction programs specific to English learners.
2. Address Title III activities that:
  - are focused on English learners and consistent with the purposes of Title III;
  - enhance the core program; and
  - are supplemental to all other funding sources for which the LEA is eligible.

**THIS ESSA PROVISION IS ADDRESSED BELOW:**



N/A

## English Proficiency and Academic Achievement

ESSA SECTIONS 3116(b)(2)(A-B)

Describe how the eligible entity will ensure that elementary schools and secondary schools receiving funds under Subpart 1 assist English learners in:

- (A) achieving English proficiency based on the State's English language proficiency assessment under Section 1111(b)(2)(G), consistent with the State's long-term goals, as described in Section 1111(c)(4)(A)(ii); and
- (B) meeting the challenging State academic standards.

Complete responses will:

1. Address how sites will be held accountable for meeting English acquisition progress and achievement goals for English learners.
2. Address site activities that are supplemental to all other funding sources for which the LEA is eligible.

**THIS ESSA PROVISION IS ADDRESSED BELOW:**

N/A

## **TITLE IV, PART A**

### **Title IV, Part A Activities and Programs**

ESSA SECTION 4106(e)(1)

**Each LEA, or consortium of LEAs, shall conduct the Title IV needs assessment once every 3 years. (see below)**

Describe the activities and programming that the LEA, or consortium of such agencies, will carry out under Subpart 1, including a description of:

- (A) any partnership with an institution of higher education, business, nonprofit organization, community-based organization, or other public or private entity with a demonstrated record of success in implementing activities under this subpart;
- (B) if applicable, how funds will be used for activities related to supporting well-rounded education under Section 4107;
- (C) if applicable, how funds will be used for activities related to supporting safe and healthy students under Section 4108;
- (D) if applicable, how funds will be used for activities related to supporting the effective use of technology in schools under Section 4109; and
- (E) the program objectives and intended outcomes for activities under Subpart 1, and how the LEA, or consortium of such agencies, will periodically evaluate the effectiveness of the activities carried out under this section based on such objectives and outcomes.

NOTE: If the LEA received more than \$30,000 in Title IV, Part A funding and did not transfer the allocation, the LEA must:

- 1. use not less than 20 percent of Title IV, Part A funds to support one or more safe and healthy student activities;
- 2. use not less than 20 percent of Title IV, Part A funds to support one or more well-rounded education activities;
- 3. use a portion of Title IV, Part A funds to support one or more effective use of technology activities; and
  - a) 15 percent max cap on effective use of technology for purchasing technology infrastructure.

**THIS ESSA PROVISION IS ADDRESSED BELOW:**

Students have the right to a well-rounded education. Igo-Ono-Platina School District supports students in the areas of academics, social/emotional learning, and the arts. In order to offer targeted support, a needs assessment is conducted annually through parent, student and staff surveys. In addition, there are stakeholder meetings held multiple times during the year in which community input is solicited based on the outcomes of students. This input assists in the creation of the LCAP and the ESSA. Students in grades TK-8 have the opportunity to take part in computer science activities, such as coding. Students in grades third through eighth grade have the opportunity to participate in performing arts, such as recorder and strings instruction. In grades TK through eighth, students participate in visual art instruction within their classrooms. In addition, students in grades seven and eight can take part in online foreign language instruction.

Igo-Ono-Platina School District fully supports safe and healthy students. The District conducts a climate survey annually in order to gauge the safety and health of its students. The District employs a part-time school psychologist to help in supporting the needs of students. In addition, the District offers parent engagement activities with the intent to increase the opportunity for families to better access their child's school in order to increase equity. Positive Behavior Intervention and Supports, along with Restorative Practices are cornerstones in supporting a healthy climate for students. Character education is also embedded at our school site in order to further support the social /emotional well being of all students.

Igo-Ono-Platina School District also emphasizes the effective use of technology. Professional development occurs in the area of technology in order to increase the use among students, families, faculty, and staff. Families are invited to attend training's around programs and the student information system, Aeries. Students receive instruction around software programs and instructional tools. Teachers receive training in how to better access technological tools in order to personalize learning and to increase access and equity for students.

Chronic Absenteeism, Suspension, Local Indicators and Academic Indicator results are reviewed and discussed annually with stakeholders in order to effectively measure the actions taken to increase student outcomes with the ultimate objective of all students benefiting from a well-rounded education, improved school conditions for student learning, and improved use of technology that increases student achievement and digital literacy.

## **Title IV, Part A Needs Assessment**

According to the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA), all local educational agencies (LEAs) receiving at least \$30,000 must conduct a needs assessment specific to Title IV, Part A (ESSA Section 4106[f]). Each LEA, or consortium of LEAs, shall conduct the needs assessment once every three year (ESSA Section 4106[d][3]).

### **Well-rounded Education Opportunities (ESSA Section 4107)**

Identify any indicators, or measures/data points to examine needs for improvement of the Title IV, Part A priority content areas.

We used referral and suspension data for SEL. CAST results for 5th and 8th grade students for well-rounded education. In addition, the staff development survey results were used to guide expenditures.

What activities will be included within the support for a well-rounded education?

Well-rounded education activities include Science and STEAM nights and AI training and planning for teachers.

How will the activities be evaluated for the effectiveness of strategies and activities funded under Title IV, Part A. Include the indicators, or measures/data points used to determine future program planning?

Activities will be evaluated based on student participation rates and teacher and parent surveys.

### **Safe and Healthy Students (ESSA Section 4108)**

Identify any indicators, or measures/data points to examine needs for improvement of the Title IV, Part A priority content areas.

Staff are trained in de-escalation strategies. School counselor attended the NorCal Counselors Conference during the school year.

What activities will be included within the support for safety and health of students?

Continued training in de-escalation strategies for district staff. A school counselor will continue to be represented at the school site.

How will the activities be evaluated for the effectiveness of strategies and activities funded under Title IV, Part A. Include the indicators, or measures/data points used to determine future program planning?

Decrease in the number of discipline referrals.

### **Effective Use of Technology (ESSA Section 4109)**

Identify any indicators, or measures/data points to examine needs for improvement of the Title IV, Part A priority content areas.

Parent communication and CTE for software and devices. Career and Technical Education opportunities for middle school students.

What activities will be included within the support of effective use of technology? Note: No more than 15 percent on technology infrastructure (ESSA Section 4109[b])

Opportunities and pathways for students to explore career and technical education will be offered at middle school level.

How will the activities be evaluated for the effectiveness of strategies and activities funded under Title IV, Part A. Include the indicators, or measures/data points used to determine future program planning?

Future planning in this area will be based on the number of students who participated in the CTE pathways program.

- Note: All planned activities must meet the authorized use of funds criteria located on the Title IV, Part A Authorized Use of Funds web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/st/tivpaauthuseoffunds.asp>.

Date of LEA's last conducted needs assessment:

April 19, 2024

Title IV, Part A Program  
Rural Education and Student Support Office  
California Department of Education  
Email: [TitleIV@cde.ca.gov](mailto:TitleIV@cde.ca.gov) Web site: <https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/st/>

California Department of Education  
February 2022