

Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) Budget Overview for Parents Template

Developed by the California Department of Education, November 2022

LCFF Budget Overview for Parents Data Entry Instructions

These instructions are for the completion of the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) Budget Overview for Parents.

Notice that there are six tabs along the bottom of the workbook titled: 'Title Page', 'Instructions', 'Data Input', 'Narrative Responses', 'Template', and 'Accessibility'. The local educational agency (LEA) will enter its data in the 'Data Input' tab and then respond to the available prompts in the 'Narrative Responses' tab; please note that certain prompts in the 'Narrative Responses' tab are conditional. This information will automatically populate the 'Template' pages of the Budget Overview for Parents with the information. The tabs 'Title Page', 'Instructions', 'Data Input', and 'Narrative Responses' are "inward facing" and are intended for use by LEA personnel. The information contained in the 'Template' tab will be "outward facing", or the information that will be available to the LEA's parents and educational partners. To start, ensure that you are on the 'Data Input' worksheet by clicking on the 'Data Input' in the lower left hand side.

***NOTE: The "High Needs Students" referred to below are Unduplicated Students for LCFF funding purposes.**

Data Input Tab Instructions

LEA Information (rows 2-4)

The LEA must enter the LEA name, county district school (CDS) code (14 digits), and LEA contact information (name, phone number and email address) in the corresponding blue boxes.

Coming LCAP Year (row 5): Enter the upcoming fiscal year for which the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) is adopted or updated on or before July 1. Enter in this format 20XX-XX.

Current LCAP Year (row 6): Enter the current fiscal year for which the previous LCAP was adopted or updated on July 1. Enter in this format 20XX-XX.

Projected General Fund Revenue for the Coming School Year

All amounts should be entered in the gray boxes adjacent to the corresponding amount title. The coming school year (as indicated in row 5) means the fiscal year for which an LCAP is adopted or updated by July 1.

- **Total LCFF funds (row 9):** This amount is the total amount of LCFF funding (including supplemental & concentration grants) the LEA estimates it will receive pursuant to California *Education Code (EC)* sections 2574 (for county offices of education) and 42238.02 (for school districts and charter schools), as applicable for the coming school year. This amount is the amount indicated in the Standardized Account Code Structure (SACS) Budget Fund Form 01, Column F, row A.1 (LCFF Sources).

- **LCFF supplemental & concentration grants (row 10):** This amount is the total amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grants the LEA estimates it will receive on the basis of the number and concentration of low income, foster youth, and English learner students as determined pursuant to *California Code of Regulations*, Title 5 (5 CCR) Section 15496(a)(5), pursuant to *EC* sections 2574 and 42238.02, as applicable for the coming school year.

• **All other state funds (row 12):** This amount is the total amount of other state funds (do not include LCFF funds) the LEA estimates it will receive.

• **All local funds (row 13):** This amount is the total amount of local funds and entitlements the LEA estimates it will receive.

• **All federal funds (row 14):** This amount is the total amount of federal funds (including all Every Student Succeeds Act Title funds) the LEA estimates it will receive.

The total of the Projected General Fund Revenue should equal the amount indicated in the SACS Budget Fund Form 01, Column F, row A.5 (Total Revenues).

Total Budgeted Expenditures for the Coming School Year

• **Total Budgeted General Fund Expenditures (row 17):** This amount is the LEA's total budgeted General Fund expenditures for the coming school year as indicated on SACS Budget Fund Form 01, column F, Row B.9 (Total Expenditures). The General Fund is the main operating fund of the LEA and accounts for all activities not accounted for in another fund. All activities are reported in the General Fund unless there is a compelling reason to account for an activity in another fund. For further information please refer to the California School Accounting Manual (<http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/ac/sa/>). (Note: For some charter schools that follow governmental fund accounting, this amount is the total budgeted expenditures in the Charter Schools Special Revenue Fund. For charter schools that follow the not-for-profit accounting model, this amount is total budgeted expenses, such as those budgeted in the Charter Schools Enterprise Fund.)

• **Total Budgeted Expenditures in the LCAP (row 18):** This is the total amount of budgeted expenditures for the planned actions to meet the goals included in the LCAP for the coming school year.

• **Total Budgeted Expenditures for High Needs Students in the LCAP (row 19):** This is the total amount of the LCFF funds budgeted to implement the planned actions and services included in the LCAP that are identified as contributing to the increased or improved services for high needs students pursuant to *EC* Section 42238.07.

Expenditures for High Needs Students in the Current School Year

• **Total Budgeted Expenditures for High Needs Students in the LCAP (row 22):** This is the total amount of the LCFF funds budgeted to implement the planned actions and services included in the LCAP that are identified as contributing to the increased or improved services for high needs students pursuant to *EC* Section 42238.07 for the current school year.

• **Actual Expenditures for High Needs Students in the LCAP (row 23):** This is the total of the estimated actual expenditures of LCFF funds to implement the actions and services included in the LCAP that are identified as contributing to the increased or improved services for high needs students pursuant to *EC* Section 42238.07, as reflected in the Annual Update for the current LCAP year.

Narrative Responses Tab Instructions

The LEA's response for each prompt is limited to 75 words. Double click on the applicable cell to respond to the required prompt(s). Please note that certain prompts are conditional, based on the data provided in the 'Data Input' tab.

• **Brief description for General Fund Expenditures (row 3):** Briefly describe any of the General Fund Budget Expenditures for the current school year that are not included in the Local Control and Accountability Plan.

• **Brief description for High Needs Students (row 4):** If the amount on line 19 ('Data Input' tab) is less than the amount on line 10 ('Data Input' tab), a prompt will appear and the LEA must provide a brief description of the additional actions it is taking to meet its requirement to increase or improve services for high needs students.

Note: If no prompt appears, the LEA is not required to supply a description.

Note: It may be necessary to adjust the row height to display the entire prompt.

• **Brief description for actual expenditures for high needs students (row 5):** If the amount in line 22 ('Data Input' tab) is greater than the amount in line 23 ('Data Input' tab), a prompt will appear and the LEA must provide a brief description of how the difference impacted the actions and services and overall increased or improved services for high needs students in the current fiscal year pursuant to *EC* Section 42238.07.

Note: If no prompt appears, the LEA is not required to supply a description.

Note: It may be necessary to adjust the row height to display the entire prompt.

LCFF Budget Overview for Parents Data Input Sheet

Local Educational Agency (LEA) name:	Watsonville Prep
CDS code:	44-77248-0138909
LEA contact information:	Caprice Young Superintendent and Chief Executive Officer caprice.young@navigatorschools.org (408) 843-4107
Coming School Year:	2024-25
Current School Year:	2023-24

*NOTE: The "High Needs Students" referred to in the tables below are Unduplicated Students for LCFF funding purposes.

Projected General Fund Revenue for the 2024-25 School Year		Amount
Total LCFF funds	\$	7,260,847
LCFF supplemental & concentration grants	\$	1,854,194
All other state funds	\$	2,811,492
All local funds	\$	8,800
All federal funds	\$	749,337
Total Projected Revenue	\$	10,830,476
Total Budgeted Expenditures for the 2024-25 School Year		Amount
Total Budgeted General Fund Expenditures	\$	10,790,535
Total Budgeted Expenditures in the LCAP	\$	8,231,856
Total Budgeted Expenditures for High Needs Students in the LCAP	\$	1,224,946
Expenditures not in the LCAP	\$	2,558,679
Expenditures for High Needs Students in the 2023-24 School Year		Amount
Total Budgeted Expenditures for High Needs Students in the LCAP	\$	1,470,314
Actual Expenditures for High Needs Students in LCAP	\$	1,745,968

LCFF Budget Overview for Parents Narrative Responses Sheet

Required Prompt(s)	Response(s)
Briefly describe any of the General Fund Budget Expenditures for the school year not included in the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP).	[Respond to prompt here]
The amount budgeted to increase or improve services for high needs students in the 2024-25 LCAP is less than the projected revenue of LCFF supplemental and concentration grants for 2024-25. Provide a brief description of the additional actions the LEA is taking to meet its requirement to improve services for high needs students.	[Respond to the prompt here; if there is no prompt, a response is not required.]
A prompt may display based on information provided in the Data Input tab.	[Respond to the prompt here; if there is no prompt, a response is not required.]

LCFF Budget Overview for Parents Template

Local Educational Agency (LEA) Name: Watsonville Prep

CDS Code: 44-77248-0138909


School Year: 2024-25

LEA contact information: Caprice Young

School districts receive funding from different sources: state funds under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF), other state funds, local funds, and federal funds. LCFF funds include a base level of funding for all LEAs and extra funding - called "supplemental and concentration" grants - to LEAs based on the enrollment of high needs students (foster youth, English learners, and low-income students).

Budget Overview for the 2024-25 School Year


Projected Revenue by Fund Source



This chart shows the total general purpose revenue Watsonville Prep expects to receive in the coming year from all sources.

The text description for the above chart is as follows: The total revenue projected for Watsonville Prep is \$10,830,476.00, of which \$7,260,847.00 is Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF), \$2,811,492.00 is other state funds, \$8,800.00 is local funds, and \$749,337.00 is federal funds. Of the \$7,260,847.00 in LCFF Funds, \$1,854,194.00 is generated based on the enrollment of high needs students (foster youth, English learner, and low-income students).

The LCFF gives school districts more flexibility in deciding how to use state funds. In exchange, school districts must work with parents, educators, students, and the community to develop a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) that shows how they will use these funds to serve students.



This chart provides a quick summary of how much Watsonville Prep plans to spend for 2024-25. It shows how much of the total is tied to planned actions and services in the LCAP.

The text description of the above chart is as follows: Watsonville Prep plans to spend \$10,790,535.00 for the 2024-25 school year. Of that amount, \$8,231,856.00 is tied to actions/services in the LCAP and \$2,558,679.00 is not included in the LCAP. The budgeted expenditures that are not included in the LCAP will be used for the following:


[Respond to prompt here]

Increased or Improved Services for High Needs Students in the LCAP for the 2024-25 School Year

In 2024-25, Watsonville Prep is projecting it will receive \$1,854,194.00 based on the enrollment of foster youth, English learner, and low-income students. Watsonville Prep must describe how it intends to increase or improve services for high needs students in the LCAP. Watsonville Prep plans to spend \$1,224,946.00 towards meeting this requirement, as described in the LCAP. The additional improved services described in the plan include the following:

[Respond to the prompt here; if there is no prompt, a response is not required.]

Update on Increased or Improved Services for High Needs Students in 2023-24



This chart compares what Watsonville Prep budgeted last year in the LCAP for actions and services that contribute to increasing or improving services for high needs students with what Watsonville Prep estimates it has spent on actions and services that contribute to increasing or improving services for high needs students in the current year.

The text description of the above chart is as follows: In 2023-24, Watsonville Prep's LCAP budgeted \$1,470,314.00 for planned actions to increase or improve services for high needs students. Watsonville Prep actually spent \$1,745,968.00 for actions to increase or improve services for high needs students in 2023-24.

Accessibility Information

This workbook contains 3 dynamic charts located in the 'Template' tab. The chart in cell A7 with the title "Projected Revenue by Fund Source", the full text description is located in cell A9. The chart in cell A11 with the title "Budgeted Expenditures in the LCAP", the full text description is located in cell A13. The chart in cell A16 with the title "Prior Year Expenditures: Increased or Improved Services for High Needs Students", the full text description is located in cell A18.

2023–24 Local Control and Accountability Plan Annual Update

The instructions for completing the 2023–24 Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) Annual Update follow the template.

Local Educational Agency (LEA) Name	Contact Name and Title	Email and Phone
Watsonville Prep	Caprice Young, Superintendent and Chief Executive Officer	caprice.young@navigatorschools.org , (408) 843-4107

Goals and Actions

Goal

Goal #	Description
1	Create a Culture of Excellence within the school community (students, staff, and parents) to foster a positive school climate, promote a sense of belonging and nurture social, emotional, and academic growth.

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
Staff Surveys	70% of staff feel proud to tell people where they work and 70% would recommend working at Navigator to a good friend.	96% of staff feel proud to tell people where they work and 82% would recommend working at Navigator to a good friend.	96% of staff feel proud to tell people where they work and 86% would recommend working at Navigator to a good friend.	80% feel proud. 66% would recommend.	At least 96% of staff feel proud to tell people where they work and 86% would recommend working at Navigator to a good friend.
Parent Surveys	70% of parents feel their child is safe and supported on campus and 70% are satisfied with their child's academic results.	94% of parents feel their child is safe and supported on campus and 86% are satisfied with their child's academic results.	91% of parents feel their child is safe and supported on campus and 93% are satisfied with their child's academic results.	85% of parents feel their child is safe and supported on campus and 94% are satisfied with their child's academic results.	At least 91% of parents feel their child is safe and supported on campus and 93% are satisfied with their child's academic results.
Student Surveys	70% feel proud to belong to WPS most or all of the time, 70% feel that adults at the school cared about them most or all of the time, and 70% agree that they felt safe at school	57% feel proud to belong to WPS most or all of the time, 75.5% feel that adults at the school cared about them most or all of the time, and 76.5% agree that they felt safe at school	91% of parents feel their child is safe and supported on campus and 93% are satisfied with their child's academic results.	82% feel proud to belong to WPS most or all of the time, 92% feel that adults at the school cared about them most or all of the time, and 84% agree that they felt safe at school	At least 91% of parents feel their child is safe and supported on campus and 93% are satisfied with their child's academic results.
Suspension Rates	Less than 2%	Less than 2%	0.03%	1%	Less than 2%
Student Attendance Rates, as a measure of student engagement.	94% ADA	94.9% ADA	92.67%	92.72%	At least 94%

Goal Analysis

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions.

There were no substantive differences between planned actions and actual implementation. We were able to successfully utilize the various actions we included in our LCAP to help create a culture of excellence within the school community.

- In Action 1, WPS was able to successfully staff their leadership team and bi-lingual office staff, all of whom worked hard to maintain a positive school culture.
- In Action 2, WPS staffed 1.75 FTE school Counselors, a small increase from the 1.3 FTE in the original LCAP due to an increased need.
- In Action 3, WPS leadership team was able to implement staff and student circles to build social emotional learning.
- In Action 4, WPS was able to maintain a safe climate for students and staff by keeping the facilities in good repair, as shown on the latest Facilities Inspection Tool (FIT).
- In Action 5, WPS received services from the Support Office and most of the staff felt supported and proud to work at Navigator, as shown in our staff survey results (80% felt proud).

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

There were some material differences between planned expenditures and estimated actual expenditures:

- For Action 1, there was a bit more than 10% savings versus planned expenditures based on a Vice Principal being out on leave for a portion of the year.
- For Action 2, the Estimated Actual Expenditures were \$181,969, while the Budgeted Expenditures were \$129,144. This is an increase of 41%. This is due to staffing our school counselors to 1.75 FTE rather than the originally planned 1.3 FTE, based on student need.
- For Action 3, Estimated Actual Expenditures are approximately what was originally planned.
- For Action 4, Estimated Actual Expenditures were 15% higher than budgeted (~\$67,000) due to 1.0 FTE campus safety lead being hired.
- For Action 5, Estimated Actual Expenditures are approximately what was originally planned.

An explanation of how effective or ineffective the specific actions were in making progress toward the goal during the three-year LCAP cycle.

When reflecting on the successful achievement of our metric targets, we see that many of our actions were implemented with substantial success, although we did not meet all targets completely. We therefore see these actions as partially effective.

- The goal for average daily attendance was 94%, and this goal was missed by 1.28% but the attendance rate was a slightly higher than the previous year when attendance was 92.67%. The attendance specialist will continue to work with leadership to ensure the rate continues to increase and chronic absenteeism decreases.

-The goal for staff satisfaction was 96% feel proud to work at Navigator and 86% of staff would recommend Navigator to a friend. This goal was not met with 80% who felt proud to work at Navigator and 66% who would recommend Navigator to a friend. Leadership will continue to build a culture of excellence with team building activities and will continue with the Valor circles for SEL for staff.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, desired outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

We will continue to foster a culture of excellence in our school by learning from the 23-24 goals & actions for our plan for 2024-2027. Specifically, we are targeting academic excellence in Goal 1 of the upcoming LCAP, with a variety of actions associated with additional metrics to push towards improvement over the next 3 years.

Goal #	Description
2	All students will receive Data-Driven Instruction in Common Core State Standards (CCSS), Next Generation Science Standards (NGSS) and other California State Standards (ELD, Social Studies) from appropriately credentialed teachers and staff.

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
SBAC scores in Mathematics Distance from Standard (DFS) for all students and all subgroups will increase annually by +3	SBAC Math +3 from prior year DFS	Not available	This metric was not met due to learning loss from the pandemic	33.71% Met or Exceeded the standard for Math from the 22 - 23 CAASPP; most current state data available from the caaspp-elpac.ets.org state website DF +3 from California State Dashboard: 35 Points Below Standard	SBAC Math +3 from 2022-23 DFS

SBAC scores in English language arts Distance from Standard (DFS) for all students and all subgroups will increase annually by +3	SBAC ELA +3 from prior year DFS	Not available	This metric was not met due to learning loss from the pandemic	38.41% Met or Exceeded the standard for ELA from the 22 - 23 CAASPP; most current state data available from the caaspp-elpac.ets.org state website DF +3 from California State Dashboard: 32 Points Below Standard	SBAC ELA +3 from 2022-23 DFS
SBAC scores in Science Distance from Standard (DFS) for all students and all subgroups will increase annually by +3	SBAC Science +3 from prior year DFS	Not available	Not available	28.81% Met or Exceeded the standard for Science from the 22 - 23 CAASPP; most current state data available from the caaspp-elpac.ets.org state website	SBAC Science +3 from 2021-22 DFS
NWEA assessment	Fall Assessment Data		NWEA is no longer used	NWEA is no longer used	SBAC Math and ELA scores are +3 from 2021-22 DFS
Navigator will use NWEA MAP to assess students in ELA and Math.	Students will take this assessment three times a year- fall, winter, and spring.		Students now take the STAR test 5 times a year	STAR Early Literacy % of Mastery: 42% STAR Reading % of Mastery: 33% STAR Math % of Mastery: 55% (Source: STAR End of U3)	Student take STAR test 5 times a year

Goal Analysis

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions.

The Actions of this Goal were implemented as planned. Students received data-driven instruction from appropriately credentialed teachers and staff.

- In Action 1, all teachers were appropriately assigned, trained and credentialed and used data driven instruction.
- In Action 2, Teachers in Training served as substitutes to provide our teachers time for coaching and collaboration with partner teachers.
- In Action 3, Small Group Instructors provided small group instruction to students using data driven instruction at the appropriate level for the students.
- In Action 4, English Learners were provided access to CCSS and ELD standards for instruction. Staff were provided professional development and increased planning time in order to implement ELD standards into instructional time.
- In Action 5, Grades K through 3 each had an additional small group instructor instructing small groups to mitigate learning loss from the pandemic.
- In Action 6, all standards aligned instructional materials that were needed were purchased at the beginning of the school year.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

There were some material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures:

- For Action 1, there was an increased cost of 13% or \$177,000 due to an increase in teacher salaries, determined necessary to compete for instructional staff with other local options.
- For Action 2, the Estimated Actual Expenditures were \$227,119, while the Budgeted Expenditures were \$281,570. These savings were due to vacant positions throughout the year.
- For Action 3, Estimated Actual Expenditures are approximately 34% above planned expenses, due to the ability to add staff in this area (costing an additional \$134,000).
- For Action 4, there were no explicit costs in the plan or actual expenditures.
- For Action 5, Estimated Actual Expenditures were 24% less than the Budgeted Expenditures (~\$27,000) due to staffing vacancies.
- For Action 6, Estimated Actual Expenditures were approximately the same as Budgeted Expenditures.

An explanation of how effective or ineffective the specific actions were in making progress toward the goal during the three-year LCAP cycle.

While we did meet most of our metric goals, we are finding the learning loss due to the Pandemic is still prevalent. We will continue to address learning loss so we can meet our SBAC metrics in the future. The results of the 23-24 SBAC are not yet available, however we do have 22-23 data.

- NWEA Assessments were not used this year. Instead, students took the SBAC and the goal for math, ELA and science SBAC was +3 percent from the 2021-22 SBAC scores. While we did not meet this goal, our scores were still higher than the state scores with 33.71% of students being proficient in math, 38.41% proficient in ELA, and 28.81% proficient in Science.
- The goal that students would take the STAR test 5 times this year was met.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, desired outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

We will continue to staff all classrooms with properly credentialed teachers, small group instructors, and teachers in training. We will focus on improving our ELD progress rate by implementing five activities outlined in Goal 1, Action 5 of our new 2024-27 LCAP.

Goal #	Description
3	Provide weekly coaching and feedback to all staff to support continuous improvement for teaching and student learning.

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
Coaching Log/Meeting Records	Weekly coaching for teachers an average of 20 times a school year	Weekly coaching for teachers an average of 20 times a school year	Weekly coaching for teachers an average of 20 times a school year	Weekly coaching for teachers an average of 20 times a school year	Weekly coaching for teachers an average of 20 times a school year
Coaching Log/Meeting Records	Weekly coaching for small group instructors an average of 20 times a school year	Weekly coaching for small group instructors an average of 20 times a school year	Weekly coaching for small group instructors an average of 20 times a school year	Weekly coaching for small group instructors an average of 20 times a school year	Weekly coaching for small group instructors an average of 20 times a school year
Coaching Log/Meeting Records	Weekly coaching for administrators an average of 20 times a school year	Weekly coaching for administrators an average of 20 times a school year	Weekly coaching for administrators an average of 20 times a school year	Weekly coaching for administrators an average of 20 times a school year	Weekly coaching for administrators an average of 20 times a school year

Goal Analysis

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions.

The Actions for this Goal were implemented as planned. School leadership staff prioritized their calendaring at the beginning of the school year to ensure weekly 30-minute academic coaching meetings for each instructional staff member. School leadership staff also prioritized calendaring of weekly staff observation times. All school leadership received professional development at the beginning of the year on Navigator’s coaching structure so that they were adequately prepared to lead academic coaching meetings.

- In Action 1, school leadership provided weekly coaching to all teachers, Teachers in Training and Small Group Instructors to continuously improve instruction for students and growth for staff.
- In Action 2, the Director of Schools provided weekly coaching to the Principal, and the Director of Student Services provided weekly coaching to Special Education Resource Teachers.

Additionally, Navigator prioritized in-the-moment coaching for all staff members, as well as group coaching when there was a common need, as we have found both strategies to be best practices in meeting their larger goal of supporting continuous improvement for teaching and student learning. These additional actions will continue in the coming years

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

There were no explicit costs associated with this Goal.

An explanation of how effective or ineffective the specific actions were in making progress toward the goal during the three-year LCAP cycle.

The coaching actions at the school site were effective. Navigator will also continue to prioritize in-the-moment coaching for all staff members, as well as group coaching when there is a common need, as we have found both strategies to be best practices in meeting the larger goal of supporting continuous improvement for teaching and student learning.

- The target metric was a success for 100% of staff, reaching an average across all staff of at least 20 time during the school year.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, desired outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

We will continue to provide weekly coaching to all staff at Navigator, as it is one of our main priorities in continually improving. This is reflected in Action 7 of Goal 1 for the 2024-27 LCAP.

Goal #	Description
4	Ensure equitable access to curriculum, programs, and pathways for student success through a multi-tiered system of supports.

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
% of All Students placed in inclusive environment	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%
% of Students not meeting standards who are offered intervention support	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Goal Analysis

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions.

There were no substantive differences in the planned goals and actions. We were able to continue with our MTSS team of leadership, counselors, educational specialists and a school psychologist. The special education team ensured that all students in need of services were provided specialized services.

- In Action 1, with support from the Director of Student services and the Resource Teacher, Special Education Paraprofessionals provided an inclusive instructional setting by providing appropriate ""push in"" support in the classrooms. At times, a 1:1 ratio was needed and was provided by the Special Education Paraprofessional.
- In Action 2, a .20 FTE School Psychologist was maintained and provided intervention support for students who needed it. Although a .35 FTE was the target, there were additional school counselors hired in order to compensate for the lower Psychologist FTE.
- In Action 3, Summer school has not happened for 2023-24 yet, however summer school is planned for four weeks from June to July.
- In Action 4, students were provided food service for breakfast, lunch and snacks at no cost to them. The food service staff made sure that all students had equitable opportunity to enjoy nourishing food.
- In Action 5, through staffing and independent contractors, students were able to receive speech therapy, occupational therapy, and counseling, receiving specialized support for identified needs. An attendance specialist was hired to decrease chronic absenteeism and provide family outreach.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

- There were some material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures:
- For Action 1, there was an increased cost of 27% or \$162,000 due to an increase in identified student need.
 - For Action 2, the Estimated Actual Expenditures were \$35,662, while the Budgeted Expenditures were \$45,360. These savings were due to lower FTE for the Psychologist than originally planned, although counselors were hired to fill in additional need.
 - For Action 3, Estimated Actual Expenditures were approximately the same at Budgeted Expenditures.
 - For Action 4, Estimated Actual Expenditures were approximately the same at Budgeted Expenditures.
 - For Action 5, Estimated Actual Expenditures were 47% less than the Budgeted Expenditures (~\$106,000) due to lower costs for services from some service providers (services provided, but full cost not charged to school).

An explanation of how effective or ineffective the specific actions were in making progress toward the goal during the three-year LCAP cycle.

- We were effective in the specific actions and were able to exceed our goal of 99% inclusivity for our special education students.
- 100% of students were placed in an inclusive environment.
 - 100% of students who were not at grade level received intervention support

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, desired outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

We will continue to provide specialized services to all students and exceed our goal of 99% inclusivity for our special education students and exceed the goal of 99% for intervention, as targeted in Goal 1, Action 4 of the new 2024-27 LCAP.

Goal #	Description
5	Use cutting edge instructional technology to encourage student engagement, increase staff effectiveness to improve student learning, and prepare students for the future.

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
% of Students with a 1:1 I-pad ratio	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
% of Students with access to adaptive applications to support personalized learning	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
% Customer Satisfaction rates: IT job tickets resolved satisfactorily	93%	93%	94%	96%	At least 94%

Goal Analysis

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions.

There were no changes to the planned actions and goals. The full time site administrator for IT was able to support staff and students with their technology needs.

- In Action 1, a full time IT Admin was present on site to provide staff and students with IT support.
- In Action 2, Illuminate was used for student assessment and reporting for data driven student support. Tableau software was utilized to enhance data reporting so that staff could easily identify the needs.
- In Action 3, all students were provided a 1:1 iPad device used for blended learning applications.
- In Action 4, all staff was provided up-to-date technology for lesson planning, instruction and, when necessary, meetings.
- In Action 5, high speed internet was provided throughout the campus to accommodate the use of technology for learning.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

There were some material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures:

- For Action 1, there was an 11% cost savings (~\$8,600) due to hiring and compensation outcomes. All services were provided as planned.
- For Action 2, the assessment software cost less than anticipated, for a savings of ~\$10,000 or 67%.

- For Action 3, Estimated Actual Expenditures were approximately the same at Budgeted Expenditures.
- For Action 4, additional staff technology that was not budgeted was purchased this year, costing ~\$2,000 (18%) more than budgeted.
- For Action 5, high-speed internet was largely paid for through the Federal e-Rate program, which was not originally in the budget. This resulted in a savings of \$17,700 or 71%.

An explanation of how effective or ineffective the specific actions were in making progress toward the goal during the three-year LCAP cycle.

The actions we implemented were effective and we were able to maintain a 1:1 iPad ratio for students.

- The goal was 100% of students having an iPad; this goal was met.
- The goal was 100% of students having access to adaptive applications to support personalized learning; this goal was met
- The goal was 93% customer satisfaction (IT job tickets resolved satisfactorily). This goal was exceeded with a satisfaction rating of 96%

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, desired outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

We will continue to have a technology focus for our students and staff, although given the success of this goal and the full integration of technology excellence into the school, it will not be included in the Goals and Actions of the 2024-27 LCAP.

A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.

Instructions

For additional questions or technical assistance related to the completion of the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) template, please contact the local county office of education (COE), or the California Department of Education's (CDE's) Local Agency Systems Support Office, by phone at 916-319-0809 or by email at LCFF@cde.ca.gov.

Complete the prompts as instructed for each goal included in the 2023–24 LCAP. Duplicate the tables as needed. The 2023–24 LCAP Annual Update must be included with the 2024–25 LCAP.

Goals and Actions

Goal(s)

Description:

Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.

Measuring and Reporting Results

- Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.

Metric:

- Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.

Baseline:

- Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.

Year 1 Outcome:

- Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.

Year 2 Outcome:

- Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.

Year 3 Outcome:

- When completing the 2023–24 LCAP Annual Update, enter the most recent data available. Indicate the school year to which the data applies.

Desired Outcome for 2023–24:

- Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.

Timeline for completing the “**Measuring and Reporting Results**” part of the Goal.

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for Year 3 (2023–24)
Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.	Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.	Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.	Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.	Enter information in this box when completing the 2023–24 LCAP Annual Update.	Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.

Goal Analysis

Using actual annual measurable outcome data, including data from the Dashboard, analyze whether the planned actions were effective in achieving the goal. Respond to the prompts as instructed.

A description of any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions.

- Describe the overall implementation of the actions to achieve the articulated goal. Include a discussion of relevant challenges and successes experienced with the implementation process. This must include any instance where the LEA did not implement a planned action or implemented a planned action in a manner that differs substantively from how it was described in the adopted LCAP.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

- Explain material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and between the Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services, as applicable. Minor variances in expenditures or percentages do not need to be addressed, and a dollar-for-dollar accounting is not required.

An explanation of how effective or ineffective the specific actions were in making progress toward the goal during the three-year LCAP cycle.

- Describe the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions in making progress toward the goal during the three-year LCAP cycle. “Effectiveness” means the degree to which the actions were successful in producing the desired result and “ineffectiveness” means that the actions did not produce any significant or desired result.
 - In some cases, not all actions in a goal will be intended to improve performance on all of the metrics associated with the goal.
 - When responding to this prompt, LEAs may assess the effectiveness of a single action or group of actions within the goal in the context of performance on a single metric or group of specific metrics within the goal that are applicable to the action(s). Grouping actions with metrics will allow for more robust analysis of whether the strategy the LEA is using to impact a specified set of metrics is working and increase transparency for educational partners. LEAs are encouraged to use such an approach when goals include multiple actions and metrics that are not closely associated.

- o Beginning with the development of the 2024–25 LCAP, the LEA must change actions that have not proven effective over a three-year period.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, desired outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

- Describe any changes made to this goal, expected outcomes, metrics, or actions to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis and analysis of the data provided in the Dashboard or other local data, as applicable.
 - o As noted above, beginning with the development of the 2024–25 LCAP, the LEA must change actions that have not proven effective over a three-year period. For actions that have been identified as ineffective, the LEA must identify the ineffective action and must include a description of the following:
 - The reasons for the ineffectiveness, and
 - How changes to the action will result in a new or strengthened approach.

California Department of Education
November 2023

Local Control and Accountability Plan

The instructions for completing the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) follow the template.

Local Educational Agency (LEA) Name	Contact Name and Title	Email and Phone
Watsonville Prep	Caprice Young, Chief Executive Officer	caprice.young@navigatorschools.org (408)843-4107

Plan Summary 2024-25

General Information

A description of the LEA, its schools, and its students in grades transitional kindergarten–12, as applicable to the LEA.

Watonsville Prep (WPS) is a TK-7th grade independent public charter school opened in 2019 that serves students and families in Watsonville, California. Watsonville Prep's establishment and growth reflect its dedication to offering high-quality education and its commitment to the Watsonville community. Our school's approach to inclusive education, where students of all abilities learn together, emphasizes its mission to provide an equitable educational experience for all. Our commitment to academic excellence sets us apart. In spring 2023, 38.41% of WPS students met or exceeded standard in English Language Arts and 33.71% met or exceeded standard in Math, outperforming their peers in neighboring schools. This achievement reflects our dedication to innovative teaching methods and personalized support, ensuring each student reaches their full potential. Beyond academics, Watsonville Prep offers a range of extra-curricular programs. From arts to athletics, there's something for every student to explore and excel in.

WPS serves more than 450 students with the following demographics: 80.9% free or reduced-price lunch, 56.0% English language learners, and 12.4% special education. WPS students represent the following ethnic groups: 97.2% Hispanic or Latino, 1.3% Asian, and 1.1% White. WPS parents have varied education levels: 9.0% graduate degree or more, 15.0% college degree, 24.7% some college or associate's degree, 32.2% high school degree, and 19.1% no high school degree or did not answer. Watsonville has a population of approximately 52,000, and is home to a vibrant agribusiness economy.

WPS is managed by Navigator Schools (Navigator), which is a Charter Management Organization dedicated to providing high quality educational services to TK-8 students in the Central Coast and Bay Area regions of California. Navigator envisions a future where every student at our schools emerges as a confident, compassionate, and curious individual. Our aim is to cultivate learners who are not just academically proficient but are also socially responsible and globally aware. Navigator also operates Gilroy Prep, Hollister Prep, and Hayward Collegiate.


In addition to serving as the required general planning document for the state, this Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP) serves as the School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) for WPS, which is a Schoolwide Program for federal Title funding. The LCAP will effectively meet the ESSA Requirements and align them with other federal, state and local programs. The plans included in the LCAP address these requirements, compliant to include focusing on two goals: Students will develop as critical, creative, global thinkers with strong foundational skills in math, humanities, and science; and WPS will create a safe and affirming school culture encouraging maximum engagement for scholars, families, and staff to equip learners and leaders in high school, college, and beyond, regardless of circumstances. The Charter School completed a comprehensive needs assessment of the entire school which included an analysis of verifiable state data and local performance data used to measure student outcomes as evidenced in the Annual Update portion of the LCAP. The needs assessment process included meeting parents, classified staff, teachers and administrators to identify areas of opportunity for the students and groups of students who are not achieving standard mastery and to identify strategies which will be implemented in the LCAP to address those areas of opportunity. The process for evaluating and monitoring the implementation of the LCAP and the progress toward accomplishing the established goals includes discussing the actions and services delivered using supplemental funds at the school level with the Parent Advisory Council which will include parents of English Learners. The Parent Advisory Council will meet four times per year to inform the process. Parents, classified staff, certificated staff and an administrator will make up the council. The number of parents will exceed or be equal to the number of total staff members. The council will discuss academic performance, supplemental services and areas to make improvements with Title funds as part of the School Plan included in the LCAP conversations at the meeting in the fall and at the meeting in the spring. The teachers, staff, students and parents also participate in an annual survey which provides feedback on the goals and services. The teachers, staff and administrators actively participate in the decision making process throughout the year. The decisions will take into account the needs of the School based on student achievement data to include SBAC, ELPAC, and interim assessment data such as STAR, cumulative assessments, and attendance and student demographic data to include the significant subgroups of Latino, Black or African American, White, socioeconomically disadvantaged, and English Learners. This student achievement data and student demographic data will be used as a basis for making decisions about the use of supplemental federal funds and the development of policies on basic core services. Each goal includes actions and services that address the needs of all students and significant subgroups which include evidence-based strategies that provide opportunities for all children, methods and instructional strategies, and particular focus on students at risk of not meeting the State academic standards. In consideration of the requirements of the SPSA, in the case of Watsonville Prep, consultation with the local tribe and labor organizations was not applicable.

Reflections: Annual Performance


A reflection on annual performance based on a review of the California School Dashboard (Dashboard) and local data.

Watsonville Prep, with its unwavering determination, has swiftly bounced back from the nationwide academic setback caused by the pandemic. In 2023, our ELA scores resumed their upward trajectory, with 38.1% of students achieving proficiency or above, an increase from 37% in 2022. Our students from low socioeconomic backgrounds showed remarkable growth in ELA, from 35% to 37%, as seen in the chart below. Math posed challenges, with the percentage of students reaching proficiency or above dropping from 38% to 34%, and students with low socioeconomic backgrounds dropping from 36% to 34% year-over-year (as seen below). However, we are outperforming similar students in surrounding schools by two to three times, as evidenced in the charts below.

SBAC ELA Socioeconomically Disadvantaged Watsonville Prep and Closest Four Neighborhood Schools 2019, 2022 and 2023




SBAC Math Socioeconomically Disadvantaged Watsonville Prep and Closest Four Neighborhood Schools 2019, 2022 and 2023



During 2023-24, Watsonville Prep focused on accelerating math achievement by fully implementing a more rigorous curriculum, Illustrative Math, and increasing intervention time in math for all students.

A major impact of the pandemic was the difficulty of documenting students' socioeconomic status. The school's percentage of socioeconomically disadvantaged students was artificially low in 2023, at 71.5%. During 2023-24, the percentage was documented to be 85.6%.

Below is a screenshot of our California School Dashboard for the 2022-23 School Year. Chronic Absenteeism, suspension rates, and English Learner progress were average during 2023, but because these are such important areas that can potentially impact overall academic and social-emotional success, Watsonville Prep is addressing these issues individually. By hiring a full-time staff person devoted to supporting and re-engaging chronically absent students, Watsonville Prep expects to see the chronic absenteeism rate drop from 24.6% in 2023 to below 20% in 2024. We will continue to employ specific strategies in this area, as laid out in Goal 2, Action 6 of the new LCAP. The suspension rate fell below one-third of the state average (1% versus 3.5%) by increasing focus on mental health and interpersonal communications through Valor Circles, and we will continue to focus on social-emotional learning in Goal 2, Action 3 of the 2024-27 LCAP. English Learner progress has been impacted by a technical difference between how Watsonville Prep defines progress and state measures of progress. Watsonville Prep has maintained a higher bar for progress than the state. Nevertheless, 53.3% of Watsonville Prep's English learners made progress towards proficiency, as compared with 48.7% statewide. In 2024-25, Watsonville Prep will align its standards with the state to provide a more accurate picture of our students' progress. At the same time, Watsonville Prep has chosen to elevate Multilingual Learner success in the 2024-27 LCAP through Goal 1, Action 5. During this new 3-year period, Watsonville Prep's goal is to advance from yellow to blue status by selecting a new MLL curriculum, increasing professional developmental focus on MLL strategies, and affirming the maintenance and development of students' home language while improving English proficiency.



Reflections: Technical Assistance

As applicable, a summary of the work underway as part of technical assistance.

Watsonville Prep is not eligible for technical assistance.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement

An LEA with a school or schools eligible for comprehensive support and improvement must respond to the following prompts.

Schools Identified

A list of the schools in the LEA that are eligible for comprehensive support and improvement.

Watsonville Prep is a single school LEA that is not eligible for comprehensive support and improvement.

Support for Identified Schools

A description of how the LEA has or will support its eligible schools in developing comprehensive support and improvement plans.

Watsonville Prep is a single school LEA that is not eligible for comprehensive support and improvement.

Monitoring and Evaluating Effectiveness

A description of how the LEA will monitor and evaluate the plan to support student and school improvement.

Watsonville Prep is a single school LEA that is not eligible for comprehensive support and improvement.

Engaging Educational Partners

A summary of the process used to engage educational partners in the development of the LCAP.

School districts and county offices of education must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, local bargaining units, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

Charter schools must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

Educational Partner(s)	Process for Engagement
<p>Teachers, Principals, Administrators, Other School Personnel</p>	<p>Feedback and input was gathered from the Principal through a weekly Site Leadership Team meeting with the Navigator Schools Support Office. These meetings happened every Wednesday, and they included in-depth discussions of curriculum pilots, performance data, the SEL program and efficacy metrics. LCAP annual outcomes, as well as draft Goals and Actions were discussed in the February - April 2024 meetings. Subsequent feedback was gathered at the weekly check-ins between the Principal and the Chief Academic Officer or Director of Schools.</p> <p>The Principal also gathered additional feedback and input from her educational leadership team, which included all Vice Principals. This occurred every Friday on site, and LCAP topics were discussed February - April, 2024.</p> <p>A staff survey was administered in February 2024, and results were reviewed in a disaggregated way to understand strengths, concerns, and areas of need for each group.</p> <p>The Principal led all-staff meetings each month, discussing or presenting on academic priorities, support for Multilingual Learners, assessment data, and SEL data, and gathering feedback and concerns which contributed to the development of the LCAP Goals and Actions.</p> <p>In November 2023 and January 2024, staff focus groups were convened (with a selection of classified and certificated staff across grade spans and specialty areas) to discuss the wants and needs of the school (e.g., facilities, organizational health, glows/grows) in order to contribute to the development of the LCAP Goals and Actions.</p>
<p>Parents</p>	<p>Parents were engaged in a variety of ways, including a family survey administered in January 2024 and Parent Advisory Council meetings (called “Family Community Meetings”), including one on March 15, 2024. Additionally, the Principal held quarterly open-forum coffee chats with parents to share information on things happening at the school, as well as to hear from parents about their areas of concern. Each of these mechanisms included discussions on LCAP topics of academic success, wrap-around services, social-emotional learning, and special services (e.g., Special Education, academic interventions, English Language Proficiency supports).</p>
<p>Students</p>	<p>Students were surveyed in April 2024 to gather feedback on their feelings of connectedness, safety, and success at school.</p>

A description of how the adopted LCAP was influenced by the feedback provided by educational partners.

Watsonville Prep School's identified areas of need based on stakeholder engagement (including family surveys, family meetings, and staff focus groups and meeting) include providing family support (e.g., transportation, before-school child care) and access to enriched learning programs for scholars and families (e.g., workshops - ESL, tobacco awareness, gang prevention). This is being addressed through Goal 2 of family education and community school resources. Goal 1 was also modified to include increased support for scholars (both academic & behavioral) through intervention and tier 3 scaffolding. This was made after staff and family feedback was received through family conferences, town halls, and staff focus groups.

Goals and Actions

Goal 1

Goal #	Description	Type of Goal
1	Students will develop as critical, creative, global thinkers with strong foundational skills in math, humanities, and science.	Broad

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

- Priority 1: Basic (Conditions of Learning)
- Priority 2: State Standards (Conditions of Learning)
- Priority 4: Pupil Achievement (Pupil Outcomes)
- Priority 7: Course Access (Conditions of Learning)
- Priority 8: Other Pupil Outcomes (Pupil Outcomes)

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

The mission of Navigator Schools is to ensure rigorous academics in every classroom and individualized supports for every learner so that all students can thrive in "high school, college, and beyond." Navigator Schools will continue providing a comprehensive standards-aligned personalized instructional program in conjunction with a comprehensive assessment system. We will use the results of assessments to differentiate instruction within classrooms and to inform our system of intervention. We will provide systemic English Language Development for our English learners and a comprehensive Special Education program for our students with IEPs. Teachers will be supported through professional development to implement our curriculum and instructional model, including specific support for new teachers, external conferences, and a comprehensive system of teacher observation and coaching. Watsonville Prep scored yellow on English Language Arts

progress and orange in Mathematics progress. English Learner progress was also yellow. For this reason, we will be implementing both small group instruction in mathematics as well as small group tiered English language development.

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
1	CAASPP ELA: % Met or Exceeded Standard for all students and all significant subgroups (3rd - 8th grade)	All Students: 38.4% English Learners: 30.2% Low Income: 36.7% Students with Disabilities: 5% Hispanic: 37.9% (Source: 2022-23 SBAC caaspp-elpac.ets.org)			All Students: 46.4% English Learners: 38.2% Low Income: 44.7% Students with Disabilities: 13% Hispanic: 45.9% (Source: 2022-23 SBAC caaspp-elpac.ets.org)	
2	CAASPP Math: % Met or Exceeded Standard for all students and all significant subgroups (3rd - 8th grade)	All Students: 33.7% English Learners: 29.0% Low Income: 33.8% Students with Disabilities: 0% Hispanic: 33.72% (Source: 2022-23 SBAC caaspp-elpac.ets.org)			All Students: 41.7% English Learners: 37.0% Low Income: 41.8% Students with Disabilities: 8% Hispanic: 41.72% (Source: 2022-23 SBAC caaspp-elpac.ets.org)	

3	CAST Science: % Met or Exceeded Standard for all students and all significant subgroups (5th & 8th grade)	<p>All Students: 28.81% English Learners: 16.13% Low Income: 31.82% Students with Disabilities: NA Hispanic: 29.31%</p> <p>(LEA average & all significant subgroups; Source: 2022-23 CAST assessment, caaspp-elpac.ets.org)</p>			<p>All Students: 36.81% English Learners: 24.13% Low Income: 39.82% Students with Disabilities: NA Hispanic: 37.31%</p> <p>(LEA average & all significant subgroups; Source: CAST assessment, caaspp-elpac.ets.org)</p>	
4	ELPAC Summative: -% of students improving a level or maintaining Level 4 -EL reclassification rate	<p>% of students improving a level + maintaining Level 4: 53.3% (Source: California State Dashboard, 2023)</p> <p>% students reclassified fluent English proficient: 11.0% (Source: DataQuest, "Ever-ELs" by Years as EL and Reclassification Status and Grade, 2023-24, https://dq.cde.ca.gov/)</p>			<p>% of students improving a level + maintaining Level 4: 61.3% (Source: California State Dashboard, 2023)</p> <p>% students reclassified fluent English proficient: 30% (Source: DataQuest, ""Ever-ELs"" by Years as EL and Reclassification Status and Grade, https://dq.cde.ca.gov/)</p>	

5	ELA: % of students with access to their own copies of standards-aligned instructional materials for use at school and at home	100% (Source: Internal curriculum checklist, Spring 2024)			100% (Source: Internal curriculum checklist)	
6	ELD: % of students with access to their own copies of standards-aligned instructional materials for use at school and at home	100% (Source: Internal curriculum checklist, Spring 2024)			100% (Source: Internal curriculum checklist)	
7	Math: % of students with access to their own copies of standards-aligned instructional materials for use at school and at home	100% (Source: Internal curriculum checklist, Spring 2024)			100% (Source: Internal curriculum checklist)	
8	Next Generation Science: % of students with access to their own copies of standards-aligned instructional materials for use at school and at home	100% (Source: Internal curriculum checklist, Spring 2024)			100% (Source: Internal curriculum checklist)	

9	History-Social Science: % of students with access to their own copies of standards-aligned instructional materials for use at school and at home	100% (Source: Internal curriculum checklist, Spring 2024)			100% (Source: Internal curriculum checklist)	
10	% of students enrolled in a broad course of study	100% (Source: Student Information System, Spring 2024)			100% (Source: Student Information System)	
11	Credentialed Teachers: Clear, Out-of-Field, Intern, Ineffective, Incomplete	Clear: 9.00 (56%) Out-of-Field: 0.00 (0%) Intern: 1.00 (6%) Ineffective: 6.00 (38%) Incomplete: 0.00 (0%) (Source: CA Commission on Teacher Credentialing, Reviewed April 2024)			Clear: 60% of total FTE Out-of-Field: 0% of total FTE Intern: 10% of total FTE Ineffective: 30% of total FTE Incomplete: 0% of total FTE (Source: CA Commission on Teacher Credentialing, Spring 2027)	

12	% of instructional staff who have undergone all parts of the hiring process (application screening, phone interview, panel interview, performance task and reference checks).	100% (Source: Internal candidate tracking system, Spring 2024)			100% (Source: Internal candidate tracking system)	
13	Curriculum materials are adequate, up-to-date, and aligned to school goals and state/national standards, including ELD standards	100% (Source: Internal curriculum checklist, Spring 2024)			100% (Source: Internal curriculum checklist)	
14	Instructional Staff PD Survey: 80% or more of staff rate that they "agree" or "strongly agree" that PD sessions were valuable and impactful towards their teaching practices	80% (Source: Internal PD surveys, Spring 2024)			80% (Source: Internal PD surveys)	

Goal Analysis for 2024-25

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

To be completed with 2024-25 Annual Update.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

To be completed with 2024-25 Annual Update.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

To be completed with 2024-25 Annual Update.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

To be completed with 2024-25 Annual Update.

A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year’s actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year’s actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.

Actions

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
----------	-------	-------------	-------------	--------------

1	Standards-aligned personalized instruction	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Standards-aligned benchmark assessments, Renaissance STAR 360 for Reading and Math -Personalized instruction during Humanities and STEM blocks – adaptive online programs (RAZ Kids, Lexia, Reading Plus, ST Math, Aleks, IXL) and small group instruction curriculum materials (trade books, Reading Mastery, Common Lit) - This activity is partially funded by Title III; in compliance with these funds, this is an evidence-based practice in response to our comprehensive needs review. -Core Curriculum: Illustrative Math, Open Science Ed, Mystery Science, TCI, Ready Common Core -Up-to-date standards-aligned instructional materials, evaluated by faculty 	\$2,898,797	No
2	Interventions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Use of online learning programs for specialized supports -MTSS program and Student Support Team: Identification and support for struggling students -Early intervention plans -Small group instruction supported (This is funded by Title I and IV; in compliance with these funds, this is an evidence-based practice in response to our comprehensive needs review.) -Intervention Coordinator -Extended school day and school year -Lower adult to student ratio in STEM and Humanities running small group instruction (SGI and teacher) 	\$1,121,691	Yes
3	Teacher hiring and credentialing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -All instructional staff will go through a rigorous hiring process, which includes application screening, phone interview, panel interview, performance task and reference checks. -All teachers will hold an appropriate California teaching credential for their assignment 	\$12,056	No
4	Supports for students with disabilities	Student Services team (SS Director, coordinators, full inclusion paras, contract instructors) to support small group instruction and individual student needs including push-in and pull-out services to meet the needs of students with IEPs	\$699,793	No

5	English learner instruction	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -ELD Lead who will monitor the instructional program that includes differentiated and personalized instruction and learning, with regular benchmark assessments aligned to the ELD standards -Teacher PD for ELD strategies on instruction, supporting academic English and culturally responsive teaching -All English Learners receive designated ELD instruction in small groups at their appropriate level -Explicit EL strategies in all classrooms including: Small group support, reading support groups, explicit vocabulary instruction, Total Body Response, use of the Thinking Maps, use of sentence stems -Data-analysis and coaching meetings with specific focus on ELD standards and students -All Long Term English Learners (LTEL) are teacher focus students. LTEL students receive daily ELD lessons based on the ELD standards at their level and are named as focus students for teachers and leadership team members- their data is closely monitored on a weekly basis and their progress and action plans are discussed at weekly coaching meetings <p>These actions have been developed to address our California Dashboard indicator scores for English Learners (ELs) and Long Term English Learners (LTEL).</p>	\$37,500	Yes
---	-----------------------------	---	----------	-----

6	Professional development	-Professional Development sessions on: -->CCSS and NGSS -->Core Curriculum implementation -->Data-driven instruction -->Social Emotional Learning -->Classroom Culture -->Working with struggling/at risk scholars: Special Education, ELs, low-income, foster youth -->Culturally competent teaching -->Technology skills -->Professional Learning Communities: grade level and content time -->Teachers develop Personalized Goals for targeted coaching and PD sessions This action is partially funded by Title II; in compliance with these funds, this is an evidence-based practice in response to our comprehensive needs review.	\$285,324	No
7	Teacher coaching and supervision	-Weekly one-on-one instructional coaching meetings -Scope and sequence for coaching (Based off of Navigator Core 3 and Teach Like a Champion) -Practice with the coach before launching with skill -Cyclical process of observation, follow-up and observation	\$101,438	Yes

Goal 2

Goal #	Description	Type of Goal
2	Create a safe and affirming school culture encouraging maximum engagement for scholars, families, and staff to equip learners and leaders in high school, college, and beyond, regardless of circumstances.	Broad

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Priority 3: Parental Involvement (Engagement)

- Priority 5: Pupil Engagement (Engagement)
- Priority 6: School Climate (Engagement)
- Priority 8: Other Pupil Outcomes (Pupil Outcomes)

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Research has shown students learn better when they feel safe, supported, and engaged. Survey and focus group data has backed that up locally with families, scholars, and staff sharing campus culture plays a significant role in academic performance. Additionally, as illustrated on the CA state dashboard, chronic absenteeism (24.3%), trauma related behavior, and suspension rates are areas of growth for our schools. A culture of excellence occurs when students feel connected to their school community and supported to reach their full potential. The power of a staff of mission-aligned educators is crucial to a positive and successful school culture.

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
1	Parent Survey: % indicating school provides resources to support family both inside and outside of the school day	Not previously measured			70% of parents answer a 4 or 5 on 5-point scale	
2	Student Survey: % indicating satisfaction with school safety and sense of belonging	82% feel proud to belong to WPS most or all of the time, 84% agree that they felt safe at school (Source: Core SEL Survey, Spring 2024)			82% feel proud to belong to WPS most or all of the time, 84% agree that they felt safe at school (Source: Core SEL Survey, Spring survey)	

3	Suspension rate for all students and all numerically significant subgroups	<p>All Students: 1.0% English Learners: 0.8% Low Income: 1.2% Students with Disabilities: 4.9% Hispanic: 1.0%</p> <p>(Source: California Dashboard, 2023)</p>			<p>All Students: <1.0% English Learners: <0.8% Low Income: <1.2% Students with Disabilities: <4.9% Hispanic: <1.0%</p> <p>(Source: California Dashboard)</p>	
4	Expulsion Rate for all students and all numerically significant subgroups	<p>All Students: 0% English Learners: 0% Low Income: 0% Students with Disabilities: 0% Hispanic: 0%</p> <p>(Source: Student Information System, Spring 2024)</p>			<p>All Students: 0% English Learners: 0% Low Income: 0% Students with Disabilities: 0% Hispanic: 0%</p> <p>(Source: Student Information System)</p>	
5	Average Daily Attendance	<p>94.42%</p> <p>(Source: 23-24 P-2 report)</p>			<p>96%</p> <p>(Source: P-2 report)</p>	
6	Chronic Absence Rate for all students and all numerically significant subgroups	<p>All Students: 24.6% English Learners: 23.5% Low Income: 25.1% Students with Disabilities: 29.5% Hispanic: 24.9%</p> <p>(Source: California Dashboard, 2023)</p>			<p>All Students: <10% English Learners: <10% Low Income: <10% Students with Disabilities: <10% Hispanic: <10%</p> <p>(Source: California Dashboard)</p>	

7	Middle school dropout rate	0% (Source: Student Information System, Spring 2024)			0% (Source: Student Information System)	
8	The number of instances where facilities do not meet the "good repair" standard.	0 (Source: Facilities Inspection Tool, Bi-annual Walkthrough)			0 (Source: Facilities Inspection Tool, Bi-annual Walkthrough)	
9	Parent Survey: % indicating child safety and support on campus and % indicating satisfaction with child's academic results	85% of parents feel their child is safe and supported on campus and 94% are satisfied with their child's academic results. (Source: Annual Parent Survey, May 2024)			At least 85% of parents feel their child is safe and supported on campus and 94% are satisfied with their child's academic results. (Source: Annual Parent Survey)	
10	Parent Survey: % who feel engaged in decision-making	Not previously measured			70% of parents answer a 4 or 5 on 5-point scale (Source: Annual Parent Survey)	

Goal Analysis for 2024-25

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

To be completed with 2024-25 Annual Update.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

To be completed with 2024-25 Annual Update.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

To be completed with 2024-25 Annual Update.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

To be completed with 2024-25 Annual Update.

A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year’s actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year’s actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.

Actions

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
1	Family engagement in school policies and decision-making	<div>-Board engagement: the bylaws for Navigator Schools Board of Directors mandate at least two parent representatives. Board meetings are held via Zoom so all families can participate.</div> <div>-Survey development and administration throughout the year to address school issues and inform discussions. Surveys are given to scholars, staff, and families.</div> <div>-Parent education and events: grade level spotlights, literacy training, parent-teacher conferences, school festivals, attendance celebrations</div> <div>-Communication: ParentSquare (automatic translation to home language); school website maintenance and updates</div>	\$46,839	No

2	Community engagement	Engage all members of the school and local community - Navigator applied for Community Schools Implementation Grants to provide full wraparound services for families and scholars. Through this work we are creating partnerships within the community for social, health, and educational services for students and families	\$0	No
3	Social-emotional learning	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Use Valor Compass Camp framework for staff and students to foster an educational community of trust, belonging, and connection -Use morning huddles for staff and students to foster SEL -Use supplemental social-emotional learning curriculum to guide weekly SEL instruction. 	\$126,962	Yes
4	Student activities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Monthly Get In celebrations based on PBIS -PBIS store to incentivize positive behavior -Field Trips -After school programs and extracurricular activities utilizing ELOP funding -Culture heritage and community celebrations 	\$913,364	No
5	Facilities maintenance and health & safety	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -School leaders implement monthly walk-throughs to ensure facility is clean and safe, maintained and in good repair to promote a sense of safety and ownership within the community -Annual training around school safety (fire, earthquake and lockdown drills). Training is provided by outside entity of former law enforcement and fire safety officials -Review and update school safety plan annually (managed by SSC) 	\$1,885,238	No

6	Chronic absenteeism support	<p>Watsonville Prep is implementing the following actions to improve our Dashboard indicator scores for Chronic Absenteeism (currently "Yellow" on the CA State Dashboard for English Learners, Hispanic students, and Socioeconomically Disadvantaged students)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Attendance specialist -All chronically absent students become focus students and are assigned a school support staff (in partnership with attendance specialist). -Home visits, which include staff members that speak the CAR student's home language -Multilingual family engagement and education nights -Transportation support on an as-needed basis (based on family needs) -Weekly attendance meetings with key school site stakeholders to track CAR data and action plan for CAR students -Attendance Celebrations for students below 10% 	\$102,854	No
---	-----------------------------	--	-----------	----

Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students for 2024-25

Total Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants	Projected Additional 15 percent LCFF Concentration Grant
\$1,854,194	\$216,903

Required Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the LCAP Year

Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year	LCFF Carryover — Percentage	LCFF Carryover — Dollar	Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year
34.29%	0%	\$0	34.39%

The Budgeted Expenditures for Actions identified as Contributing may be found in the Contributing Actions Table.

Required Descriptions

LEA-wide and Schoolwide Actions

For each action being provided to an entire LEA or school, provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s) and why it is being provided on an LEA or schoolwide basis, and (3) the metric(s) used to measure the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s).

Goal and Action #(s)	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
----------------------	--------------------	---	------------------------------------

1.2 Interventions	<p>Low-income students - Low income students at Navigator enter with less academic preparation and thus have learning gaps compared to their non-low income peers.</p> <p>English learners - Our English learner population receives significantly less exposure to the English language in their homes. For this reason, all domains of English (speaking, reading, listening, writing) will require additional time and instruction.</p> <p>Foster youth - Our foster youth population has experienced less stability in their home lives than other populations of students. This often leads to attending many different schools and less continuity in their schooling.</p>	<p>Each of our subgroups experience learning gaps for different reasons. This is manifest in lower test scores on our internal measures as well as state assessments. As we strive to ensure all students are college ready, the wide variety of interventions that we provide aim to address the specific issues a student may face. For example, we may have an EL student who needs attendance support as well as small group reading support. A low-income student in the same grade may have perfect attendance but struggle with reading. For that reason, two students of different subgroups could benefit from the same interventions.</p>	<p>Metrics to Monitor:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Goal 1, Metric 1 - Goal 1, Metric 2 - Goal 1, Metric 3 <p>We will closely track academic outcomes for low-income, English Learner, and foster youth subgroups to determine if the activities in this action are sufficient to support high achievement. If we do not see progress towards our goals for these subgroups, we will need to adjust or change this action in future LCAP cycles.</p>
1.7 Teacher coaching and supervision	<p>Low income - Research shows that low-income students are exposed to a more limited vocabulary compared to their non-low income peers. Additionally, these students often are exposed to more traumatic home lives due to poverty.</p> <p>English Learners - English learner students often begin school with very little English. Staff requires specific strategies to support EL students.</p> <p>Foster Youth - This student population often requires trauma informed practices due to the hard circumstances that many of these children face.</p>	<p>Instructional and administrative staff face unique challenges with today's student populations. All of our instructional staff face work with low income, English learners and foster youth. For this reason, training should be targeted school-wide. This training is personalized and tailored by grade spans as well since students of different ages face unique challenges.</p>	<p>Metrics to Monitor:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Goal 1, Metric 15 <p>As we assess our coaching program, monitoring the frequency of coaching sessions for teachers will help us track the feasibility of this action. If we determine that this is not a feasible action to support teacher excellence, we will need to adjust or change this action in future LCAP cycles.</p>

2.3 Social-emotional learning	<p>Low Income - This student population often experiences various trauma in their upbringing associated with living in poverty.</p> <p>English Learners - English Learners may be first generation immigrants or living with parents who are. This population of students requires additional support to navigate associated social and emotional experiences.</p> <p>Foster Youth - Social emotional learning will help our foster youth population face the difficulties of being raised by non-biological families.</p>	<p>All staff participate in Valor circles with their grade level assignments. As such, all students and staff engage together weekly. It is most practical to provide this training and coaching for the entire staff.</p> <p>Supplementary curriculum such as Second Step is also provided by all instructional staff to all students during class time.</p>	<p>Metrics to Monitor:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Goal 2, Metric 2 - Goal 2, Metric 3 - Goal 2, Metric 4 - Goal 2, Metric 7 <p>The primary metric we will focus on to determine effectiveness of this action will be Goal 2, Metric 2, which measures how safe and connected our students feel to school. We will also monitor suspensions, expulsions, and drop-outs to determine whether our SEL program is supporting our highest-need groups. If these metrics are off target, we will look to adjust or change these activities in future LCAP cycles.</p>
-------------------------------	--	---	---

Limited Actions

For each action being solely provided to one or more unduplicated student group(s), provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s), and (3) how the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s) will be measured.

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) are Designed to Address Need(s)	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
1.5 English learner instruction	English learners require additional language support to achieve at similar levels to students where English is the primary language in the home.	<p>The ELD Lead will support instructional staff in the implementation of high-quality integrated and designated ELD. This requires a high level of coordination as students must be leveled by ability levels in English across classrooms and grade levels.</p> <p>Teachers also need specific training on instructional strategies identified in the actions above. These trainings will be provided during the Wednesday early release days and the ELD Lead will support the site administration in building capacity.</p> <p>Monthly, site leadership will review academic performance specifically for our EL population with the ELD Lead. Long-term English Learners will be a target population to ensure that these student continue to grow through the continuum of levels of the ELPAC,</p>	<p>Metrics to Monitor:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Goal 1, Metric 1 - Goal 1, Metric 2 - Goal 1, Metric 3 - Goal 1, Metric 4 <p>Through each of these metrics, we will be able to closely track academic outcomes for English Learners. If the activities in this action are not sufficient to support high achievement, we will need to adjust in future LCAP cycles.</p>

For any limited action contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement that is associated with a Planned Percentage of Improved Services in the Contributing Summary Table rather than an expenditure of LCFF funds, describe the methodology that was used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage, as applicable.

Not applicable. IIS has been determined through expenditure of LCFF funds.

Additional Concentration Grant Funding

A description of the plan for how the additional concentration grant add-on funding identified above will be used to increase the number of staff providing direct services to students at schools that have a high concentration (above 55 percent) of foster youth, English learners, and low-income students, as applicable.

Watsonville Prep School will use the concentration funding to increase the hourly rate of the Small Group Instructors in order to maintain staffing levels.

Staff-to-student ratios by type of school and concentration of unduplicated students	Schools with a student concentration of 55 percent or less	Schools with a student concentration of greater than 55 percent
Staff-to-student ratio of classified staff providing direct services to students	N/A	Single LEA
Staff-to-student ratio of certificated staff providing direct services to students	N/A	Single LEA

2024-25 Total Planned Expenditures Table

LCAP Year (Input)	1. Projected LCFF Base Grant (Input Dollar Amount)	2. Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (Input Dollar Amount)	3. Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (2 divided by 1)	LCFF Carryover — Percentage (Input Percentage from Prior Year)	Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (3 + Carryover %)
2024-25	\$ 5,406,653	\$ 1,854,194	34.295%	0.000%	34.295%

Totals	LCFF Funds	Other State Funds	Local Funds	Federal Funds	Total Funds	Total Personnel	Total Non-personnel
Totals	\$ 7,120,247	\$ 971,009	\$ -	\$ 140,600	\$ 8,231,856.00	\$ 5,253,708	\$ 2,978,148

Goal #	Action #	Action Title	Student Group(s)	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Scope	Unduplicated Student Group(s)	Location	Time Span	Total Personnel	Total Non- personnel	LCFF Funds	Other State Funds	Local Funds	Federal Funds	Total Funds	Planned Percentage of Improved Services
1	1	Standards-aligned personalized instruction	All	No	LEA-wide	N/A	N/A	Ongoing	\$ 2,820,332	\$ 78,465	\$ 2,874,797	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 24,000	\$ 2,898,797	0.000%
1	2	Interventions	All	Yes	LEA-wide	All	All Schools	Ongoing	\$ 1,063,913	\$ 57,778	\$ 1,016,691	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 105,000	\$ 1,121,691	0.000%
1	3	Teacher hiring and credentialing	All	No	LEA-wide	N/A	N/A	Ongoing	\$ -	\$ 12,056	\$ 12,056	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 12,056	0.000%
1	4	Supports for students with disabilities	SWD	No	LEA-wide	N/A	N/A	Ongoing	\$ 559,793	\$ 140,000	\$ 699,793	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 699,793	0.000%
1	5	English learner instruction	ELL	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners	All Schools	Ongoing	\$ 37,500	\$ -	\$ 37,500	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 37,500	0.000%
1	6	Professional development	All	No	LEA-wide	N/A	N/A	Ongoing	\$ 285,324	\$ -	\$ 273,724	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 11,600	\$ 285,324	0.000%
1	7	Teacher coaching and supervision	All	Yes	LEA-wide	All	All Schools	Ongoing	\$ 101,438	\$ -	\$ 43,793	\$ 57,645	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 101,438	0.000%
2	1	Family engagement in school policies and decision-making	All	No	LEA-wide	N/A	N/A	Ongoing	\$ 30,489	\$ 16,350	\$ 46,839	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 46,839	0.000%
2	2	Community engagement	All	No	LEA-wide	N/A	N/A	Ongoing	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.000%
2	3	Social-emotional learning	All	Yes	LEA-wide	All	All Schools	Ongoing	\$ 119,418	\$ 7,544	\$ 126,962	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 126,962	0.000%
2	4	Student activities	All	No	LEA-wide	N/A	N/A	Ongoing	\$ 36,500	\$ 876,864	\$ -	\$ 913,364	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 913,364	0.000%
2	5	Facilities maintenance and health & safety	All	No	LEA-wide	N/A	N/A	Ongoing	\$ 96,147	\$ 1,789,091	\$ 1,885,238	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,885,238	0.000%
2	6	Chronic absenteeism support	All	No	LEA-wide	N/A	N/A	Ongoing	\$ 102,854	\$ -	\$ 102,854	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 102,854	0.000%

2024-25 Contributing Actions Table

1. Projected LCFF Base Grant	2. Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants	3. Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (2 divided by 1)	LCFF Carryover — Percentage (Percentage from Prior Year)	Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (3 + Carryover %)	4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)	5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)	Planned Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (4 divided by 1, plus 5)	Totals by Type
\$ 5,406,653	\$ 1,854,194	34.295%	0.000%	34.295%	\$ 1,224,946	0.000%	22.656%	Total:
								LEA-wide Total:
								Limited Total:
								Schoolwide Total:

Goal #	Action #	Action Title	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Scope	Unduplicated Student Group (s)	Location	Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)
1	1	Standards-aligned personalized instruction	No	LEA-wide		N/A	\$ -	0.000%
1	2	Interventions	Yes	LEA-wide	All	All Schools	\$ 1,016,691	0.000%
1	3	Teacher hiring and credentialing	No	LEA-wide		N/A	\$ -	0.000%
1	4	Supports for students with disabilities	No	LEA-wide		N/A	\$ -	0.000%
1	5	English learner instruction	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners	All Schools	\$ 37,500	0.000%
1	6	Professional development	No	LEA-wide		N/A	\$ -	0.000%
1	7	Teacher coaching and supervision	Yes	LEA-wide	All	All Schools	\$ 43,793	0.000%
2	1	Family engagement in school policies and d	No	LEA-wide		N/A	\$ -	0.000%
2	2	Community engagement	No	LEA-wide		N/A	\$ -	0.000%
2	3	Social-emotional learning	Yes	LEA-wide	All	All Schools	\$ 126,962	0.000%
2	4	Student activities	No	LEA-wide		N/A	\$ -	0.000%
2	5	Facilities maintenance and health & safety	No	LEA-wide		N/A	\$ -	0.000%
2	6	Chronic absenteeism support	No	LEA-wide		N/A	\$ -	0.000%

2023-24 Annual Update Table

Totals:	Last Year's Total Planned Expenditures (Total Funds)	Total Estimated Actual Expenditures (Total Funds)
Totals:	\$ 5,961,217.00	\$ 6,264,182.00

Last Year's Goal #	Last Year's Action #	Prior Action/Service Title	Contributed to Increased or Improved Services?	Last Year's Planned Expenditures (Total Funds)	Estimated Actual Expenditures (Input Total Funds)	Explanation for >10% variance	Actuals vs Planned	Variance
1	1	Build positive School Culture	Yes	\$ 795,708	\$ 715,285	1 FTE Vice Principal was on leave for some of the year	89.89%	\$ 80,423
1	2	Maintain 1.3 FTEs for school counselors to provide counseling and wellness support for students, staff, and WPS families.	Yes	\$ 129,144	\$ 181,969	Budgeted for 1.3 fte and hired 1.75 fte due to the need	140.90%	\$ (52,825)
1	3	Implement SEL through Valor Compass	Yes	\$ 32,583	\$ 32,500		99.75%	\$ 83
1	4	Provide a safe environment for all	No	\$ 445,371	\$ 511,927	Hired a 1 fte campus safety lead	114.94%	\$ (66,556)
1	5	Provide basic services	No	\$ 853,620	\$ 889,119		104.16%	\$ (35,499)
2	1	Teacher Credentialing	No	\$ 1,373,566	\$ 1,550,285	Adjusted all teacher salaries to be competitive	112.87%	\$ (176,719)
2	2	Teacher development and subbing	Yes	\$ 281,570	\$ 227,119	Vacant positions for most of the year	80.66%	\$ 54,451
2	3	Small group instructors	Yes	\$ 394,033	\$ 528,434	Additional staff to mitigate learning loss	134.11%	\$ (134,401)
2	4	Professional development and common core materials	Yes	\$ -	\$ -			\$ -
2	5	Learning loss mitigation	No	\$ 115,806	\$ 88,402	vacant positons	76.34%	\$ 27,404
2	6	Instructional materials	Yes	\$ 141,134	\$ 147,653		104.62%	\$ (6,519)
3	1	Coaching	No	\$ -	\$ -			\$ -
3	2	CMO Coaching	No	\$ -	\$ -			\$ -
4	1	Special Education	No	\$ 600,481	\$ 762,425	Hired additional staff to meet the needs of special education students	126.97%	\$ (161,944)
4	2	Psychological Services	Yes	\$ 45,360	\$ 35,662	Budgeted at .20 fte but is at .15 fte instead	78.62%	\$ 9,698
4	3	Summer School	Yes	\$ 52,500	\$ 52,500		100.00%	\$ -
4	4	Food Services	Yes	\$ 323,211	\$ 304,567		94.23%	\$ 18,644
4	5	Student Services	No	\$ 227,100	\$ 120,649	The district has decided to not charge us for staffing this year	53.13%	\$ 106,451
5	1	Instructional Technology	No	\$ 78,000	\$ 69,375	Hired a site tech for less than what was budgeted	88.94%	\$ 8,625
5	2	Student Assessment	Yes	\$ 14,890	\$ 4,870	Purchased an assessment tool at a lower cost	32.71%	\$ 10,020
5	3	One to One devices	No	\$ 21,056	\$ 21,056		100.00%	\$ -
5	4	Technology refresh	No	\$ 11,094	\$ 13,067	Technology for an additional staff member that was not budgeted	117.78%	\$ (1,973)
5	5	Internet Connectivity	No	\$ 24,990	\$ 7,318	Erate is covering this	29.28%	\$ 17,672

2023-24 Contributing Actions Annual Update Table

6. Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (Input Dollar Amount)	4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)	7. Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (Subtract 7 from 4)	5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)	8. Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (%)	Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (Subtract 5 from 8)
\$ 1,667,049	\$ 1,470,314	\$ 1,745,968	\$ (275,654)	0.000%	0.000%	0.000% - No Difference

Last Year's Goal #	Last Year's Action #	Prior Action/Service Title	Contributed to Increased or Improved Services?	Last Year's Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (Input LCFF Funds)	Planned Percentage of Improved Services	Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (Input Percentage)
1	1	Build positive School Culture	Yes	\$ 795,708	\$ 715,285.00	0.000%	0.000%
1	2	Maintain 1.3 FTEs for school counselors to provide counseling and wellness support for students, staff, and WPS families.	Yes	\$ 129,144	\$ 181,969.00	0.000%	0.000%
1	3	Implement SEL through Valor Compass	Yes	\$ 32,583	\$ 32,500.00	0.000%	0.000%
2	2	Teacher development and subbing	Yes	\$ 281,570	\$ 227,119.00	0.000%	0.000%
2	3	Small group instuctors	Yes	\$ 154,679	\$ 289,080.00	0.000%	0.000%
2	4	Professional development and common core materials	Yes	\$ -	\$ -	0.000%	
2	6	Instructional materials	Yes	\$ -	\$ 147,653.00	0.000%	0.000%
4	2	Psychological Services	Yes	\$ 38,934	\$ 29,236.00	0.000%	0.000%
4	3	Summer School	Yes	\$ -		0.000%	0.000%
4	4	Food Services	Yes	\$ 22,806	\$ 4,162.00	0.000%	0.000%
5	2	Student Assessment	Yes	\$ 14,890	\$ 4,870.00	0.000%	0.000%

2023-24 LCFF Carryover Table

9. Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (Input Dollar Amount)	6. Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants	LCFF Carryover — Percentage (Percentage from Prior Year)	10. Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Current School Year (6 divided by 9 + Carryover %)	7. Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	8. Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (%)	11. Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (7 divided by 9, plus 8)	12. LCFF Carryover — Dollar Amount (Subtract 11 from 10 and multiply by 9)	13. LCFF Carryover — Percentage (12 divided by 9)
\$ -	\$ 1,667,049	0.000%	0.000%	\$ 1,745,968	0.000%	0.000%	\$ -	0.000%

Local Control and Accountability Plan Instructions

[Plan Summary](#)

[Engaging Educational Partners](#)

[Goals and Actions](#)

[Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students](#)

For additional questions or technical assistance related to the completion of the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) template, please contact the local county office of education (COE), or the California Department of Education's (CDE's) Local Agency Systems Support Office, by phone at 916-319-0809 or by email at LCFF@cde.ca.gov.

Introduction and Instructions

The Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) requires local educational agencies (LEAs) to engage their local educational partners in an annual planning process to evaluate their progress within eight state priority areas encompassing all statutory metrics (COEs have 10 state priorities). LEAs document the results of this planning process in the LCAP using the template adopted by the State Board of Education.

The LCAP development process serves three distinct, but related functions:

- **Comprehensive Strategic Planning:** The process of developing and annually updating the LCAP supports comprehensive strategic planning, particularly to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the California School Dashboard (California *Education Code* [EC] Section 52064[e][1]). Strategic planning that is comprehensive connects budgetary decisions to teaching and learning performance data. LEAs should continually evaluate the hard choices they make about the use of limited resources to meet student and community needs to ensure opportunities and outcomes are improved for all students.
- **Meaningful Engagement of Educational Partners:** The LCAP development process should result in an LCAP that reflects decisions made through meaningful engagement (EC Section 52064[e][1]). Local educational partners possess valuable perspectives and insights about an LEA's programs and services. Effective strategic planning will incorporate these perspectives and insights in order to identify potential goals and actions to be included in the LCAP.
- **Accountability and Compliance:** The LCAP serves an important accountability function because the nature of some LCAP template sections require LEAs to show that they have complied with various requirements specified in the LCFF statutes and regulations, most notably:
 - Demonstrating that LEAs are increasing or improving services for foster youth, English learners, including long-term English learners, and low-income students in proportion to the amount of additional funding those students generate under LCFF (EC Section 52064[b][4-6]).

- o Establishing goals, supported by actions and related expenditures, that address the statutory priority areas and statutory metrics (*EC* sections 52064[b][1] and [2]).
 - **NOTE:** As specified in *EC* Section 62064(b)(1), the LCAP must provide a description of the annual goals, for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils identified pursuant to *EC* Section 52052, to be achieved for each of the state priorities. Beginning in 2023–24, *EC* Section 52052 identifies long-term English learners as a separate and distinct pupil subgroup with a numerical significance at 15 students.
- o Annually reviewing and updating the LCAP to reflect progress toward the goals (*EC* Section 52064[b][7]).
- o Ensuring that all increases attributable to supplemental and concentration grant calculations, including concentration grant add-on funding and/or LCFF carryover, are reflected in the LCAP (*EC* sections 52064[b][6], [8], and [11]).

The LCAP template, like each LEA’s final adopted LCAP, is a document, not a process. LEAs must use the template to memorialize the outcome of their LCAP development process, which must: (a) reflect comprehensive strategic planning, particularly to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the California School Dashboard (Dashboard), (b) through meaningful engagement with educational partners that (c) meets legal requirements, as reflected in the final adopted LCAP. The sections included within the LCAP template do not and cannot reflect the full development process, just as the LCAP template itself is not intended as a tool for engaging educational partners.

If a county superintendent of schools has jurisdiction over a single school district, the county board of education and the governing board of the school district may adopt and file for review and approval a single LCAP consistent with the requirements in *EC* sections 52060, 52062, 52066, 52068, and 52070. The LCAP must clearly articulate to which entity’s budget (school district or county superintendent of schools) all budgeted and actual expenditures are aligned.

The revised LCAP template for the 2024–25, 2025–26, and 2026–27 school years reflects statutory changes made through Senate Bill 114 (Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review), Chapter 48, Statutes of 2023.

At its most basic, the adopted LCAP should attempt to distill not just what the LEA is doing for students in transitional kindergarten through grade twelve (TK–12), but also allow educational partners to understand why, and whether those strategies are leading to improved opportunities and outcomes for students. LEAs are strongly encouraged to use language and a level of detail in their adopted LCAPs intended to be meaningful and accessible for the LEA’s diverse educational partners and the broader public.

In developing and finalizing the LCAP for adoption, LEAs are encouraged to keep the following overarching frame at the forefront of the strategic planning and educational partner engagement functions:

Given present performance across the state priorities and on indicators in the Dashboard, how is the LEA using its budgetary resources to respond to TK–12 student and community needs, and address any performance gaps, including by meeting its obligation to increase or improve services for foster youth, English learners, and low-income students?

LEAs are encouraged to focus on a set of metrics and actions which, based on research, experience, and input gathered from educational partners, the LEA believes will have the biggest impact on behalf of its TK–12 students.

These instructions address the requirements for each section of the LCAP, but may include information about effective practices when developing the LCAP and completing the LCAP document. Additionally, the beginning of each template section includes information emphasizing the purpose that section serves.

Plan Summary

Purpose

A well-developed Plan Summary section provides a meaningful context for the LCAP. This section provides information about an LEA's community as well as relevant information about student needs and performance. In order to present a meaningful context for the rest of the LCAP, the content of this section should be clearly and meaningfully related to the content included throughout each subsequent section of the LCAP.

Requirements and Instructions

General Information

A description of the LEA, its schools, and its students in grades transitional kindergarten–12, as applicable to the LEA.

Briefly describe the LEA, its schools, and its students in grades TK–12, as applicable to the LEA.

- For example, information about an LEA in terms of geography, enrollment, employment, the number and size of specific schools, recent community challenges, and other such information the LEA may wish to include can enable a reader to more fully understand the LEA's LCAP.
- As part of this response, identify all schools within the LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funding.

Reflections: Annual Performance

A reflection on annual performance based on a review of the California School Dashboard (Dashboard) and local data.

Reflect on the LEA's annual performance on the Dashboard and local data. This may include both successes and challenges identified by the LEA during the development process.

LEAs are encouraged to highlight how they are addressing the identified needs of student groups, and/or schools within the LCAP as part of this response.

As part of this response, the LEA must identify the following, which will remain unchanged during the three-year LCAP cycle:

- Any school within the LEA that received the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the 2023 Dashboard;
- Any student group within the LEA that received the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the 2023 Dashboard; and/or

- Any student group within a school within the LEA that received the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the 2023 Dashboard.

Reflections: Technical Assistance

As applicable, a summary of the work underway as part of technical assistance.

Annually identify the reason(s) the LEA is eligible for or has requested technical assistance consistent with *EC* sections 47607.3, 52071, 52071.5, 52072, or 52072.5, and provide a summary of the work underway as part of receiving technical assistance. The most common form of this technical assistance is frequently referred to as Differentiated Assistance, however this also includes LEAs that have requested technical assistance from their COE.

- If the LEA is not eligible for or receiving technical assistance, the LEA may respond to this prompt as “Not Applicable.”

Comprehensive Support and Improvement

An LEA with a school or schools identified for comprehensive support and improvement (CSI) under the Every Student Succeeds Act must respond to the following prompts:

Schools Identified

A list of the schools in the LEA that are eligible for comprehensive support and improvement.

- Identify the schools within the LEA that have been identified for CSI.

Support for Identified Schools

A description of how the LEA has or will support its eligible schools in developing comprehensive support and improvement plans.

- Describe how the LEA has or will support the identified schools in developing CSI plans that included a school-level needs assessment, evidence-based interventions, and the identification of any resource inequities to be addressed through the implementation of the CSI plan.

Monitoring and Evaluating Effectiveness

A description of how the LEA will monitor and evaluate the plan to support student and school improvement.

- Describe how the LEA will monitor and evaluate the implementation and effectiveness of the CSI plan to support student and school improvement.

Engaging Educational Partners

Purpose

Significant and purposeful engagement of parents, students, educators, and other educational partners, including those representing the student groups identified by LCFF, is critical to the development of the LCAP and the budget process. Consistent with statute, such engagement should support comprehensive strategic planning, particularly to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the Dashboard, accountability, and improvement across the state priorities and locally identified priorities (*EC* Section 52064[e][1]). Engagement of educational partners is an ongoing, annual process.

This section is designed to reflect how the engagement of educational partners influenced the decisions reflected in the adopted LCAP. The goal is to allow educational partners that participated in the LCAP development process and the broader public to understand how the LEA engaged educational partners and the impact of that engagement. LEAs are encouraged to keep this goal in the forefront when completing this section.

Requirements

School districts and COEs: *EC* sections [52060\(g\) \(California Legislative Information\)](#) and [52066\(g\) \(California Legislative Information\)](#) specify the educational partners that must be consulted when developing the LCAP:

- Teachers,
- Principals,
- Administrators,
- Other school personnel,
- Local bargaining units of the LEA,
- Parents, and
- Students

A school district or COE receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

Before adopting the LCAP, school districts and COEs must share it with the applicable committees, as identified below under Requirements and Instructions. The superintendent is required by statute to respond in writing to the comments received from these committees. School districts and COEs must also consult with the special education local plan area administrator(s) when developing the LCAP.

Charter schools: *EC* Section [47606.5\(d\) \(California Legislative Information\)](#) requires that the following educational partners be consulted with when developing the LCAP:

- Teachers,
- Principals,
- Administrators,
- Other school personnel,
- Parents, and

- Students

A charter school receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at the school generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for the school.

The LCAP should also be shared with, and LEAs should request input from, schoolsite-level advisory groups, as applicable (e.g., schoolsite councils, English Learner Advisory Councils, student advisory groups, etc.), to facilitate alignment between schoolsite and district-level goals. Information and resources that support effective engagement, define student consultation, and provide the requirements for advisory group composition, can be found under Resources on the [CDE's LCAP webpage](#).

Before the governing board/body of an LEA considers the adoption of the LCAP, the LEA must meet the following legal requirements:

- For school districts, see [Education Code Section 52062 \(California Legislative Information\)](#);
 - o **Note:** Charter schools using the LCAP as the School Plan for Student Achievement must meet the requirements of *EC* Section 52062(a).
- For COEs, see [Education Code Section 52068 \(California Legislative Information\)](#); and
- For charter schools, see [Education Code Section 47606.5 \(California Legislative Information\)](#).
- **NOTE:** As a reminder, the superintendent of a school district or COE must respond, in writing, to comments received by the applicable committees identified in the *Education Code* sections listed above. This includes the parent advisory committee and may include the English learner parent advisory committee and, as of July 1, 2024, the student advisory committee, as applicable.

Instructions

Respond to the prompts as follows:

A summary of the process used to engage educational partners in the development of the LCAP.

School districts and county offices of education must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, local bargaining units, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

Charter schools must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

Complete the table as follows:

Educational Partners

Identify the applicable educational partner(s) or group(s) that were engaged in the development of the LCAP.

Process for Engagement

Describe the engagement process used by the LEA to involve the identified educational partner(s) in the development of the LCAP. At a minimum, the LEA must describe how it met its obligation to consult with all statutorily required educational partners, as applicable to the type of LEA.

- A sufficient response to this prompt must include general information about the timeline of the process and meetings or other engagement strategies with educational partners. A response may also include information about an LEA's philosophical approach to engaging its educational partners.
- An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also include a summary of how it consulted with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

A description of how the adopted LCAP was influenced by the feedback provided by educational partners.

Describe any goals, metrics, actions, or budgeted expenditures in the LCAP that were influenced by or developed in response to the educational partner feedback.

- A sufficient response to this prompt will provide educational partners and the public with clear, specific information about how the engagement process influenced the development of the LCAP. This may include a description of how the LEA prioritized requests of educational partners within the context of the budgetary resources available or otherwise prioritized areas of focus within the LCAP.
- An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must include a description of how the consultation with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds influenced the development of the adopted LCAP.
- For the purposes of this prompt, this may also include, but is not necessarily limited to:
 - Inclusion of a goal or decision to pursue a Focus Goal (as described below)
 - Inclusion of metrics other than the statutorily required metrics
 - Determination of the target outcome on one or more metrics
 - Inclusion of performance by one or more student groups in the Measuring and Reporting Results subsection
 - Inclusion of action(s) or a group of actions
 - Elimination of action(s) or group of actions
 - Changes to the level of proposed expenditures for one or more actions
 - Inclusion of action(s) as contributing to increased or improved services for unduplicated students
 - Analysis of effectiveness of the specific actions to achieve the goal
 - Analysis of material differences in expenditures
 - Analysis of changes made to a goal for the ensuing LCAP year based on the annual update process
 - Analysis of challenges or successes in the implementation of actions

Goals and Actions

Purpose

Well-developed goals will clearly communicate to educational partners what the LEA plans to accomplish, what the LEA plans to do in order to accomplish the goal, and how the LEA will know when it has accomplished the goal. A goal statement, associated metrics and expected outcomes, and the actions included in the goal must be in alignment. The explanation for why the LEA included a goal is an opportunity for LEAs to clearly communicate to educational partners and the public why, among the various strengths and areas for improvement highlighted by performance data and strategies and actions that could be pursued, the LEA decided to pursue this goal, and the related metrics, expected outcomes, actions, and expenditures.

A well-developed goal can be focused on the performance relative to a metric or metrics for all students, a specific student group(s), narrowing performance gaps, or implementing programs or strategies expected to impact outcomes. LEAs should assess the performance of their student groups when developing goals and the related actions to achieve such goals.

Requirements and Instructions

LEAs should prioritize the goals, specific actions, and related expenditures included within the LCAP within one or more state priorities. LEAs must consider performance on the state and local indicators, including their locally collected and reported data for the local indicators that are included in the Dashboard, in determining whether and how to prioritize its goals within the LCAP. As previously stated, strategic planning that is comprehensive connects budgetary decisions to teaching and learning performance data. LEAs should continually evaluate the hard choices they make about the use of limited resources to meet student and community needs to ensure opportunities and outcomes are improved for all students, and to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the Dashboard.

In order to support prioritization of goals, the LCAP template provides LEAs with the option of developing three different kinds of goals:

- **Focus Goal:** A Focus Goal is relatively more concentrated in scope and may focus on a fewer number of metrics to measure improvement. A Focus Goal statement will be time bound and make clear how the goal is to be measured.
 - All Equity Multiplier goals must be developed as focus goals. For additional information, see Required Focus Goal(s) for LEAs Receiving Equity Multiplier Funding below.
- **Broad Goal:** A Broad Goal is relatively less concentrated in its scope and may focus on improving performance across a wide range of metrics.
- **Maintenance of Progress Goal:** A Maintenance of Progress Goal includes actions that may be ongoing without significant changes and allows an LEA to track performance on any metrics not addressed in the other goals of the LCAP.

Requirement to Address the LCFF State Priorities

At a minimum, the LCAP must address all LCFF priorities and associated metrics articulated in *EC* sections 52060(d) and 52066(d), as applicable to the LEA. The [LCFF State Priorities Summary](#) provides a summary of *EC* sections 52060(d) and 52066(d) to aid in the development of the LCAP.

Respond to the following prompts, as applicable:

Focus Goal(s)

Description

The description provided for a Focus Goal must be specific, measurable, and time bound.

- An LEA develops a Focus Goal to address areas of need that may require or benefit from a more specific and data intensive approach.
- The Focus Goal can explicitly reference the metric(s) by which achievement of the goal will be measured and the time frame according to which the LEA expects to achieve the goal.

Type of Goal

Identify the type of goal being implemented as a Focus Goal.

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Explain why the LEA has chosen to prioritize this goal.

- An explanation must be based on Dashboard data or other locally collected data.
- LEAs must describe how the LEA identified this goal for focused attention, including relevant consultation with educational partners.
- LEAs are encouraged to promote transparency and understanding around the decision to pursue a focus goal.

Required Focus Goal(s) for LEAs Receiving Equity Multiplier Funding

Description

LEAs receiving Equity Multiplier funding must include one or more focus goals for each school generating Equity Multiplier funding. In addition to addressing the focus goal requirements described above, LEAs must adhere to the following requirements.

Focus goals for Equity Multiplier schoolsites must address the following:

(A) All student groups that have the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the Dashboard, and

(B) Any underlying issues in the credentialing, subject matter preparation, and retention of the school's educators, if applicable.

- Focus Goals for each and every Equity Multiplier schoolsite must identify specific metrics for each identified student group, as applicable.
- An LEA may create a single goal for multiple Equity Multiplier schoolsites if those schoolsites have the same student group(s) performing at the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the Dashboard or, experience similar issues in the credentialing, subject matter preparation, and retention of the school's educators.
 - When creating a single goal for multiple Equity Multiplier schoolsites, the goal must identify the student groups and the performance levels on the Dashboard that the Focus Goal is addressing; or,
 - The common issues the schoolsites are experiencing in credentialing, subject matter preparation, and retention of the school's educators, if applicable.

Type of Goal

Identify the type of goal being implemented as an Equity Multiplier Focus Goal.

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Explain why the LEA has chosen to prioritize this goal.

- An explanation must be based on Dashboard data or other locally collected data.
- LEAs must describe how the LEA identified this goal for focused attention, including relevant consultation with educational partners.
- LEAs are encouraged to promote transparency and understanding around the decision to pursue a focus goal.
- In addition to this information, the LEA must also identify:
 - The school or schools to which the goal applies

LEAs are encouraged to approach an Equity Multiplier goal from a wholistic standpoint, considering how the goal might maximize student outcomes through the use of LCFF and other funding in addition to Equity Multiplier funds.

- Equity Multiplier funds must be used to supplement, not supplant, funding provided to Equity Multiplier schoolsites for purposes of the LCFF, the Expanded Learning Opportunities Program (ELO-P), the Literacy Coaches and Reading Specialists (LCRS) Grant Program, and/or the California Community Schools Partnership Program (CCSPP).
- This means that Equity Multiplier funds must not be used to replace funding that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to implement LEA-wide actions identified in the LCAP or that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to implement provisions of the ELO-P, the LCRS, and/or the CCSPP.

Note: EC Section [42238.024\(b\)\(1\) \(California Legislative Information\)](#) requires that Equity Multiplier funds be used for the provision of evidence-based services and supports for students. Evidence-based services and supports are based on objective evidence that has informed the design of the service or support and/or guides the modification of those services and supports. Evidence-based supports and strategies are most commonly based on educational research and/or metrics of LEA, school, and/or student performance.

Broad Goal

Description

Describe what the LEA plans to achieve through the actions included in the goal.

- The description of a broad goal will be clearly aligned with the expected measurable outcomes included for the goal.
- The goal description organizes the actions and expected outcomes in a cohesive and consistent manner.
- A goal description is specific enough to be measurable in either quantitative or qualitative terms. A broad goal is not as specific as a focus goal. While it is specific enough to be measurable, there are many different metrics for measuring progress toward the goal.

Type of Goal

Identify the type of goal being implemented as a Broad Goal.

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Explain why the LEA developed this goal and how the actions and metrics grouped together will help achieve the goal.

Maintenance of Progress Goal

Description

Describe how the LEA intends to maintain the progress made in the LCFF State Priorities not addressed by the other goals in the LCAP.

- Use this type of goal to address the state priorities and applicable metrics not addressed within the other goals in the LCAP.
- The state priorities and metrics to be addressed in this section are those for which the LEA, in consultation with educational partners, has determined to maintain actions and monitor progress while focusing implementation efforts on the actions covered by other goals in the LCAP.

Type of Goal

Identify the type of goal being implemented as a Maintenance of Progress Goal.

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Explain how the actions will sustain the progress exemplified by the related metrics.

Measuring and Reporting Results:

For each LCAP year, identify the metric(s) that the LEA will use to track progress toward the expected outcomes.

- LEAs must identify metrics for specific student groups, as appropriate, including expected outcomes that address and reduce disparities in outcomes between student groups.
- The metrics may be quantitative or qualitative; but at minimum, an LEA's LCAP must include goals that are measured using all of the applicable metrics for the related state priorities, in each LCAP year, as applicable to the type of LEA.
- To the extent a state priority does not specify one or more metrics (e.g., implementation of state academic content and performance standards), the LEA must identify a metric to use within the LCAP. For these state priorities, LEAs are encouraged to use metrics based on or reported through the relevant local indicator self-reflection tools within the Dashboard.
- **Required metrics for LEA-wide actions:** For each action identified as 1) contributing towards the requirement to increase or improve services for foster youth, English learners, including long-term English learners, and low-income students and 2) being provided on an LEA-wide basis, the LEA must identify one or more metrics to monitor the effectiveness of the action and its budgeted expenditures.
 - o These required metrics may be identified within the action description or the first prompt in the increased or improved services section, however the description must clearly identify the metric(s) being used to monitor the effectiveness of the action and the action(s) that the metric(s) apply to.
- **Required metrics for Equity Multiplier goals:** For each Equity Multiplier goal, the LEA must identify:

- o The specific metrics for each identified student group at each specific schoolsite, as applicable, to measure the progress toward the goal, and/or
- o The specific metrics used to measure progress in meeting the goal related to credentialing, subject matter preparation, or educator retention at each specific schoolsite.

Complete the table as follows:

Metric

- Enter the metric number.

Metric

- Identify the standard of measure being used to determine progress towards the goal and/or to measure the effectiveness of one or more actions associated with the goal.

Baseline

- Enter the baseline when completing the LCAP for 2024–25.
 - o Use the most recent data associated with the metric available at the time of adoption of the LCAP for the first year of the three-year plan. LEAs may use data as reported on the 2023 Dashboard for the baseline of a metric only if that data represents the most recent available data (e.g., high school graduation rate).
 - o Using the most recent data available may involve reviewing data the LEA is preparing for submission to the California Longitudinal Pupil Achievement Data System (CALPADS) or data that the LEA has recently submitted to CALPADS.
 - o Indicate the school year to which the baseline data applies.
 - o The baseline data must remain unchanged throughout the three-year LCAP.
 - This requirement is not intended to prevent LEAs from revising the baseline data if it is necessary to do so. For example, if an LEA identifies that its data collection practices for a particular metric are leading to inaccurate data and revises its practice to obtain accurate data, it would also be appropriate for the LEA to revise the baseline data to align with the more accurate data process and report its results using the accurate data.
 - If an LEA chooses to revise its baseline data, then, at a minimum, it must clearly identify the change as part of its response to the description of changes prompt in the Goal Analysis for the goal. LEAs are also strongly encouraged to involve their educational partners in the decision of whether or not to revise a baseline and to communicate the proposed change to their educational partners.

- o Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one- or two-year LCAP may identify a new baseline each year, as applicable.

Year 1 Outcome

- When completing the LCAP for 2025–26, enter the most recent data available. Indicate the school year to which the data applies.
 - o Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one-year LCAP may provide the Year 1 Outcome when completing the LCAP for both 2025–26 and 2026–27 or may provide the Year 1 Outcome for 2025–26 and provide the Year 2 Outcome for 2026–27.

Year 2 Outcome

- When completing the LCAP for 2026–27, enter the most recent data available. Indicate the school year to which the data applies.
 - o Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one-year LCAP may identify the Year 2 Outcome as not applicable when completing the LCAP for 2026–27 or may provide the Year 2 Outcome for 2026–27.

Target for Year 3 Outcome

- When completing the first year of the LCAP, enter the target outcome for the relevant metric the LEA expects to achieve by the end of the three-year LCAP cycle.
 - o Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one- or two-year LCAP may identify a Target for Year 1 or Target for Year 2, as applicable.

Current Difference from Baseline

- When completing the LCAP for 2025–26 and 2026–27, enter the current difference between the baseline and the yearly outcome, as applicable.
 - o Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one- or two-year LCAP will identify the current difference between the baseline and the yearly outcome for Year 1 and/or the current difference between the baseline and the yearly outcome for Year 2, as applicable.

Timeline for school districts and COEs for completing the “**Measuring and Reporting Results**” part of the Goal.

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for 2024–25 or when adding a new metric.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for 2024–25 or when adding a new metric.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for 2025–26 . Leave blank until then.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for 2026–27 . Leave blank until then.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for 2024–25 or when adding a new metric.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for 2025–26 and 2026–27 . Leave blank until then.

Goal Analysis:

Enter the LCAP Year.

Using actual annual measurable outcome data, including data from the Dashboard, analyze whether the planned actions were effective towards achieving the goal. “Effective” means the degree to which the planned actions were successful in producing the target result. Respond to the prompts as instructed.

Note: When completing the 2024–25 LCAP, use the 2023–24 Local Control and Accountability Plan Annual Update template to complete the Goal Analysis and identify the Goal Analysis prompts in the 2024–25 LCAP as “Not Applicable.”

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

- Describe the overall implementation of the actions to achieve the articulated goal, including relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.
 - Include a discussion of relevant challenges and successes experienced with the implementation process.
 - This discussion must include any instance where the LEA did not implement a planned action or implemented a planned action in a manner that differs substantively from how it was described in the adopted LCAP.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

- Explain material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and between the Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services, as applicable. Minor variances in expenditures or percentages do not need to be addressed, and a dollar-for-dollar accounting is not required.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

- Describe the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal. “Effectiveness” means the degree to which the actions were successful in producing the target result and “ineffectiveness” means that the actions did not produce any significant or targeted result.
 - In some cases, not all actions in a goal will be intended to improve performance on all of the metrics associated with the goal.
 - When responding to this prompt, LEAs may assess the effectiveness of a single action or group of actions within the goal in the context of performance on a single metric or group of specific metrics within the goal that are applicable to the action(s). Grouping actions with metrics will allow for more robust analysis of whether the strategy the LEA is using to impact a specified set of metrics is working and increase transparency for educational partners. LEAs are encouraged to use such an approach when goals include multiple actions and metrics that are not closely associated.
 - Beginning with the development of the 2024–25 LCAP, the LEA must change actions that have not proven effective over a three-year period.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

- Describe any changes made to this goal, expected outcomes, metrics, or actions to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis and analysis of the data provided in the Dashboard or other local data, as applicable.
 - As noted above, beginning with the development of the 2024–25 LCAP, the LEA must change actions that have not proven effective over a three-year period. For actions that have been identified as ineffective, the LEA must identify the ineffective action and must include a description of the following:
 - The reasons for the ineffectiveness, and
 - How changes to the action will result in a new or strengthened approach.

Actions:

Complete the table as follows. Add additional rows as necessary.

Action #

- Enter the action number.

Title

- Provide a short title for the action. This title will also appear in the action tables.

Description

- Provide a brief description of the action.
 - For actions that contribute to meeting the increased or improved services requirement, the LEA may include an explanation of how each action is principally directed towards and effective in meeting the LEA's goals for unduplicated students, as described in the instructions for the Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students section.
 - As previously noted, for each action identified as 1) contributing towards the requirement to increase or improve services for foster youth, English learners, including long-term English learners, and low-income students and 2) being provided on an LEA-wide basis, the LEA must identify one or more metrics to monitor the effectiveness of the action and its budgeted expenditures.
 - These required metrics may be identified within the action description or the first prompt in the increased or improved services section; however, the description must clearly identify the metric(s) being used to monitor the effectiveness of the action and the action(s) that the metric(s) apply to.

Total Funds

- Enter the total amount of expenditures associated with this action. Budgeted expenditures from specific fund sources will be provided in the action tables.

Contributing

- Indicate whether the action contributes to meeting the increased or improved services requirement as described in the Increased or Improved Services section using a “Y” for Yes or an “N” for No.
 - **Note:** for each such contributing action, the LEA will need to provide additional information in the Increased or Improved Services section to address the requirements in *California Code of Regulations*, Title 5 [5 CCR] Section 15496 in the Increased or Improved Services section of the LCAP.

Actions for Foster Youth: School districts, COEs, and charter schools that have a numerically significant foster youth student subgroup are encouraged to include specific actions in the LCAP designed to meet needs specific to foster youth students.

Required Actions

- LEAs with 30 or more English learners and/or 15 or more long-term English learners must include specific actions in the LCAP related to, at a minimum:
 - Language acquisition programs, as defined in *EC* Section 306, provided to students, and
 - Professional development for teachers.

- o If an LEA has both 30 or more English learners and 15 or more long-term English learners, the LEA must include actions for both English learners and long-term English learners.
- LEAs eligible for technical assistance pursuant to *EC* sections 47607.3, 52071, 52071.5, 52072, or 52072.5, must include specific actions within the LCAP related to its implementation of the work underway as part of technical assistance. The most common form of this technical assistance is frequently referred to as Differentiated Assistance.
- LEAs that have Red Dashboard indicators for (1) a school within the LEA, (2) a student group within the LEA, and/or (3) a student group within any school within the LEA must include one or more specific actions within the LCAP:
 - o The specific action(s) must be directed towards the identified student group(s) and/or school(s) and must address the identified state indicator(s) for which the student group or school received the lowest performance level on the 2023 Dashboard. Each student group and/or school that receives the lowest performance level on the 2023 Dashboard must be addressed by one or more actions.
 - o These required actions will be effective for the three-year LCAP cycle.

Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students

Purpose

A well-written Increased or Improved Services section provides educational partners with a comprehensive description, within a single dedicated section, of how an LEA plans to increase or improve services for its unduplicated students as defined in *EC* Section 42238.02 in grades TK–12 as compared to all students in grades TK–12, as applicable, and how LEA-wide or schoolwide actions identified for this purpose meet regulatory requirements. Descriptions provided should include sufficient detail yet be sufficiently succinct to promote a broader understanding of educational partners to facilitate their ability to provide input. An LEA’s description in this section must align with the actions included in the Goals and Actions section as contributing.

Please Note: For the purpose of meeting the Increased or Improved Services requirement and consistent with *EC* Section 42238.02, long-term English learners are included in the English learner student group.

Statutory Requirements

An LEA is required to demonstrate in its LCAP how it is increasing or improving services for its students who are foster youth, English learners, and/or low-income, collectively referred to as unduplicated students, as compared to the services provided to all students in proportion to the increase in funding it receives based on the number and concentration of unduplicated students in the LEA (*EC* Section 42238.07[a][1], *EC* Section 52064[b][8][B]; 5 *CCR* Section 15496[a]). This proportionality percentage is also known as the “minimum proportionality percentage” or “MPP.” The manner in which an LEA demonstrates it is meeting its MPP is two-fold: (1) through the expenditure of LCFF funds or through the

identification of a Planned Percentage of Improved Services as documented in the Contributing Actions Table, and (2) through the explanations provided in the Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students section.

To improve services means to grow services in quality and to increase services means to grow services in quantity. Services are increased or improved by those actions in the LCAP that are identified in the Goals and Actions section as contributing to the increased or improved services requirement, whether they are provided across the entire LEA (LEA-wide action), provided to an entire school (Schoolwide action), or solely provided to one or more unduplicated student group(s) (Limited action).

Therefore, for *any* action contributing to meet the increased or improved services requirement, the LEA must include an explanation of:

- How the action is increasing or improving services for the unduplicated student group(s) (Identified Needs and Action Design), and
- How the action meets the LEA's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas (Measurement of Effectiveness).

LEA-wide and Schoolwide Actions

In addition to the above required explanations, LEAs must provide a justification for why an LEA-wide or Schoolwide action is being provided to all students and how the action is intended to improve outcomes for unduplicated student group(s) as compared to all students.

- Conclusory statements that a service will help achieve an expected outcome for the goal, without an explicit connection or further explanation as to how, are not sufficient.
- Further, simply stating that an LEA has a high enrollment percentage of a specific student group or groups does not meet the increased or improved services standard because enrolling students is not the same as serving students.

For School Districts Only

Actions provided on an **LEA-wide** basis at **school districts with an unduplicated pupil percentage of less than 55 percent** must also include a description of how the actions are the most effective use of the funds to meet the district's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas. The description must provide the basis for this determination, including any alternatives considered, supporting research, experience, or educational theory.

Actions provided on a **Schoolwide** basis for **schools with less than 40 percent enrollment of unduplicated pupils** must also include a description of how these actions are the most effective use of the funds to meet the district's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas. The description must provide the basis for this determination, including any alternatives considered, supporting research, experience, or educational theory.

Requirements and Instructions

Complete the tables as follows:

Total Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants

- Specify the amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grant funds the LEA estimates it will receive in the coming year based on the number and concentration of foster youth, English learner, and low-income students. This amount includes the Additional 15 percent LCFF Concentration Grant.

Projected Additional 15 percent LCFF Concentration Grant

- Specify the amount of additional LCFF concentration grant add-on funding, as described in *EC* Section 42238.02, that the LEA estimates it will receive in the coming year.

Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year

- Specify the estimated percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the LCAP year as calculated pursuant to 5 *CCR* Section 15496(a)(7).

LCFF Carryover — Percentage

- Specify the LCFF Carryover — Percentage identified in the LCFF Carryover Table. If a carryover percentage is not identified in the LCFF Carryover Table, specify a percentage of zero (0.00%).

LCFF Carryover — Dollar

- Specify the LCFF Carryover — Dollar amount identified in the LCFF Carryover Table. If a carryover amount is not identified in the LCFF Carryover Table, specify an amount of zero (\$0).

Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year

- Add the Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year and the Proportional LCFF Required Carryover Percentage and specify the percentage. This is the LEA's percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the LCAP year, as calculated pursuant to 5 *CCR* Section 15496(a)(7).

Required Descriptions:

LEA-wide and Schoolwide Actions

For each action being provided to an entire LEA or school, provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s) and why it is being provided on an LEA or schoolwide basis, and (3) the metric(s) used to measure the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s).

If the LEA has provided this required description in the Action Descriptions, state as such within the table.

Complete the table as follows:

Identified Need(s)

Provide an explanation of the unique identified need(s) of the LEA’s unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed.

An LEA demonstrates how an action is principally directed towards an unduplicated student group(s) when the LEA explains the need(s), condition(s), or circumstance(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) identified through a needs assessment and how the action addresses them. A meaningful needs assessment includes, at a minimum, analysis of applicable student achievement data and educational partner feedback.

How the Action(s) are Designed to Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis

Provide an explanation of how the action as designed will address the unique identified need(s) of the LEA’s unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed and the rationale for why the action is being provided on an LEA-wide or schoolwide basis.

- As stated above, conclusory statements that a service will help achieve an expected outcome for the goal, without an explicit connection or further explanation as to how, are not sufficient.
- Further, simply stating that an LEA has a high enrollment percentage of a specific student group or groups does not meet the increased or improved services standard because enrolling students is not the same as serving students.

Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness

Identify the metric(s) being used to measure the progress and effectiveness of the action(s).

Note for COEs and Charter Schools: In the case of COEs and charter schools, schoolwide and LEA-wide are considered to be synonymous.

Limited Actions

For each action being solely provided to one or more unduplicated student group(s), provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s), and (3) how the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s) will be measured.

If the LEA has provided the required descriptions in the Action Descriptions, state as such.

Complete the table as follows:

Identified Need(s)

Provide an explanation of the unique need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served identified through the LEA’s needs assessment. A meaningful needs assessment includes, at a minimum, analysis of applicable student achievement data and educational partner feedback.

How the Action(s) are Designed to Address Need(s)

Provide an explanation of how the action is designed to address the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served.

Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness

Identify the metric(s) being used to measure the progress and effectiveness of the action(s).

For any limited action contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement that is associated with a Planned Percentage of Improved Services in the Contributing Summary Table rather than an expenditure of LCFF funds, describe the methodology that was used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage, as applicable.

- For each action with an identified Planned Percentage of Improved Services, identify the goal and action number and describe the methodology that was used.
- When identifying a Planned Percentage of Improved Services, the LEA must describe the methodology that it used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage. The percentage of improved services for an action corresponds to the amount of LCFF funding that the LEA estimates it would expend to implement the action if it were funded.
- For example, an LEA determines that there is a need to analyze data to ensure that instructional aides and expanded learning providers know what targeted supports to provide to students who are foster youth. The LEA could implement this action by hiring additional staff to collect and analyze data and to coordinate supports for students, which, based on the LEA's current pay scale, the LEA estimates would cost \$165,000. Instead, the LEA chooses to utilize a portion of existing staff time to analyze data relating to students who are foster youth. This analysis will then be shared with site principals who will use the data to coordinate services provided by instructional assistants and expanded learning providers to target support to students. In this example, the LEA would divide the estimated cost of \$165,000 by the amount of LCFF Funding identified in the Total Planned Expenditures Table and then convert the quotient to a percentage. This percentage is the Planned Percentage of Improved Services for the action.

Additional Concentration Grant Funding

A description of the plan for how the additional concentration grant add-on funding identified above will be used to increase the number of staff providing direct services to students at schools that have a high concentration (above 55 percent) of foster youth, English learners, and low-income students, as applicable.

An LEA that receives the additional concentration grant add-on described in *EC* Section 42238.02 is required to demonstrate how it is using these funds to increase the number of staff who provide direct services to students at schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent as compared to the number of staff who provide direct services to students at schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is equal to or less than 55 percent. The staff who provide direct services to students must be certificated staff and/or classified staff employed by the LEA; classified staff includes custodial staff.

Provide the following descriptions, as applicable to the LEA:

- An LEA that does not receive a concentration grant or the concentration grant add-on must indicate that a response to this prompt is not applicable.
- Identify the goal and action numbers of the actions in the LCAP that the LEA is implementing to meet the requirement to increase the number of staff who provide direct services to students at schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent.
- An LEA that does not have comparison schools from which to describe how it is using the concentration grant add-on funds, such as a single-school LEA or an LEA that only has schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, must describe how it is using the funds to increase the number of credentialed staff, classified staff, or both, including custodial staff, who provide direct services to students at selected schools and the criteria used to determine which schools require additional staffing support.
- In the event that an additional concentration grant add-on is not sufficient to increase staff providing direct services to students at a school with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, the LEA must describe how it is using the funds to retain staff providing direct services to students at a school with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent.

Complete the table as follows:

- Provide the staff-to-student ratio of classified staff providing direct services to students with a concentration of unduplicated students that is 55 percent or less and the staff-to-student ratio of classified staff providing direct services to students at schools with a concentration of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, as applicable to the LEA.
 - The LEA may group its schools by grade span (Elementary, Middle/Junior High, and High Schools), as applicable to the LEA.
 - The staff-to-student ratio must be based on the number of full-time equivalent (FTE) staff and the number of enrolled students as counted on the first Wednesday in October of each year.
- Provide the staff-to-student ratio of certificated staff providing direct services to students at schools with a concentration of unduplicated students that is 55 percent or less and the staff-to-student ratio of certificated staff providing direct services to students at schools with a concentration of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, as applicable to the LEA.
 - The LEA may group its schools by grade span (Elementary, Middle/Junior High, and High Schools), as applicable to the LEA.
 - The staff-to-student ratio must be based on the number of FTE staff and the number of enrolled students as counted on the first Wednesday in October of each year.

Action Tables

Complete the Total Planned Expenditures Table for each action in the LCAP. The information entered into this table will automatically populate the other Action Tables. Information is only entered into the Total Planned Expenditures Table, the Annual Update Table, the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table, and the LCFF Carryover Table. The word “input” has been added to column headers to aid in identifying the column(s) where information will be entered. Information is not entered on the remaining Action tables.

The following tables are required to be included as part of the LCAP adopted by the local governing board or governing body:

- Table 1: Total Planned Expenditures Table (for the coming LCAP Year)
- Table 2: Contributing Actions Table (for the coming LCAP Year)
- Table 3: Annual Update Table (for the current LCAP Year)
- Table 4: Contributing Actions Annual Update Table (for the current LCAP Year)
- Table 5: LCFF Carryover Table (for the current LCAP Year)

Note: The coming LCAP Year is the year that is being planned for, while the current LCAP year is the current year of implementation. For example, when developing the 2024–25 LCAP, 2024–25 will be the coming LCAP Year and 2023–24 will be the current LCAP Year.

Total Planned Expenditures Table

In the Total Planned Expenditures Table, input the following information for each action in the LCAP for that applicable LCAP year:

- **LCAP Year:** Identify the applicable LCAP Year.
- **1. Projected LCFF Base Grant:** Provide the total amount estimated LCFF entitlement for the coming school year, excluding the supplemental and concentration grants and the add-ons for the Targeted Instructional Improvement Block Grant program, the former Home-to-School Transportation program, and the Small School District Transportation program, pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(8). Note that the LCFF Base Grant for purposes of the LCAP also includes the Necessary Small Schools and Economic Recovery Target allowances for school districts, and County Operations Grant for COEs.

See *EC* sections 2574 (for COEs) and 42238.02 (for school districts and charter schools), as applicable, for LCFF entitlement calculations.
- **2. Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants:** Provide the total amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grants estimated on the basis of the number and concentration of unduplicated students for the coming school year.
- **3. Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year:** This percentage will not be entered; it is calculated based on the Projected LCFF Base Grant and the Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants, pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(8). This is the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the coming LCAP year.

- **LCFF Carryover — Percentage:** Specify the LCFF Carryover — Percentage identified in the LCFF Carryover Table from the prior LCAP year. If a carryover percentage is not identified in the LCFF Carryover Table, specify a percentage of zero (0.00%).
- **Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year:** This percentage will not be entered; it is calculated based on the Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year and the LCFF Carryover — Percentage. ***This is the percentage by which the LEA must increase or improve services for unduplicated pupils as compared to the services provided to all students in the coming LCAP year.***
- **Goal #:** Enter the LCAP Goal number for the action.
- **Action #:** Enter the action's number as indicated in the LCAP Goal.
- **Action Title:** Provide a title of the action.
- **Student Group(s):** Indicate the student group or groups who will be the primary beneficiary of the action by entering "All," or by entering a specific student group or groups.
- **Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?:** Type "Yes" if the action **is** included as contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement; OR, type "No" if the action is **not** included as contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement.
- If "Yes" is entered into the Contributing column, then complete the following columns:
 - **Scope:** The scope of an action may be LEA-wide (i.e., districtwide, countywide, or charterwide), schoolwide, or limited. An action that is LEA-wide in scope upgrades the entire educational program of the LEA. An action that is schoolwide in scope upgrades the entire educational program of a single school. An action that is limited in its scope is an action that serves only one or more unduplicated student groups.
 - **Unduplicated Student Group(s):** Regardless of scope, contributing actions serve one or more unduplicated student groups. Indicate one or more unduplicated student groups for whom services are being increased or improved as compared to what all students receive.
 - **Location:** Identify the location where the action will be provided. If the action is provided to all schools within the LEA, the LEA must indicate "All Schools." If the action is provided to specific schools within the LEA or specific grade spans only, the LEA must enter "Specific Schools" or "Specific Grade Spans." Identify the individual school or a subset of schools or grade spans (e.g., all high schools or grades transitional kindergarten through grade five), as appropriate.
- **Time Span:** Enter "ongoing" if the action will be implemented for an indeterminate period of time. Otherwise, indicate the span of time for which the action will be implemented. For example, an LEA might enter "1 Year," or "2 Years," or "6 Months."
- **Total Personnel:** Enter the total amount of personnel expenditures utilized to implement this action.

- **Total Non-Personnel:** This amount will be automatically calculated based on information provided in the Total Personnel column and the Total Funds column.
- **LCFF Funds:** Enter the total amount of LCFF funds utilized to implement this action, if any. LCFF funds include all funds that make up an LEA's total LCFF target (i.e., base grant, grade span adjustment, supplemental grant, concentration grant, Targeted Instructional Improvement Block Grant, and Home-To-School Transportation).
 - **Note:** For an action to contribute towards meeting the increased or improved services requirement, it must include some measure of LCFF funding. The action may also include funding from other sources, however the extent to which an action contributes to meeting the increased or improved services requirement is based on the LCFF funding being used to implement the action.
- **Other State Funds:** Enter the total amount of Other State Funds utilized to implement this action, if any.
 - **Note:** Equity Multiplier funds must be included in the "Other State Funds" category, not in the "LCFF Funds" category. As a reminder, Equity Multiplier funds must be used to supplement, not supplant, funding provided to Equity Multiplier schoolsites for purposes of the LCFF, the ELO-P, the LCRS, and/or the CCSPP. This means that Equity Multiplier funds must not be used to replace funding that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to implement LEA-wide actions identified in the LEA's LCAP or that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to implement provisions of the ELO-P, the LCRS, and/or the CCSPP.
- **Local Funds:** Enter the total amount of Local Funds utilized to implement this action, if any.
- **Federal Funds:** Enter the total amount of Federal Funds utilized to implement this action, if any.
- **Total Funds:** This amount is automatically calculated based on amounts entered in the previous four columns.
- **Planned Percentage of Improved Services:** For any action identified as contributing, being provided on a Limited basis to unduplicated students, and that does not have funding associated with the action, enter the planned quality improvement anticipated for the action as a percentage rounded to the nearest hundredth (0.00%). A limited action is an action that only serves foster youth, English learners, and/or low-income students.
 - As noted in the instructions for the Increased or Improved Services section, when identifying a Planned Percentage of Improved Services, the LEA must describe the methodology that it used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage. The percentage of improved services for an action corresponds to the amount of LCFF funding that the LEA estimates it would expend to implement the action if it were funded.

For example, an LEA determines that there is a need to analyze data to ensure that instructional aides and expanded learning providers know what targeted supports to provide to students who are foster youth. The LEA could implement this action by hiring additional staff to collect and analyze data and to coordinate supports for students, which, based on the LEA's current pay scale, the LEA estimates would cost \$165,000. Instead, the LEA chooses to utilize a portion of existing staff time to analyze data relating to students who are foster youth. This analysis will then be shared with site principals who will use the data to coordinate services

provided by instructional assistants and expanded learning providers to target support to students. In this example, the LEA would divide the estimated cost of \$165,000 by the amount of LCFF Funding identified in the Data Entry Table and then convert the quotient to a percentage. This percentage is the Planned Percentage of Improved Services for the action.

Contributing Actions Table

As noted above, information will not be entered in the Contributing Actions Table; however, the ‘Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?’ column will need to be checked to ensure that only actions with a “Yes” are displaying. If actions with a “No” are displayed or if actions that are contributing are not displaying in the column, use the drop-down menu in the column header to filter only the “Yes” responses.

Annual Update Table

In the Annual Update Table, provide the following information for each action in the LCAP for the relevant LCAP year:

- **Estimated Actual Expenditures:** Enter the total estimated actual expenditures to implement this action, if any.

Contributing Actions Annual Update Table

In the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table, check the ‘Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?’ column to ensure that only actions with a “Yes” are displaying. If actions with a “No” are displayed or if actions that are contributing are not displaying in the column, use the drop-down menu in the column header to filter only the “Yes” responses. Provide the following information for each contributing action in the LCAP for the relevant LCAP year:

- **6. Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants:** Provide the total amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grants estimated based on the number and concentration of unduplicated students in the current school year.
- **Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions:** Enter the total estimated actual expenditure of LCFF funds used to implement this action, if any.
- **Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services:** For any action identified as contributing, being provided on a Limited basis only to unduplicated students, and that does not have funding associated with the action, enter the total estimated actual quality improvement anticipated for the action as a percentage rounded to the nearest hundredth (0.00%).
 - o Building on the example provided above for calculating the Planned Percentage of Improved Services, the LEA in the example implements the action. As part of the annual update process, the LEA reviews implementation and student outcome data and determines that the action was implemented with fidelity and that outcomes for foster youth students improved. The LEA reviews the original estimated cost for the action and determines that had it hired additional staff to collect and analyze data and to coordinate supports for students that estimated actual cost would have been \$169,500 due to a cost of living adjustment. The LEA would divide the estimated actual cost of \$169,500 by the amount of LCFF Funding identified in the Data Entry Table and then convert the quotient to a percentage. This percentage is the Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services for the action.

LCFF Carryover Table

- **9. Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant:** Provide the total amount of estimated LCFF Target Entitlement for the current school year, excluding the supplemental and concentration grants and the add-ons for the Targeted Instructional Improvement Block Grant program, the former Home-to-School Transportation program, and the Small School District Transportation program, pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(8). Note that the LCFF Base Grant for purposes of the LCAP also includes the Necessary Small Schools and Economic Recovery Target allowances for school districts, and County Operations Grant for COEs. See *EC* sections 2574 (for COEs) and 42238.02 (for school districts and charter schools), as applicable, for LCFF entitlement calculations.
- **10. Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Current School Year:** This percentage will not be entered. The percentage is calculated based on the amounts of the Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (9) and the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (6), pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(8), plus the LCFF Carryover – Percentage from the prior year. This is the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the current LCAP year.

Calculations in the Action Tables

To reduce the duplication of effort of LEAs, the Action Tables include functionality such as pre-population of fields and cells based on the information provided in the Data Entry Table, the Annual Update Summary Table, and the Contributing Actions Table. For transparency, the functionality and calculations used are provided below.

Contributing Actions Table

- **4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)**
 - This amount is the total of the Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds) column.
- **5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services**
 - This percentage is the total of the Planned Percentage of Improved Services column.
- **Planned Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the coming school year (4 divided by 1, plus 5)**
 - This percentage is calculated by dividing the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4) by the Projected LCFF Base Grant (1), converting the quotient to a percentage, and adding it to the Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (5).

Contributing Actions Annual Update Table

Pursuant to *EC* Section 42238.07(c)(2), if the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4) is less than the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and Concentration Grants (6), the LEA is required to calculate the difference between the Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (5) and the Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (7). If the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4) is equal to or greater

than the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and Concentration Grants (6), the Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services will display “Not Required.”

- **6. Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and Concentration Grants**

- This is the total amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grants the LEA estimates it will actually receive based on of the number and concentration of unduplicated students in the current school year.

- **4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)**

- This amount is the total of the Last Year's Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds).

- **7. Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions**

- This amount is the total of the Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds).

- **Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (Subtract 7 from 4)**

- This amount is the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (7) subtracted from the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4).

- **5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)**

- This amount is the total of the Planned Percentage of Improved Services column.

- **8. Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (%)**

- This amount is the total of the Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services column.

- **Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (Subtract 5 from 8)**

- This amount is the Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (5) subtracted from the Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (8).

LCFF Carryover Table

- **10. Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Current School Year (6 divided by 9 plus Carryover %)**

- This percentage is the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (6) divided by the Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (9) plus the LCFF Carryover – Percentage from the prior year.

- **11. Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (7 divided by 9, plus 8)**

- o This percentage is the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (7) divided by the LCFF Funding (9), then converting the quotient to a percentage and adding the Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (8).

- **12. LCFF Carryover — Dollar Amount LCFF Carryover (Subtract 11 from 10 and multiply by 9)**

- o If the Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (11) is less than the Estimated Actual Percentage to Increase or Improve Services (10), the LEA is required to carry over LCFF funds.

The amount of LCFF funds is calculated by subtracting the Estimated Actual Percentage to Increase or Improve Services (11) from the Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (10) and then multiplying by the Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (9). This amount is the amount of LCFF funds that is required to be carried over to the coming year.

- **13. LCFF Carryover — Percentage (12 divided by 9)**

- o This percentage is the unmet portion of the Percentage to Increase or Improve Services that the LEA must carry over into the coming LCAP year. The percentage is calculated by dividing the LCFF Carryover (12) by the LCFF Funding (9).

California Department of Education
November 2023